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NEW YORK FILE

SUBJECT: Julius Rosenberg

FILE NO.: 65-15348

VOLUME NO.: 30

SERIALS: 1325

THROUGH

1356
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Serial</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>No. of Pages</th>
<th>*</th>
<th>Exemptions used or, to whom referred (identify statute if (b)(3) cited)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1325</td>
<td>2/28/51</td>
<td>NK REPORT TO BUREAU</td>
<td>5 -</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>See Bufile 05-58236-794</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1325 A</td>
<td>3/1/51</td>
<td>CV LETTER TO BUREAU</td>
<td>3 -</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>See Bufile 05-58236-790</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1326</td>
<td>3/5/51</td>
<td>NK REPORT TO BUREAU</td>
<td>4 -</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>See Bufile 05-58236-802</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1326 A</td>
<td>3/8/51</td>
<td>SA MEMO TO FILE</td>
<td>2 -</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1327</td>
<td>3/6/51</td>
<td>SAC, NY LETTER TO USA, SONY</td>
<td>1 -</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1328</td>
<td>3/6/51</td>
<td>NY TELETYPE TO BUREAU</td>
<td>2 -</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>See Bufile 05-58236-932</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1329</td>
<td>3/6/51</td>
<td>SA MEMO TO FILE</td>
<td>1 -</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>Refer to ERDA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1330</td>
<td>3/13/51</td>
<td>SA MEMO TO FILE</td>
<td>3 -</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1331</td>
<td>3/15/51</td>
<td>NY LETTER TO BUREAU</td>
<td>1 -</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>See Bufile 05-58236-795</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1332</td>
<td>3/15/51</td>
<td>NY LETTER TO BUREAU</td>
<td>1 -</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>See Bufile 05-58236-856</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1333</td>
<td>3/15/51</td>
<td>NY TELETYPE TO BUREAU</td>
<td>1 -</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>See Bufile 101-2458-869</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1334</td>
<td>3/16/51</td>
<td>NY LETTER TO BUREAU</td>
<td>1 -</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>See Bufile 05-58236-797</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Designated to or from Bureau and/or Albuquerque
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Serial</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>No. of Pages</th>
<th>Released</th>
<th>Exemptions used or, to whom referred (identify statute if (b)(3) cited)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1335</td>
<td>3/6/51</td>
<td>SA Memo To File</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1336</td>
<td>3/6/51</td>
<td>NY Teletype To CU</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1337</td>
<td>3/6/51</td>
<td>NY Teletype To Bureau</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1338</td>
<td>3/6/51</td>
<td>SA Memo To File</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1339</td>
<td>3/17/51</td>
<td>NY Report To Bureau</td>
<td>53</td>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1340</td>
<td>3/17/51</td>
<td>NY Letter To Bureau</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1341</td>
<td>3/17/51</td>
<td>NY Teletype To Bureau</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1342</td>
<td>3/17/51</td>
<td>Bureau Teletype To NY</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1343</td>
<td>3/17/51</td>
<td>AQ Teletype To Bureau</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1344</td>
<td>3/7/51</td>
<td>Bureau Teletype To NY</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1345</td>
<td>3/7/51</td>
<td>BA Teletype To Bureau</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1346</td>
<td>3/7/51</td>
<td>NY Teletype To Bureau</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Designated to or from Bureau and/or Albuquerque
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Serial</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>No. of Pages</th>
<th>*</th>
<th>Exemptions used or, to whom referred</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1347</td>
<td>3/6/51</td>
<td>NY Teletype to Bureau</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1348</td>
<td>3/6/51</td>
<td>Bureau Teletype to NY</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1349</td>
<td>3/6/51</td>
<td>WFO Teletype to NY</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1350</td>
<td>3/6/51</td>
<td>SAC, NY Letter to USA, SDNY</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1351</td>
<td>3/6/51</td>
<td>SAC, NY Letter to USA, SDNY</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1352</td>
<td>3/6/51</td>
<td>SAC, NY Letter to USA, SDNY</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1353</td>
<td>3/6/51</td>
<td>SAC, NY Letter to USA, SDNY</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1354</td>
<td>3/6/51</td>
<td>NY Teletype to Bureau</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1355</td>
<td>3/6/51</td>
<td>NY Teletype to Bureau</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1356</td>
<td>3/6/51</td>
<td>SA Memo to File</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>NO</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Designated to or from Bureau and/or Albuquerque*
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Serial Number</th>
<th>Date of Serial</th>
<th>DELETION(S)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1325</td>
<td>2/28/51</td>
<td>This serial was previously processed as shown on the Inventory Worksheet.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1325a</td>
<td>3/1/51</td>
<td>This serial was previously processed as shown on the Inventory Worksheet.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1326</td>
<td>3/5/51</td>
<td>This serial was previously processed as shown on the Inventory Worksheet.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1326a</td>
<td>3/2/51</td>
<td>No exemptions were cited.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1327</td>
<td>3/6/51</td>
<td>No exemptions were cited.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1328</td>
<td>3/6/51</td>
<td>This serial was previously processed as shown on the Inventory Worksheet.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1329</td>
<td>3/6/51</td>
<td>This serial is being referred to another agency.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1330</td>
<td>3/3/51</td>
<td>No exemptions were cited.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1331</td>
<td>3/5/51</td>
<td>This serial was previously processed as shown on the Inventory Worksheet.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1332</td>
<td>3/5/51</td>
<td>This serial was previously processed as shown on the Inventory Worksheet.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1333</td>
<td>3/5/51</td>
<td>This serial was previously processed as shown on the Inventory Worksheet.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1334</td>
<td>3/6/51</td>
<td>This serial was previously processed as shown on the Inventory Worksheet.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1335</td>
<td>3/6/51</td>
<td>No exemptions were cited.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1336</td>
<td>3/6/51</td>
<td>No exemptions were cited.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serial Number</td>
<td>Date of Serial</td>
<td>DELETION (S)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1337</td>
<td>3/6/51</td>
<td>This serial was previously processed as shown on the Inventory Worksheet.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1338</td>
<td>3/6/51</td>
<td>No exemptions were cited.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1339</td>
<td>3/7/51</td>
<td>This serial was previously processed as shown on the Inventory Worksheet.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1340</td>
<td>3/7/51</td>
<td>This serial was previously processed as shown on the Inventory Worksheet.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1341</td>
<td>3/7/51</td>
<td>This serial was previously processed as shown on the Inventory Worksheet.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1342</td>
<td>3/7/51</td>
<td>This serial was previously processed as shown on the Inventory Worksheet.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1343</td>
<td>3/7/51</td>
<td>This serial was previously processed as shown on the Inventory Worksheet.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1344</td>
<td>3/7/51</td>
<td>This serial was previously processed as shown on the Inventory Worksheet.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1345</td>
<td>3/7/51</td>
<td>This serial was previously processed as shown on the Inventory Worksheet.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1346</td>
<td>3/7/51</td>
<td>This serial was previously processed as shown on the Inventory Worksheet.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1347</td>
<td>3/6/51</td>
<td>This serial was previously processed as shown on the Inventory Worksheet.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1348</td>
<td>3/8/51</td>
<td>This serial was previously processed as shown on the Inventory Worksheet.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Serial Number | Date of Serial | DELETION (S)
--- | --- | ---
1349 | 3/8/51 | (b)(7)(C) - This exemption was cited on line 7, 8, and 9 to withhold information of a third party in that the release of this information would constitute an unwarranted invasion of personal privacy. This pertains to another investigation concerning a third party.
1350 | 3/8/51 | No exemptions were cited.
1351 | 3/8/51 | No exemptions were cited.
1352 | 3/8/51 | No exemptions were cited.
1353 | 3/8/51 | No exemptions were cited.
1354 | 3/8/51 | This serial was previously processed as shown on the Inventory Worksheet.
1355 | 3/8/51 | This serial was previously processed as shown on the Inventory Worksheet.
1356 | 3/8/51 | No exemptions were cited.
March 2, 1951

MEMO

RE: JULIUS ROSENBERG


At this time Mr. Denton confidentially advised the above mentioned agents that he was visibly concerned with the lack of qualifications of the U.S. Attorney's Office to properly present the evidence in connection with this case. He said that following the conference yesterday, which concerned itself with reviewing the testimony of various AEC representatives and the material which was to be submitted in evidence in this case pertaining to the atomic bomb, he was impressed with the lack of nature of Roy Cohn andJames Kilshoimer and the lack of preparation in the over-all case by Irving Saypol. He said that when he returns to Washington today, he intends to take this matter up with the AEC, who in turn might refer it to the Attorney General, or they might go so far as to take this entire matter up with the President in an effort to force the Department to send a Special Adviser from the Department of Justice to participate in this trial and see that the interests of the AEC are adequately and completely protected.

Mr. Denton stated he was going to base his recommendations solely on his observations with what transpired at the conference yesterday.

It is to be noted that Agents Harrington and Norton did not make any comment to Mr. Denton or any other representatives of the AEC or anyone else at that time with respect to the ability or lack of ability of the U.S. Attorney's Office to properly handle this matter and it is to be further observed that Mr. Denton specifically remarked that he had received no information from the agents or Lyles Lane and his decision was based on his own observations at yesterday's conference.

A further observation is made in connection with those comments. Mr. Denton stated that when Lyles Lane came to Washington and appeared before the AEC and representatives of Congress concerning the declassi-
fication of this material, it was their feeling that he would have a strong control over the presentation of the evidence in connection with this case and he had engendered a great deal of confidence in the Commission in his ability to properly handle this matter.

Mr. Denton stated that if Mr. Saypol had appeared before the Commission it is his feeling he would have handled the matter in such a manner they would not have declassified any of this material. He likewise stated Mr. Saypol had specifically told him that he was not going to be bound by any commitments the Department had made with respect to this matter and this is of further concern to the AEC because their decision to declassify this material was based on assurances given to them both by Lane and the Department in Washington that the death penalty would be requested at the completion of the trial.
607 U.S. Court House
Foley Square
New York 7, New York

March 6, 1951

Hon. Irving H. Saypol
U.S. Attorney
U.S. Court House
New York 7, N.Y.

Dear Mr. Saypol:

Enclosed is a photostatic copy of the report of the Special Committee on Un-American Activities of the House of Representatites, 76th Congress, Second Session entitled "Communist Front Organizations Dealing with the Federation of Architects, Engineers, Chemists and Technicians".

There is also enclosed the investigative report of Special Agent John D. Mahoney, dated 2/26/51 at Albany, N.Y.

Very truly yours,

[Signature]

Edward Schmidt
Special Agent in Charge

Personally Delivered
3/6/51 - (L.F.A.

65-15348 - 1327
Julius Rosenberg
Espionage - R
N.Y. file 65-15348

On 3-3-51, Ruth Hayghe was
interviewed by S.A. Rex J. Schroder and
the writer.

She admitted that she could not recall exactly
what day of the week it was that she and David
Hayghe returned to N.Y. S. from Albuquerque

He also advised that on the day that
she and David went to the Rosenberg apartment
in Sept. 1945, in turn over information, she
did not see the maid, Tula. Michael Rosenberg
was at the apartment.
The dykman said that she had no recollection of such an event. She knew that she had seen the colored maid on one occasion or possibly on two occasions at the Roselby apartment but she was unable to recall whether she saw the maid on the aforementioned occasions in late 1945 when David turned over information. She did recall that on one occasion the maid prepared the dinner which she & the other (David & the Roselby's) ate. She stated that she & David did not take dinner at the Roselby's in late 1945 on the day information was turned over. She explained that she never saw its nursing attendant of Knollwood Village. Both officers toured the luxurious ride and pointed out a stationery envelope.
Rutger M., where Estel Rosenberg had
said she purchased the Daily Worker.

Ruth recalled that while David was in the
recite possibly in 1943, Estel told her
that she patronized their newspaper stand (at
the candy store) and one other stand because
she did not wish to bring back the Daily
Worker to close to her residence. Although
Estel had printed one of their stands to
Ruth, Ruth was able to locate only
the one at 201 Madison St. A tour of the
neighborhood to locate the second newspaper
was made with negative results.

A sign on the second candy store
at 201 Madison St. declined that it would
reopen on 2-5-51.

Leo N. Green.
65-15348

memo: Re: Julius Rosenberg et al
Espionage - R

Mrs. Evelyn Cox, Rosenberg's former maid
and the girl who brought Rosenberg's former maid
(Beatrice Brittain, Mrs. Carold Clarke) who had also
worked for three sisters who were daughters of
Jessie Strenglass' brother.

On 3/6/51 Ruth Strenglass advised that
one of Jessie Strenglass' brothers had 3 daughters
one of whom married to another her uncle, another
of Jessie's brothers, Isidore Feit. The other
2 sisters are spinster. The three sisters live
in one house with Isidore Feit, 97 Brooklyn Ave,
Brooklyn, N.Y.

John W. Lewis, 54

65-15348 FRI - NEW YORK MAR 6 1951
Transmit the following Teletype message to:

JULIUS ROSENBERG, ET AL; ESPIONAGE DASH R. REURTEL MARCH FIFTH, LAST. ADVISE JOHN T. LANSDALE TO CONTACT USA IRVING H. SAYPOL.

Approved: _________________________
   Special Agent in Charge

Sent __/__/52

NEW YORK, NEW YORK
MARCH 6, 1951
CLEVELAND, URGENT

MR. SCHROEDT
MR. WHELAN
MR. STRIN
MR. COLLIER
MR. GILLESPIE
MR. GRANVILLE
MR. LEVIES
MR. MARCELLAULT
MR. McANDREW
NIGHT SUPERVISOR
MR. RAGAN
MR. FONG
MR. SULLIVAN
MR. WALKER
MR. W. OHL
CHIEF CLERK
PROPERTY CLERK
TRAINING UNIT

SCHROEDT

WN: G6
65-15348 (#6)

15-15348-1336
MEMO

RE: JULIUS ROSENBERG
ESPIONAGE - R

JEROME EUGENE TARTAKOW has advised that ROSENBERG stated that LENNY TINKLE, a school teacher residing in Knickerbocker Village, was a good and reliable man.

LEONARD TINKLE, 16 Monroe Street, Apartment JI-6, was interviewed on February 17, 1951 by SA REX I. SHRODER, LEO J. FRUTKIN and JAMES P. LEE.

TINKLE advised that he knew ROSENBERG as a neighbor in Knickerbocker Village. TINKLE stated he was not positive whether he had met ROSENBERG while residing in Knickerbocker Village, or whether he had met him in the Federation of Architects, Engineers, Chemists and Technicians, of which both TINKLE and ROSENBERG were members. TINKLE advised he joined the FAECT in 1934 or 1935, and that he possibly had met ROSENBERG at one of the meetings.

TINKLE advised that he was a graduate of Cooper Union, with an electrical engineering degree. He advised he graduated in 1934 and had performed graduate work at New York University in 1936. He stated that he is presently employed as a teacher at the George Westinghouse Technical High School in Brooklyn, New York, and has been so employed for the past ten years. It is noted that this school is part of the New York City school system.

He stated that his friendship with the ROSENBERGS was of a casual nature and that JULIUS ROSENBERG was in his apartment two or three times, and that he never was in the ROSENBERG apartment. He stated that he had met ETHEL ROSENBERG, but this meeting was very casual.

TINKLE stated that he had been employed in the United States Navy Yard as a teacher of mechanics from 1941 to 1943.
TINKLE stated that he never visited ROSENBERG'S shop, although ROSENBERG had invited him to do so on several occasions. He stated that most of his conversations with ROSENBERG took place on the street in Knickerbocker Village. He advised that he knew ROSENBERG had been fired from Government employment as a suspected member of the Communist Party. He advised that he did not know how he knew this. He advised he did not know if ETHEL ROSENBERG was a member of the Communist Party or not.

TINKLE stated that he is not presently a member of the FAECT and he cannot recall when he resigned. He stated that he did not think he was a member while employed at the United States Navy Yard, as he did not belong to the unit at the Navy Yard. TINKLE stated that he is a member of the Teachers Union. He also stated that he is not now and never has been a member of the Communist Party.

TINKLE also stated that he had never been requested by JULIUS ROSENBERG or anyone else to do anything in the line of espionage. He stated that ROSENBERG had never questioned him concerning his work at the Navy Yard, or asked any questions which would indicate he was attempting to obtain information.

The following pictures were displayed to TINKLE with negative results: ALFRED SARANT, WILLIAM DANZIGER, JOEL BARR, WILLIAM PERL, MARK PAGE and MAX ELITCHER. The photograph of RUSSELL McNUIT was also displayed to TINKLE, and he advised that the name and face seem familiar, but that he had no recollection as to how, when or where he had ever met him.

TINKLE stated that he owns a Voigtlander Camera and that he did some developing years ago, but that in recent years he has not done any developing. He advised that Knickerbocker Village has a camera club. However, he is not a member. He did not know whether JULIUS ROSENBERG possessed a camera, nor whether he was a member of the Knickerbocker Camera Club.

TINKLE also advised he never belonged to the Young Communist League or the American Youth for Democracy.

TINKLE was born January 6, 1912 in New York City. He is married and has one son ten years of age.
NEW YORK 41 FROM WASH FIELD 8 5-11 PM

SAC URGENT

JULIUS ROSENBERG, ETAL, ESP DASH R. RENYTEL MARCH FIVE LAST A. O.

RECORDS REFLECT AS OF JUNE THIRTY, NINETEEN FIFTY, C. COL. PEER DE

SILVA’S ADDRESS WAS CARE OF DEPT. OF ARMY DETACHMENT, APO FOUR

NAUGHT THREE, CARE P. M., NYC. APO FOUR NAUGHT THREE IS AT HAMBURG,

GERMANY.

ADvised de silva is now employed

BY FEDERAL GOVERNMENT AND IS OUTSIDE THE U.S. HE ADVISED THAT

IF ABSOLUTELY NECESSARY, DE SILVA PROBABLY COULD BE ORDERED BACK TO

THE U.S. TO TESTIFY.

STEIN

BUREAU ADVISED

HOLD PLS
Hon. Irving H. Saypol
U.S. Attorney
U.S. Court House
New York 7, N.Y.

March 8, 1951

Dear Mr. Saypol:

Enclosed are photostatic copies of documents in the Civil Service file of Julius Rosenberg located in the New York Office of the U.S. Civil Service Commission.

The Page 13 enclosed is similar to the Page 13 of the Civil Service report previously furnished to your office with the addition of the Conclusions and Recommendations of the examiner.

Very truly yours,

EDWARD SCHEIDT
Special Agent in Charge

Delivered personally

A.F.W. 3/2/51
Hon. Irving H. Saypol  
U.S. Attorney  
U.S. Court House  
New York 7, N.Y.

Dear Mr. Saypol:

Enclosed is a photostatic copy of the "Federal Record," issue of September 19, 1951, which is the official publication of the Federal Workers of America (CIO).

Very truly yours,

EDWARD SCHWEITZER  
Special Agent in Charge
March 8, 1951

Hon. Irving H. Saypol
U.S. Court House
Foley Square
New York 7, N.Y.

Dear Mr. Saypol:

The following reports are enclosed:

Report of John R. Lyons, dated 3/5/51 at Newark
Report of John R. Lyons, dated 2/28/51 at Newark

Very truly yours,

EDWARD SCHEIDT
Special Agent in Charge
607 U.S. Court House
Foley Square
New York 7, New York
March 8, 1951

Hon. Irving H. Saypol
U.S. Attorney
U.S. Court House
New York 7, N.Y.

Dear Mr. Saypol:

Per your request the following photostatic copies of letters are enclosed:

1. From Mrs. David Greenglass to David Greenglass, 7/31/44. On Page 3, Paragraph 1, Mrs. Greenglass writes that Julie was in the house and told her what David Greenglass must be working on. Julie is Julius Rosenberg. David Greenglass at that time had just been assigned to Oak Ridge, Tenn.

2. From David Greenglass to Ruth Greenglass, 8/1/44, postmarked Kansas City, Mo., in which he writes on Pages 4 & 5 that he is assigned to a top secret project and that she is not to say a word to anybody about anything, except maybe Julie.

3. From Ruth Greenglass to David Greenglass 5/15/45 in which she states in the last paragraph of Page 1 that she received a letter from Ethel yesterday.

Very truly yours,

EDWARD SCHREIT
Special Agent in Charge
March 8, 1951

MEMO:

Re: JULIUS ROSENBERG
ESPIONAGE -R

Re memo SA LEO H. FRUTKIN, 3-3-51.

On 3-5-51, MRS. ANN LEVINE, owner of George's Sweet Shop, a candy store located at 201 Madison Street, NYC, advised SA RICHARD T. HRADSKY and the writer that MR. RUBIN GRALITZER, who had formerly resided on Lewis or Columbia Street, NYC, was the owner of this candy store during the 1942-44 period. She states that three years ago, she and her husband purchased said store from MR. GRALITZER and subsequently he committed suicide. She also stated that GRALITZER'S wife during the pertinent period is also deceased.

MRS. LEVINE stated that MR. MELVIN FEINBERG is the owner of the candy store building.

Photographs of JULIUS and ETHEL ROSENBERG were exhibited to MRS. LEVINE. She was unable to identify these photographs as that of any of her customers.

MR. MELVIN FEINBERG, 1803 Riverside Drive, NYC, advised SA HRADSKY and the writer on 3-5-51 that MR. RUBIN GRALITZER, had formerly operated a candy store at 201 Madison Street for a number of years prior to approximately three years ago. He stated that GRALITZER definitely operated this store during the 1942-44 period. He explained that GRALITZER committed suicide by jumping out of an upper floor window of the Beth-Israel Hospital, NYC; however he was survived by three sons who may have assisted...
GRALITZER at the candy store during the 1942-44 period and believed that they could be located through an attorney named EPSTEIN. EPSTEIN, according to MR. FEINBERG is a member of the law firms of EPSTEIN & LERNER, with offices located near Rivington Street, NYC.

On 3-6-51, MR. BENJAMIN EPSTEIN, a member of the law firm of EPSTEIN & LERNER, 137 Rivington Street, NYC advised the writer that he was the attorney for MR. RUBIN GRALITZER, who formerly operated a candy store at 201 Madison Street, NYC and who is now deceased. He indicated that GRALITZER had three sons; that one is named ELI and currently operates a luncheonette next door to the Carlton Theatre on Flatbush Avenue in Brooklyn, New York. He stated that ELI formerly resided at 189 Ross Street, Brooklyn, New York and that another brother resides near 4th Street and Avenue A, NYC.

MRS. ELI GRALITZER of the Gralitzer Bros., a luncheonette, located at 290 Flatbush Avenue, Telephone # STerling 3-9108 and who resides at 1011 Ocean Avenue, Brooklyn, telephone # UL 9-0886, advised the writer on 3-6-51 that her husband was in military service during part of the 1942-44 period; however she stated that she would relieve her husband's parents in the candy store sometimes on Sunday for a two hour period. Photographs of JULIUS & ETHYL ROSENBERG were exhibited to her without identification. She advised that her husband may be reached at their store in the morning hours between 10:30 and 11 PM.

MRS. GRALITZER also advised that her brother-in-law, HYMAN GRALITZER, who resides at 522 East 5th Street, NYC, telephone GR 7-0069
may have worked at the candy store during the pertinent period. She indicated that he may be reached at his home at approximately 6:30 PM. Another brother, MAX GRALITZER lives in NYC; however according to MRS. ELI GRALITZER is ill and has never worked for his father in the candy store.

On 3-6-51, MR. HYMAN GRALITZER was contacted at his residence, 622 East 5th Street, NYC by the writer. He advised he had not assisted his father in his candy store after possibly 1942. Photographs of JULIUS AND ETHEL ROSENBERG were exhibited to HYMAN with negative results.

HYMAN GRALITZER stated that a middle aged woman named EDNA had worked for her father during the 1942-44 period. He stated that EDNA resides in apartment 13 at 13 Essex Street, NYC.

On 3-7-51, MR. ELI GRALITZER was contacted by the writer at his store in Brooklyn. He stated that he was in the US Army for 14 months during 1943-44. Prior to his military service he stated that he worked in his father's candy store at 201 Madison Street, NYC. Photographs of JULIUS & ETHEL ROSENBERG were exhibited to him with negative results.

On 3-7-51, MRS. EDNA SAFINOFF, apartment 13, 13 Essex Street, NYC was contacted by the writer at her home. She stated that she was formerly employed by MR. RUBIN GRALITZER in his candy store, 201 Madison Street, NYC from approximately 1941-46 from 4 PM to Midnight. She was unable to identify photographs of JULIUS & ETHEL ROSENBERG as identical with an former customers. She stated that MR. GRALITZER sold several DW's during the morning hours.