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SHERNOVSKY was a friend of BENJAMIN SMLG, an aeronautical engineer at Wright Field, Dayton, Ohio, whom they hoped tried to recruit in Soviet espionage on orders of GOLD's Soviet superiors: "FRED" and SEMEN N. SEMENOV.
SHUMOVSKY was a friend of BENJAMIN SMYLLG, an aeronautical engineer at Wright Field, Dayton, Ohio, whom HARRY GOLD tried to recruit in Soviet espionage on orders of GOLD’s Soviet superiors "FRED" and SEMEN M. SEMEKOV.
ROBERT T. JONES and wife, DORIS, in separate interviews advised they were close friends and fellow employees of PERL at NACA, Langley Field, Virginia. They stated they had no information of unauthorized activities by PERL and knew nothing of his activities after he left Langley Field. Mr. JONES advised he was associated with the JB-2 project from its inception until the time he was at Elgin Field, Florida on tests of methods of launching the bomb. He was unable to furnish any dates concerning his work or trips made by him. He stated he saw PERL in Cleveland, and believed it was at the same time he visited the JACK & HINTZ Co. Does not believe he ever discussed JB-2 project with PERL. States PERL may have been at conference at NACA Laboratory in Cleveland. JONES unable to recall specific details relative to the incident of the missing document at Langley Field, but recalls that Mr. SOULE was responsible for the document.

RUC

DETAILS: ROBERT THOMAS JONES, 840 Lincoln, Palo Alto, California, an aeronautical research scientist, National Advisory Committee for Aeronautics, Ames Aeronautical Laboratory, Moffett Field, California, was interviewed at Ames Aeronautical Laboratory, Moffett Field on March 11, 1952 by SA NORMAN PAYE and the writer.

Mr. JONES advised that he was employed at Langley Memorial Aeronautical Laboratory, Langley Field, Hampton, Virginia from 1934 until his transfer to his present place of employment in 1946.
JONES stated he first met PERL, who was known to him as WILLIAM MUTTERPERL, several years after 1934 when PERL commenced his employment at Langley Field in theoretical aerodynamics. JONES did not recall the date that PERL came to Langley Field, but stated it was two to five years after JONES had started working there. JONES commented that he was very poor in remembering dates or specific periods of time.

According to JONES, PERL was not employed in the same section at Langley Field, but that they both had had an interest in theoretical aerodynamics. JONES advised he considered PERL to be a good friend of his during the time PERL was at Langley Field, and that they associated together on a social basis as well as sharing mutual interest in theoretical aerodynamics. He stated that PERL was not as advanced in theoretical aerodynamics as he, and would often ask advice from him on problems or formulas.

JONES advised he could not recall the date that PERL left Langley Field or where he went when he left that employment.

JONES stated that his friendship ceased when PERL left Langley Field, and that he could only recall seeing PERL once after that time and that was in Cleveland when PERL was employed at NACA Laboratory. He stated he does not recall just when it was he saw PERL in Cleveland, but it was after PERL was married as he remembered going to PERL's apartment to meet PERL's wife. JONES advised he did not recall the location of this apartment, but remembers it was a very small one; and there was no one present at the apartment but PERL, PERL's wife, whose name he remembered as "BETTIE" or "HETTIE," and himself.

JONES stated that his present wife, DORIS COHEN, prior to her marriage to him was also well acquainted with PERL at Langley Field. He advised that his wife, DORIS, had told him prior to their marriage, which he believed was in May, 1946, after first stating it was in 1945, that she had seen PERL in Pasadena, California, at which time PERL was attending school at California Institute of Technology; and she was employed at Northrup Company, Los Angeles. He stated that he does not believe his wife has seen PERL other than this occasion in Pasadena, since PERL left Langley Field.
JONES was unable to recall just how he happened to see PERL in Cleveland, and advised he believed it probably was in connection with a business trip he made to Cleveland on behalf of Army Special Weapons Unit, when he visited the NACA Laboratory, Cleveland, and the JACK and WINTZ Company, Cleveland. He stated he believed this is the time he saw PERL, and that on this trip he stayed in Cleveland several days which would account for why he had time to visit PERL's apartment, as his trips to Cleveland normally were of one day duration which time was normally spent in conferences at the laboratory.

JONES stated he did not recall what he may have discussed with PERL, but did not think he would discuss his, JONES', work as PERL was never interested in anything but what he personally was working on. JONES advised he may have possibly mentioned to PERL what he was in Cleveland for, and possibly PERL was at one of the conferences at the NACA Laboratory in Cleveland attended by JONES.

JONES advised that he had no contact with PERL since that time other than to read some of PERL's writings regarding work he was engaged in in Cleveland and some notes written by PERL on lectures by Dr. THEODORE VON KARMAN, a well known expert in aerodynamics. He stated these writings and notes were disseminated throughout the various offices of NACA.

According to JONES, after PERL was indicted in New York, JONES and his wife received a letter from an individual named SHAMUS from New York, who was unknown to them, but described himself as a friend of PERL's. He stated SHAMUS was soliciting funds to assist PERL in raising money to post as bail. JONES said he and his wife were willing to contribute money for PERL's use, but were somewhat dubious of the letter, as there was some mention of a passport in the letter which they could not understand. He was unable to recall what was said in the letter regarding the passport; however, he stated he or his wife wrote to SHAMUS for more information, and received a reply thanking them but stating the money was no longer needed. He did not recollect why the money was not needed, but stated it was his impression that the amount of the bail was either lowered or the money had been raised from other sources.

Mr. JONES advised he had no other knowledge of activities on the part of PERL except while at Langley Field, PERL was a member of
the Federation of Architects, Engineers, Chemists and Technicians and of the American Association of Scientific Workers. JONES stated that he personally was very interested and active in these organizations; however, he remembered PERL merely to be a member and does not believe he held any particular offices in the organizations. JONES advised to his knowledge these organizations at Langley Field were made-up of persons loyal to the United States, and any program inimical to the best interest of the United States would not have been sanctioned by the membership.

The Joint Fact Finding Committee on the Un-American Activities, California State Legislature in its 1947 report described the FAECT as a Communist front organization, however, no specific mention is made of the Hampton Virginia Chapter.

This committee in its 1948 report described the American Association of Scientific Workers as a Communist front organization.

JONES advised that he knew nothing of PERL's associations or activities after he left Langley Field, and that he had never known any of PERL's family other than his wife. He had never seen PERL other than on the occasions at Langley Field and Cleveland, as previously stated. He advised that the only associates of PERL he knew at Hampton, Virginia were the fellow employees at NACA, Langley Field, and that he could not now recall any particular persons as close associates of PERL other than he and Mrs. JONES.

JONES stated that he recalls an incident, while employed at Langley Field, when a document charged out to Mr. SOULE was lost. He stated that he remembers that everyone was asked to make a thorough search of their desks and papers for the document. He could not recall the date or year when this incident occurred, nor could he recall the contents or appearance of the document.

JONES advised that he does remember that he felt no particular responsibility for the loss of this document, as it had been charged
to Mr. SOULE. He stated that he is sure that he must of seen the
document "Guided Missiles, Development, Status and Availability" as he
was working on that project; however, does not how have any
independent recollection of the document or particular circumstances
concerning its use prior to the time it was lost.

JONES stated that he does not believe that he unknowingly
or mistakenly picked up the document among his papers or carried it
to another office or city. He advised that he has no reason to believe
that he had taken the document on his trip to another place and left
it by mistake. He stated he realized that it was a possibility that
he or someone else may have mistakenly taken the document, but that
he has no reason to believe that this was done; and would have no
idea who may have taken the document or where it may have been left.

JONES advised that he was not acquainted with any of the
following individuals:

MICHAEL and ANN SIDOROVICH
MAX and HILDA BLITCHEK
ALFRED and LOUISE SABAN
MORTON and HELEN SOBELL
ETHEL and JULIUS ROSENBERG
JOEL BARR
VIVIAN GLASSMAN
ANDREI I., SHEVCHENKO

Photographs of the above individuals were exhibited to JONES,
and he advised he was not familiar with any of the photographs other
than to say he recognized the photographs of the ROSENBERGS as he had
seen them in the newspaper, and thought the one of VIVIAN GLASSMAN
looked familiar. He stated he had no idea who VIVIAN GLASSMAN is or
why her photograph looked familiar.

JONES advised that PERL at no time requested any unauthorized
information from him, and that he had no information which would
indicate PERL or any other person was engaged in espionage on behalf of
the Soviet Union or any other country.

Mr. JONES was interviewed at her residence at 840 Lincoln,
Palo Alto, California, on March 11, 1952 by SAS WAYNE K. WELCH and
PETER J. HOPKINS.
Mrs. JONES advised that she first met WILLIAM PERL in about 1939 shortly after PERL came to work for NACA at the Langley Memorial Aeronautical Laboratory, Langley Field, Hampton, Virginia. She stated she thought that she first met PERL at work, as she recollects that she and PERL each worked on a portion of the same problem shortly after PERL's arrival at Langley. She advised that although they were acquainted at work her primary association with PERL was of a social nature.

Mrs. JONES said they, she and PERL, "ran around" in social groups consisting entirely of persons employed in NACA. She advised she and PERL dated each other for several months, and they dated quite frequently for some time. She said that she was never overly attracted to PERL, but that he was "rushing her." She said that she respected PERL and considered him a brilliant man at his work, but socially as a companion she considered him quite immature and naive and, therefore, somewhat of a bore. She explained this by advising that PERL was completely wrapped up in his work, and when in social affairs was always thinking of his work and wanted to discuss it. She stated this was not done in any breach of security regulations, as all the people with whom PERL associated worked at the NACA and like PERL were cleared to handle security information.

Mrs. JONES advised that her own close social association with PERL was discontinued about two years or so before PERL left Langley Field; however, she and PERL still associated in the same social group which consisted entirely of fellow employees at Langley Field. Mrs. JONES said that none of the scientists at Langley had anything in common with the people at Hampton, Virginia, therefore, had never had any social contact or friendship among the town people. Regarding other persons in this group, Mrs. JONES recalled that her husband, ROBERT JONES, SAM KATZOFF and MILTON KLEIN were PERL's friends and companions at Langley Field. She believed KLEIN is now working for NACA at Cleveland, Ohio. She was unable to recall the names of any other persons in this group. Mrs. JONES said she did not know too much of PERL's activities except he seemed completely absorbed in his work, and had no other interest. She recalled he had an apartment or room downtown Hampton, Virginia, but could not recall the street or address. She said the one impressionable thing about the apartment which she could remember was that PERL had built a phonograph in the closet of his room. She said that she had observed nothing indicating
PERL was interested in anything other than his work, as he never seemed interested in political or International affairs, and was never interested in any discussions in these matters. She advised that after she ceased dating PERL, he dated another girlfriend whose name she could not recall, but whom she remembered as being red haired. PERL also, according to Mrs. JONES, dated Mrs. JONES' roommate, LOUISE MOSS. She stated Miss MOSS presently resides at the Roger Williams Hotel, 28 E. 31st Street, New York City. Mrs. JONES could not recall any other friends of PERL. She advised that PERL's time was presently spent in his work, and that his principal means of relaxation seemed to be in playing handball.

Mrs. JONES said she cannot now recall just when PERL left Langley Field, and she does not know where he went from Langley Field.

Mrs. JONES said she did not see PERL again until she met him in Los Angeles, California, at the end of 1945, at the time Mrs. JONES was working in Los Angeles and PERL had come to Los Angeles for a continued study at the California Institute of Technology. Mrs. JONES said that for a period of three or four months, possibly until March, 1946, she saw PERL four or five times at the most. She advised, while there, she met PERL's wife, and has no information concerning her, having only seen her on one or two occasions. Mrs. JONES said she visited at the Perl apartment only once. At this time, she advised it was her impression that PERL was devoting all his time to his studies, and was not engaged in other activities. She advised it was her impression that PERL had no friends or associates in Los Angeles at that time. She advised that prior to her meeting HETTIE PERL, wife of WILLIAM PERL, in Los Angeles, she had never seen her before.

Mrs. JONES said that she and her husband had had no personal contact with Mr. PERL since their marriage in 1946; however, sometime ago they did receive a letter from PERL. She could not recall just when they received this letter, and stated it was perhaps a year or so ago.

In this letter, according to Mrs. JONES, PERL said he was being investigated regarding possible Communist sympathy on his part, and that his wife was being accused of having made statements.
sympathetic to the Soviet regime and Russia. She advised, according to the letter, because of this the NACA was investigating PERL and his wife for possible Communist sympathies. PERL, in the letter, requested an affidavit from Mrs. JONES that would advise that Mrs. PERL had not shown or expressed sympathy to the Soviet Union or Communism. Mrs. JONES said she did not write this affidavit, that she had only met Mrs. PERL in Los Angeles and did not know enough about her to comment in any way. Another reason, according to Mrs. JONES, for her not writing this affidavit was, at the time, both she and Mr. JONES were under investigation and had been suspended from working on or having access to any classified material at NACA, Aeronautical Laboratory. She said investigation of them also had to do with unfounded allegations that she and her husband had Communist sentiments. She advised because of the position of the JONESES themselves, at that time, they were not in any position to write an affidavit to testify to the loyalty of another person. She advised that she had written PERL explaining this to him.

Mrs. JONES advised that she is well aware that PERL is to be tried for perjury. She said that to her knowledge of the reasons for his being so tried is limited to what she has read in the newspaper, and from information written to her from friends in New York. She said that as she understood it, PERL is being tried because he stated he did not remember MORTON SOBELL, when PERL supposedly had attended school at the same time as SOBELL. Mrs. JONES advised that she had received a couple of letters from friends of PERL asking for financial assistance to raise necessary bail money for PERL. She stated she received such letters from HERBERT RIBNER and HERBERT PASS. She advised they requested this money be sent to HAROLD SHAMES, 1568 Macombs Road, the Bronx, New York City. She advised, however, that they, the JONESES, never sent any money because they received a subsequent letter that the funds were not now needed. Mrs. JONES said that RIBNER is working for NACA at Cleveland, and that PASS resides at 6773 Westward Beach Road, California. Mrs. JONES said that in letters requesting funds, there was not much information regarding facts of PERL's case, and SHAMES was supposed to give any necessary information. Mrs. JONES said she also had heard that PERL was being implicated because of the apartment he sublet in New York. She stated she could not recall just where or when she had learned about the apartmenthouse, but she heard the situation was very unfair to PERL. Regarding this apartment, Mrs. JONES explained that it was her understanding it had occurred when PERL was attending Columbia University.
She advised that at the time housing was difficult to obtain, and PERL was fortunate to get an apartment that was sublet; and PERL did not know the person through whom he was to sublet the apartment, but leased the apartment through a third person. She said that she understood that PERL had not met the person from whom the apartment was sublet but rather did all his business through a third person. She said that PERL did not even pay his rent to the original renter but to the third person or some other designated person or agency. According to Mrs. JONES, this original renter, the one who sublet the apartment but whom PERL does not know, was involved in or accused of some type of activity which caused a question as to this person's national allegiance. She stated PERL now is being accused of disloyalty when in reality it is the person who allowed the apartment to be sublet who should be accused, and it is her understanding that the original renter is not known to PERL. Mrs. JONES does not know the address of this apartment, but understood it to be in Greenwich Village, New York City. She stated she could not now recall any more information regarding this incident, nor how she came by this information.

Mrs. JONES denied knowing any of the following individuals:

MICHAEL and ANN SIDOROVICH
MAX and HELENE BLITCHER
ALFRED and LOUISE SARANT
MORTON and HELEN SORELL
ETHEL and JULIUS ROSENBERG
JOEL BARR
VIVIAN GLASSMAN
ANDREI I. SCHEDVCHENKO

Photographs of the above with the exception of VIVIAN GLASSMAN and ANDREI I. SCHEDVCHENKO were exhibited to Mrs. JONES, and she advised that she did not know any of the persons except that she recognized the ROSENBERGS as having seen their pictures in the newspaper.

Regarding her husband's association with PERL, Mrs. JONES advised that her husband was acquainted with PERL at Langley Field, however, this association was primary social. She advised that Mr. JONES has told her that he saw PERL in Cleveland after PERL went there from Langley Field. She stated that he had seen PERL on one or two occasions. She recalled that Mr. JONES had told her shortly after PERL was married at Cleveland, he went to PERL's apartment where
he met PERL's wife. She advised to her knowledge Mrs. JONES had had no other contact with PERL, and has not seen PERL since the JONESES were married in 1946.

Mrs. JONES said she has no information regarding PERL or PERL's associates engaged in any activity inimical to the United States. She said none of PERL's activities were to obtain information or data in which he would not have in line with work or study. She stated she had no information that PERL may have been disloyal to this country or no reason which might indicate that PERL may have committed perjury.

The San Francisco files contain no information identifiable with LOUISE MOSS, HERBERT S. RIBNER.

HAROOLD SHAMBS and MILTON M. KLEIN have previously been identified as fellow employees of PERL at NACA, Cleveland, Ohio.

SAM KATZOFF has previously been identified as a fellow employee of PERL at NACA at Langley Field.
He also advised that he recalls discussing the JB-2 bomb at the Cleveland laboratory, but does not recall if it was on the same trip that he visited at PERL's apartment. He stated that he does not know if he saw PERL in Cleveland on the same trip as at the time he visited JACK and HINTZ Co., but thinks it is likely that it was on this trip as he was in Cleveland for two or three days. He advised that this would account for why he would have time to visit PERL's apartment, as normally he was not in Cleveland more than one day.

JONES advised that he would not have discussed his work on stability with PERL, but if he discussed anything with PERL it would have been on mathematical theory or aerodynamics. He also stated he does not now recall having seen PERL anywhere but at his apartment in Cleveland, but that he must have seen him at the laboratory.

JONES advised that he does not recall the length of time he was in Cleveland on any particular trip, but does recall on the occasion of the visit of JACK and HINTZ Co., he was in Cleveland for several days. He stated he could not remember dates or any specific circumstances surrounding them. He also advised he does not know where he might have gone after a trip to Cleveland, but may have gone to Wright Field or returned to Langley Field. He stated he was at the command of the Special Weapons Unit and went wherever they directed him to go.

JONES advised he does not recall ever having discussed with PERL the jet motor unit, the launching mechanism or production plans of the JB-2 bomb, and stated it would be very unlikely that he would have had such a discussion with PERL.
JONES advised that he has never heard of the name ANDREI SCHEVCHENKO and does not recall meeting anyone with PERL other than his wife.

JONES further stated that he was not aware of any Russian nationalists having access to any information relative to the JB-2 project.

The files of the San Francisco Office contain no information identifiable with EASTMAN JACOBS.

REFERRED UPON COMPLETION TO THE OFFICE OF ORIGIN
SYNOPSIS OF FACTS:

ROBERT T. JONES and wife, DORIS, in separate interviews advised they were close friends and fellow employees of PERL at NACA, Langley Field, Virginia. They stated they had no information of unauthorized activities by PERL and knew nothing of his activities after he left Langley Field. Mr. JONES advised he was associated with the JB-2 project from its inception until the time he was at Elgin Field, Florida on tests of methods of launching the bomb. He was unable to furnish any dates concerning his work or trips made by him. He stated he saw PERL in Cleveland, and believed it was at the same time he visited the JACK & HINTZ Co. Does not believe he ever discussed JB-2 project with PERL. States PERL may have been at conference at NACA Laboratory in Cleveland. JONES unable to recall specific details relative to the incident of the missing document at Langley Field, but recalls that Mr. SOULE was responsible for the document.

DETAILS: ROBERT THOMAS JONES, 640 Lincoln, Palo Alto, California, an aeronautical research scientist, National Advisory Committee for Aeronautics, Ames Aeronautical Laboratory, Moffett Field, California, was interviewed at Ames Aeronautical Laboratory, Moffett Field on March 11, 1952 by SA NORMAN FRYE and the writer.

Mr. JONES advised that he was employed at Langley Memorial Aeronautical Laboratory, Langley Field, Hampton, Virginia from 1934 until his transfer to his present place of employment in 1946.
JONES stated he first met PERL, who was known to him as WILLIAM MUTTERPERL, several years after 1934, when PERL commenced his employment at Langley Field in theoretical aerodynamics. JONES did not recall the date that PERL came to Langley Field, but stated it was two to five years after JONES had started working there. JONES commented that he was very poor in remembering dates or specific periods of time.

According to JONES, PERL was not employed in the same section at Langley Field, but that they both had had an interest in theoretical aerodynamics. JONES advised he considered PERL to be a good friend of his during the time PERL was at Langley Field, and that they associated together on a social basis as well as sharing mutual interest in theoretical aerodynamics. He stated that PERL was not as advanced in theoretical aerodynamics as he, and would often ask advice from him on problems or formulas.

JONES advised he could not recall the date that PERL left Langley Field or where he went when he left that employment.

JONES stated that his friendship ceased when PERL left Langley Field, and that he could only recall seeing PERL once after that time and that was in Cleveland when PERL was employed at NACA Laboratory. He stated he does not recall just when it was he saw PERL in Cleveland, but it was after PERL was married as he remembered going to PERL's apartment to meet PERL's wife. JONES advised he did not recall the location of this apartment, but remembers it was a very small one; and there was no one present at the apartment but PERL, PERL's wife, whose name he remembered as "BETTY" or "BETTYE," and himself.

JONES stated that his present wife, DORIS COHEN, prior to her marriage to him was also well acquainted with PERL at Langley Field. He advised that his wife, DORIS, had told him prior to their marriage, which he believed was in May, 1946, after first stating it was in 1945, that she had seen PERL in Pasadena, California, at which time PERL was attending school at California Institute of Technology; and she was employed at Northrop Company, Los Angeles. He stated that he does not believe his wife has seen PERL other than this occasion in Pasadena, since PERL left Langley Field.
JONES was unable to recall just how he happened to see PERL in Cleveland, and advised he believed it probably was in connection with a business trip he made to Cleveland on behalf of Army Special Weapons Unit, when he visited the NACA Laboratory, Cleveland, and the LICK and KMENTZ Company, Cleveland. He stated he believed this is the time he saw PERL, and that on this trip he stayed in Cleveland several days which would account for why he had time to visit PERL's apartment, as his trips to Cleveland normally were of one day duration which time was normally spent in conferences at the laboratory.

JONES stated he did not recall what he may have discussed with PERL, but did not think he would discuss his, JONES', work as PERL was never interested in anything but what he personally was working on. JONES advised he may have possibly mentioned to PERL what he was in Cleveland for, and possibly PERL was at one of the conferences at the NACA Laboratory in Cleveland attended by JONES.

JONES advised that he has had no contact with PERL since that time other than to read some of PERL's writings regarding work he was engaged in in Cleveland and some notes written by PERL on lectures by Dr. THEODORE VON KARMAN, a well known expert in aerodynamics. He stated these writings and notes were disseminated throughout the various offices of NACA.

According to JONES, after PERL was indicted in New York, JONES and his wife received a letter from an individual named SHAMES from New York, who was unknown to them, but described himself as a friend of PERL's. He stated SHAMES was soliciting funds to assist PERL in raising money to post as bail. JONES said he and his wife were willing to contribute money for PERL's use, but were somewhat dubious of the letter, as there was some mention of a passport in the letter which they could not understand. He was unable to recall what was said in the letter regarding the passport; however, he stated he or his wife wrote to SHAMES for more information, and received a reply thanking them but stating the money was no longer needed. He did not recollect why the money was not needed, but stated it was his impression that the amount of the bail was either lowered or the money had been raised from other sources.

Mr. JONES advised he had no other knowledge of activities on the part of PERL except while at Langley Field, PERL was a member of
the Federation of Architects, Engineers, Chemists and Technicians and of the American Association of Scientific Workers. JONES stated that he personally was very interested and active in these organizations; however, he remembered PERRL merely to be a member and does not believe he held any particular offices in the organizations. JONES advised to his knowledge these organizations at Langley Field were made-up of persons loyal to the United States, and any program inimical to the best interest of the United States would not have been sanctioned by the membership.

The Joint Fact Finding Committee on the Un-American Activities, California State Legislature in its 1947 report described the FAECT as a Communist front organization; however, no specific mention is made of the Hampton, Virginia Chapter.

This committee in its 1948 report described the American Association of Scientific Workers as a Communist front organization.

JONES advised that he knew nothing of PERRL's associations or activities after he left Langley Field, and that he had never known any of PERRL's family other than his wife. He had never seen PERRL other than on the occasions at Langley Field and Cleveland, as previously stated. He advised that the only associates of PERRL he knew at Hampton, Virginia were the fellow employees at NASA, Langley Field, and that he could not now recall any particular persons as close associates of PERRL other than he and Mrs. JONES.

JONES stated that he recalls an incident while employed at Langley Field when a document charged out to Mr. SOULE was lost. He stated that he remembers that everyone was asked to make a thorough search of their desks and papers for the document. He could not recall the date or year when this incident occurred, nor could he recall the contents or appearance of the document.

JONES advised that he does remember that he felt no particular responsibility for the loss of this document, as it had been charged
to Mr. SOULE. He stated that he is sure that he must of seen the document "Guided Missiles, Development, Status and Availability," as he was working on that project; however, does not now have any independent recollection of the document or particular circumstances concerning its use prior to the time it was lost.

JONES stated that he does not believe that he unknowingly or mistakenly picked up the document among his papers or carried it to another office or city. He advised that he has no reason to believe that he had taken the document on his trip to another place and left it by mistake. He stated he realized that it was a possibility that he or someone else may have mistakenly taken the document, but that he has no reason to believe that this was done; and would have no idea who may have taken the document or where it may have been left.

JONES advised that he was not acquainted with any of the following individuals:

MICHAEL and ANN SIDOROVICH  
MAX and HELENE ELITCHER  
ALFRED and LOUISE SARANT  
MORTON and HELEN SOBELL  
ETHEL and JULIUS ROSENBERG  
JOEL BARR  
VIVIAN GLASSMAN  
ANDREI I. SIEVCHENKO

Photographs of the above individuals were exhibited to JONES, and he advised he was not familiar with any of the photographs other than to say he recognized the photographs of the ROSENBERGS as he had seen them in the newspaper, and thought the one of VIVIAN GLASSMAN looked familiar. He stated he had no idea who VIVIAN GLASSMAN is or why her photograph looked familiar.

JONES advised that PERL at no time requested any unauthorized information from him, and that he had no information which would indicate PERL or any other person was engaged in espionage on behalf of the Soviet Union or any other country.

Mrs. JONES was interviewed at her residence at 810 Lincoln, Palo Alto, California, on March 11, 1952 by SAS WAYNE K. WELCH and PETR J. HOPKINS.
Mrs. JONES advised that she first met WILLIAM PERL in about 1939 shortly after PERL came to work for NACA at the Langley Memorial Aeronautical Laboratory, Langley Field, Hampton, Virginia. She stated she thought that she first met PERL at work, as she recollects that she and PERL each worked on a part of the same problem shortly after PERL's arrival at Langley. She advised that although they were acquainted at work her primary association with PERL was of a social nature.

Mrs. JONES said they, she and PERL, "ran around" in social groups consisting entirely of persons employed in NACA. She advised she and PERL dated each other for several months, and they dated quite frequently for some time. She said that she was never overly attracted to PERL, but that he was "rushing her." She said that she respected PERL and considered him a brilliant man at his work, but socially as a companion she considered him quite immature and naive and, therefore, somewhat of a bore. She explained this by advising that PERL was completely wrapped up in his work, and when in social affairs was always thinking of his work and wanted to discuss it. She stated this was not done in any breach of security regulations, as all the people with whom PERL associated, worked at the NACA and like PERL were cleared to handle security information.

Mrs. JONES advised that her own close social association with PERL was discontinued about two years or so before PERL left Langley Field; however, she and PERL still associated in the same social group which consisted entirely of fellow employees at Langley Field. Mrs. JONES said that none of the scientists at Langley had anything in common with the people at Hampton, Virginia, therefore, had never had any social contact or friendship among the town people. Regarding other persons in this group, Mrs. JONES recalled that her husband, ROBERT JONES, SAM KATZOFF and MILTON KLEIN were PERL's friends and companions at Langley Field. She believed KLEIN is now working for NACA at Cleveland, Ohio. She was unable to recall the names of any other persons in this group. Mrs. JONES said she did not know too much of PERL's activities except he seemed completely absorbed in his work, and had no other interest. She recalled he had an apartment or room (downtown) Hampton, Virginia, but could not recall the street or address. She said the one impressionable thing about the apartment which she could remember was that PERL had built a phonograph in the closet of his room. She said that she had observed nothing indicating
PERL was interested in anything other than his work, as he never seemed interested in political or international affairs, and was never interested in any discussions in these matters. She advised that after she ceased dating PERL, he dated another girlfriend whose name she could not recall, but whom she remembered as being red haired. PERL also, according to Mrs. JONES, dated Mrs. JONES' roommate, LOUISE MOSS. She stated Miss MOSS presently resides at the Roger Williams Hotel, 28 E. 31st Street, New York City. Mrs. JONES could not recall any other friends of PERL. She advised that PERL's time was presently spent in his work, and that his principal means of relaxation seemed to be in playing handball.

Mrs. JONES said she cannot now recall just when PERL left Langley Field, and she does not know where he went from Langley Field.

Mrs. JONES said she did not see PERL again until she met him in Los Angeles, California, at the end of 1945, at the time Mrs. JONES was working in Los Angeles and PERL had come to Los Angeles for a continued study at the California Institute of Technology. Mrs. JONES said that for a period of three or four months, possibly until March, 1946, she saw PERL four or five times at the most. She advised, while there, she met PERL's wife, and has no information concerning her, having only seen her on one or two occasions. Mrs. JONES said she visited at the Perl apartment only once. At this time, she advised it was her impression that PERL was devoting all his time to his studies, and was not engaged in other activities. She advised it was her impression that PERL had no friends or associates in Los Angeles at that time. She advised that prior to her meeting HETTIE PERL, wife of WILLIAM PERL, in Los Angeles, she had never seen her before.

Mrs. JONES said that she and her husband had had no personal contact with Mr. PERL since their marriage in 1946; however, sometime ago they did receive a letter from PERL. She could not recall just when they received this letter, and stated it was perhaps a year or so ago.

In this letter, according to Mrs. JONES, PERL said he was being investigated regarding possible Communist sympathy on his part, and that his wife was being accused of having made statements
sympathetic to the Soviet regime and Russia. She advised, according to the letter, because of this the NACA was investigating PERL and his wife for possible Communist sympathies. PERL, in the letter, requested an affidavit from Mrs. JONES that would advise that Mrs. PERL had not shown or expressed sympathy to the Soviet Union or Communism. Mrs. JONES said she did not write this affidavit, that she had only met Mrs. PERL in Los Angeles and did not know enough about her to comment in any way. Another reason, according to Mrs. JONES, for her not writing this affidavit was, at the time, both she and Mr. JONES were under investigation and had been suspended from working on or having access to any classified material at NACA, Aeronautical Laboratory. She said investigation of them also had to do with unfounded allegations that she and her husband had Communist sentiments. She advised because of the position of the JONESES themselves, at that time, they were not in any position to write an affidavit to testify to the loyalty of another person. She advised that she had written PERL explaining this to him.

Mrs. JONES advised that she is well aware that PERL is to be tried for perjury. She said that to her knowledge of the reasons for his being so tried is limited to what she has read in the newspaper, and from information written to her from friends in New York. She said that as she understood it, PERL is being tried because he stated he did not remember MORTON SOBEILL, when PERL supposedly had attended school at the same time as SOBEILL. Mrs. JONES advised that she had received a couple of letters from friends of PERL asking for financial assistance to raise necessary bail money for PERL. She stated she received such letters from HERBERT RIBNER and HERBERT PASS. She advised they requested this money be sent to HAROLD SHAMES, 1568 Macombs Road, the Bronx, New York City. She advised, however, that they, the JONESES, never sent any money because they received a subsequent letter that the funds were not now needed. Mrs. JONES said that RIBNER is working for NACA at Cleveland, and that PASS resides at 6773 Westward Beach Road, California. Mrs. JONES said that in letters requesting funds, there was not much information regarding facts of PERL's case, and SHAMES was supposed to give any necessary information. Mrs. JONES said she also had heard that PERL was being implicated because of the apartment he sublet in New York. She stated she could not recall just where or when she had learned about the apartment house, but she heard the situation was very unfair to PERL. Regarding this apartment, Mrs. JONES explained that it was her understanding it had occurred when PERL was attending Columbia University.
She advised that at the time housing was difficult to obtain, and PERL was fortunate to get an apartment that was sublet; and PERL did not know the person through whom he was to sublet the apartment, but leased the apartment through a third person. She said that she understood that PERL had not met the person from whom the apartment was sublet but rather did all his business through a third person. She said that PERL did not even pay his rent to the original renter but to the third person or some other designated person or agency. According to Mrs. JONES, this original renter, the one who subleted the apartment but whom PERL does not know, was involved in or accused of some type of activity which caused a question as to this person's national allegiance. She stated PERL now is being accused of disloyalty when in reality it is the person who allowed the apartment to be sublet who should be accused, and it is her understanding that the original renter is not known to PERL. Mrs. JONES does not know the address of this apartment, but understood it to be in Greenwich Village, New York City. She stated she could not now recall any more information regarding this incident, nor how she came by this information.

Mrs. JONES denied knowing any of the following individuals:

MICHAEL and ANN SIDOROVICH
MAX and HELEN BLITCHER
ALFRED and LOUISE SARANT
MORTON and HELEN SOBELE
ETHEL and JULIUS ROSENBERG
JOEL BARR
VIVIAN GLASSMAN
ANDREI I. SCHEVCHENKO

Photographs of the above with the exception of VIVIAN GLASSMAN and ANDREI I. SCHEVCHENKO were exhibited to Mrs. JONES, and she advised that she did not know any of the persons except that she recognized the ROSENBERGS as having seen their pictures in the newspaper.

Regarding her husband's association with PERL, Mrs. JONES advised that her husband was acquainted with PERL at Langley Field, however, this association was primary social. She advised that Mr. JONES has told her that he saw PERL in Cleveland after PERL went there from Langley Field. She stated that he had seen PERL on one or two occasions. She recalled that Mr. JONES had told her shortly after PERL was married at Cleveland, he went to PERL's apartment where
he met PERL's wife. She advised to her knowledge Mrs. JONES had had no other contact with PERL, and has not seen PERL since the JONESES were married in 1946.

Mrs. JONES said she has no information regarding PERL or PERL's associates engaged in any activity inimical to the United States. She said none of PERL's activities were to obtain information or data in which he would not have in line with work or study. She stated she had no information that PERL may have been disloyal to this country or no reason which might indicate that PERL may have committed perjury.

The San Francisco files contain no information identifiable with LOUISE MOSS, HERBERT S. RIENER.

HAROLD SHAMES and MILTON M. KLEIN have previously been identified as fellow employees of PERL at NACA, Cleveland, Ohio.

SAM KATZOFF has previously been identified as a fellow employee of PERL at NACA at Langley Field.
He also advised that he recalls discussing the JB-2 bomb at the Cleveland laboratory, but does not recall if it was on the same trip that he visited at PERL's apartment. He stated that he does not know if he saw PERL in Cleveland on the same trip as at the time he visited JACK and HINTZ Co., but thinks it is likely that it was on this trip as he was in Cleveland for two or three days. He advised that this would account for why he would have time to visit PERL's apartment, as normally he was not in Cleveland more than one day.

JONES advised that he would not have discussed his work on stability with PERL, but if he discussed anything with PERL it would have been on mathematical theory or aerodynamics. He also stated he does not now recall having seen PERL anywhere but at his apartment in Cleveland, but that he must have seen him at the laboratory.

JONES advised that he does not recall the length of time he was in Cleveland on any particular trip, but does recall on the occasion of the visit of JACK and HINTZ Co., he was in Cleveland for several days. He stated he could not remember dates or any specific circumstances surrounding them. He also advised he does not know where he might have gone after a trip to Cleveland, but may have gone to Wright Field or returned to Langley Field. He stated he was at the command of the Special Weapons Unit and went wherever they directed him to go.

JONES advised he does not recall ever having discussed with PERL the jet motor unit, the launching mechanism or production plans of the JB-2 bomb, and stated it would be very unlikely that he would have had such a discussion with PERL.
JONES advised that he has never heard of the name ANDREI
SCHEVCHENKO and does not recall meeting anyone with PERL other than
his wife.

JONES further stated that he was not aware of any Russian
nationalists having access to any information relative to the JB-2
project.

The files of the San Francisco Office contain no information
identifiable with EASTMAN JACOBS.

REFERRED UPON COMPLETION TO THE OFFICE OF ORIGIN.
SYNOPSIS OF FACTS:

SECURITY INFORMATION TO BE SCRIBED

ROBERT T. JONES and wife, DORIS, in separate interviews advised they were close friends and fellow employees of PERL at NACA, Langley Field, Virginia. They stated they had no information of unauthorized activities by PERL and knew nothing of his activities after he left Langley Field. Mr. JONES advised he was associated with the JB-2 project from its inception until the time he was at Elgin Field, Florida on tests of methods of launching the bomb. He was unable to furnish any dates concerning his work or trips made by him. He stated he saw PERL in Cleveland, and believed it was at the same time he visited the JACK & HINTZ Co. Does not believe he ever discussed JB-2 project with PERL. States PERL may have been at conference at NACA Laboratory in Cleveland. JONES unable to recall specific details relative to the incident of the missing document at Langley Field, but recalls that Mr. SOULE was responsible for the document.

DETAILS: ROBERT THOMAS JONES, 840 Lincoln, Palo Alto, California, an aeronautical research scientist, National Advisory Committee for Aeronautics, Ames Aeronautical Laboratory, Moffett Field, California, was interviewed at Ames Aeronautical Laboratory, Moffett Field on March 11, 1952 by SA Norhan Frey and the writer.

Mr. JONES advised that he was employed at Langley Memorial Aeronautical Laboratory, Langley Field, Hampton, Virginia from 1934 until his transfer to his present place of employment in 1946.
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The confidential report and its contents are not to be distributed outside of agency to which loaned.
JONES stated that he first met PERL, who was known to him as
WILLIAM MUMFORD, several years after 1934, when PERL commenced
his employment at Langley Field in theoretical aerodynamics.
JONES did not recall the date that PERL came to Langley Field, but
stated it was two to five years after JONES had started working
there. JONES commented that he was very poor in remembering
dates or specific periods of time.

According to JONES, PERL was not employed in the same section
at Langley Field, but that they both had had an interest in theoretical
aerodynamics. JONES advised he considered PERL to be a good friend
of his during the time PERL was at Langley Field, and that they
associated together on a social basis as well as sharing mutual interest
in theoretical aerodynamics. He stated that PERL was not as advanced
in theoretical aerodynamics as he, and would often ask advice from
him on problems or formulas.

JONES advised he could not recall the date that PERL left
Langley Field or where he went when he left that employment.

JONES stated that his friendship ceased when PERL left Langley
Field, and that he could only recall seeing PERL once after that time
and that was in Cleveland when PERL was employed at NACA Laboratory.
He stated he does not recall just when it was he saw PERL in Cleveland,
but it was after PERL was married as he remembered going to PERL's
apartment to meet PERL's wife. JONES advised he did not recall the location
of this apartment, but remembers it was a very small one; and there
was no one present at the apartment but PERL, PERL's wife, whose name
he remembered as "BETTIE" or "HATTIE," and himself.

JONES stated that his present wife, DORIS COHEN, prior to her
marriage to him was also well acquainted with PERL at Langley Field.
He advised that his wife, DORIS, had told him prior to their marriage,
which he believed was in May, 1946, after first stating it was in 1945,
that she had seen PERL in Pasadena, California, at which time PERL
was attending school at California Institute of Technology; and she
was employed at Northrup Company, Los Angeles. He stated that he
does not believe his wife has seen PERL other than this occasion in
Pasadena, since PERL left Langley Field.
JONES was unable to recall just how he happened to see PERRL in Cleveland, and advised he believed it probably was in connection with a business trip he made to Cleveland on behalf of Army Special Weapons Unit, when he visited the NACA Laboratory, Cleveland, and the JACK and RESINTZ Company, Cleveland. He stated he believed this is the time he saw PERRL, and that on this trip he stayed in Cleveland several days which would account for why he had time to visit PERRL’s apartment, as his trips to Cleveland normally were of one day duration which time was normally spent in conferences at the laboratory.

JONES stated he did not recall what he may have discussed with PERRL, but did not think he would discuss his, JONES’, work as PERRL was never interested in anything but what he personally was working on. JONES advised he may have possibly mentioned to PERRL what he was in Cleveland for, and possibly PERRL was at one of the conferences at the NACA Laboratory in Cleveland attended by JONES.

JONES advised that he has had no contact with PERRL since that time other than to read some of PERRL’s writings regarding work he was engaged in in Cleveland and some notes written by PERRL on lectures by Dr. THEODICE VON KARMAN, a well known expert in aerodynamics. He stated these writings and notes were disseminated throughout the various offices of NACA.

According to JONES, after PERRL was indicted in New York, JONES and his wife received a letter from an individual named SHAMES in New York, who was unknown to them, but described himself as a friend of PERRL’s. He stated SHAMES was soliciting funds to assist PERRL in raising money to post as bail. JONES said he and his wife were willing to contribute money for PERRL’s use, but were somewhat dubious of the letter, as there was some mention of a passport in the letter which they could not understand. He was unable to recall what was said in the letter regarding the passport; however, he stated he or his wife wrote to SHAMES for more information, and received a reply thanking them but stating the money was no longer needed. He did not recollect why the money was not needed, but stated it was his impression that the amount of the bail was either lowered or the money had been raised from other sources.

Mr. JONES advised he had no other knowledge of activities on the part of PERRL except while at Langley Field, PERRL was a member of
the Federation of Architects, Engineers, Chemists and Technicians and of the American Association of Scientific Workers. JONES stated that he personally was very interested and active in these organizations; however, he remembered PERL merely to be a member and does not believe he held any particular offices in the organizations. JONES advised to his knowledge these organizations at Langley Field were made-up of persons loyal to the United States, and any program inimical to the best interest of the United States would not have been sanctioned by the membership.

The Joint Fact Finding Committee on the Un-American Activities, California State Legislature in its 1947 report described the FAECT as a Communist front organization, however, no specific mention is made of the Hampton Virginia Chapter.

This committee in its 1948 report described the American Association of Scientific Workers as a Communist front organization.

JONES advised that he knew nothing of PERL's associations or activities after he left Langley Field, and that he had never known any of PERL's family other than his wife. He had never seen PERL other than on the occasions at Langley Field and Cleveland, as previously stated. He advised that the only associates of PERL he knew at Hampton, Virginia were the fellow employees at NACA, Langley Field, and that he could not now recall any particular persons as close associates of PERL other than he and Mrs. JONES.

JONES stated that he recalls an incident, while employed at Langley Field, when a document charged out to Mr. SOULE was lost. He stated that he remembers that everyone was asked to make a thorough search of their desks and papers for the document. He could not recall the date or year when this incident occurred, nor could he recall the contents or appearance of the document.

JONES advised that he does remember that he felt no particular responsibility for the loss of this document, as it had been charged
to Mr. SOULE. He stated that he is sure that he must of seen the
document "Guided Missiles: Development, Status and Availability," as he
was working on that project; however, does not how have any
independent recollection of the document or particular circumstances
concerning its use prior to the time it was lost.

JONES stated that he does not believe that he unknowingly
or mistakenly picked up the document among his papers or carried it
to another office or city. He advised that he has no reason to believe
that he had taken the document on his trip to another place and left
it by mistake. He stated he realized that it was a possibility that
he or someone else may have mistakenly taken the document, but that
he has no reason to believe that this was done; and would have no
idea who may have taken the document or where it may have been left.

JONES advised that he was not acquainted with any of the
following individuals:

MICHAEL and ANN SIDOROVICH
MAX and HILDA BLITZER
ALFRED and LOUISE SARANT
MORTON and HELEN SOBELOFF
ETHEL and JULIUS ROSENBERG
JOEL BARG
VIVIAN GLASSMAN
ANDREI I. SCHIWCHENKO

Photographs of the above individuals were exhibited to JONES, and
he advised he was not familiar with any of the photographs other
than to say he recognized the photographs of the ROSENBERGS as he had
seen them in the newspaper, and thought the one of VIVIAN GLASSMAN
looked familiar. He stated he had no idea who VIVIAN GLASSMAN is or
why her photograph looked familiar.

JONES advised that PERL at no time requested any unauthorized
information from him, and that he had no information which would
indicate PERL or any other person was engaged in espionage on behalf of
the Soviet Union or any other country.

Mrs. JONES was interviewed at her residence at 840 Lincoln,
Palo Alto, California, on March 11, 1952 by SAS WAYNE K. WELCH and
PETER J. HOPKINS.
Mrs. JONES advised that she first met WILLIAM PERL in about 1939 shortly after PERL came to work for NACA at the Langley Memorial Aeronautical Laboratory, Langley Field, Hampton, Virginia. She stated she thought that she first met PERL at work, as she recollects that she and PERL each worked on a phase of the same problem shortly after PERL's arrival at Langley. She advised that although they were acquainted at work her primary association with PERL was of a social nature.

Mrs. JONES said they, she and PERL, "ran around" in social groups consisting entirely of persons employed in NACA. She advised she and PERL dated each other for several months, and they dated quite frequently for some time. She said that she was never overly attracted to PERL, but that he was "rushing her." She said that she respected PERL and considered him a brilliant man at his work, but socially as a companion she considered him quite immature and naive and, therefore, somewhat of a bore. She explained this by advising that PERL was completely wrapped up in his work, and when in social affairs was always thinking of his work and wanted to discuss it. She stated this was not done in any breach of security regulations, as all the people with whom PERL associated worked at the NACA and like PERL were cleared to handle security information.

Mrs. JONES advised that her own close social association with PERL was discontinued about two years or so before PERL left Langley Field; however, she and PERL still associated in the same social group which consisted entirely of fellow employees at Langley Field. Mrs. JONES said that none of the scientists at Langley had anything in common with the people at Hampton, Virginia, therefore, had never had any social contact or friendship among the town people. Regarding other persons in this group, Mrs. JONES recalled that her husband, ROBERT JONES, SAM KATZOFF and MILTON KLEIN were PERL's friends and companions at Langley Field. She believed KLEIN is now working for NACA at Cleveland, Ohio. She was unable to recall the names of any other persons in this group. Mrs. JONES said she did not know too much of PERL's activities except he seemed completely absorbed in his work, and had no other interest. She recalled he had an apartment or room 'downtown' Hampton, Virginia, but could not recall the street or address. She said the one impressionable thing about the apartment which she could remember was that PERL had built a phonograph in the closet of his room. She said that she had observed nothing indicating
PERL was interested in anything other than his work, as he never seemed interested in political or international affairs, and was never interested in any discussions in these matters. She advised that after she ceased dating PERL, he dated another girlfriend whose name she could not recall, but whom she remembered as being red haired. PERL also, according to Mrs. JONES, dated Mrs. JONES' roommate, LOUISE MOSS. She stated Miss MOSS presently resides at the Roger Williams Hotel, 28 E. 31st Street, New York City. Mrs. JONES could not recall any other friends of PERL. She advised that PERL's time was presently spent in his work, and that his principal means of relaxation seemed to be in playing handball.

Mrs. JONES said she cannot now recall just when PERL left Langley Field, and she does not know where he went from Langley Field.

Mrs. JONES said she did not see PERL again until she met him in Los Angeles, California, at the end of 1945, at the time Mrs. JONES was working in Los Angeles and PERL had come to Los Angeles for a continued study at the California Institute of Technology. Mrs. JONES said that for a period of three or four months, possibly until March, 1946, she saw PERL four or five times at the most. She advised, while there, she met PERL's wife, and has no information concerning her, having only seen her on one or two occasions. Mrs. JONES said she visited at the Perl apartment only once. At this time, she advised it was her impression that PERL was devoting all his time to his studies, and was not engaged in other activities. She advised it was her impression that PERL had no friends or associates in Los Angeles at that time. She advised that prior to her meeting HETTIE PERL, wife of WILLIAM PERL, in Los Angeles, she had never seen her before.

Mrs. JONES said that she and her husband had had no personal contact with Mr. PERL since their marriage in 1946; however, sometime ago they did receive a letter from PERL. She could not recall just when they received this letter, and stated it was perhaps a year or so ago.

In this letter, according to Mrs. JONES, PERL said he was being investigated regarding possible Communist sympathy on his part, and that his wife was being accused of having made statements
sympathetic to the Soviet regime and Russia. She advised, according to the letter, because of this the NACA was investigating PERL and his wife for possible Communist sympathies. PERL, in the letter, requested an affidavit from Mrs. JONES that would advise that Mrs. PERL had not shown or expressed sympathy to the Soviet Union or Communism. Mrs. JONES said she did not write this affidavit, that she had only met Mrs. PERL in Los Angeles and did not know enough about her to comment in any way. Another reason, according to Mrs. JONES, for her not writing this affidavit was, at the time, both she and Mr. JONES were under investigation and had been suspended from working on or having access to any classified material at NACA, Aeronautical Laboratory. She said investigation of them also had to do with unfounded allegations that she and her husband had Communist sentiments. She advised because of the position of the JONESES themselves, at that time, they were not in any position to write an affidavit to testify to the loyalty of another person. She advised that she had written PERL explaining this to him.

Mrs. JONES advised that she is well aware that PERL is to be tried for perjury. She said that to her knowledge of the reasons for his being so tried is limited to what she has read in the newspaper, and from information written to her from friends in New York. She said that as she understood it, PERL is being tried because he stated he did not remember MORTON COBEL1, when PERL supposedly had attended school at the same time as SOBELL. Mrs. JONES advised that she had received a couple of letters from friends of PERL asking for financial assistance to raise necessary bail money for PERL. She stated she received such letters from HERBERT RIBNER and HERBERT PASS. She advised they requested this money be sent to HAROLD SHAMES, 1568 Macombs Road, the Bronx, New York City. She advised, however, that they, the JONESES, never sent any money because they received a subsequent letter that the funds were not now needed. Mrs. JONES said that RIBNER is working for NACA at Cleveland, and that PASS resides at 6773 Westward Beach Road, California. Mrs. JONES said that in letters requesting funds, there was not much information regarding facts of PERL's case, and SHAMES was supposed to give any necessary information. Mrs. JONES said she also had heard that PERL was being implicated because of the apartment he sublet in New York. She stated she could not recall just where or when she had learned about the apartmenthouse, but she heard the situation was very unfair to PERL. Regarding this apartment, Mrs. JONES explained that it was her understanding it had occurred when PERL was attending Columbia University.
She advised that at the time housing was difficult to obtain, and PERL was fortunate to get an apartment that was sublet; and PERL did not know the person through whom he was to sublet the apartment, but leased the apartment through a third person. She said that she understood that PERL had not met the person from whom the apartment was sublet but rather did all his business through a third person. She said that PERL did not even pay his rent to the original renter but to the third person or some other designated person or agency. According to Mrs. JONES, this original renter, the one who sublet the apartment but whom PERL does not know, was involved in or accused of some type of activity which caused a question as to this person’s national allegiance. She stated PERL now is being accused of disloyalty when in reality it is the person who allowed the apartment to be sublet who should be accused, and it is her understanding that the original renter is not known to PERL. Mrs. JONES does not know the address of this apartment, but understood it to be in Greenwich Village, New York City. She stated she could not now recall any more information regarding this incident, nor how she came by this information.

Mrs. JONES denied knowing any of the following individuals:

MICHAEL and ANN SIDOROVICH
MAX and HELENE BLITCHER
ALFRED and LOUISE SARANT
MORTON and HELEN SOBEL
ETHEL and JULIUS ROSENBERG
JOEL BARR
VIVIAN GLASSMAN
ANDREI I. SCHENKHE

Photographs of the above with the exception of VIVIAN GLASSMAN and ANDREI I. SCHENKHE were exhibited to Mrs. JONES, and she advised that she did not know any of the persons except that she recognized the ROSENBERGS as having seen their pictures in the newspaper.

Regarding her husband’s association with PERL, Mrs. JONES advised that her husband was acquainted with PERL at Langley Field, however, this association was primary social. She advised that Mr. JONES has told her that he saw PERL in Cleveland after PERL went there from Langley Field. She stated that he had seen PERL on one or two occasions. She recalled that Mr. JONES had told her shortly after PERL was married at Cleveland, he went to PERL's apartment where
he met PERL's wife. She advised to her knowledge Mrs. JONES had had no other contact with PERL, and has not seen PERL since the JONESES were married in 1946.

Mrs. JONES said she has no information regarding PERL or PERL's associates engaged in any activity inimical to the United States. She said none of PERL's activities were to obtain information or data in which he would not have in line with work or study. She stated she had no information that PERL may have been disloyal to this country or no reason which might indicate that PERL may have committed perjury.

The San Francisco files contain no information identifiable with LOUISE MOSS, HERBERT S. NIBNER.

HAROLD SHAMES and MILTON M. KLEIN have previously been identified as fellow employees of PERL at NACA, Cleveland, Ohio.

SAM KATZOFF has previously been identified as a fellow employee of PERL at NACA at Langley Field.
He also advised that he recalls discussing the JB-2 bomb at the Cleveland laboratory, but does not recall if it was on the same trip that he visited at PERL's apartment. He stated that he does not know if he saw PERL in Cleveland on the same trip as at the time he visited JACK and HINTZ Co., but thinks it is likely that it was on this trip as he was in Cleveland for two or three days. He advised that this would account for why he would have time to visit PERL's apartment, as normally he was not in Cleveland more than one day.

JONES advised that he would not have discussed his work on stability with PERL, but if he discussed anything with PERL it would have been on mathematical theory or aerodynamics. He also stated he does not now recall having seen PERL anywhere but at his apartment in Cleveland, but that he must have seen him at the laboratory.

JONES advised that he does not recall the length of time he was in Cleveland on any particular trip, but does recall on the occasion of the visit of JACK and HINTZ Co., he was in Cleveland for several days. He stated he could not remember dates or any specific circumstances surrounding them. He also advised he does not know where he might have gone after a trip to Cleveland, but may have gone to Wright Field or returned to Langley Field. He stated he was at the command of the Special Weapons Unit and went wherever they directed him to go.

JONES advised he does not recall ever having discussed with PERL the jet motor unit, the launching mechanism or production plans of the JB-2 bomb, and stated it would be very unlikely that he would have had such a discussion with PERL.
JONES advised that he has never heard of the name ANDRÉI SCHENKENKO and does not recall meeting anyone with PENL other than his wife.

JONES further stated that he was not aware of any Russian nationalists having access to any information relative to the JB-2 project.

The files of the San Francisco Office contain no information identifiable with FASTMAN JACOBS.
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SYNOPSIS OF FACTS:

DAVID GREENGLASS, inmate, Federal Penitentiary, Lewisburg, Pa., stated photographs and names of ANDREI SCHEVCHENKO, JOSEPH BAUER and HAROLD SMELTZER were unfamiliar to him. He knew of no contacts of JULIUS ROSENBERG at Republic Aviation Corporation, East Farmingdale, N.Y., and knew of no interests by ROSENBERG concerning guided missiles in 1944.

DETAILS: AT LEWISBURG, PA.

DAVID GREENGLASS, a convicted Soviet Agent, who is an inmate at the Federal Penitentiary at Lewisburg, Pa., was interviewed on July 30, 1953 by SA WAYNE G. HUNT. Photographs of ANDREI SCHEVCHENKO, JOSEPH BAUER and HAROLD SMELTZER were exhibited to GREENGLASS, who advised that he could not recall ever having seen any of these individuals and that the names of these individuals were altogether unfamiliar to him. He stated that he has no knowledge of any contacts that JULIUS ROSENBERG may have had at the Republic Aviation Corporation, East Farmingdale, N.Y., and stated that he is unable to recall that ROSENBERG expressed any interest in guided missiles in 1944. GREENGLASS pointed out that he only saw ROSENBERG on two occasions in 1944 and that was in June 1944 in New York City when he was home on furlough. He stated he did not know of any espionage activities on the part of ROSENBERG until November 1944.
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SYNOPSIS OF FACTS:

On 1/18/51 Confidential Informant T-1 advised that JULIUS ROSENBERG indicated to him that there were two Soviet espionage units operating in the New York area. ROSENBERG headed one and the other group was headed by two men. From information furnished by T-1 and other investigation reported herein, these two men are believed identical with JOEL HARR and ALFRED SARANT.

On 3/27/51 T-1 advised that JULIUS ROSENBERG has indicated that, among others, he has traveled to Ithaca, New York, in connection with his espionage operations. ROSENBERG indicated to T-1 that there is a woman in Ithaca, NY, named SARANT who was an active Russian agent and further that her husband is now in Europe. These individuals undoubtedly are identical with LOUISE ROSS SARANT and ALFRED SARANT. EDWARD OTTO LINDEMANN interviewed on 1/13/51. He possessed a dark room, photographic equipment and two cameras capable of document photography while residing at 60 and 53 Morton Street, NYC. Denies knowing ALFRED and LOUISE SARANT, JOEL HARR, JULIUS ROSENBERG and others believed involved in the ROSENBERG espionage.

PROPERTY OF FBI—THIS CONFIDENTIAL REPORT AND ITS CONTENTS ARE LOANED TO YOU BY THE FBI AND ARE NOT TO BE DISTRIBUTED OUTSIDE OF AGENCY TO WHICH LOANED.
parallel. LINDERMAN advised that he has never owned a Leica camera. Chief USA LATE, SDNY, advised on 2/6/51 that LINDERMAN will be called as a witness before the Federal Grand Jury, SDNY, at completion of ROSENBERG, ET AL., trial.
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DETAILS:

I. INFORMATION INDICATING THE UNITING OF JOEL FARE AND ALFRED SABANT IN SOVIET ESPIONAGE

On January 18, 1951, Confidential Informant T-1, of known reliability, advised that JULIUS ROSENBERG had told him that there were two Soviet espionage units operating in the New York area. ROSENBERG indicated that he headed one group and the other group was headed by two men. One of these two men is presently in Europe. ROSENBERG stated that when this man, now in Europe, left the United States, he did sail against everyone's instructions, so that if ROSENBERG had not given the o.k. for him to leave he would not have been permitted to depart for Europe. ROSENBERG indicated that this man left the United States because he wanted to work more directly with the Soviet government.

The informant stated that ROSENBERG indicated that one of these men was in Europe at the time of his arrest (July 17, 1950) and the other one fled the United States subsequent to his arrest. Confidential Informant T-1 further advised that Bureau Agents (FBI) had visited the aged mother of one of the two men to question her about her son and individuals with whom he was associated.

Regarding the two men who allegedly headed the other unit mentioned by ROSENBERG, it is to be noted that these
two men fit the descriptions of JOEL PARR and ALFRED SARANT inasmuch as PARR was in Europe at the time of ROSENBERG'S arrest. It is also noted that SARANT left the United States approximately two weeks after the arrest of ROSENBERG.

Mrs. REBECCA PARR, 241 West 97th Street, New York City, the aged mother of JOEL PARR, was interviewed by SAS DOUGLAS P. WHITE and FREDERICK C. BAUCKHAM on August 7, 1950. Subsequently, ARTHUR BARR, brother of JOEL PARR, was interviewed by SAS FREDERICK C. BAUCKHAM and ROBERT L. STEVENS after having first refused an interview pending advice of counsel.

On January 26, 1951, ARTHUR BARR advised SAS BAUCKHAM and ROBERT F. ROYAL that he and his wife, ROSE BARR, had dinner with VIVIAN GLASSMAN on an undisclosed date (later determined to be August 4, 1950), at which time they discussed the interview of Mrs. REBECCA BARR. It would appear that information resulting from the BARR's contact with VIVIAN GLASSMAN was forwarded to JULIUS ROSENBERG, possibly through his attorney, thus accounting for part of the information furnished to our informant.

II. INFORMATION RE LOCATION OF ALFRED SARANT AND ESPIONAGE ACTIVITIES ON THE PART OF LOUISE ROSS SARANT

On March 22, 1951, Confidential Informant 7-1, mentioned previously, stated that JULIUS ROSENBERG told him that, among others, he had made trips to Ithaca, New York, in connection with his espionage endeavors. ROSENBERG stated that there is a woman in Ithaca, New York, named SARANT who was an active Russian agent and that her husband is now in Europe. The SARANT woman is undoubtedly LOUISE ROSS SARANT and her husband would then be ALFRED SARANT.

It is recalled that ALFRED SARANT and CAROL DOROTHY DAYTON entered Mexico on August 9, 1950, and apparently proceeded.
to Mexico City, arriving there on or about August 13, 1950. From the information furnished by Confidential Informant I-1 it would appear that SARANT and possibly CARTO have made their way from Mexico to Europe subsequent to their arrival in Mexico City.

III. INTERVIEW OF EDWARD OTTO LINDEMANN

On January 13, 1951, EDWARD OTTO LINDEMANN, Apartment 6D5, 32 Monroe Street, New York City, was interviewed in the presence of his wife, LOUISE SFRCT, by Spy JAMES F. LEF and ROBERT F. ROYAL.

LINDEMANN advised that he had formerly resided at 60 and 58 Morton Street, New York City, prior to his marriage to LOUISE SFRCT in September, 1947, and has lived at 32 Monroe Street, New York City, since the date of his marriage. He indicated that he resided at 60 Morton Street from approximately 1941 to 1943 and at 58 Morton Street from approximately 1943 to 1947. He stated that he is a commercial photographer by occupation; however, he declined to furnish the name and location of his current employment other than to state that he is employed as a photographer at an out-of-town location.

Photographs of ALFRED and LOUISE SARANT were exhibited to LINDEMANN. He denied ever having known either of these individuals. He specifically denied that LOUISE SARANT had ever resided or rented a room in his apartment at 60 or 58 Morton Street; that he had ever sent an announcement of his marriage to the SARANTS; or had any contact with them whatsoever in the past. He stated that he had never been to the SARANTS' apartment at 65 Morton Street, New York City.

It is to be recalled that the address "ROSS HOUSE, 60 Morton Street, WA-5-3666" appeared in ALFRED and LOUISE SARANT'S small address book. Mrs. SARANT stated that this was her address prior to her marriage and that she had formerly resided in one room of an apartment rented by EDWARD LINDEMANN at 60 Morton Street, New York City. Investigation has reflected
that telephone number WA-5-3686 was that of EDWARD LINDEMANN while he resided at 60 Morton Street, New York City. Also located in an authorized search of the SARANTs' residence at Ithaca, New York, was a wedding announcement for the LINDEMANNs and on the reverse side of this announcement was an inscription which read as follows:

"Louise and Slim
Hi--May the bolds of the air drop
Manna on thy brows"

Mrs. SARANT has recently been interviewed by Agents of the Albany Office concerning her association with LINDEMANN. She stated that she rented a room in an apartment leased by LINDEMANN at 60 Morton Street, New York City, for approximately six months in the early part of 1945. Mrs. SARANT declined to furnish a signed statement with regard to LINDEMANN but stated that she would be perfectly willing to testify concerning her knowledge of him. When asked if she would furnish the statement, she said that a statement from LINDEMANN to the effect that he never knew her would carry more weight than any statement on her part. Mrs. SARANT advised that LINDEMANN, whom she knew as SLIM, was also acquainted with her husband, ALFRED SARANT, but to her knowledge he never met JOEL BARR, WILLIAM PERL, HENRIETTA SAVIDGE, VIVIAN GLASSMAN or JULIUS and ETHEL ROSENBERG.

The Albany Office has also advised the New York Office that the SARANTs received a letter postmarked December 10, 1946, at their 422 Eddy Street, Ithaca, New York, address. This letter had the return address of 60 Morton Street, New York 14, New York. This letter contained what may be termed an obscene caricature and is quoted as follows:

"It's a boy at the Sarants! Hoo-rah! Hoo-rah!
Let the walkin ring!
Signed Slim."

The following is added: "The next time a female--yea verily--next time a female!"

From the information set forth it is apparent that LINDEMANN knows the SARANTs.
NY 65-15479

LINDEMANN indicated that he had a dark room while residing at both 60 and 58 Morton Street, New York City, and a photographic studio there. He indicated that his photographic equipment consisted of two cameras, one a 1" by 5" view finder type and the other a miniature type camera which used roll film. He stated that he could not off hand recall the names of these cameras; however, he did indicate that the miniature camera was not a Leica camera. He stated that he had enlarging and developing equipment; however, when pressed for specific information pertaining to his equipment, he became recalcitrant and the interview reverted back to what LINDEMANN believed to be the Bureau's purpose in interviewing him.

At this point in the interview he was asked specifically how he disposed of his apartment at 58 Morton Street, New York City, and whether he had ever returned to this apartment since the date he moved. He stated that he had relinquished the apartment and that he was not acquainted with the current tenant of the apartment. He advised that he had never returned to this apartment since the date he had moved from it in September, 1947. He stated that he did not know anyone by the name of DANIEL NEUBURG from Staten Island, New York, and that he had not sublet his apartment at 58 Morton Street. He did indicate that he had shared his apartment at 60 Morton Street with some unknown individual; however, he declined to furnish the name of this individual.

Investigation has reflected that LINDEMANN sublet his apartment at 58 Morton Street, New York City, to Mr. and Mrs. DANIEL NEUBURG without the landlord's permission.

Subsequent to inquiry by SA LEO H. FRUTKIN in October, 1950, Mrs. BETTY TRACY, 58 Morton Street, New York City, advised SA FRUTKIN that on October 22, 1950, she saw LINDEMANN removing by automobile some photographic equipment from 58 Morton Street. This equipment consisted of enamel pans, light stands and a paper cutter. She advised that the NEUBURGS are friends of LINDEMANN and that they came from Staten Island, New York.

LINDEMANN was unable to identify photographs of JULIUS and ETHEL ROSENBERG, DAVID and RUTH OSTROGLASS, JOEL BARR and others involved in the ROSENBERG investigation.
It is to be noted that LINDEMANN attempted to give a good appearance and to be cooperative in this inquiry for appearance sake only. However, it is believed that he was completely uncooperative inasmuch as the answers he gave are contrary to established facts. It is believed that LINDEMANN did not identify the SARANS as former friends possibly due to the fear of their having involved him in Communist Party activity.

LINDEMANN's wife stated that she did not know the SARANS and that she was positive that everyone who received their wedding announcement was a mutual friend. Mrs. LINDEMANN was unable to identify any of the photographs exhibited to her. She exhibited more tact in dealing with the interviewing agents; however, it is believed that this was also for appearance sake.

In addition to the information previously reported concerning the LINDEMANN, the following was noted in the "Daily Worker," an East Coast Communist newspaper, dated August 17, 1949, on page 5, column 1:

This article was entitled, "F Buckley" and indicated that 100 New York writers and artists yesterday demanded immediate freedom for HENRY WATSON, GILBERT GREEN, and Gus Hall. Among the signers were Lawrence E. Fauth, alias of EDWARD OTTO LINDEMANN, and one LOUISE LINDEMANN. It is believed that inasmuch as the LINDEMANN consider themselves as both writers and artists and that both of their names appeared on the same list, these individuals are identical with Mr. and Mrs. EDWARD OTTO LINDEMANN.
This investigation has developed that SARANT had a dark room, complete photographic equipment, but no Leica camera. However, JOFL BARR had a Leica camera. In addition it has been definitely established that JULIUS ROSENBERG was a contact of JOFL BARR and SARANT and that these two were members of the same Communist Party branch. BARR and SARANT resided together at 65 Morton Street, New York City, and they have done photographic work together and have been involved in Soviet espionage together.

On February 6, 1951, MYLES J. LANE, Chief Assistant United States Attorney, Southern District of New York, advised SA WILLIAM F. BORTON that he intends to subpoena LINDHANNE for appearance before the Federal Grand Jury scheduled to reconvene at the completion of the JULIUS ROSENBERG, ET AL, espionage trial.
Copies of this report are being furnished to the Los Angeles, San Francisco and Washington Field Offices for Bureau instructions and the Albany Office is being furnished a copy for information inasmuch as that office is origin in the "ALFRED SARATT: ESPIONAGE - R" investigation.
CONFIDENTIAL INFORMANT PAGE

The Confidential Informant mentioned in the report of SA ROBERT F. ROYAL, dated APR 5 1951 at New York, is identified as follows:

T-1

JEROME FUGITIVE TARTAKOW contacted by SA JOHN A. HARRINGTON and WILLIAM F. NOOTEN on January 19, 1951, and by SA ARMAND A. CAMMAROTA on March 22, 1951. TARTAKOW is an inmate at the Federal House of Detention, New York City, and is now a cellmate of JULIUS ROSENBERG.

On 1/18/51 Confidential Informant T-1 advised that JULIUS ROSENBERG indicated to him that there were two Soviet espionage units operating in the New York area. ROSENBERG headed one and the other group was headed by two men. From information furnished by T-1 and other investigation reported herein, these two men are believed identical with JOEL BARR and ALFRED SARANT.

On 3/27/51 T-1 advised that JULIUS ROSENBERG has indicated that, among others, he has traveled to Ithaca, New York, in connection with his espionage operations. ROSENBERG indicated to T-1 that there is a woman in Ithaca, NY, named SARANT who was an active Russian agent and further that her husband is now in Europe. These individuals undoubtedly are identical with LOUISE ROSS SARANT and ALFRED SARANT. EDWARD OTTO LINDemann interviewed on 1/13/51. He possessed a dark room, photographic equipment and two cameras capable of document photography while residing at 60 and 59 Morton Street, NYC. Denies knowing ALFRED and LOUISE SARANT, JOEL BARR, JULIUS ROSENBERG and others believed involved in the ROSENBERG espionage.
DETAILS:

I. INFORMATION INDICATING THE UNITING OF JOEL BARR AND ALFRED SARANT IN SOVIET ESPIONAGE

On January 18, 1951, Confidential Informant T-1, of known reliability, advised that JULIUS ROSENBERG had told him that there were two Soviet espionage units operating in the New York area. ROSENBERG indicated that he headed one group and the other group was headed by two men. One of these two men is presently in Europe. ROSENBERG stated that when this man, now in Europe, left the United States, he did so against everyone's instructions, so that if ROSENBERG had not given the o.k. for him to leave he would not have been permitted to depart for Europe. ROSENBERG indicated that this man left the United States because he wanted to work more directly with the Soviet government.

The informant stated that ROSENBERG indicated that one of these men was in Europe at the time of his arrest (July 17, 1950) and the other one fled the United States subsequent to his arrest. Confidential Informant T-1 further advised that Bureau Agents (FBI) had visited the aged mother of one of the two men to question her about her son and individuals with whom he was associated.

Regarding the two men who allegedly headed the other unit mentioned by ROSENBERG, it is to be noted that these.
two men fit the descriptions of JOEL FARR and ALFRED SARANT inasmuch as FARR was in Europe at the time of ROSENBERG'S arrest. It is also noted that SARANT left the United States approximately two weeks after the arrest of ROSENBERG.

MRS. REBECCA FARR, 241 West 97th Street, New York City, the aged mother of JOEL FARR, was interviewed by SAS DOUGLAS P. WHITE and FREDERICK C. BAUCKHAM on August 3, 1950. Subsequently, ARTHUR BARR, brother of JOEL BARR, was interviewed by SAS FREDERICK C. BAUCKHAM and ROBERT L. STEVENSON after having first refused an interview pending advice of counsel.

On January 26, 1951, ARTHUR BARR advised SAS BAUCKHAM and ROBERT P. ROYAL that he and his wife, ROSE BARR, had dinner with VIVIAN GLASSMAN on an undisclosed date (later determined to be August 4, 1950), at which time they discussed the interview of MRS. REBECCA BARR. It would appear that information resulting from the BARRS' contact with VIVIAN GLASSMAN was forwarded to JULIUS ROSENBERG, possibly through his attorney, thus accounting for part of the information furnished to our informant.

II. INFORMATION RE LOCATION OF ALFRED SARANT AND ESPIONAGE ACTIVITIES OF THE PART OF LOUISE ROSS SARANT

On March 22, 1951, Confidential Informant T-1, mentioned previously, stated that JULIUS ROSENBERG told him that, among others, he had made trips to Ithaca, New York, in connection with his espionage endeavors. ROSENBERG stated that there is a woman in Ithaca, New York, named SARANT who was an active Russian agent and that her husband is now in Europe. The SARANT woman is undoubtedly LOUISE ROSS SARANT and her husband would then be ALFRED SARANT.

It is recalled that ALFRED SARANT and CAROL DOROTHY DAYTON entered Mexico on August 9, 1950, and apparently proceeded
to Mexico City, arriving there on or about August 13, 1950. From the information furnished by Confidential Informant T-1 it would appear that SARANT and possibly PAYTON have made their way from Mexico to Europe subsequent to their arrival in Mexico City.

III. INTERVIEW OF EDWARD OTTO LINDEMANN

On January 13, 1951, EDWARD OTTO LINDEMANN, Apartment B5, 32 Monroe Street, New York City, was interviewed in the presence of his wife, LOUISE SEROT, by SAS JAMES P. LEF and ROBERT F. ROYAL.

LINDEMANN advised that he had formerly resided at 60 and 58 Morton Street, New York City, prior to his marriage to LOUISE SEROT in September, 1947, and has lived at 32 Monroe Street, New York City, since the date of his marriage. He indicated that he resided at 60 Morton Street from approximately 1941 to 1943 and at 58 Morton Street from approximately 1943 to 1947. He stated that he is a commercial photographer by occupation; however, he declined to furnish the name and location of his current employment other than to state that he is employed as a photographer at an out-of-town location.

Photographs of ALFRED and LOUISE SARANT were exhibited to LINDEMANN. He denied ever having known either of these individuals. He specifically denied that LOUISE SARANT had ever resided or rented a room in his apartment at 60 or 58 Morton Street; that he had ever sent an announcement of his marriage to the SARANTS, or had any contact with them whatsoever in the past. He stated that he had never been to the SARANTS' apartment at 65 Morton Street, New York City.

It is to be recalled that the address "SUSS ROSS, 60 Morton Street, WA-5-3686" appeared in ALFRED and LOUISE SARANT's small address book. Mrs. SARANT stated that this was her address prior to her marriage and that she had formerly resided in one room of an apartment rented by EDWARD LINDEMANN at 60 Morton Street, New York City. Investigation has reflected
that telephone number WA-5-1686 was that of EDWARD LINDEMANN while he resided at 60 Morton Street, New York City. Also located in an authorized search of the SARANTS' residence at Ithaca, New York, was a wedding announcement for the LINDEMANNS and on the reverse side of this announcement was an inscription which read as follows:

"Louise and Slim
Hi!—May the boids of the air drop
Manna on thy brows"

Mrs. SARANT has recently been interviewed by Agents of the Albany Office concerning her association with LINDEMANN. She stated that she rented a room in an apartment leased by LINDEMANN at 60 Morton Street, New York City, for approximately six months in the early part of 1945. Mrs. SARANT declined to furnish a signed statement with regard to LINDEMANN but stated that she would be perfectly willing to testify concerning her knowledge of him. When asked if she would furnish the statement, she said that a statement from LINDEMANN to the effect that he never knew her would carry more weight than any statement on her part. Mrs. SARANT advised that LINDEMANN, whom she knew as SLIM, was also acquainted with her husband, ALFRED SARANT, but to her knowledge he never met JOEL RAPP, WILLIAM PERRL, HENRIETTA SAYIDGE, VIVIAN GLASSMAN or JULIUS and ETHEL ROSENBERG.

The Albany Office has also advised the New York Office that the SARANTS received a letter postmarked December 10, 1946, at their 422 Eddy Street, Ithaca, New York, address. This letter had the return address of 60 Morton Street, New York 14, New York. This letter contained what may be termed an obscene caricature and is quoted as follows:

"It's a boy at the Sarants! Hoo-rah! Hoo-rah!
Let the welkin ring!! Signed Slim."

The following is added: "The next time a female—yea verily—next time a female!"

From the information set forth it is apparent that LINDEMANN knows the SARANTS.
LINDENMANN indicated that he had a dark room while residing at both 60 and 58 Morton Street, New York City, and a photographic studio there. He indicated that his photographic equipment consisted of two cameras, one a 1" by 5" viewfinder type and the other a miniature type camera which used roll film. He stated that he could not offhand recall the names of these cameras; however, he did indicate that the miniature camera was not a Leica camera. He stated that he had enlarging and developing equipment; however, when pressed for specific information pertaining to his equipment, he became recalcitrant and the interview reverted back to what LINDENMANN believed to be the Bureau's purpose in interviewing him.

At this point in the interview he was asked specifically how he disposed of his apartment at 58 Morton Street, New York City, and whether he had ever returned to this apartment since the date he moved. He stated that he had relinquished the apartment and that he was not acquainted with the current tenant of the apartment. He advised that he had never returned to this apartment since the date he had moved from it in September, 1947. He stated that he did not know anyone by the name of PAMELLE KOBURG from Staten Island, New York, and that he had not sublet his apartment at 58 Morton Street. He did indicate that he had shared his apartment at 60 Morton Street with some unknown individual; however, he declined to furnish the name of this individual.

Investigation has reflected that LINDENMANN sublet his apartment at 58 Morton Street, New York City, to Mr. and Mrs. PAUL KOBURG without the landlord's permission.

Subsequent to inquiry by SA LEO H. FRUTKIN in October, 1950, Mrs. BETTY TRACEY, 58 Morton Street, New York City, advised SA FRUTKIN that on October 22, 1950, she saw LINDENMANN removing by automobile some photographic equipment from 58 Morton Street. This equipment consisted of enameled cans, light stands and a paper cutter. She advised that the KOBURGS are friends of LINDENMANN and that they came from Staten Island, New York.

LINDENMANN was unable to identify photographs of JULIUS and ETHEL ROSENBERG, DAVID and RUTH GREENGAL, JOEL BARR and others involved in the ROSENBERG investigation.
It is to be noted that LINDEMANN attempted to give a good appearance and to be cooperative in this inquiry for appearance sake only. However, it is believed that he was completely uncooperative inasmuch as the answers he gave are contrary to established facts. It is believed that LINDEMANN did not identify the SARANTS as former friends possibly due to the fear of their having involved him in Communist Party activity.

LINDEMANN'S wife stated that she did not know the SARANTS and that she was positive that everyone who received their wedding announcement was a mutual friend. Mrs. LINDEMANN was unable to identify any of the photographs exhibited to her. She exhibited more tact in dealing with the interviewing agents; however, it is believed that this was also for appearance sake.

In addition to the information previously reported concerning the LINDEMANNS, the following was noted in the "Daily Worker," an East Coast Communist newspaper, dated August 17, 1941, on page 5, column 1:

This article was entitled, "Free Winston" Panners Ring Detroit Building" and indicated that 100 New York writers and artists yesterday demanded immediate freedom for HENRY WINSTON, GILBERT GREEN and GUS HALL. Among the signers were LAWRENCE E. BARTH, an alias of EDWARD OTTO LINDEMANN, and one LOUISE LINDEMANN. It is believed that inasmuch as the LINDEMANNS consider themselves as both writers and artists and that both of their names appeared on the same list, these individuals are identical with Mr. and Mrs. EDWARD OTTO LINDEMANN.
This investigation has developed that SARANT had a dark room, complete photographic equipment, but no Leica camera. However, JOEL BARR had a Leica camera. In addition it has been definitely established that JULIUS ROSENBERG was a contact of JOEL BARR and SARANT and that these two were members of the same Communist Party branch. BARR and SARANT resided together at 65 Morton Street, New York City, and they have done photographic work together and have been involved in Soviet espionage together.

On February 6, 1951, MYLES J. LANE, Chief Assistant United States Attorney, Southern District of New York, advised SA WILLIAM F. MORTON that he intends to subpoena LINDBANK for appearance before the Federal Grand Jury scheduled to reconvene at the completion of the JULIUS ROSENBERG, ET AL, espionage trial.
Copies of this report are being furnished to the Los Angeles, San Francisco and Washington Field Offices for Bureau instructions and the Albany Office is being furnished a copy for information inasmuch as that office is origin in the "ALFRED SARANT, ESPIONAGE - R" investigation.

CLOSED
CONFIDENTIAL INFORMANT PAGE

The Confidential Informant mentioned in the report of SA ROBERT P. ROYAL, dated APR 5, 1951, at New York, is identified as follows:

T-1

FROM EUGENE TARTAKOW contacted by SA JOHN A. HARRINGTON and WILLIAM T. BOSTON on January 18, 1951, and by SA ARMATO A. CAMPARRA on March 22, 1951. TARTAKOW is an inmate at the Federal House of Detention, New York City, and is now a cellmate of JULIUS ROSEBERG.

On 1/18/51 Confidential Informant T-1 advised that JULIUS ROSENBERG indicated to him that there were two Soviet espionage units operating in the New York area. ROSENBERG headed one and the other group was headed by two men. From information furnished by T-1 and other investigation reported herein, these two men are believed identical with JOEL BARR and ALFRED SARANT.

On 3/17/51 T-1 advised that ROSENBERG has indicated that, among others, he has traveled to Ithaca, New York, in connection with his espionage operations. ROSENBERG indicated to T-1 that there is a woman in Ithaca, NY, named SARANT who was an active Russian agent and further that her husband is now in Europe. These individuals undoubtedly are identical with LOUISE ROSE SARANT and ALFRED SARANT. EDWARD OTTO LINDBERG interviewed on 1/13/51. He possessed a dark room, photographic equipment and two cameras capable of document photography while residing at 60 and 53 Morton Street, NYC. Denies knowing ALFRED and LOUISE SARANT, JOEL BARR, JULIUS ROSENBERG and others believed involved in the ROSENBERG espionage
parallel. LINDEMANN advised that he has never owned a Leica camera. Chief AUSA LANE, SDNY, advised on 2/6/51 that LINDEMANN will be called as a witness before the Federal Grand Jury, SDNY, at completion of ROSENBERG, ET AL. trial.

DETAILS:

I. INFORMATION INDICATING THE UNITING OF JOEL EARR AND ALFRED SARANT IN SOVIET ESPIONAGE

On January 18, 1951, Confidential Informant T-1, of known reliability, advised that JULIUS ROSENBERG had told him that there were two Soviet espionage units operating in the New York area. ROSENBERG indicated that he headed one group and the other group was headed by two men. One of these two men is presently in Europe. ROSENBERG stated that when this man, now in Europe, left the United States, he did sail against everyone's instructions, so that if ROSENBERG had not given the o.k. for him to leave he would not have been permitted to depart for Europe. ROSENBERG indicated that this man left the United States because he wanted to work more directly with the Soviet government.

The informant stated that ROSENBERG indicated that one of these men was in Europe at the time of his arrest (July 17, 1950) and the other one fled the United States subsequent to his arrest. Confidential Informant T-1 further advised that Bureau Agents (FBI) had visited the aged mother of one of the two men to question her about her son and individuals with whom he was associated.

Regarding the two men who allegedly headed the other unit mentioned by ROSENBERG, it is to be noted that these
two men fit the descriptions of JOEL BARR and ALFRED SARANT, imasmuch as BARR was in Europe at the time of ROSENBERG’s arrest. It is also noted that SARANT left the United States approximately two weeks after the arrest of ROSENBERG.

Mrs. REBECCA BARR, 241 West 97th Street, New York City, the aged mother of JOEL BARR, was interviewed by SAS DOUGLAS P. WHITE and FREDERICK C. BAUCKHAM on August 3, 1950. Subsequently, ARTHUR BARR, brother of JOEL BARR, was interviewed by SAS FREDERICK C. BAUCKHAM and ROBERT L. STEVENSON after having first refused an interview pending advice of counsel.

On January 26, 1951, ARTHUR BARR advised SAS BAUCKHAM and ROBERT F. ROYAL that he and his wife, ROSE BARR, had dinner with VIVIAN GLASSMAN on an undisclosed date (later determined to be August 4, 1950), at which time they discussed the interview of Mrs. REBECCA BARR. It would appear that information resulting from the BARRS’ contact with VIVIAN GLASSMAN was forwarded to JULIUS ROSENBERG, possibly through his attorney, thus accounting for part of the information furnished to our informant.

II. INFORMATION RE LOCATION OF ALFRED SARANT AND SPIIONAGE ACTIVITIES ON THE PART OF LOUISE ROSS SARANT

On March 22, 1951, Confidential Informant T-1, mentioned previously, stated that JULIUS ROSENBERG told him that, among others, he had made trips to Ithaca, New York, in connection with his espionage endeavors. ROSENBERG stated that there is a woman in Ithaca, New York, named SARANT who was an active Russian agent and that her husband is now in Europe. The SARANT woman is undoubtedly LOUISE ROSS SARANT and her husband would then be ALFRED SARANT.

It is recalled that ALFRED SARANT and CAROL DOROTHY DAYTON entered Mexico on August 9, 1950, and apparently proceeded
to Mexico City, arriving there on or about August 13, 1950. From the information furnished by Confidential Informant I-1 it would appear that SARANT and possibly PAYTON have made their way from Mexico to Europe subsequent to their arrival in Mexico City.

III. INTERVIEW OF EDWARD OTTO LINDEMANN

On January 13, 1951, EDWARD OTTO LINDEMANN, Apartment 6D, 32 Monroe Street, New York City, was interviewed in the presence of his wife, LOUISE SEROT, by SAS JAMES P. LPI and ROBERT F. ROYAL.

LINDEMANN advised that he had formerly resided at 60 and 58 Morton Street, New York City, prior to his marriage to LOUISE SEROT in September, 1947, and has lived at 32 Monroe Street, New York City, since the date of his marriage. He indicated that he resided at 60 Morton Street from approximately 1941 to 1943 and at 58 Morton Street from approximately 1943 to 1947. He stated that he is a commercial photographer by occupation; however, he declined to furnish the name and location of his current employment other than to state that he is employed as a photographer at an out-of-town location.

Photographs of ALFRED and LOUISE SARANT were exhibited to LINDEMANN. He denied ever having known either of these individuals. He specifically denied that LOUISE SARANT had ever resided or rented a room in his apartment at 60 or 58 Morton Street; that he had ever sent an announcement of his marriage to the SARANTS; or had any contact with them whatsoever in the past. He stated that he had never been to the SARANTS' apartment at 68 Morton Street, New York City.

It is to be recalled that the address "FUSS ROSS, 60 Morton Street, WA-5-3686" appeared in ALFRED and LOUISE SARANT's small address book. Mrs. SARANT stated that this was her address prior to her marriage and that she had formerly resided in one room of an apartment rented by EDWARD LINDEMANN at 60 Morton Street, New York City. Investigation has reflected
that telephone number WA-5-1686 was that of EDWARD LINDEMANN while he resided at 60 Morton Street, New York City. Also, located in an authorized search of the SARANTs' residence at Ithaca, New York, was a wedding announcement for the LINDEMANNs and on the reverse side of this announcement was an inscription which read as follows:

"Louise and Slim
Hi!—May the boids of the air drop
Manna on thy brows"

Mrs. SARANT has recently been interviewed by agents of the Albany Office concerning her association with LINDEMANN. She stated that she rented a room in an apartment leased by LINDEMANN at 60 Morton Street, New York City, for approximately six months in the early part of 1945. Mrs. SARANT declined to furnish a signed statement with regard to LINDEMANN but stated that she would be perfectly willing to testify concerning her knowledge of him. When asked if she would furnish the statement, she said that a statement from LINDEMANN to the effect that he never knew her would carry more weight than any statement on her part. Mrs. SARANT advised that LINDEMANN, whom she knew as SLIM, was also acquainted with her husband, ALFRED SARANT, but to her knowledge he never met JOEL BARR, WILLIAM PERL, HENRIETTA SAVIDGE, VIVIAN GLASSMAN or JULIUS and ETHEL ROSENBERG.

The Albany Office has also advised the New York Office that the SARANTs received a letter postmarked December 10, 1946, at their 422 Eddy Street, Ithaca, New York, address. This letter had the return address of 60 Morton Street, New York 14, New York. This letter contained what may be termed an obscene caricature and is quoted as follows:

"It's a boy at the Sarants! Hoo-rah! Hoo-rah!
Let the welkin ring!! Signed Slim."

The following is added: "The next time a female—yea verily—next time a female!"

From the information set forth it is apparent that LINDEMANN knows the SARANTs.
LINDEMANN indicated that he had a dark room while residing at both 60 and 58 Horton Street, New York City, and a photographic studio there. He indicated that his photographic equipment consisted of two cameras, one a 4" by 5" view finder type and the other a miniature type camera which used roll film. He stated that he could not off hand recall the names of these cameras; however, he did indicate that the miniature camera was not a Leica camera. He stated that he had enlarging and developing equipment; however, when pressed for specific information pertaining to his equipment, he became recalcitrant and the interview reverted back to what LINDEMANN believed to be the Bureau's purpose in interviewing him.

At this point in the interview he was asked specifically how he disposed of his apartment at 58 Horton Street, New York City, and whether he had ever returned to this apartment since the date he moved. He stated that he had relinquished the apartment and that he was not acquainted with the current tenant of the apartment. He advised that he had never returned to this apartment since the date he had moved from it in September, 1947. He stated that he did not know anyone by the name of DANIEL NEUBOURG from Staten Island, New York, and that he had not sublet his apartment at 58 Horton Street. He did indicate that he had shared his apartment at 60 Horton Street with some unknown individual; however, he declined to furnish the name of this individual.

Investigation has reflected that LINDEMANN sublet his apartment at 58 Horton Street, New York City, to Mr. and Mrs. DANIEL NEUBOURG without the landlord's permission.

Subsequent to inquiry by SA LEO H. FRUTKIN in October, 1950, Mrs. BETTY TRACY, 58 Horton Street, New York City, advised SA FRUTKIN that on October 22, 1950, she saw LINDEMANN removing by automobile some photographic equipment from 58 Horton Street. This equipment consisted of enamel pans, light stands and a paper cutter. She advised that the NEUBOURGS are friends of LINDEMANN and that they came from Staten Island, New York.

LINDEMANN was unable to identify photographs of JULIUS and ETHEL ROSENBERG, DAVID and RUTH GREENGLASS, JOEL PARR and others involved in the ROSENBERG investigation.
It is to be noted that LINDEMANN attempted to give a good appearance and to be cooperative in this inquiry for appearance sake only. However, it is believed that he was completely uncooperative inasmuch as the answers he gave are contrary to established facts. It is believed that LINDEMANN did not identify the SARAITS as former friends possibly due to their having involved him in Communist Party activity.

LINDEMANN's wife stated that she did not know the SARAITS and that she was positive that everyone who received their wedding announcement was a mutual friend. Mrs. LINDEMANN was unable to identify any of the photographs exhibited to her. She exhibited more tact in dealing with the interviewing agents; however, it is believed that this was also for appearance sake.

In addition to the information previously reported concerning the LINDEMANN, the following was noted in the "Daily Worker," an East Coast Communist newspaper, dated August 17, 1949, on page 5, column 1:

This article was entitled, "Free Winston! Rallies Ring Detroit Building" and indicated that 100 New York writers and artists yesterday demanded immediate freedom for HENRY WINSTON, GILBERT GREEN and GUS HALL. Among the signers were LAWRENCE E. BARTIN, an alias of EDWARD OTTO LINDEMANN, and one LOUISE LINDEMANN. It is believed that inasmuch as the LINDEMANNS consider themselves as both writers and artists and that both of their names appeared on the same list, these individuals are identical with Mr. and Mrs. EDWARD OTTO LINDEMANN.
This investigation has developed that SARANT had a
dark room, complete photographic equipment, but no Leica
camera. However, JOEL BARR had a Leica camera. In addition
it has been definitely established that JULIUS ROSENBERG was
a contact of JOEL BARR and SARANT and that these two were
members of the same Communist Party branch. BARR and SARANT
resided together at 65 Morton Street, New York City, and they
have done photographic work together and have been involved in
Soviet espionage together.

On February 6, 1951, MYLES J. LANE, Chief Assistant
United States Attorney, Southern District of New York, advised
SA WILLIAM F. NORTON that he intends to subpoena LINDEHAN for
appearance before the Federal Grand Jury scheduled to reconvene
at the completion of the JULIUS ROSENBERG, ET AL, espionage
trial.
Copies of this report are being furnished to the Los Angeles, San Francisco and Washington Field Offices for Bureau instructions and the Albany Office is being furnished a copy for information inasmuch as that office is origin in the "ALFRED SARAN; ESPIONAGE - R" investigation.

-CLOSED-
CONFIDENTIAL INFORMANT PAGE

The Confidential Informant mentioned in the report of SA ROBERT P. ROYAL, dated APR 5, 1951 at New York, is identified as follows:

T-1

JEROME EUGENE TARTAKOW contacted by SAS JOHN A. RARRINGTON and WILLIAM T. KNOTT on January 18, 1951, and by SA ARMAND A. CANVARE on March 22, 1951. TARTAKOW is an inmate at the Federal House of Detention, New York City, and is now a cellmate of JULIUS ROSENBERG.

SYNOPSIS OF FACTS:

BRUNO PONTECORVO, Atomic Scientist, Harwell Laboratories, England, reported to have fled to Russia in September, 1950. Subject, while in Paris in 1938 and 1939, alleged to be of pro-Communist sympathies and to have associated with Communist sympathizers. In France was employed with FRIDERIC JUÎNOT-CURIE. In 1940, after arriving in U.S., allegedly associated with Communist sympathizers in NYC. Records AEC, NY, do not reflect subject visited AEC installations under jurisdiction of NY AEC Operations Office.

DETAILS:

On October 22, and 23, 1950, various New York newspapers carried stories reflecting that BRUNO PONTECORVO, naturalized British scientist employed at the Harwell Laboratories, Britain's Atomic Research Center, had allegedly gone to Russia.

The "New York Times" of October 22, 1950, carried a news dispatch from Reuters, dated "Stockholm, Sweden, October 21, 1950, which reflects...
that PONTECORVO was known to have gone to Italy on a
vacation from Harwell in July, 1950, accompanied by
his wife and three sons. His movements were reportedly
traced from Rome to Helsinki, Finland. The article
reflected that PONTECORVO is believed to have gone to
Russia.

The "New York Times" of October 23, 1950,
carried an article by the Associated Press dated
Rome, October 23, 1950, which reflects that HENRICO
ALTAVILLA, Stockholm correspondent of the Italian
newspaper "Il Tempo", had traced PONTECORVO's route
to the harbor at Helsinki, where PONTECORVO, with
his wife and children, boarded the Russian ship
Bellostov, which sailed at 5:00 p.m. on September 2,
1950, bound for Leningrad. ALTAVILLA is reported as
having stated that, after going from Italy to
Stockholm, Sweden, PONTECORVO and his family spent
the night of September 1, 1950, in a house occupied
by the Soviet Embassy. They were said to have gone to
the Broome Airport in Stockholm about 11:00 a.m. on
September 2, 1950, in a Soviet Embassy car. From
there they flew to the Helsinki Airport, where they
were taken to the Helsinki Harbor by an automobile of
the Soviet Legation.

The "New York Times" of October 24, 1950,
contained an article dated London, October 23,
1950, which reflects that CHARLES TAYLOR, a
Conservative Party member of the House of Commons,

(Copies Continued)
had alleged that PONTI CORVO was a "bosom friend of FUCHS" (Dr. KLAUS FUCHS).
The following individuals, formerly associated with the Communist Party's activities and/or Soviet espionage, were interviewed and shown a photograph of the subject, BRUNO PONTECORVO. They were unable to furnish any information concerning the subject:

LOUIS EUDENZ, HEDR MASSING, and ROBERT GORDON SWITZ, who were contacted by Special Agent WILLIAM J. MC CARTHY.

HARRY GOLD, who was contacted by Special Agent JOSEPH C. WALSH.

ELIZABETH T. BEITLEY, who was contacted by Special Agent THOMAS G. SPENCER.

The above persons were also questioned concerning SERGE ALEXANDER SCHERBLITSKOV, JACOB NEUFELD, SERGIO DI BENEDETTI, and SALVATORE LURIA, but could furnish no information concerning them.
BRUNO PONTECORVO, Atomic Scientist, Harwell Laboratories, England, reported to have fled to Russia in September, 1950. Subject, while in Paris in 1938 and 1939, alleged to be of pro-Communist sympathies and to have associated with Communist sympathizers. In France was employed with FREDERIC JOLIOT-CURIE. In 1940, after arriving in U.S., allegedly associated with Communist sympathizers in NYC. Records AEC, NY, do not reflect subject visited AEC installations under jurisdiction of NY AEC Operations Office.

DETAILS:

On October 22, and 23, 1950, various New York newspapers carried stories reflecting that BRUNO PONTECORVO, naturalized British scientist employed at the Harwell Laboratories, Britain's Atomic Research Center, had allegedly gone to Russia.

The "New York Times" of October 22, 1950, carried a news dispatch from Reuters, datelined Stockholm, Sweden, October 21, 1950, which reflects...
that PONTECORVO was known to have gone to Italy on a
vacation from Harwell in July, 1950, accompanied by
his wife and three sons. His movements were reportedly
traced from Rome to Helsinki, Finland. The article
reflected that PONTECORVO is believed to have gone to
Russia.

The "New York Times" of October 23, 1950,
carried an article by the Associated Press dated
Rome, October 23, 1950, which reflects that HENRICO
ALTAVILLA, Stockholm correspondent of the Italian
newspaper "Il Tempo", had traced PONTECORVO's route
to the harbor at Helsinki, where PONTECORVO, with
his wife and children, boarded the Russian ship
Belostov, which sailed at 5:00 p.m. on September 2,
1950, bound for Leningrad. ALTAVILLA is reported as
having stated that, after going from Italy to
Stockholm, Sweden, PONTECORVO and his family spent
the night of September 1, 1950, in a house occupied
by the Soviet Embassy. They were said to have gone to
the Broome Airport in Stockholm about 11:00 a.m. on
September 2, 1950, in a Soviet Embassy car. From
there they flew to the Helsinki Airport, where they
were taken to the Helsinki Harbor by an automobile of
the Soviet Legation.

The "New York Times" of October 23, 1950,
contained an article dated Rome, October 23,
1950, which reflects that CHARLES TAYLOR, a
Conservative Party member of the House of Commons,
had alleged that PONTECORVO was a "bosom friend of FUCHS" (Dr. KLAUS FUCHS).
The following individuals, formerly associated with the Communist Party's activities and/or Soviet espionage, were interviewed and shown a photograph of the subject, Bruno Pontecorvo. They were unable to furnish any information concerning the subject:

Louis Budenz, Hede Messing, and Robert Gordon Switz, who were contacted by Special Agent William J. McGarthy.

Harry Gold, who was contacted by Special Agent Joseph C. Walsh.

Elizabeth J. Bentley, who was contacted by Special Agent Thomas G. Spencer.

The above persons were also questioned concerning Sergei Alexander Scherbatko, Jacob Neufeld, Sergio Di Benedetti, and Salvatore Luria, but could furnish no information concerning them.
## SYNOPSIS OF FACTS:

BRUNO PONTECORVO, Atomic Scientist, Harwell Laboratories, England, reported to have fled to Russia in September, 1950. Subject, while in Paris in 1938 and 1939, alleged to be of pro-Communist sympathies and to have associated with Communist sympathizers. In France was employed with FRIDERIC JOCOT-CURIE. In 1940, after arriving in U.S., allegedly associated with Communist sympathizers in NYC. Records ABC, NY, do not reflect subject visited ABC installations under jurisdiction of NY ABC Operations Office.

## DETAILS:

On October 22, and 23, 1950, various New York newspapers carried stories reflecting that BRUNO PONTECORVO, naturalized British scientist employed at the Harwell Laboratories, Britain's Atomic Research Center, had allegedly gone to Russia.

The "New York Times" of October 22, 1950, carried a news dispatch from Reuters, datelined Stockholm, Sweden, October 21, 1950, which reflects...
that PONTECORVO was known to have gone to Italy on a
vacation from Harwell in July, 1950, accompanied by
his wife and three sons. His movements were reportedly
traced from Rome to Helsinki, Finland. The article
reflected that PONTECORVO is believed to have gone to
Russia.

The "New York Times" of October 23, 1950,
carried an article by the Associated Press dated
Rome, October 23, 1950, which reflects that HÉNRIKO
ALTAVILLA, Stockholm correspondent of the Italian
newspaper "Il Tempo", had traced PONTECORVO'S route
to the harbor at Helsinki, where PONTECORVO, with
his wife and children, boarded the Russian ship
Bellostof, which sailed at 5:00 p.m. on September 2,
1950, bound for Leningrad. ALTAVILLA is reported as
having stated that, after going from Italy to
Stockholm, Sweden, PONTECORVO and his family spent
the night of September 1, 1950, in a house occupied
by the Soviet Embassy. They were said to have gone to
the Broome Airport in Stockholm about 11:00 a.m. on
September 2, 1950, in a Soviet Embassy car. From
there they flew to the Helsinki Airport, where they
were taken to the Helsinki Harbor by an automobile of
the Soviet Legation.

The "New York Times" of October 24, 1950,
contained an article dated London, October 23,
1950, which reflects that CHARLES TAYLOR, a
Conservative Party member of the House of Commons,
had alleged that PONTECORVO was a "bosom friend of FUCHS" (Dr. KLAUS FUCHS).
The following individuals, formerly associated with the Communist Party's activities and/or Soviet espionage, were interviewed and shown a photograph of the subject, BRUNO PONTECORVO. They were unable to furnish any information concerning the subject:

LOUIS BUDEIZ, HEDE LASSING, and ROBERT GORDON SWITZ, who were contacted by Special Agent WILLIAM J. MC CARTHY.

HARRY GULD, who was contacted by Special Agent JOSEPH C. WILSH.

ELIZABETH T. BENTLEY, who was contacted by Special Agent THOMAS G. SPENCER.

The above persons were also questioned concerning SERGE ALEXANDER SCHEIBETSKY, J. COB NEUFELD, SERGIO DI BENEDETTI, and S. NUIRTE LURIA, but could furnish no information concerning them.