NOTICE

THE BEST COPIES OBTAINABLE ARE INCLUDED IN THE REPRODUCTION OF THE FILE. PAGES INCLUDED THAT ARE BLURRED, LIGHT OR OTHERWISE DIFFICULT TO READ ARE THE RESULT OF THE CONDITION AND OR COLOR OF THE ORIGINALS PROVIDED. THESE ARE THE BEST COPIES AVAILABLE.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Serial</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>No. of Pages</th>
<th>Actual</th>
<th>Released</th>
<th>Exemptions used or, to whom referred (Identify statute if (b)(3) cited)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1018</td>
<td>1/3/51</td>
<td>SA Memo for file-NY</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1018A</td>
<td>12/28/50</td>
<td>SA Memo for file-NY</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1019</td>
<td>1/2/51</td>
<td>KC Report to HQ</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1020</td>
<td>1/3/51</td>
<td>HQ Letter to NY</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Yes: Infile 65-58236-698</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1021</td>
<td>1/4/51</td>
<td>NY Teletype to HQ</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Yes: Infile 65-58236-638</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1022</td>
<td>1/4/51</td>
<td>LA Teletype to HQ &amp; NY &amp; duplicate copy</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Yes: Infile 65-58236-631</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1023</td>
<td>1/3/51</td>
<td>NY Letter to HQ</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Yes: Infile 65-58236-639</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1024</td>
<td>1/3/51</td>
<td>PG Report to HQ</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Yes: Infile 65-58236-637</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1025</td>
<td>3/20/74</td>
<td>Serial Charge Out Form: FD-5; Serial Missing</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1026</td>
<td>1/4/51</td>
<td>NY Letter to HQ</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Yes: Infile 65-58236-630</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1027</td>
<td>1/4/51</td>
<td>SA Memo for file-NY</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1028</td>
<td>1/5/51</td>
<td>NY Teletype to HQ &amp; WFO</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Yes: Infile 65-58236-632</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Designated to or from Bureau and/or Albuquerque
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Serial</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>No. of Pages</th>
<th>Released</th>
<th>Exemptions used or, to whom referred</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1029</td>
<td>1/6/51</td>
<td>NY Letter to HQ</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>In file 65-58236-699</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1030</td>
<td>1/6/51</td>
<td>NY Letter to HQ</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>In file 65-58236-695</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1031</td>
<td>10/27/50</td>
<td>External Memo from Herbert J. Fabraen, ENC to Serial 1032</td>
<td>2 2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1032</td>
<td>1/9/51</td>
<td>SA Memo for file - NY</td>
<td>1 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1033</td>
<td>1/9/51</td>
<td>SA Memo for file - NY</td>
<td>1 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1034</td>
<td>1/10/51</td>
<td>SA Memo for file - NY</td>
<td>3 3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1035</td>
<td>1/10/51</td>
<td>SA Memo for file - NY</td>
<td>2 2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1036</td>
<td>1/10/51</td>
<td>SA Memo for file - NY</td>
<td>5 5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1037</td>
<td>1/11/51</td>
<td>SAC, NY Letter to Postmaster</td>
<td>1 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1038</td>
<td>1/11/51</td>
<td>SA Memo for file - NY</td>
<td>5 5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1039</td>
<td>1/12/51</td>
<td>SA Memo for file - NY</td>
<td>1 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1040</td>
<td>1/12/51</td>
<td>FBI Laboratory Letter to NY</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>In file 65-58236-640</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Designated to or from Bureau and/or Albuquerque
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Serial</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>No. of Pages</th>
<th>Exemptions used or, to whom referred</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1041</td>
<td>1/12/51</td>
<td>SA Memo for file</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1041A</td>
<td>1/12/51</td>
<td>HQ Letter to AL</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>YES Process</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1042</td>
<td>1/13/51</td>
<td>NY Teletype to HQ &amp; BU</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>YES Bufile 65-58236-664</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1043</td>
<td>1/13/51</td>
<td>SA Memo for file - NY</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1044</td>
<td>1/15/51</td>
<td>Postmaster Letter to SAC, NY</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1045</td>
<td>1/15/51</td>
<td>NY Teletype to HQ</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>YES Bufile 65-58236-661</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1046</td>
<td>1/15/51</td>
<td>CV Letter to HQ copy to NY</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>YES Bufile 65-58236-666</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1047</td>
<td>1/16/51</td>
<td>SA Memo for file - NY</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1048</td>
<td>1/16/51</td>
<td>SA Memo for file - NY</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1049</td>
<td>1/16/51</td>
<td>HQ Letter to NY</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>YES Bufile 65-58236-660</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1050</td>
<td>1/17/51</td>
<td>SA Memo for file - NY</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1051</td>
<td>1/17/51</td>
<td>NY Letter to HQ</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>YES Bufile 65-58236-652</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Designated to or from Bureau and/or Albuquerque*
### Inventory Worksheet

**NEW YORK FILES**

**VOLUME** 27

**REVIEWED BY**

**File No:** 65-15348  **Ref:** Julius Rosenberg

**Date:** 11/77

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Serial</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>No. of Pages</th>
<th>*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1052</td>
<td>1/17/51</td>
<td>SA Memo for file-NY</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1053</td>
<td>1/17/51</td>
<td>SA Memo for file-NY</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1054</td>
<td>1/17/51</td>
<td>SA Memo for file-NY</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1055</td>
<td>1/17/51</td>
<td>NY Teletype to HQ (re: Nathan Sussman)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1056</td>
<td>1/18/51</td>
<td>SA Memo for file-NY</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1057</td>
<td>1/18/51</td>
<td>SA Memo for file-NY</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1058</td>
<td>1/18/51</td>
<td>SA Memo for file-NY</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1059</td>
<td>1/18/51</td>
<td>SAC, NY Letter to Postmaster</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1060</td>
<td>1/18/51</td>
<td>NY Teletype to HQ (re: Nathan Sussman)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1061</td>
<td>1/18/51</td>
<td>HQ Teletype to NY</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1062</td>
<td>1/19/51</td>
<td>NY Teletype to PH</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1063</td>
<td>1/19/51</td>
<td>SA Memo for file-NY</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Designated to or from Bureau and/or Albuquerque
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Serial</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>No. of Pages</th>
<th>Exemptions used or, to whom referred</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1064</td>
<td>1/18/51</td>
<td>SA Memo for file - NY</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1065</td>
<td>1/18/51</td>
<td>SA Memo for file - NY</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1066</td>
<td>1/19/51</td>
<td>NY Teletype to HQ, &amp; CV</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>YES Stackfile 65-58236-656</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1067</td>
<td>1/19/51</td>
<td>SA Memo for file - NY</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1068</td>
<td>1/19/51</td>
<td>SA Memo for file - NY</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1069</td>
<td>1/19/51</td>
<td>SA Memo for file - NY</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1070</td>
<td>1/19/51</td>
<td>HQ Letter to NY</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>YES Stackfile 65-58236-656</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1071</td>
<td>1/19/51</td>
<td>SAC, BU Letter to SAC, NY</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1072</td>
<td>1/19/51</td>
<td>SA Memo for file - NY</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1073</td>
<td>1/19/51</td>
<td>SA Memo for file - NY</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1074</td>
<td>1/22/51</td>
<td>NY Teletype to HQ, mm &amp; SS</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>YES Stackfile 65-58236-672</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1075</td>
<td>1/22/51</td>
<td>NY Letter to HQ</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>YES Stackfile 65-58236-669</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Designated to or from Bureau and/or Albuquerque*
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Serial</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>No. of Pages</th>
<th>Exemptions used or, to whom referred</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1076</td>
<td>1/22/51</td>
<td>SA Memo For file - NY</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1077</td>
<td>1/22/51</td>
<td>SA Memo For file - NY</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1078</td>
<td>1/22/51</td>
<td>SA Memo For file - NY</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1079</td>
<td>1/22/51</td>
<td>NY Teletype to HQ &amp; BS</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1080</td>
<td>1/22/51</td>
<td>NY Teletype to HQ &amp; Bu</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1081</td>
<td>1/23/51</td>
<td>SA Memo For file - NY</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1082</td>
<td>1/23/51</td>
<td>SA Memo For file - NY</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1083</td>
<td>1/23/51</td>
<td>SA Memo For file - NY</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1084</td>
<td>1/23/51</td>
<td>SA Memo For file - NY</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1084A</td>
<td>1/23/51</td>
<td>NY Letter to HQ</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1085</td>
<td>1/23/51</td>
<td>NY Teletype to HQ</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1086</td>
<td>1/23/51</td>
<td>MM Teletype to HQ &amp; NY</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Designated to or from Bureau and/or Albuquerque
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Serial</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>No. of Pages</th>
<th>*</th>
<th>Exemptions used or to whom referred</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1087</td>
<td>1/23/51</td>
<td>NY Teletype to HQ, CG &amp; NK</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Infile 65-58336-660</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1088</td>
<td>1/23/51</td>
<td>Postmaster letter</td>
<td>1/1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1089</td>
<td>1/24/51</td>
<td>NY Report to HQ re: Morton Sobell</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Infile 701-2483-297</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Designated to or from Bureau and/or Albuquerque*
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Serial Number</th>
<th>Date of Serial</th>
<th>DELETION (S)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1018</td>
<td>1/3/51</td>
<td>(b)(7)(D) - The designation of an informant symbol number was withheld on page to protect the identity of a source who had been assured of complete confidentiality. To release this information would also compromise the further effectiveness of this source.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1018</td>
<td>12/28/50</td>
<td>No exemptions were cited.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1019</td>
<td>1/2/51</td>
<td>This report was previously processed as shown on the Inventory Worksheet.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1020</td>
<td>1/3/51</td>
<td>This letter was previously processed as shown on the Inventory Worksheet.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1021</td>
<td>1/4/51</td>
<td>This teletype was previously processed as shown on the Inventory Worksheet.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1022</td>
<td>1/4/51</td>
<td>This teletype was previously processed as shown on the Inventory Worksheet.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1022</td>
<td>1/4/51</td>
<td>This is a duplicate, copy of the above teletype.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1023</td>
<td>1/3/51</td>
<td>This letter was previously processed as shown on the Inventory Worksheet.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1024</td>
<td>1/3/51</td>
<td>This report was previously processed as shown on the Inventory Worksheet.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1025</td>
<td>8/20/74</td>
<td>This is a serial charge-out form, which indicates the serial was missing from the file as of 8/20/74.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1026</td>
<td>1/4/51</td>
<td>This letter was previously processed as shown on the Inventory Worksheet.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1027</td>
<td>1/4/51</td>
<td>(b)(7)(C) - The background and descriptive data of a third party, his relatives, and acquaintances, was withheld on pages 1 and 2 since the release of this information would constitute an unwarranted invasion of his personal privacy.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serial Number</td>
<td>Date of Serial</td>
<td>DELETION(S)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1028</td>
<td>1/5/51</td>
<td>This teletype was previously processed as shown on the Inventory Worksheet.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1029</td>
<td>1/6/51</td>
<td>This letter was previously processed as shown on the Inventory Worksheet.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1030</td>
<td>1/6/51</td>
<td>This letter was previously processed as shown on the Inventory Worksheet.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1031</td>
<td>10/27/50</td>
<td>No exemptions were cited.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1032</td>
<td>1/9/51</td>
<td>No exemptions were cited.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1033</td>
<td>1/9/51</td>
<td>No exemptions were cited.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1034</td>
<td>1/10/51</td>
<td>No exemptions were cited.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1035</td>
<td>1/10/51</td>
<td>No exemptions were cited.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1036</td>
<td>1/10/51</td>
<td>(b)(7)(D) - This exemption was cited on pages 1, 3, and 4 to protect the identity of a source to whom an expressed or implied promise of confidentiality had been given.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1037</td>
<td>1/11/51</td>
<td>No exemptions were cited.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1038</td>
<td>1/11/51</td>
<td>(b)(7)(D) - The designation of an informant symbol number was withheld on page 4, paragraphs 1 and 3, to protect the identity of a source who had been assured of complete confidentiality. To release this information would also compromise the further effectiveness of this source. This exemption was also cited on page 1, paragraph 1, and page 2, paragraph 6, to protect the identities of sources to whom an expressed or implied promise of confidentiality had been given.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1039</td>
<td>1/12/51</td>
<td>No exemptions were cited.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serial Number</td>
<td>Date of Serial</td>
<td>DELETION (S)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1040</td>
<td>1/12/51</td>
<td>This laboratory letter to New York was previously processed as shown on the Inventory Worksheet.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1041</td>
<td>1/12/51</td>
<td>No exemptions were cited.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1041a</td>
<td>1/12/51</td>
<td>This document was referred to another Government agency.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1042</td>
<td>1/13/51</td>
<td>This teletype was previously processed as shown on the Inventory Worksheet.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1043</td>
<td>1/13/51</td>
<td>No exemptions were cited.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1044</td>
<td>1/15/51</td>
<td>No exemptions were cited.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1045</td>
<td>1/15/51</td>
<td>This teletype was previously processed as shown on the Inventory Worksheet.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1046</td>
<td>1/15/51</td>
<td>This letter was previously processed as shown on the Inventory Worksheet.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1047</td>
<td>1/16/51</td>
<td>No exemptions were cited.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1048</td>
<td>1/16/51</td>
<td>No exemptions were cited.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1049</td>
<td>1/16/51</td>
<td>This letter was previously processed as shown on the Inventory Worksheet.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1050</td>
<td>1/17/51</td>
<td>No exemptions were cited.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1051</td>
<td>1/17/51</td>
<td>This letter was previously processed as shown on the Inventory Worksheet.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1052</td>
<td>1/17/51</td>
<td>No exemptions were cited.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1053</td>
<td>1/17/51</td>
<td>No exemptions were cited.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1054</td>
<td>1/17/51</td>
<td>No exemptions were cited.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serial Number</td>
<td>Date of Serial</td>
<td>DELETION (S)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1055</td>
<td>1/17/51</td>
<td>No exemptions were cited.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1056</td>
<td>1/18/51</td>
<td>No exemptions were cited.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1057</td>
<td>1/18/51</td>
<td>No exemptions were cited.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1058</td>
<td>1/18/51</td>
<td>No exemptions were cited.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1059</td>
<td>1/18/51</td>
<td>No exemptions were cited.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1060</td>
<td>1/18/51</td>
<td>No exemptions were cited.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1061</td>
<td>1/18/51</td>
<td>This teletype was previously processed as shown on the Inventory Worksheet.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1062</td>
<td>1/18/51</td>
<td>No exemptions were cited.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1063</td>
<td>1/18/51</td>
<td>No exemptions were cited.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1064</td>
<td>1/18/51</td>
<td>No exemptions were cited.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1065</td>
<td>1/18/51</td>
<td>No exemptions were cited.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1066</td>
<td>1/19/51</td>
<td>This teletype was previously processed as shown on the Inventory Worksheet.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1067</td>
<td>1/19/51</td>
<td>No exemptions were cited.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1068</td>
<td>1/19/51</td>
<td>No exemptions were cited.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1069</td>
<td>1/19/51</td>
<td>No exemptions were cited.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1070</td>
<td>1/19/51</td>
<td>This letter was previously processed as shown on the Inventory Worksheet.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1071</td>
<td>1/19/51</td>
<td>No exemptions were cited.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1072</td>
<td>1/19/51</td>
<td>No exemptions were cited.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serial Number</td>
<td>Date of Serial</td>
<td>DELETION (S)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1073</td>
<td>1/19/51</td>
<td>No exemptions were cited.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1074</td>
<td>1/22/51</td>
<td>This teletype was previously processed as shown on the Inventory Worksheet.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1075</td>
<td>1/22/51</td>
<td>This letter was previously processed as shown on the Inventory Worksheet.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1076</td>
<td>1/22/51</td>
<td>No exemptions were cited.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1077</td>
<td>1/22/51</td>
<td>No exemptions were cited.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1078</td>
<td>1/22/51</td>
<td>No exemptions were cited.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1079</td>
<td>1/22/51</td>
<td>This teletype was previously processed as shown on the Inventory Worksheet.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1080</td>
<td>1/22/51</td>
<td>This teletype was previously processed as shown on the Inventory Worksheet.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1081</td>
<td>1/23/51</td>
<td>No exemptions were cited.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1082</td>
<td>1/23/51</td>
<td>No exemptions were cited.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1083</td>
<td>1/23/51</td>
<td>No exemptions were cited.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1084</td>
<td>1/23/51</td>
<td>No exemptions were cited.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1084a</td>
<td>1/23/51</td>
<td>This letter was previously processed as shown on the Inventory Worksheet.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1085</td>
<td>1/23/51</td>
<td>This teletype was previously processed as shown on the Inventory Worksheet.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1086</td>
<td>1/23/51</td>
<td>This teletype was previously processed as shown on the Inventory Worksheet.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serial Number</td>
<td>Date of Serial</td>
<td>DELETION (S)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1087</td>
<td>1/23/51</td>
<td>This teletype was previously processed as shown on the Inventory Worksheet.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1088</td>
<td>1/23/51</td>
<td>No exemptions were cited.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1089</td>
<td>1/24/51</td>
<td>This report was previously processed as shown on the Inventory Worksheet.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
New York, New York
January 3, 1951

LEMO

RE: JULIUS ROSENBERG
ESPIONAGE - R.

RE: WILLIAM SPINDEL

On November 11, 1950 Prof. ERIC HAUSHANN, Dean of Undergraduate School, Polytechnic Institute of Brooklyn, 99 Livingston Street, made available the file on SPINDEL from which the following information was taken:

Birth
September 9, 1922

Address
145 Lincoln Road, Brooklyn, NY

Education
Brooklyn College (4 years) (dates not given)
Columbia University (to 1950) (Ph. D. in 1950)

Employment
Los Alamos Lab. (Med) 1944-45 as res. worker
Columbia University 1946-49 - instructor
Brooklyn Polytechnic 10/4/49 to present - instructor

Marital status
married, no children

On November 1, 1950 Miss LENORE KOKAS, Clerk, Graduate Faculties Records Section, Registrars Office, Columbia University, 116th Street and Broadway, made available records on SPINDEL from which the following information was taken:

2/2/48 to 9/20/49 - Full time research (registered for research in 1949-50 but not indicated full time)

2/26/47
A.B. Degree

6/8/50
Ph. D. Degree

Addresses

C/o D. Miller, 415 Ocean Parkway, Brooklyn, New York
July, 1946 - 3030 Holland Avenue, Bronx, NY
September, 1949 - 145 Lincoln Road, Brooklyn, NY

cc: 65-15336
116-31312

DOR: APK
65-15348

F.B.I.

10/18/65

NY. C.
MEMO
NY 65-15346

Miss JANE ALLEN, Secretary's Office, Columbia University, made available a record which indicated that SPINDEL taught chemistry in the University Extension School from 7/1/46 to 6/30/47.

A photograph of SPINDEL was contained in the University files. Copies of this photograph are attached to this memo.

The files of the Credit Bureau of Greater New York reflected no additional information on SPINDEL.

The following confidential informants were interviewed and shown a photograph of SPINDEL. None were acquainted with him:

EDWARD C. MORGAN, JR., SA
MEMO

RE: JULIUS ROSENBERG
ESPIONAGE - R

On 12/28/50 at 12:30 P.M. AUSA Myles Lane, SDNY, called the writer and advised that he was turning the matter of the bill of particulars in the ROSENBERG-SOBEILL cases over to Roy Cohn, AUSA, and had set forth in a memo that the writer was familiar with the phase of the investigation concerning the dates and places of meetings with SOBEILL. He said that Cohn, if he needed information on these points would contact this office.

Mr. Lane also advised that he received a call from General Foods Co., New York City, and was advised that Mr. Bloch, ROSENBERG'S attorney, had made inquiries about Jello box patterns. Mr. Lane was advised by General Foods Co., that any information he sought would have to be obtained through issuance of a subpoena duces tecum.

Mr. Lane said he is leaving for Boston today, 12/28/50, but next week he intends to talk to the general counsel of General Foods Co., and thereafter he might ask one of our agents to go to General Foods to determine whether any changes in pattern in Jello boxes have been made within the past five years.

VINCENT J. CAHILL, SA

VJC:IM
65-15348
File—Serial Charge Out

File No. 15348
Case No. 65-15348
Last Serial

Date 8/29/74

Serial No.

Pending
Closed

Date Charged

Description of Serial

25 missing at time of strike

Employee

RECHARGE

To

From

Initials of Clerk:

Date Charged

Employee

Location
MEMO

Re: JULIUS ROSENBERG
ESPIONAGE - R

Re memo of SA JOHN A. HARRINGTON dated 9/25/50, reflecting that GREENGLASS has stated that he believes he gave the name of WILLIAM SPINDEL to HARRY GOLDF as a possible recruit at Los Alamos. Information in possession of this office on WILLIAM SPINDEL is summarized as follows:

X 116-31312 - This is a case file on WILLIAM SPINDEL - SC - 3107, AEAA, that contains considerable background information. Derogatory information in this file reflects that he was a member of the
team of 100-47343 - 343 p 4, 7, 8 - A letter dated 7/29/44 from the Army Service Forces, Manhattan Engineer District, concerning Dr. JOSEPH GREENSPAN, the main figure of a group of individuals working on the HPR project, who are known to
100-47343 - 1254 p 379 - A CIC report on SPINDEL
reflecting approximately same information as previous reference.

Col 65-15336
116-31312.

WCR: TJD
65-15348
100-65499 - A report on THEODORE PERLMAN.

Background information on SPINDEL as contained in files of Security Operations Branch, A.E.C., Los Alamos, set out.

100-63196 - A case file on BARNEY SPINDEL, wa., Barney Sawyer. There is no indication that he is identical with WILLIAM SPINDEL. However, he was active in the...

65-15136 - 49 p 5 - Report from Security Operations Branch, A.E.C., Los Alamos, reflecting that WILLIAM SPINDEL had access to considerable classified information at Los Alamos. His duties consisted of placing protective coating on the atomic bomb.

65-15360 - 158 - DAVID GREENGlass furnished the name of HANS BETHE, a theoretical physicist at Los Alamos, to JULIUS ROSENBERG. GREENGlass based this information on a statement by WILLIAM SPINDEL that BETHE was a "leftist".

65-15336 - 179 p 32,33 - GREENGlass stated that when his wife came to Albuquerque about February, 1945 she resided for awhile with the wife of WILLIAM SPINDEL on Coal Street and had a miscarriage there. GREENGlass, SPINDEL and a tall red-haired fellow purchased an automobile together.

65-15336 - 179 p 50 - Letters of DAVID GREENGlass contain numerous references to "BILL" who may be identical with WILLIAM SPINDEL.

65-15336 - 179 p 66 - Mrs. GREENGlass stated that while living at 209 No. High Street, Albuquerque, she was visited by wives of GIs stationed with her husband. One of the visitors was Mrs. SPINDEL.

65-15336 - 271 - DAVID GREENGlass stated WILLIAM SPINDEL impressed him as being a leftist but was unable to state whether SPINDEL was member of CP. GREENGlass stated that Mrs. SPINDEL had been active in American Students Union.

65-15336 - 293 p.l - Background information on WILLIAM SPINDEL set out again.

65-15336 - 374 - JOSEPH D. DI GIACOMO, whose name GREENGlass believes he gave to GOLD as a possible recruit at Los Alamos, stated SPINDEL might have Communist leanings because he expressed admiration for Russia and had strongly favored the opening of a second front, etc.

65-15336 - 301 p 56 - Nothing additional.

65-15255 - 1 - Background on SPINDEL.

65-15255 - 2 p 1 - Background on SPINDEL set out again.

65-15255 - 13 p 59 - Background on SARA LEW SPINDEL.

65-15255 - 20 p 1 - Furloughs taken by SPINDEL from Los Alamos. SPINDEL had white badge entitling him to attend Colloquium meetings where highly classified subjects concerning the Los Alamos project were discussed.

65-15255 - 23 p 1,2,3,4,8,9,10,12-18 - Additional investigation by Albuquerque Office to determine if SPINDEL was identical with Unknown Subject, was: Shmel, etc. (later identified as DAVID GREENGlass)

WALTER C. ROETTING, Jr., SA
TO: OJR & FILE
FROM: HJF

10/27/50

Re: David Greenglass

In accordance with your request I was present last night when Emanuel Bloch interviewed Bernard Greenglass, brother of our client.

The following subjects were inquired into by Emanuel Bloch and are noted together with the answers that were made:

Q. Do you know of any visits made by Ruth Greenglass to David at camps other than Los Alamos, and particularly around August of 1942? A. I was in the Army and so wouldn't know.

Q. How much money did you (Bernie) put up in the business? A. $1,500 plus amounts of $950, $700 and $500 borrowed from relatives; or a total of $3,600.

Q. Did David put up any money? A. Yes.

Q. Did David get back any money? A. No.

Q. Were there arguments between Julie Rosenberg and David? A. Yes, about minor things in connection with the business. Julie always had to be the boss. In fact, "Julie has to be king Tut or nothing," and so there were many little arguments between Dave and Julie.

Q. Was David sore because he had to walk out of the business without a dime? A. No.

Q. Was Ruth sore because Dave had to walk out of the business without a dime? A. No.

Q. Was there bad feeling between Ruth and Ethel? A. No.

Q. Between Ruth and Julie? A. Yes, because of the business.

Q. Between the various couples, i.e., Ruth and David and Ethel and Julie, and Bernie and Mrs. Bernie? A. No. the three couples went out together on several occasions and always in good spirits. In fact, they were all up to Julie's house for dinner a couple of times and the boys used to go out to lunch with each other frequently. Dave liked Julie's kid Steven very much. Julie liked Dave's children.
Q. Did Ruth and Dave have bank accounts that you knew of between 1943 and 1945? A. I don't think so.

Q. What was the address 266 Stanton Street? A. Where Dave lived when he got married in November of 1942.

(Bernie went AWOL in order to be present at the wedding and that's how he remembers)

Q. How long was that apartment kept by Ruth -- through early 1945? A. No, the apartment was given up in 1944 (an elaborate explanation how he remembers)

Bloch suggested that they might have additional meetings. He wanted Bernie to know that he was not antagonistic. Bloch Bernie agreed that if Bloch wanted to see him he could but told me that he would let us know first to make sure it was in our presence. Bloch left suggesting that Ethel feels badly because Bernie has not written her. Bloch said that Ethel was in poor condition and wasn't bearing up too well under the strain of being separated from her children and that she might frankly have to be sent to a doctor, but that Julie was bearing up very well.
Arrested: 11/15/1

To Julius Rosenberg et al.

The attached photostatic copy of a memorandum was furnished to SA John A. Harrington by
Herbert J. Fabricant.

James F. las. SA

65-15348
J.P.L.

65-15348 - 1032
F.B.I.

N.Y.C.

 cuốn: 1032
New York 7, New York

January 9, 1951

M.M.C:

Re: JULIUS ROSENBERG, ET. AL
ESPIONAGE - R

On January 3, 1951 still photographs of ANATOLI A. YAKOVLEV which were made from motion pictures of YAKOVLEV were displayed to LAN ADOMIAN by Special Agents JAMES P. LEE and LEO H. FRUTKIN in the presence of ADOMIAN'S attorney KOLE AND KOLE, 39 Broadway, New York, N. Y. ADOMIAN identified these photographs as being ANATOLI A. YAKOVLEV.

JAMES P. LEE, SA

cc: 100-81002

JPL: mom
65-15318

65-153-48 1033
MEMO

RE: JULIUS ROSENBERG
-ESPIONAGE -

Re memorandum SA JOHN A. HANISCH dated 12/1/50.

Mrs. ANNA LAUFFE was interviewed at her apartment GD 11, 10 Monroe Street, New York City, by SA MAURICE CORCORAN and the writer on January 6, 1951.

She advised she was acquainted with Mr. and Mrs. JULIUS ROSENBERG since they were their next door neighbors. The ROSENBERGS were residing there before the LAUFFERS moved in. Mr. ROSENBERG had a machine shop on Houston Street. They were very quiet people from the standpoint that they did not do much entertaining. They appeared to adore one another and were so good to their children that the children were completely spoiled and would eventually suffer because they would not be able to withstand any adversity. At no time did the ROSENBERGS express any Communist, pro-Russian or anti-American sentiments nor did they appear to belong to any Communist groups or fronts.

Mrs. LAUFFE, who also has two children, advised that the ROSENBERGS were very noisy in that their children could play the phonograph at all hours of the day and night, often it was played as late as midnight and as early as five in the morning. They also had a piano which they played with no regard for the hour of the day. In addition, their children always had their own way and as a result Mrs. LAUFFE's children after playing with the ROSENBERG children were extremely hard to manage.

Mrs. LAUFFE spoke to Mrs. ROSENBERG on several occasions regarding the noise but it did no good so she tried to have as little to do with them as possible.

JAH: EMG
65-15348

F. B. I.

N. Y. C.
MEMO

Mrs. LAUFFER was shown photographs of various subjects and suspects in the ROSENBERG case but was unable to identify any of the photographs. She also advised she did not know the names of any of their friends.

Mr. OSWALD LAUFFER was interviewed the same date at his employment, the Colonial Park Houses. He advised he met the ROSENBERGS as neighbors shortly after moving to this address in 1945. Most of his visits to the ROSENBERG apartment were to get his son who would be playing in the ROSENBERG apartment. He also advised that the ROSENBERGS were very noisy and that they did not maintain proper parental discipline over their children.

He recalled having visited ROSENBERG'S machine shop on Houston Street about a half dozen times. Originally, he went there to see the type of equipment ROSENBERG owned. He recalled ROSENBERG tried to specialize in small precision parts and for this close work used a microscope. LAUFFER tested this microscope and discovered that it was off and accordingly all the parts previously tested with the microscope would have to be off tolerance also.

Mr. LAUFFER recalled that IZZIE GOLDSTEIN, who also lives in Knickerbocker Village, was a partner of ROSENBERG. Also working for ROSENBERG was DAVID and BLANIE GREENGLASS, both of whom he met at the machine shop. It was his impression that the latter two were former servicemen and as such were able to purchase war surplus materials used in the shop. He believed these two men, because of their service connection, had been able to buy the machines used in the shop.
of

On one of his visits to ROSENBERG'S machine shop, he recalled having met Mrs. GREENGLASS but was unable to identify her photograph. The only other acquaintance of ROSENBERG he knew was VIVIAN, last name unknown, believed to be VIVIAN GLASSMAN, who occasionally acted as baby sitter for them and appeared to be a friend of the family. He last recalled seeing her visiting the ROSENBERGS in the Winter of 1949-1950. He also identified her photograph but was unable to identify the photograph of any of the other subjects or suspects.

Mr. LAUFFER advised he never tried to get work with ROSENBERG but knew ROSENBERG was trying to get someone interested in the business who could invest money in the machine shop.

According to Mr. LAUFFER, ROSENBERG never indicated any un-American tendencies but he was extremely social minded. He stated that it came as a shock to him and his wife when they heard on the radio that the ROSENBERGS had been arrested. At that time, Mr. and Mrs. LAUFFER were at their summer cottage at Lake Peekskill, New York.

Mr. LAUFFER advised that the ROSENBERGS did not have any real friend in the building at 10 Monroe Street, and they had no unusual or frequent visitors. The only time they were ever gone for any period of time was on one occasion three or four years ago shortly after their son, MICHAEL, had a tonsillectomy. At that time they spent a week in the Catskills at a hotel, the name of which is unknown, which has since burnt down. He also recalled on one occasion going to a sewing machine shop, possibly on Sheriff Street, New York City, which was operated by ROSENBERG's parents.

According to LAUFFER, ROSENBERG was interested in radios but knew of no interest he might have in cameras.

In conclusion, Mr. LAUFFER advised he had been employed at the Kellex Corporation at the Woolworth Building, New York City; but had never been to Oakridge.

JOHN A. HANISCH
SA
New York, N. Y.
January 10, 1951

MEMO

RE: JULIUS ROSENBERG
ESPIONAGE - R

On 12/18/50 LOUIS THALLER, owner, T & S Sewing Machine Co., Inc., was interviewed by SA Robert F. Royal and the writer for any information that he might have concerning the subject.

THALLER stated that sometime in the spring of 1946 his former partner, ISIDORE FEIT, had introduced him to JULIUS ROSENBERG and DAVID and BERNARD GREENGlass. At the time FEIT had advised THALLER that GREENGlass was his nephew. At the time of the introduction GREENGlass was still in Army uniform, awaiting discharge. ROSENBERG advised THALLER that he had previously worked for the U. S. Government. At the time of the introduction ROSENBERG and GREENGlass stated that they were going into the business of selling U. S. Government surplus. For a period of approximately two to three months following the original meeting, GREENGlass and ROSENBERG used the office of T & S as their headquarters. THALLER explained that no rent was charged and they just "seemed to hang around" to make a few phone calls. He said because of the GREENGlasses' relationship with FEIT, ROSENBERG and GREENGlass had been permitted free use of the office of T & S. THALLER was unable to furnish any information concerning contacts made by the GREENGlasses or ROSENBERG during this time. He did mention that during this period ROSENBERG was constantly carrying a briefcase, the contents of which he had no knowledge.

During these few months the business of selling surplus was not very brisk and in THALLER'S opinion the business did not make $50.00 a week. He pointed out that this $50.00 a week would have to be divided between three individuals and he was at a loss to understand how they could have supported themselves on this small sum.

EJC:RMJ
65-15348
During this time THALLER also began to instruct the three partners in methods of repairing bushings which are used in sewing machines. Then in the late spring of 1946 ROSENBERG and the GREENGASSES left his place of business to open a small machine shop on Second Street. He was unable to furnish any address which the subjects used. THALLER said that in 1946 ISIDORE FEIT had advised him that he had loaned $2,000 to ROSENBERG and the GREENGASSES to be used to purchase surplus materials. ISIDORE had stated that he did not think he was going to get the money back when he had loaned them the money. THALLER said that he understood ISIDORE FEIT did receive the moneys advanced to ROSENBERG and the GREENGASSES. THALLER advised that ISIDORE FEIT is connected with a LOUIS GREENBAUM. He was unable to furnish any address concerning LOUIS GREENBAUM. However, he advised that FEIT lives at 97 Brooklyn Avenue, Brooklyn, New York.

THALLER advised that he was unable to furnish any other information concerning the activities of ROSENBERG and the GREENGASSES. He did admit that FEIT, because of his relationship to the GREENGASSES, would be able to furnish additional information concerning the loans made to ROSENBERG and the GREENGASSES.

Regarding any business dealings which THALLER had with the Pitt Machine Products Co., it should be noted that he advised that his firm, the T & S Sewing Machine Company, had from time to time purchased small machine parts made by Pitt Machine Products Co., Inc. He advised that in the event the agents desired he would search his records for any purchase orders which he had furnished the Pitt Machine Products Co.

EDWARD J. CAHILL
SA (A)
New York, N. Y.
January 10, 1951

MEMO

RE: JULIUS ROSENBERG
   ESPIONAGE - R


The following information was furnished in a financial statement by the Pitt Machine Products, Inc., 370 East Houston Street, at the time the loan was negotiated:

STATEMENT OF ASSETS, LIABILITIES AND NET WORTH
February 28, 1948

Cash in Public Nat'l Bank & Trust Co. of N. Y. $ 238.21
Accounts Receivable for Merchandise Sold 2,740.36
Merchandise at Cost 8,459.72
Deposits 225.00
Machinery and Equipment 15,964.40
Good Will and Patent Rights 2,042.65
Total Assets $29,670.34

F.B.I.
65-15348-1036

N.Y.C.
Routed To

EJC:RMJ
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Liabilities

Accounts Payable $1,533.97
Reserve for P/R Taxes 318.97
Chattel Mortgages 279.38
Total Liabilities $2,132.32

Capital

Capital Stock Preferred $13,900.00
Capital Stock Common 15,500.00
Deficit 1,861.98
Total Capital $27,538.02
Total Liabilities and Reserves $29,670.34

PROFIT AND LOSS STATEMENT
For Period of 10/17/47 Ending on 2/28/48

Gross Sales $7,203.85
Manufacturing Overhead Expenses $13,470.57
Less Inventory (end of period) 8,459.72
Cost of Goods Sold 5,010.85
Gross Profit on Sales $2,193.00
General Expense $949.90
Officers Salaries 3,105.00

$1,861.98

The following is information requested by the bank of the Pitt Machine Products, Inc. at the time the loan was negotiated:

"To what extent, if any, have you pledged any assets during the last year?"

Answer: "None."
"Are any of the assets pledged at statement date?"
Answer: "No."

"Were the books audited by a Certified Public Accountant at statement date?"
Answer: "Yes."

"By Whom?"
Answer: "M. ISAACS, 1220 Broadway, New York City."

"Does financial statement contained on this form agree with statement prepared by such Certified Public Accountant?"
Answer: "Yes."

The bank, at the time of the loan, was advised that JULIUS ROSENBERG, President, held 25 shares of common stock; DAVID GREENGLASS, Vice President, 25 shares of common; DAVID SCHEIN, 25 shares of common; BERNARD GREENGLASS, Secretary, 25 shares of common.

was questioned concerning ISAACS' statement mentioned in above referenced report, which indicates that the balance sheet dated 12/31/49, prepared by him for ROSENBERG, was to be used in connection with some bank loan on bid on government contract. advised that if any other loans, other than the one outlined above, had been made to ROSENBERG or the Pitt Machine Products, Inc., this file would reflect the information; also, if ROSENBERG had requested such a loan and the loan had not been granted, the file would reflect the request. To his knowledge, he could not recall any other loans or any requests for loans.

Regarding the deficit of $1,861.98 shown in the above profit and loss statement for the period from 10/17/47 to 2/28/48, an undated memo in the bank files stated that the reason for this deficit "is that it took them up to about the present time to install the new place of business and they now have a substantial amount of confirmed orders on hand."
MEMO
NY 65-15348

This file also reflected that preferred stock in the amount of $13,900.00, which originally amounted to $15,000.00, was held by DAVID SCHEIN, an officer of the corporation. He was to receive $55.00 per week until this stock was paid in full.

was questioned as to whether it was normal banking practice to make a loan to a business which showed a loss, as shown on the profit and loss statement of Pitt Machine Products, Inc. He advised that this was a little unusual but DAVID SCHEIN is a good customer of the bank and from time to time he borrows from the bank and has always repaid the bank in full. Because of SCHEIN'S connection with Pitt Machine Products, Inc., said the loan had been made.

In connection with the above loan, a chattel mortgage was given to the Public National Bank and Trust Company by the Pitt Machine Products, Inc.

On 1/6/50 the writer reviewed the records of the County Clerk's Office, New York City, for information pertaining to this chattel mortgage. It is noted that Chattel Mortgage No. CM9083 was filed March 23, 1948. The mortgagor was the Pitt Machine Products, Inc., 370 East Houston Street. The Mortgagee was the Public National Bank and Trust Company, 37 Broad Street. The mortgage was for property located at 370 East Houston Street, New York City, and the amount of the indebtedness was $3,000. The mortgage was given on one No. 5 Midland turret lathe, Serial No. 443-51134, with all accessories. This mortgage was executed by the mortgagor on 3/17/48 and was signed by JULIUS ROSENBERG, DAVID GREENGlass, BERNARD GREENGlass and DAVID SCHEIN. The witness to this document was HARRY SCHER.

The following is a list showing the monthly unpaid balances and the dates of payments on the above $3,000 loan:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Month</th>
<th>Unpaid Balance</th>
<th>Date Paid</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>April</td>
<td>$3,000.00</td>
<td>4/19/48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May</td>
<td>2,600.00</td>
<td>5/18/48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June</td>
<td>2,600.00</td>
<td>5/21/48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>July</td>
<td>2,400.00</td>
<td>6/19/48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>August</td>
<td>2,200.00</td>
<td>7/18/48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sept</td>
<td>2,000.00</td>
<td>8/20/48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oct</td>
<td>1,800.00</td>
<td>10/18/48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Month</td>
<td>Unpaid Balance</td>
<td>Date Paid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nov.</td>
<td>$1600.00</td>
<td>11/19/48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dec.</td>
<td>1400.00</td>
<td>12/20/48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jan.</td>
<td>1200.00</td>
<td>1/18/49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feb.</td>
<td>1000.00</td>
<td>2/18/49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March</td>
<td>800.00</td>
<td>3/18/49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>April</td>
<td>600.00</td>
<td>4/18/49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May</td>
<td>400.00</td>
<td>5/18/49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June</td>
<td>200.00</td>
<td>6/24/49</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

EDWARD J. CAHILL
SA (A)
HONORABLE ALBERT COLLUM

Postmaster Office
33rd St. & 8th Ave.
New York, N.Y.

January 11, 1951

Dear Sir:

In connection with an investigation being conducted by this Bureau, it is requested that a cover be placed on all mail, including special delivery and registered mail, of the following for a period of thirty days:

JULIUS ROSENBERG & ETHEL ROSENBERG
10 Monroe Street
New York 2, New York

☐ return cards
☐ tracings

Your cooperation in this matter is greatly appreciated.

Very truly yours,

EDWARD SCHMIDT
Special Agent in Charge
New York, New York
January 11, 1951

MEMO

RE: JULIUS ROSENBERG
ESPIONAGE - B

Reference is made to the memo dated August 28, 1950
by the writer captioned "JULIUS ROSENBERG, ESPIONAGE - B
INFORMANT", which will be found in serial 663 of CO-153440.

In an endeavor to develop information concerning HARRY
LANDE and his connection with JULIUS ROSENBERG, the following inves-
tigation was conducted with a view to locating a colored maid named
"HATTIE", formerly employed by HARRY LANDE and his wife.

Mrs. WALTER S. (NEE) WILLCOX, 10 Monroe Street,
apartment D-2, advised that the LANDES formerly employed a colored
maid by the name of HATTIE who lived in Harlem with her sister.
Mrs. WILLCOX was unable to furnish HATTIE'S last name, but stated
that HATTIE had formerly been employed by several other tenants in
Knickerbocker Village.

JULIUS CHARLESTON, 110 Rochester Avenue, Brooklyn,
New York, a colored maid employed by Mrs. WILLCOX, was contacted in
an endeavor to locate HATTIE and she advised that while she had
spoken to HATTIE several times in the building, she did not know
her name or address.

Mrs. CATHARINE M. UMBREITSTOCK, secretary to Manager JOHN
PACKARD, Knickerbocker Village, was contacted and her aid was solicited
in an endeavor to locate HATTIE. Mrs. UMBREITSTOCK contacted several
tenants in the building under pretext in an endeavor to identify
HATTIE to no avail.

Mrs. CATHARINE WALL, G. C. Penthouse, Knickerbocker Village,
advised that HATTIE had formerly been employed by a family who lived
in Knickerbocker Village who had moved to Brooklyn. Mrs. WALL was
unable to recall the name of this family. Mrs. WALL advised that...
HATTIE had also possibly been employed by a family by the name of HENKEL who also formerly resided in Knickerbocker Village. She further stated that HATTIE had formerly purchased meat for the LANDES family at the Franklin Hyman Butcher Shop located on Monroe Street.

John Packard, manager, Knickerbocker Village, advised after a search of his records that the family to whom Mrs. WALL referred when she stated the party had moved to Brooklyn was undoubtedly Mr. and Mrs. Louis Bershefs, who moved on September 26, 1942 from the Village to 35 Orange Street, Brooklyn, New York.

Mr. Packard further stated that Paul R. Henkel presently resides at 1055 University Avenue, Bronx, New York, and that the HENKEL family had sublet from a Mrs. Washburn, who had lived in the apartment adjacent to the LANDES' apartment.

Mrs. Paul R. Henkel, 1055 University Avenue, Bronx, New York, was contacted and advised that she did not know of a colored maid by the name of HATTIE.

Franklin Hyman, butcher, 25 Monroe Street, advised that HATTIE had worked for the Louis Bershefs who resided in Knickerbocker Village, and later moved to Brooklyn, New York, and that HATTIE worked for them while they resided at this latter address.

Mr. Hyman stated that he had heard that the Bershefs had moved out of the state but that he felt in all probability, due to the fact that they had employed HATTIE for a number of years, that if contacted they would be able to furnish her present address.

[Blank] original complainant regarding the LANDES, was contacted and was unable to furnish any additional information.

John Packard, manager of Knickerbocker Village, furnished the following information from his files concerning Mildred B. and Harry Landes. He stated that he had suspected the LANDES of being Communists.
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for some time due to incidents that had come to his attention, the
exact nature of which he was unable to recall at this time. He advised
that on January 7, 1948 a representative of the Military Intelligence
contacted him concerning the LANDES and took a photograph of HARRY
LANDE's signature with them.

Mr. PACKARD stated that he recalled that he had observed
one DAVID SEABROOK, an admitted Communist, often in the company of
Mrs. HARRY LANDE. PACKARD advised that SEABROOK was approximately
5'7" tall, swarthy, having kinky hair, and further, that in March,
1942 SEABROOK moved from Knickerbocker Village to 9205 Whitney Avenue,
Elmhurst, Long Island, but that SEABROOK still conducts his business
under the name of Brucks Shirt Shop at 6 Kott Street, New York City.

Mr. PACKARD further stated that as of January 25, 1945
Mrs. LANDE was a member of the editorial staff of the "Knickerbocker
News".

Mr. PACKARD advised that the LANDES had changed their
name from LEVY to LANDE as of November 27, 1941.

R. A. GUSION, Superintendent, 35 Orange Street, Brooklyn,
New York, advised that he has been superintendent at the above address
since May of 1950 and that the BERSHENES have not resided at this
address during this time.

Contact was made at the inquiry window, Borough Hall Post
Office, where it was ascertained that as of March 29, 1949 LOUIS and
JEAN BERSHEN filed a removal notice of 349 Clinton Street, North Bedford,
Massachusetts.

On January 5, 1951 an attempt was made to recontact JOHN
PACKARD, Knickerbocker Village, at which time it was ascertained that
he has been deceased for the past two weeks.
A review of the New York files reflects that furnished on April 4, 1947 a mimeographed list entitled "JEFFERSON School Dinner List - 1947". It was noted that one of the names on the list was Mrs. LOUIS BERSHEN. There was no further identifying data. The above reference was 100-51820-436, page 67.

In a reference 100-34,573 in which no serial was given, is a file entitled "COMMUNIST INFILTRATION OF UNITED SEAMEN'S SERVICE, INC., INTERNAL SECURITY - G".

65-11603-3506, page 12 refers to a case entitled "NATHAN GREGORY SILVERMASTER, W., ET AL. vs. SPICER, F. This reference reflects that Confidential Informant was advised on May 19, 1947 that one RAYMOND CHAGNON, a known member of the Communist Party and until recently affiliated with the United Seamen's Service in Southern California, contacted a LOUIS BERSHEN, New York City, phone Whitehall 3-2500 and informed BERSHEN that he had made application for a passport and that the State Department had not turned it down. BERSHEN and CHAGNON then discussed a possible sailing date for CHAGNON, after which BERSHEN suggested that CHAGNON contact a girl named GOMEZ who was one of Mrs. RUTH SHIPLEY's secretaries.

It could not be definitely ascertained whether the LOUIS BERSHEN referred to above was identical with LOUIS BERSHEN who formerly resided in Knickerbocker Village.

File 51-114-10160 contains a report received from the Third Naval District dated December 28, 1943 carrying the evaluation A-2. This report stated LOUIS BERTHEM, New York City, was until approximately two months ago an assistant to CRAIG VEDERNT, Communist head of the Recruitment and Manning Organization in New York City. It is believed he was about to be drafted, whereupon he recently became an employee of the United Seamen's Service as a representative of that organization in the Pacific area, for which reason he is believed to have left New York City very recently. In his capacity in the R.W.O., BERSHEN already in October, 1943 rejected a negro purser for the ship ROBERT LEE VAN,
MEMO
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even though the negro, one LÉEMAR HILL, was top man in the Militant Trainee Poll. The purser would have to bunk with the radio officer already assigned to the ship, who was also a negro. BERSHEN apparently was determined to assign a white man to bunk with the negro radio officer. When questioned, BERSHEN stated he was acting under orders from his superior, FRANK W. POLIATSEK, a rabid Communist. BERSHEN attempted to place three different unqualified white men in the job before he finally had to accept HILL.

It is not definitely known whether the LOUIS BERSHEN mentioned above is the BERSHEN who formerly resided in Knickerbocker Village, but it is possible, and therefore it is suggested that the complete file on BERSHEN be reviewed at Knickerbocker Village in an endeavor to determine if BERSHEN who resided at Knickerbocker Village is identical with the above listed references in the New York files.

It is suggested that a complete review of the New York files be made concerning LOUIS and JEAN BERSHEN, following which it is suggested that if at all possible, a letter be directed to the Boston office requesting that BERSHEN be contacted under pretext in an endeavor to ascertain the full name and present address of HATTIE in order that she may be interviewed concerning LANDES' association with JULIUS ROSENBERG.

It is also requested that in the event HATTIE is located, that photographs of the subjects in the ROSENBERG case be displayed to her for possible identification and to place at least some of these subjects as visitors to the LANDES' apartment.

The following is the period of the above investigation:

September 22, 25, October 2, 9,
November 16, December 5, 6, 15, 1950,
January 5, 1951.

ROBERT L. SCHWARTZ, SA
New York, New York
January 12, 1951

E.L.O:

Re: JULIUS ROSENBERG was.
   ESPIONAGE - R

On January 6, 1951, MAX ELITCHER advised that he had never heard of a KARL MARX HOUSE located near the campus of the City College of New York. He never heard that JULIUS ROSENBERG resided near the campus with ETHEL ROSENBERG.

VINCENT J. CAHILL, SA

cc: 65-14873

VJC:mm
65-15348
Memorandum

Jan. 12, 1951

Julius Rosenberg w.a.p.
Cagirage - K
N.Y. file 65-153481041

On 1-7-51, the writer interviewed
Robert Quinnan at 1688 Lafayette Ave., Bronx,
N.Y. , Twoblo 2-461-1, in connection with
his past employment at Pitt & Martin Products Co.
Quinnan worked at Pitt & Martin Products Co.
for about seven weeks during May-June
1938 as a machinist (turning lathe operator).
Quinnan received his employment through the
U.S. Employment Service. His headquarters
was 8/22. Quinnan stated that Julius
Rosenberg was not an employee of the firm.
Rosenberg often came to the play about 2 p.m. Rosenberg never gave Sinclair any suggestions that he was government or Vice-Savitt. Rosenberg appeared to be conducting a legitimate business and that seemed to be plenty of work. Sinclair was "paid off" because (as Rosenberg told him) the work that Rosenberg had anticipated for the next lecture had not come in.

Sinclair never saw David Shengviles and doesn't recall seeing any visitors to his play.

Cordially,

[Signature]

Note: Sinclair has a night job at Advance Scientific Sprg., 70 Portland Rd., New Rochelle, N.Y.
Memo.

1/13/51

Re: Julius Rosenberg; Ethel Rosenberg, was Espionage - R.

Re: Morris Pletman

Morris Pletman was interviewed at the New York Office 1/13/51 by Sis Jim Lee and Frederick K. Buechhake.

He advised he now resided at 215 W 101st Street, N.Y.C., was born Sept. 14, 1894 in Poland, and presently was employed by the Marcy Drug, 103rd St. and Broadway, N.Y.C. He is single, due to death of his wife.

He stated he was employed at the Pitt Machine Company from March 1950 to May 1950 and continued this work through his brother Harry Pletman at 216 W 101st St. street. His brother, previously owned and operated the Pliocraft Products Company, 105 Erie Street, N.Y.C. and they got work from the Pitt Machine Company. Morris went with the Pitt Machine Company to assist them.
in getting out work for the Aristocrat Company. The Aristocrat Company was destroyed by fire and his brother Harry Pitinda sold the business as a result.

His brother Harry now runs the Pitt Machine Company for Richard Rosenberg who bought the business.

Morris stated he never knew Julius Rosenberg before March 1950 although he had seen him occasionally when Julius visited the Aristocrat Company on business. While employed under Julius he never noticed any thing unusual and was surprised at his arrest. He did say he noticed Julius was very nervous where his brother-in-law David Greenblatt was arrested and that Julius had mentioned he intended to take a trip. He further stated he never knew or met David Greenblatt. He recalled that there were political discussions with Rosenberg but they were of a general nature and he could not
call anything specific.

He never saw Ethel Rosenberg near to Rosenberg's arrest and then he saw her a couple of times afterwards at the Pitt Company. He said he was not working at the Pitt Company when Rosenberg was arrested but used to drop in once in awhile afterwards and that was when he saw Ethel.

F.C. Buckhau, SA.
January 15, 1951

Special Agent in Charge,
Federal Bureau of Investigation,
United States Department of Justice,
607 United States Court House,

** CONFIDENTIAL **

My dear Sir:

Receipt is acknowledged of your request to have a cover placed for a period of thirty days on all mail addressed to:

JULIUS ROSENBERG & ETHEL ROSENBERG
10 Monroe Street
New York 2, N.Y.

Return Cards [x] Tracings [ ] File No. 65-15348

The necessary instructions have been issued that the indicated item is to be forwarded to your office directly as they arrive, indexed with your file number.

Respectfully yours,

[Signature]

Postmaster:

CC: Station: Knickerbocker 2
For attention.
New York, New York
January 16, 1951

MEMO:

RE: RUSSELL McNUTT
ESPIONAGE - R.

On 1/11/51 I showed a photograph of RAYMOND ANTHONY LOPEZ to DAVID GREENGLASS.

GREENGLASS said LOPEZ looks very much like the Spanish speaking man who came to see JULIUS ROSENBERG with RUSSELL McNUTT in 1946 or 1947 at the G and R Engineering Company in NYC. GREENGLASS said he could not be positive of the identification, however, and is unable to recall whether the man had a mustache.

JOHN W. LEWIS, SA

cc: NY 65-15336
    NY 65-15348

JUL: 99
100-80876

65-15348-1047

F.B.I.
N.Y.C.
FILE

Routed to

Wheeler
New York, N.Y.

January 16, 1951

MEMO:

RE: MAX ELITCHER;
HELENE ELITCHER
ESPIONAGE - R

On January 10, 1951, Mrs. RUTH ALSCHER of 1145 Madison Avenue, New York City, was contacted by the writer in an attempt to arrange a suitable appointment for an interview.

Mrs. ALSCHER who is MAX ELITCHER's sister-in-law, stated that her husband recently died, that she is just recovering from the blow and has three young children to care for, in addition to going to business to support them. She said she was completely occupied, now, and had absolutely no intention of becoming involved in anything. She said she refused to be interviewed by agents and wanted to be left alone to bring up her family. She refused to make any appointment for an interview. She said she never knew anyone named JULIUS ROSENBERG.

VINCENT J. CAHILL, SA

cc: 65-15387
cc: 65-153048

VJC: mbm
65-14873
New York, New York
January 17, 1951

MEMO:

RE: JULIUS ROSENBERG, ET AL;
ESPIIONAGE - R

Herep G. J. VAN DORN, 12/2/50 NYC, page 9, which reflects that the date 1/4/50 appears on stock certificate #2 of Pitt Machine Products Co, Inc.

On 12/21/50, DAVID GREENGLASS advised that he had been wanting to get rid of his Pitt Machine stock since September, 1949 and carried on negotiations with JULIUS ROSENBERG from that time for ROSENBERG to buy the stock. These negotiations were prolonged because RUTH GREENGLASS thought DAVID should get $2000. or $3000. for the stock, and JULIUS ROSENBERG did not have the money to buy it. In January, 1950 ROSENBERG told DAVID he wanted DAVID's stock as he felt if he had control of the stock, he would be able to negotiate with DAVID SHEIN for the latter's preferred and common stock. JULIUS promised DAVID GREENGLASS he would pay GREENGLASS $1000. for the latter's stock, with payment to be made at such time as the earnings of the corporation would permit. On the basis of the above offer, DAVID GREENGLASS took his stock to BENJAMIN GELMAN, notary public, and had his signature notarized as signing over the stock to JULIUS ROSENBERG on 1/4/50. He intended to turn over the stock to ROSENBERG immediately thereafter, but did not because RUTH GREENGLASS objected to giving up the stock without payment.

In May, 1950 JULIUS told DAVID he thought he could get SHEIN out of the corporation, if GREENGLASS would let JULIUS have his stock. At that time DAVID had decided he could get nothing for his stock and surrendered it to JULIUS ROSENBERG.

JOHN W. LEVIS, SA

cc: NY 65-15336

JUL:Li67
65-15344

F.B.I.
NY 15348
10/50

N.Y.C.
MEMO:

RE: MARK PAGE
ESPIONAGE - R

Reference is made to the claim of Dr. MAX HART, that the ROSENBERGS and PAGES were recommended to him by Mrs. BERTHA ESKIN, 1444 Park Place, Brooklyn.

MRS. HERMAN ESKIN

On 12/21/50, DAVID GREENGLASS advised that he has never heard of BERTHA ESKIN or her brother-in-law ARTHUR SHARFIN. The latter's photograph is not familiar to GREENGLASS.

DAVID GREENGLASS said he understood that Mrs. MARK PAGE, before her marriage had been a fiancée of Dr. MAX HART, and that ETHEL ROSENBERG had first gone to Dr. HART upon the recommendation of Mrs. MARK PAGE.

On 1/12/51, RUTH GREENGLASS advised that she has never heard of BERTHA ESKIN or ARTHUR SHARFIN.

JOHN W. LEWIS, SA

cc: NY 65-15336
NY 65-15348

[Signature]

F. B. I.

Routed to Fill
New York, New York
January 17, 1951

MEMO:

Re: JULIUS ROSENBERG, ET AL;
ESPIONAGE - R

Rerep SA GERALD J. VAN DORN, 12/2/50, New York City, p 37, which reflects Pitt Machine Products, Inc., Check #2356, payable to JULIUS ROSENBERG for $800.00 which was voided and not issued.

On December 21, 1950, DAVID GREENGLASS advised that when the Pitt Corporation was formed and DAVID SHEIN, became a principal, SHEIN agreed to pay DAVID and BERNARD GREENGLASS and JULIUS ROSENBERG $800.00 for the good will of the G. and R. Engineering Company and that instant check was written in this regard by JULIUS ROSENBERG but that he apparently could not get SHEIN to sign the check.

JOHN W. LEWIS, SA

cc 65-15336
MEMO:

RE: JULIUS ROSENBERG
ESPIONAGE - R


Correspondence of DAVID and RUTH GREENGLASS indicates that RUTH was living at 266 Stanton Street, NYC until about February 21, 1945. Both DAVID and RUTH state that she lived at that address until she moved to Albuquerque, New Mexico about March 1, 1945.

RAYMOND SHEA, the present superintendent at 266 Stanton Street has been there only a few months, and does not know GREENGLASS. He advised that the owner of the building is FRANK WILENTZ, 690 Fort Washington Avenue, NYC, telephone Wadsworth 7-4348, who is a brother of the former New Jersey Attorney General who prosecuted RAUPHANN in the Lindbergh Kidnapping Case.

Mrs. FRANK WILENTZ advised that her husband's records reflect that DAVID and RUTH GREENGLASS rented apartment 4C at 266 Stanton St, NYC from December, 1942 through February, 1945. She also advised that some of the defense attorneys had been attempting to get this same information from them during the week ending 1/5/51, but that she and her husband had declined to furnish them with the information, as they do not wish to become involved in the case. She said that the records are kept at her husband's office out of the city and that they would like to have about two weeks notice in the event it becomes necessary to produce the records in Court.

JOHN N. LEWIS, SA

cc-NY 65-15336

65-15348 - 6641

F.B.I.
NEW YORK, NY
JANUARY 17, 1951

BUREAU - URGENT

RE. NATHAN SUSSMAN, WA. ESP. R. REBUTEL JANUARY FIFTEENTH NINETEEN FIFTY ONE. SUSSMAN INTERVIEWED JANUARY SEVENTEENTH NINETEEN FIFTY ONE AT HIS PLACE OF EMPLOYMENT, FAIRCHILD AIRCRAFT CO, FARMINGDALE,
NY. HE ADMITTED JOINING YCL AT ABOUT AGE SEVENTEEN AROUND NINETEEN THIRTY FIVE IN BKLYN., NY. HE TRANSFERRED TO YCL CLUB WHEN HE WENT TO CONY. AT CONY HE STATES MEMBERSHIP WAS IN "MAIN UNIT" AND HE COULD NOT RECALL ACTUAL MEMBERSHIP IN STEINMETZ HALL BRANCH. IN THIS UNIT HE STATED HE WAS ELECTED PRESIDENT IN NINETEEN THIRTY SEVEN. HE BELIEVES HE MIGHT HAVE HELD PRIOR OFFICES BUT CLAIMS HE CANNOT RECALL. AFTER LEAVING CONY HE WAS ASSOCIATED WITH YCL WHICH MET AT AVENUE C AND CLINTON ST., NYC, UNTIL JUNE OR JULY NINETEEN FORTY. AFTER THIS DATE HE BECAME INACTIVE IN YCL. HE SUBSEQUENTLY ATTENDED OCCASIONAL CP MEETINGS IN DOWNTOWN MANHATTAN IN THE HOMES OF PARTY MEMBERS. HE THEN JOINED THE CP HE BELIEVES IN THE SPRING OR SUMMER OF NINETEEN FORTY TWO. THIS WAS A BRANCH OF INDUSTRIAL SECTION WHICH HELD MEETINGS IN MEMBERS HOMES. HE ALSO ADVISED THAT JULIUS AND ETHEL ROSENBERG WERE BOTH IN SAME INDUSTRIAL SECTION AT THE SAME TIME. HE BELIEVES HE MAY HAVE BEEN TREASURER WHILE IN INDUSTRIAL SECTION. HE ALSO STATED ONE MEETING OF INDUSTRIAL SECTION UNIT WAS HELD IN HIS HOME. HIS WIFE BECAME CP MEMBER WHILE HE WAS IN INDUSTRIAL SECTION. HE STATED INDUSTRIAL SECTION WAS BROKEN UP IN LATE NINETEEN FORTY THREE AND HE REQUESTED HE AND WIFE BE TRANSFERRED TO SUNNYSIDE SECTION CPA

BRENN "THOMPSON HILL" CLUB IN ABOUT FEBRUARY NINETEEN FORTY FOUR. HE DOES

65-15348-1055
NOT RECALL HOLDING OFFICE IN THIS GROUP BUT STATED HE MAY HAVE APPLIED FOR POSITION AS LITERATURE AGENT. IN ABOUT THE FALL OF FORTY FOUR HIS WIFE BEGAN A NUMBER OF SOCIOLOGY COURSES AT COLUMBIA UNIVERSITY FOR ONE OF WHICH SHE WROTE A PAPER ON THE CP. HE BELIEVES HE REMAINED A CP MEMBER PARTIALLY THROUGH INERTIA AND PARTLY TO ENABLE HIS WIFE TO COMPLETE ABOVE MENTIONED PAPER. BELIEVES THEY RESIGNED FROM CP IN ABOUT JANUARY NINETEEN FORTY FIVE. THEY RESIGNED BECAUSE OF DISAGREEMENT WITH CP PRINCIPLES AND DEMONSTRATIONS OF UNDEMOCRATIC ACTIONS ON PART OF THOMPSON HILL CLUB MEMBERS. RECALLS HE WAS ACTIVE IN AMERICAN YOUTH CONGRESS AND STATED HE ATTENDED AYC PEACE RALLY IN WASHINGTON, D.C. IN NINETEEN FORTY. ALSO STATED HE WAS MEMBER OF AMERICAN STUDENT UNION AND AMERICAN PEACE MOBILIZATION AT CCNY. BELONGED TO FACT ABOUT NINETEEN FORTY TWO OR THREE. BELONGED TO STATE, COUNTY, AND MUNICIPAL WORKERS OF AMERICA CIO WHILE EMPLOYED AT NYC WELFARE DEPT. DURING THE INTERVIEW HE REFUSED TO BE SPECIFIC CONCERNING CP MEETING PLACES, NAMES OF PARTY MEMBERS STATING HE DID NOT DESIRE TO FURNISH INFO ABOUT OR IDENTIFY PARTY MEMBERS. HE STATED HE HAS TODAY HAD NO CONTACT WITH CP SINCE HIS RESIGNATION AND HAS NO SYMPATHY WITH CP OR COMMUNIST IDEAS AND IS UNEQUIVOCALLY IN FAVOR OF PRESENT FORM OF US GOVERNMENT. HE STATED HE BECAME A MEMBER OF THE CP PRIOR TO HIS EXECUTING AN AFFIDAVIT AT WESTERN ELECTRIC TO THE EFFECT HE WAS NOT HAD AND NOT BEEN A MEMBER OF THE CP BECAUSE HE FELT IF HE ADMITTED
MEMBERSHIP IN THE CP HE WOULD NOT BE EMPLOYED. HE STATED HE WAS NOT
REQUIRED TO SIGN SUCH AFFIDAVIT AT FAIRCHILD BUT ADVISED HE DID NOT
LIST FORMER CP MEMBERSHIP ON HIS APPLICATION FOR THE SAME REASON.
HE CLAIMS HE WAS NEVER APPROACHED OR RECRUITED BY JULIUS ROSENBERG.
CLAIMS HE NEVER FURNISHED INFO TO ROSENBERG OR ANYONE ELSE. HE DE-
CLINED TO EXECUTE A SIGNED STATEMENT AT THE CONCLUSION OF THE
INTERVIEW BECAUSE OF POSSIBILITY OF INCrimINATING HIMSELF. HE IN-
DIcATED HE MIGHT BE WILiING TO SIGN A STATEMENT ON THE EIGHTEENTH INSTANT.
THE BOARD REFERRED TO IN MY TEL JANUARY TENTH NINETEEN
FIFTY ONE IS ARMY, NAVY, AIR FORCE SECURITY BOARD.

Scheidt
New York, New York
January 18, 1951

MEMO:

Re: NATHAN SUSSMAN
ESPIONAGE - R

On 12/21/50, DAVID GREENGlass was reinterviewed concerning
captioned subject at which time he furnished the following information:

SUSSMAN is described as:

Height: 6'1"
Build: Slender
Hair: Blonde and parted on the side
Complexion: Fair
Features: Long thin face, receding chin;
Occupation: Electrical Engineer

GREENGLASS first met SUSSMAN about 1937 or 1938 as a friend of
JULIUS ROSENBERG. At that time GREENGLASS and his sister ETHEL occupied
an apartment together and SUSSMAN frequented this apartment as did ROSEN-
BERG until about 1939 when ROSENBERG and ETHEL were married.

About 1939 SUSSMAN was interested in the use of electronics
to operate automatic machine tools. In 1939, SUSSMAN and ROSENBERG
were very good friends and shared the same political beliefs.

cc: 65-15336
cc: 65-15343 ✔

J.N.L: mom
65-15399
Memo:
MI 65-15399

GREENGLASS once went for a ride with SUSSMAN on the lower east side of Manhattan but is unable to recall the reason for this ride.

GREENGLASS is under the impression he has not seen SUSSMAN since 1939. JULIUS ROSENBERG mentioned SUSSMAN several times between 1946 and 1949, saying he had received letters from SUSSMAN and that the latter had married. GREENGLASS is of the belief that ETHEL ROSENBERG probably carried on the correspondence with SUSSMAN, because JULIUS ROSENBERG is not a letter writer.

On 1/12/51 RUTH GREENGLASS advised she does not recognize SUSSMAN'S name or photograph and believes she has never met nor heard of him.

JOHN W. LEWIS, SA
January 18, 1951
New York, New York

MEMO:

Re: JULIUS ROSENBERG, ET AL
ESPIONAGE - R

Rerep G. J. VanDorn, 12/2/50, NYC, page 31, which reflects that PITT MACHINE PRODUCTS CO., INC., had no shop payroll from October, 1948 to April, 1949.

On 12/21/50 DAVID GREENGLASS advised that during the above period, the only persons working at Pitt were himself, BERNARD GREENGLASS and JULIUS ROSENBERG. They were drawing no pay during that period to enable the company to pay off its debts. DAVID GREENGLASS recalls that he was working so hard during that time that he had to quit attending night school.

JOHN W. LEWIS, SA

cc: 65-15336

JWL:mbm
65-15348

F.B.I.
New York, New York
January 18, 1951

DEMO:

Re: JULIUS ROSENBERG, ET AL
ESPIONAGE - R

Rerep G. J. Van Dorn 12/2/50 NYC, p. 3b, reflecting that officers of PITTMACHINE PRODUCTS INC., drew no salaries from Oct. '48 to 7/28/50.

On 12/21/50 DAVID GREENGlass advised that during the above-mentioned period he had paid his current living expenses by cashing his war bonds; BERNARD GREENGlass had lived on savings and JULIUS ROSENBERG said he obtained money for living expenses from his friends.

JOHN W. LEWIS, SA

cc: 65-15336

JWL:mbm
65-15348
January 18, 1951

Honorable Albert Goldman

Postmaster Office
33rd St. & 8th Ave.
New York, N.Y.

Dear Sir:

In connection with an investigation being conducted by this Bureau, it is requested that a cover be placed on all mail, including special delivery and registered mail, of the following for a period of thirty days:

JULIUS COHEN
LENA COHEN
140 Baruch Place, New York

☐ return cards
☐ tracings

Your cooperation in this matter is greatly appreciated.

Very truly yours,

EDWARD SCHEIDT
Special Agent in Charge

65-15348-1059
NEW YORK, NEW YORK

JANUARY 18, 1951
BUREAU: URGENT.

NATHAN SUSSMAN; ESPIONAGE - R. RENYTTEL JANUARY SEVENTEEN,
LAST. NATHAN SUSSMAN ON THIS DATE SIGNED STATEMENT EMBODY-
ING SUBSTANTIALLY THE SAME INFO AS SET OUT IN RETEL.

SCHEIDT

CC: 65-15348

BST: RMC (#6)
65-15399

65-15348-1060-1
New York, New York
January 18, 1951

Transmit the following Teletype message to: PHILADELPHIA
URGENT
JULIUS ROSENBERG, ETAL; ESP.-R. PEREP SA JALES P. LEE, NY, SEPTEMBER EIGHT, NINETEEN FIFTY. ON PAGE EIGHTY SIX OF REFERENCED REPORT IS NAME OF PHILADELPHIA SIGNAL CORPS HOWARD FIVE TWO THOUSAND, AND THE NAMES CHANDLER NO. THREE SEVENTY FOUR, AND STEINBERG NO. TWO-SIXTEEN. WILL DETERMINE THE IDENTITIES OF THESE PERSONS AND IF DEEMED, ADVISABLE WILL INTERVIEW TO DETERMINE REASON FOR THEIR NAMES APPEARING IN NOTEBOOK OF JULIUS ROSENBERG.

SCHIEIDT

JFL:JRT
65-15348 (%)

OK

Approved: Special Agent in Charge

Sent \[\text{[illegible]}\] Per \[\text{[illegible]}\]

65-15348-1082
New York, New York
January 18, 1951

MEMO:

Re: JULIUS ROSENBERG, ET AL
ESPIONAGE - R

Ref: G. J. Van Dorn 12/2/50, NYC, p. 40, reflecting G. & R.
ENGINEERING CO. records show furnds from "Hebrew Loan".

On 12/21/50, DAVID GREENGLASS advised that the above-mentioned
funds were obtained by his mother, JESSIE GREENGLASS, who borrowed about
$500 from the Hebrew Free Loan Society located on 6th St. or Seventh St.
near Second Avenue, NYC. Mrs. GREENGLASS obtained this loan without
interest for the benefit of the G & R Engineering Company which paid
off the loan.

cc: 65-15336

JOHN W. LESIS, SA

JWL:mbm
65-15348
New York, New York

January 18, 1951

MEMO:

Re: JULIUS ROSENBERG ET AL.
ESPIONAGE — R

Rerep G. J. Van Dorn 12/2/50, NYC, page 15A, stating that the
PITT Machine Products Inc., balance sheet dated 12/31/49 was prepared
by Isaac's for ROSENBERG in connection with some bank loan or bid on
government contract.

On 12/21/50 DAVID GREENGLASS advised that he was not active in
PITT MACHINE PRODUCTS INC., as of 12/31/49 and knows nothing concerning the
above-mentioned balance sheet. He said he would not be able to estimate
the inventory on that date and is of the opinion it would be very difficult
for anyone to have arrived at an accurate estimate of the value of the
inventory. He believes that the balance sheet may have been made for either
a bank loan or for a bid on a government contract. DAVID GREENGLASS believes
that JULIUS ROSENBERG maintained an estimate file for government contracts.

JOHN W. LEWIS, SA

CC: 65-15336

JWL: mom
65-15348

65-15348: 1964

F.B.I.

NYC.

NYC.

Routed

Montana File
New York, New York
January 18, 1951

MEMO:

RE: JULIUS ROSENBERG; was;
ETHEL ROSENBERG; was;
ESP. - R

Re report by GERALD J. VALLE DORN dated 12/2/50
at New York City.

Information set out in this memo is in
coverage of the leads set out on pages 42 and 43 of referenced
report to interview HARRY ZUCKER, 16 Court Street, Brooklyn.

Mr. HARRY ZUCKER, lawyer, 16 Court Street,
Brooklyn, New York, advised on January 15, 1951, that IRVING
A. RUBIN and HEYER H. COHEN are entirely unfamiliar with the
organization of Pitt Machine Products, Inc.; that RUBIN is in
the construction business and COHEN is in the real estate
business. He stated RUBIN and COHEN were used merely as
"dummy incorporators", since they happened to be in his office
the day the Pitt Company was incorporated and he needed names
for the articles of incorporation.

ZUCKER stated the owners of this corporation
did not know RUBIN and COHEN and the latter knew nothing of the
corporation. He stated all interest in the corporation was
transferred from COHEN and RUBIN immediately after the incorp-
oration and their sole interest and contribution to the company
had been the use of their names in the matter of incorporation.

Mr. ZUCKER further stated that stock
certificate P1 is for preferred stock and that all preferred
stock in the Pitt Machine Products, Inc., was to be held by his

JJD:RJS
65-15348
MEMO: 65-15348

client DAVID SHEIN. According to an agreement among stockholders dated October 22, 1947, he advised that in SHEIN'S interest he drew up this agreement dated October 22, 1947, which was designed to offset SHEIN'S disadvantage in being an inactive owner of the Pitt Co., in contrast to the active ownership of JULIUS ROSENBERG and the GREENGLASS brothers.

This agreement was also designed to protect SHEIN from being out-voted by the ROSENBERG-GREENGLASS family in matters pertaining to the Pitt Company. This agreement provided that SHEIN would advance capital to the company and would thereby acquire exclusive preferred stock to equal the amount of capital he advanced. It further provided that SHEIN would be entitled to one per cent return on the preferred stock and that he would also acquire 25 per cent of the company's common stock.

According to the October 22, 1947, agreement no money could be paid as dividends on the common stock until the preferred stock was completely retired, and all money received by SHEIN would be in the form of retirement of his preferred stock until the preferred stock was entirely paid off, after which, SHEIN would remain the owner of 25 per cent of the company's common stock.

This agreement also provided that JULIUS ROSENBERG and the GREENGLASS brothers would devote all their time to the Pitt Company, and that SHEIN would devote none of his time to the business. The Pitt Company was to employ and accountant to be designated by SHEIN and all common stock dividends were to have been in four equal shares.

Mr. ZUCKER stated that the United States Documentary Stamps, "Series 1949", which were attached to stock certificate stubs 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5, all dated 12/11/47, had been attached to the stock certificates by himself in compliance with the United States Internal Revenue Code and
MEMO
NY 65-15348

New York State Tax Laws.

He stated that when stock is issued by a
new corporation Federal and State laws require that such
stamps be affixed to the certificates in order to make the
stock transfer binding and that such stamps are ordinarily
attached in an amount corresponding with the value of the stock
being issued.

In view of the information set out above
concerning IRVING A. RUBIN and MEYER H. COHEN, these persons
were not interviewed for their knowledge of the organization of
Pitt Machine Products, Inc., in 1947 as was suggested in a
lead on page 42 of referenced report.

J. J. Dorrwein, S.A.
MEMO

This is to advise that JEROME E. VARTAKOV, who is presently incarcerated at the Federal House of Detention, New York City, has been furnishing information to agents of the New York office with reference to JULIUS ROSENBERG (65-15348).

Inasmuch as information furnished by VARTAKOV is of a security nature, memos relative to the information furnished by him are being placed in the ROSENBERG file and no copies are being designated for instant file.

ARMIAND A. CAGNAROTA, SA

cc: 65-15348

AAC:FJS 26-8646

65-15348 7/67

FBI NEW YORK

12-13-51

W. Nathan
New York, N.Y.

January 19, 1951

MEMO

Re: JULIUS ROSENBERG, ET AL.

ESPIONAGE - R

Rerep G. J. VAN DORN 12/2/50 NYC, page 39 reflecting details of
capital investment in the G & R Engineering Co.

On 12/21/50, David Greenglass advised that he is of the opinion
that the capital investment records shown above are correct. He said that
the books of G & R had been kept by ISADORE GOLSTEIN who was an accountant.
DAVID GREENGGLASS furnished the following information concerning the with-
drawals of capital:

$310 withdrawn 7/23/46 by DAVID GREENGLAST was for down
payment on his furniture;

$500 withdrawn by BERNARD GREENGGLASS 7/23/46 and in 8/46 was for
down payment on machinery purchased by the G & R ENGINEERING CO. The
other withdrawals listed were to meet payrolls and were listed under the
name of whichever partner who happened to go to the bank to get the payroll
money.

The $3,870.00 capital investment 7/16/46 listed to BERNARD
GREENGGLASS had been borrowed from relatives for the benefit of the G & R
ENGINEERING CO.

JOHN W. LEWIS, SA

cc: 65-15336

JWL: mbm
65-15348

F.B.I.

Y.C.

Filed 1/5/51
New York, New York
January 19, 1951

LECO:

RE: JULIUS ROSENBERG, ET AL;
   ESPIONAGE - R

Rerep G. J. VAN DORN, 12/2/50, NYC, page 40, which reflects that G. & R. Engineering Co. check $1093.00 dated 10/14/46, for $200.00 was payable to T. GREENGLASS for "Coma on job" and that this amount is offset by a $200.00 charge to the Amco job. These records also reflect receipt of $25. on 3/10/47 and $63.00, 4/15/47, from BRUCE ROSENBERG for the BRUCE ROSENBERG job.

On 12/21/50, DAVID GREENGLASS explained that T. GREENGLASS is his mother and that the above-mentioned $200.00 was in payment for work done by his father BARNET GREENGLASS on the STEVE JAVIA contract which involved "Amco" iron having magnetic qualities. DAVID explained that his father's machine shop business had been put in the name of TESSIE GREENGLASS because BARNET GREENGLASS had been too generous in becoming cosigner of notes for his friends.

DAVID GREENGLASS advised that G and R. Engineering Company had made some tools for the woodworking shop of BRUCE ROSENBERG who was a cousin of ISIDORE GOLDSMITH. GREENGLASS believes BRUCE was located in Philadelphia, Pa.

JOHN W. LEWIS, SA

cc-NY 65-15336

JWL:LEW
65-15348
Office Memorandum  •  UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

TO: SAC, New York
FROM: SAC, Buffalo
SUBJECT: JULIUS ROSENBERG
ESPIONAGE - R

DATE: January 19, 1951

REGISTERED MAIL

Reurtel to Buffalo, dated 1/13/51, requesting this office to contact
Mr. BARLEY at the General Foods Plant, Leroy, New York, and to obtain from him
all descriptive information concerning Jello boxes in use during the years 1944
and 1945 together with descriptive data regarding changes in Jello boxes since
that time. It was further requested samples of all Jello boxes in use from 1944
to date be obtained and furnished to New York.

There is no Mr. BARLEY at the Jello Plant, General Foods Corp.,
Leroy, New York.

KARL G. BRIERLEY, Superintendent of Carton Manufacturing, advised
he is the person competent to testify in court regarding Jello boxes and he
has been notified by the General Foods Corp. attorneys in New York City that
he can expect a subpoena to testify at the ROSENBERG trial next month.

BRIERLEY explained that until May, 1941 Jello boxes had the follow-
ing dimensions:

Flat - 6 1/16" x 8 7/8"
Folded - Height 2 13/16"
Width 3 3/8"
Thickness 1 5/16"

From May, 1941 to the present Jello boxes have the following
dimensions:

Flat - 4 29/32" x 8 1/8"
Folded - Height 2 3/16"
Width 3 3/8"
Thickness 1"

Prior to 1942 some Jello cartons were manufactured by the Postum
Cereal Division, General Foods Corp., Battle Creek, Michigan. In 1950 the U.S.
Printing & Lithograph Corp., Mineola, Long Island, manufactured for the Jello
Division on a sub-contract basis sixteen million cartons marked Orange, eighteen
million cartons marked Lemon and sixteen million cartons marked Lime. These
cartons manufactured at Mineola are identified by the letter "P" on the glue flap
of the carton.

Mr. BRIERLEY explained that the cartons manufactured by the Jello
Division are identified according to form number. In this connection he explained
the various form numbers as follows:

65-19-348-1071

TJJ:DBN to Karl G. Brierley, General Foods
65-2000

Tel. 913-0042
January 19, 1951

Letter to SAC, New York

RE: JULIUS ROSENBERG

ESPIONAGE - R

Form #10

The first carton of Form #10 was printed for the Lemon flavor on July 12, 1943. This form differed from the previous form in that the number seven flavor "Cola" was discontinued as the seventh flavor and the type of the ingredient lines were increased from six point to eight point (increased to one-ninth of an inch). The cartons manufactured for the other flavors were first printed on the following dates:

- Strawberry: August 6, 1943
- Cherry: July 12, 1943
- Lime: September 2, 1943
- Raspberry: July 12, 1943
- Orange: July 12, 1943

Form #11

On September 11, 1944 the Jello Plant began to print one hundred twelve million cartons known as the "Special Edition", which carried a reference to "The Kate Smith Hour". This edition was discontinued on December 21, 1944 and the inventories of Form #10 were then used up.

Form #11 cartons first appeared in the following order:

- Strawberry: September 11, 1944
- Raspberry: September 18, 1944
- Cherry: September 14, 1944
- Orange: September 20, 1944
- Lime: September 28, 1944
- Lemon: October 2, 1944

Form #12

Copies of all flavors except Lime are being transmitted to your office of this form number. This form contains a series of recipes to replace the advertisement for "The Kate Smith Hour" listed on Form #11. The first paragraph of the directions panel and the sub-headings of the second and third paragraphs are set forth in bold-face type. Other changes were made in the type matter pertaining to the directions.

This form number was manufactured from March 15, 1945 to May 6, 1946.
Letter to SAC, New York

RE: JULIUS ROSENBERG

ESPIONAGE - R

January 19, 1951

Form #13

The only change on this form is that the word "Dextrose" was omitted from the ingredient line in all flavors. These cartons were manufactured from March 7, 1946 to September 3, 1946.

This form number is identified by the symbol LR-13 located on the glue flap of the carton, identifying the carton as Form #13 made at the Leroy, New York Plant. All other cartons made subsequent to Form #13 are identified in this manner.

Form #14

This form is not being transmitted as it is a carton made for a "Sure-Jell" solution and is not a jello.

Form #15

This form is the same as #13 except that the recipes were omitted and the top panel contains an advertisement of the "New baby Snooks Show".

These cartons were manufactured from September 3, 1946 to April 24, 1947 when they were discontinued.

Form #16

Only one flavor (Cherry) is available of this form. The only change in the form is the word "tartaric" is dropped from the ingredient line on all flavors.

This form was first printed on April 24, 1947.

Form #17

The change in this form affects only the Lemon cartons. The words "vegetable color" are omitted from the ingredient line and the words "U. S. certified color" are added.

These cartons were printed from April 28, 1947 to May 19, 1947.
Letter to SAC, New York
RE: JULIUS ROSENBERG
       ESPIONAGE - R

January 19, 1951

Form #18

This form has the word "Dextrose" and the words "Sodium citrate and/or phosphate" added to the ingredient line of all flavors.

This form was first printed on May 12, 1947 and was discontinued on November 14, 1947.

An extra flavor of Grape was made and shipped in carton #18. However, only 50,000 cartons were manufactured and all of these were shipped to the Chicago area on July 11, 1947. The carton with the Grape Jello contains the lettering "Seven delicious flavors". The other cartons all contain the lettering "Six delicious flavors".

Form #19

This form contains a change in recipe only, affecting all flavors.

This carton was originally manufactured on November 14, 1947.

Form #20

This form number has not been transmitted as it is a Jello Tapioca and not a jello.

Form #21

The only change in this form is that the ribbon is inverted and the word "Brand" is set in blue over the ribbon.

Cartons of this type were first made on December 30, 1947 and were discontinued in August, 1948.

Form #22

This form is for a carton used on "Sure-Jell" and is not being transmitted.
Letter to SAC, New York

RE: JULIUS ROSENBERG
ESPIONAGE – R

January 19, 1951

Form #23

The only difference in this carton is the word "Brand" is changed in lettering from blue to red. It remains in the same position on the carton.

The first carton of this type was manufactured on March 26, 1948.

Form #24

On this carton the word "Lextrose" was dropped from the ingredient line.

This carton was first made on November 26, 1948.

Forms #25 – #34

Forms #25 through #34 are cartons being used for products made by this company other than Jello.

Form #35

This form is a carton used only for Grape Jello as the company is still experimenting with the sales of this type of Jello. The carton contains the lettering "Six delicious flavors" rather than "Seven" when this flavor was previously used.

Form #36

This is the form listed for the cartons manufactured at Mineola, Long Island by the U. S. Printing and Lithograph Corp. These cartons are identified by the letter "P" on the glue flap of the carton.

Mr. BRIERLEY explained that these cartons are usually delivered to the retail stores approximately sixty days after their manufacture at this plant. However, cartons remain on the shelves of retail stores indefinitely.

BRIERLEY also stated that he furnished photostats of Forms #8 and #9 together with samples of all other subsequent cartons to Mr. R. M. SCHMEITZ,
Letter to SAC, New York

RE: JULIUS ROSENBERG

ESPIONAGE - R

January 19, 1951

General Foods Corp. Office 16-13 located at 250 Park Ave., New York, N.Y.

These cartons were subsequently furnished to one of the corporation attorneys, whom he identified as ARTHUR D. WINGEBACH, Office 7-2 located in the same building.

Due to the numerous changes in the Jello boxes during the past ten years, Mr. BRIERLEY requested that if it were possible he would appreciate information regarding the type, size, flavor and form number of the Jello box he may be called upon to identify at the trial of ROSENBERG in order that he might be better prepared to testify.

As these cartons being transmitted to your office as enclosures to this letter severely deplete BRIERLEY's file, he further requested that these boxes be returned when they have served their purpose.
Re: JULIUS ROSENBERG ESPIONAGE. -R.

Mr. TARTAKOW was interviewed by the writer at the Federal House of Detention on January 9, 1951. He advised that on Wednesday or Thursday of the week previous, the attorney for ABRAHAM BROTHEAN called at the Federal House and had been given some notes which had been prepared by JULIUS ROSENBERG and which ROSENBERG wished delivered to someone outside the prison. According to TARTAKOW, this procedure of getting information out of the prison has been used by ROSENBERG in the past.

TARTAKOW advised that a BYRON B. NIELSON, who had formerly been a chaplain at the prison but who is not now connected in any official capacity at the prison, calls frequently and converses with BROTHEAN. TARTAKOW stated that the two of them are very friendly, and that NIELSON gave BROTHEAN $2,000.00 to be used towards his bail money. Further, that BROTHEAN gives NIELSON little missions to perform for him outside of the prison.

Prison records reflect that BYRON B. NIELSON, a chaplain, resides at 4520 Fourth Avenue, Brooklyn, New York. No direct inquiry was made at the prison concerning NIELSON by the writer.

TARTAKOW stated that ROSENBERG, during his conversations, mentioned a certain TINGLE, school teacher, whom ROSENBERG was supposed to have worked with and who is referred to by ROSENBERG as being reliable.

TARTAKOW stated that on Sunday, January 7, 1951, he and ROSENBERG attended the prison movie theatre where the picture "Lalaya" was being shown. TARTAKOW advised that all during the picture ROSENBERG made no comment other than ordinary interest in the film, but that at a certain time he became very excited and stated that the particular scene being shown at that time was familiar to him.

According to TARTAKOW, ROSENBERG later stated that during the past year, he had gone into a movie theatre on a pre-arranged meeting, and that after sitting down for five minutes a man sat next to him and gave
Memo
65-15348

him a package and he gave this other unidentified individual a package.
According to ROSENBERG, the few scenes that he witnessed at that time
were part of the picture "Malaya".

HARTAKOW was advised that he would be recontacted a day
prior to his next visit to the United States Court House.

ARJAND A. CAMMAROTA, SA
New York, New York
January 19, 1951

Re: JULIUS ROSENBERG
ESPIONAGE - R

On January 5, 1951, Warden THOMPSON of the Federal House of Detention, advised the writer that JEROME MARTAKOW had submitted a letter to him requesting the release of the $500.00 money order which had been sent to him (MARTAKOW) at the prison and which had been impounded by the Warden.

This letter was made available by Warden THOMPSON, and was furnished to SAS JOHN A. HARRINGTON and WILLIAM F. NORTON in order that photostatic copies might be obtained for the file. Instant letter was then returned to Warden THOMPSON by the writer.

MARTAKOW was interviewed on the same date by the writer, and he stated that he had received the $500.00 money order from a friend of his which he was going to send to his wife for the support of their child. MARTAKOW insisted that his $500.00 money order had absolutely no bearing on his association with JULIUS ROSENBERG nor with his activities in connection with ROSENBERG at the Federal House of Detention.

MARTAKOW refused to divulge the identity of the individual who had sent him the money order, stating that he had been asked to keep the matter in confidence and that since the $500.00 had been sent, he felt that he should respect the confidence.

It was pointed out to MARTAKOW that in view of the fact that he had expressed a desire to cooperate with Bureau agents in furnishing information concerning JULIUS ROSENBERG, a reasonable explanation of the source and reason for the $500.00 was expected. MARTAKOW then stated that the reason he refused to divulge the source of the money order was that EUGENE DENNIS and other inmates of the prison would suspect him of being an informant if the person who sent him the money order was to be questioned. He then furnished the following information, requesting that it be kept in confidence for his own protection:

[Handwritten notes and stamp: F.B.I., JAN 25 1951, N.Y.C., Garson File]
He stated that the 3500.00 money order was sent to him by a Reverend RICHARD MORFORD through his secretary, a girl named BELLE, whose last name he did not know. PARTAKOW advised that MORFORD had spent three months in the Federal House of Detention for contempt of Congress, and that he had been released about two months previously. According to PARTAKOW, MORFORD promised to loan him the money while still in jail.

PARTAKOW advised that he had not heard from MORFORD since that time up until about two weeks ago when he sent MORFORD a telegram via a colored inmate who was being released. PARTAKOW stated that shortly after that he heard, via the grapevine, that he would receive the money order in the near future.

PARTAKOW described MORFORD as a supposed liberal but in reality, a leading member of the Communist Party. According to PARTAKOW, ROSENBERG and MORFORD never spoke to one another, and are not acquainted although they were both confined in the Federal House of Detention at the same time.

PARTAKOW was advised that he would be recontacted on January 9 and 10, 1951.
Memo

65-15438

Julius Rosenberg
Espionage - R

Re: Em Van Dorn p 46 (American Central Ins. Co.)

Mr. I.C. Böckle, Local Secretary, American Central Insurance Co., 111 John St. advised the Pitt Machine Products, 370 E. Houston Ave had been issued fire policy # 65-2481.

This policy was in the amount of $3,500 and became effective May 10, 1949, expiring one year from effective date.

The total premium amounted to $87.78 of which $17.76 was collected. The policy was cancelled June 24, 1949 for non-payment of premium balance.

The tickets handling the above transaction was Charles Kisser, 1841 Broadway, N.Y.C.

65-15348 I 07-10-6

Type & letter typed by E.R.
Julius Rosenberg
Espionage-R

Kemp 5A Van Dam p.45 (Barce Press)

Investigation at the Barce Press 20th
23 St, disclosed this is a concern operated by
Bernard Barr, brother of Josl Barr.

Bernard Barr has previously been inter-
viewed concerning his business
transactions with Rosenberg and this information
has been already reported.

Rev. O. Steeden
84.

65-15348 - 1077 - 1
Memo

Julius Rosenberg
Espionage - R

Rudy St. Van Born p. 47 (Geo. KHAVS)

George KHAVS, Insurance Broker, 123 William St. could not recall the name of Julius Rosenberg, but stated the name Greenglass was familiar. He said he was certain he had written a policy for the latter, but could not recall ever meeting Greenglass, or who introduced him.

KHAVS could not recall finding out on Aug 6, 1948 the G & R Engineering Co., 200 E 2nd St. had taken out an Public Liability & Fire Insurance policy, but was canceled on Aug 8, 1948 for non-payment of premiums. A total of $24,18 had been paid toward the premiums. He assured Rosenberg 

Julius Rosenberg
David Greenglass
Bernard Greenglass
Isidore Goldstein

F.B.I.

JAN 22 1951

N.Y.C.

65-15 438
On Nov. 2, 1943, the Pitt-Machine Co. was issued a Burglary Policy; however, it was cancelled on Feb. 24, 1949, for non-payment of premium ($9.50).

An additional Public Liability Policy was also issued on Dec. 27, 1947, covering the Pitt Corp. This policy was cancelled in May 1948, for non-payment of premium after the Pitt Corp had paid $1,000 toward the total.

Rufus L. Shook, Jr.
Memos

January 23, 1951

Re: JULIUS ROSENBERG

ESPIONAGE-R

Reply of S.A. Conrad Van Dorn p. 47

CHARLES KEISER, 1541 Broadway, was contacted by the writer on Jan. 18, 1951. Keiser advised that he was formerly manager of Dependable Bottling, Inc. and was called their organization mutual insurance policies to the Pitt Machine Co.

Keiser said that David Schein, an officer at Pitt, had been a customer of theirs for a number of years prior to the forming of the Pitt Corp. The insurance sold to Pitt was arranged by Schein.

Keiser advised that their records indicate the following policies were issued for the Pitt Machine Corp. Inc:

April, 1949 - a 5,000 face policy of which $193 was paid towards the total premium. This policy was canceled on June 1949 for non-payment.

May, 1949 - a 500 face policy. On July 11, 1949 $71 was paid towards the premium. Canceled Aug. 1949 - non-payment.

Feb, 1950 - a 5,000 face policy of which 11.56 was paid on Feb 15, 1950 toward the premium.

P. D. Smith
L. B.
Memo

January 23, 1951

Re: Julius Rosenberg

Espionage - R

Remains J.A. Harold Good Dec. 6, 1950

Daniel Reit, attorney, 4441 Broadway was contacted by the writer on Jan. 11, 1951.

We advised that Rosenberg had been recommended to him by one Rudy Goldstein, an army associate of Reit's. Goldstein was a friend of Rosenberg's, and when the E.R. company changed to the E.R. Corp. Reit was contacted by Rosenberg to represent him in forming the new corporation. Reit was unable to recall Goldstein's first

name.

Sometime in 1949 Rosenberg contacted Reit to have him constitute legal proceedings against the "Economizer" Co. This company had placed a sizable order with B.T. to manufacture a type of valve designed after manufacture of the same.

On fulfillment of the contract, the Economizer company refused delivery.

Legal proceedings were instituted.
monitum during December 1949 a $2000 judgment
was entered in favor of the 1st corp.

Rit advised that prior to the judgment
the Economy R. allegedly went broke. He located
a bank account for the Economy R. in N.Y.
and placed a liens lien against the account.

He said that he expects to collect about 1/4 of
the judgment or $500 on the near future. From this
amount he will deduct expenses and other
costs.

Rit stated Koenberg gave him no return;
that the case was taken on a percentage
basis. To date, Rosenberg has paid him no
money, and he has not received funds from
the subject since approximately 3 months
prior to this arrest.

The N.Y. induces all negative concern.

Daniel Reit.

S.A.

R.A. Shuler
Memos

January 23, 1951

Re: Julius Rosenberg
Espionage - R

Gentlemen: Gerald J. Van Dorn p 46

Samuel Friedman, 250 W. 57th St., formerly located at 1457 Broadway, advised he sold some insurance to the Pitt Machine Co. Never unable to make the person with whom the transaction was made, nor the amount or type of insurance.

Friedman was formerly associated with Dependable Bodies Inc., and is advised that all records would be located in the office of Charles Kiser, 1841 Broadway, a former officer in the above firm.

Friedman stated he was certain he never met Rosenberg, any of the other officials of Pitt Machine.

F.B.I.

JAN 23 1951
N.Y.C.

Routed to Morton
Fire

183
MEMO:

RE: MORTON SOBELL, was;
ESPIONAGE - R.

Rerep SA REX I. SHRODER, 11/13/50 at NY (Serial 851 of 100-37158) wherein information is set forth that one SARAH SILVERMAN, now Mrs. SARAH POWNELL, was acquainted with SOBELL and ELITCHER in Washington in the Fall of 1939. ELITCHER also stated that SOBELL was dating SILVERMAN at this time, that Communist Party meetings were being held at ELITCHER'S and SOBELL'S home at this time, and that SILVERMAN was a party member who worked for the Civil Service Commission, but either dropped out of the Party or moved away a short time later.

On 1/17/51, Mrs. SIDNEY POWELL, 56-27 194th St, Queens, NYC, was interviewed by the writer and SA JAMES E. FREANLEY. She verified the fact that she was SARAH SILVERMAN before marriage, that she had been employed by the Civil Service Commission at Washington, D.C. about 1939. She stated that at that time she had known a MAX ELITCHER. She described him as a casual acquaintance with whom she had played tennis at a public park in Washington, D.C. She stated that she know nothing of his background or his work, did not know where he lived except that it was somewhere in the Northwest section of Washington, D.C., never met any of his friends, did not date him, nor did he ever meet any of his friends. Her knowledge of him at any time, according to her own statement, was limited to his name and the fact that he played tennis at the same public park at which she played. She identified a picture of MAX ELITCHER as the person in question.

She stated that she had never heard the name MORTON SOBELL, nor could she recognize his photo. She stated flatly that she had never been a member of the Communist Party, or a member of the American Peace Mobilization. She stated she had never heard of JULIUS ROSENBERG, and was not aware of the fact that ROSENBERG and SOBELL were in jail awaiting trial on charges of espionage.

cc-NY 121-2017 (S. Silverman)
NY 66-153/43 (J. Rosenberg)

FBI:

JTO: LEW
100-37158
MEMO
NY 100-37158

She viewed the picture and was given the names of the following persons without eliciting recognition:

JULIUS ROSENBERG
ETHEL ROSENBERG
MORTON SOBEK
WM. DANZIGER
ALFRED SARANT
LOUISE SARANT
DAVID GREENGLASS
RUTH GREENGLASS
MICHAEL SIDOROVITCH
ABBE SIDOROVITCH
VIVIAN GLASZIAN
HELENE ELITCHER
JOEL BARR
RUSSELL McNUTT
MARK PAGE
NATHAN SUSSMAN
HEITZ SAVIDGE
WILSON B. DAYTON
WM. PERL
STEVEN JAVNA
CARLA JAVNA

Mrs. Powell gave short answers to all questions, volunteering no information or explanations. She appeared agitated, and it was the impression of interviewing agents that she was withholding information. She seemed interested in ascertaining the source of the information concerning her, and how her present location was known to the Bureau.

JAMES T. O'BRIEN, SA
January 23, 1951

Special Agent in Charge,
Federal Bureau of Investigation,
United States Department of Justice,
607 United States Court House,

**CONFIDENTIAL**

My dear Sir:

Receipt is acknowledged of your request to have a cover placed for a period of thirty days on all mail addressed to:

JULIUS COHEN
LENA COHEN
140 Baruch Place
New York 2, N. Y.

The necessary instructions have been issued that the indicated item is to be forwarded to your office directly as they arrive, indexed with your file number.

Respectfully yours,

[Signature]
Postmaster.

CC: Station: Knickerbocker-2.
For attention.