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Subject: Julius Rosenberg

File Number: 65 NY 15348

Section: 8
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Johannes Rosenheim
NOTICE

THE BEST COPIES OBTAINABLE ARE INCLUDED IN THE REPRODUCTION OF THE FILE. PAGES INCLUDED THAT ARE BLURRED, LIGHT OR OTHERWISE DIFFICULT TO READ ARE THE RESULT OF THE CONDITION AND OR COLOR OF THE ORIGINALS PROVIDED. THESE ARE THE BEST COPIES AVAILABLE.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Serial</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>No. of Pages</th>
<th>*</th>
<th>Exemptions used or, to whom referred</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Actual</td>
<td>Released</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>388</td>
<td>7-29-50</td>
<td>HQ Letter to NY HQ</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>389</td>
<td>7-29-50</td>
<td>HQ Letter to NY</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>390</td>
<td>7-31-50</td>
<td>SA memo for file - NY</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>391</td>
<td>7-31-50</td>
<td>BA teletype to HQ and NY</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>392</td>
<td>7-31-50</td>
<td>SA Memo for file - NY</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>393</td>
<td>7-31-50</td>
<td>SA Memo for file - NY</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>394</td>
<td>7-31-50</td>
<td>SA Memo for file - NY</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>395</td>
<td>7-31-50</td>
<td>SA Memo for file - NY</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>396</td>
<td>7-31-50</td>
<td>AL teletype to NY</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>397</td>
<td>7-31-50</td>
<td>WP letter to HQ #32</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>398</td>
<td>7-31-50</td>
<td>NY letter to HQ</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>399</td>
<td>8-1-50</td>
<td>NY teletype to HQ</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Designated to or from Bureau and/or Albuquerque
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Serial</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>No. of Pages</th>
<th>Exemptions used or, to whom referred</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>400</td>
<td>8-1-50</td>
<td>SA Memo for file - NY</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>401</td>
<td>8-1-50</td>
<td>SA Memo for file - NY</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>402</td>
<td>8-1-50</td>
<td>ASAC Memo for file - NY</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>403</td>
<td>8-1-50</td>
<td>SA Memo for file - NY</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>404</td>
<td>8-1-50</td>
<td>NY teletype to CG</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>405</td>
<td>8-1-50</td>
<td>NY teletype to NK</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>406</td>
<td>8-1-50</td>
<td>AL teletype to HQ, WFO, NY, BA</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Yes - Infile 65-58236-433</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>407</td>
<td>8-1-50</td>
<td>WFO teletype to HQ, and NY</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Yes - Infile 65-58236-226</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>408</td>
<td>8-1-50</td>
<td>NK teletype to HQ and NY</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Yes - Infile 65-58236-222</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>409</td>
<td>8-1-50</td>
<td>Kx letter to HQ</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Yes - Infile 65-58236-203</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>410</td>
<td>8-1-50</td>
<td>NY teletype to HQ and NK captioned: Carla Small Davia</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Yes - Infile 65-58236-203</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>410 a</td>
<td>8-1-50</td>
<td>WFO letter to HQ</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Yes - Infile 65-58236-228</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Inventory Worksheet**

**NEW YORK FILES**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Serial</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>No. of Pages</th>
<th>Exemptions used or, to whom referred</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>411</td>
<td>8-1-50</td>
<td>NY letter to NH</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>412</td>
<td>8-2-50</td>
<td>SA Memo for file, NY</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>413</td>
<td>8-2-50</td>
<td>SA Memo for file, NY</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>414</td>
<td>8-2-50</td>
<td>SA Memo for file, NY</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>415</td>
<td>8-2-50</td>
<td>HQ teletype to NY</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Yes (in file 65-582)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>416</td>
<td>8-2-50</td>
<td>SA Memo for file</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>417</td>
<td>8-2-50</td>
<td>NY teletype to HQ and AQ</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Yes (in file 65-592)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>418</td>
<td>8-2-50</td>
<td>NY SAC letter to postmaster</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>419</td>
<td>8-2-50</td>
<td>NY teletype to HQ</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Yes (in file 65-582)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>420</td>
<td>8-3-50</td>
<td>NY teletype to HQ, WFO, and Ph</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Yes (in file 65-582)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>421</td>
<td>8-3-50</td>
<td>NK teletype to HQ and NY</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Yes (in file 65-582)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>422</td>
<td>8-3-50</td>
<td>NY teletype to HQ and WFO</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Yes (in file 65-582)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Designated to or from Bureau and/or Albuquerque*
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Serial</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>No. of Pages</th>
<th>*</th>
<th>Exemptions used or, to whom referred</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(Type of communication, to, from)</td>
<td>Actual</td>
<td>Released</td>
<td>(Identify statute if (b)(3) cited)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>423</td>
<td>8-3-50</td>
<td>NY letter to HQ</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>[redacted]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>424</td>
<td>8-3-50</td>
<td>NY letter to HQ</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>[redacted]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>425</td>
<td>8-3-50</td>
<td>NY teletype to HQ, WFO, PH</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>[redacted]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>HQ lab. report to NY</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>[redacted]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>427</td>
<td>8-3-50</td>
<td>HQ lab report to NY</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>[redacted]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>428</td>
<td>8-3-50</td>
<td>HQ lab report to NY</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>[redacted]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>429</td>
<td>8-3-50</td>
<td>LA teletype to Al, WFO, PA</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>[redacted]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>430</td>
<td>8-3-50</td>
<td>Postmaster letter to</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>[redacted]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SAC NY</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>431</td>
<td>8-3-50</td>
<td>Third party letter to NY</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>[redacted]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>432</td>
<td>8-3-50</td>
<td>NY acknowledgement letter of third party</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td>[redacted]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>433</td>
<td>8-4-50</td>
<td>SA Memo for file</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>[redacted]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>434</td>
<td>8-4-50</td>
<td>NY teletype to HQ, WFO, CV, FL, NK</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td>[redacted]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Designated to or from Bureau and/or Albuquerque
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Serial</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Communication Type</th>
<th>Page Count</th>
<th>Exemptions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>435</td>
<td>8-4-50</td>
<td>SA Memo for file</td>
<td>2 Release</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>436</td>
<td>8-4-50</td>
<td>SA Memo for file</td>
<td>1 Release</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>437</td>
<td>8-4-50</td>
<td>SA Memo for file</td>
<td>1 Release</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>438</td>
<td>8-8-50</td>
<td>WFO teletype</td>
<td>1 Release</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>to NY &amp; HQ, # 292</td>
<td></td>
<td>Infile 65-58236-290</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>439</td>
<td>8-4-50</td>
<td>PG teletype</td>
<td>1 Release</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>to HQ &amp; NY</td>
<td></td>
<td>Infile 65-58236-287</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>440</td>
<td>8-4-50</td>
<td>WFO teletype</td>
<td>1 Release</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>to NY and BA</td>
<td></td>
<td>Infile 65-58236-353</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>441</td>
<td>8-4-50</td>
<td>HQ teletype</td>
<td>1 Release</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>to NY</td>
<td></td>
<td>Infile 65-58236-297</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>442</td>
<td>8-5-50</td>
<td>NY teletype</td>
<td>1 Release</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>to HQ, WFO, CV</td>
<td></td>
<td>Profess</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>443</td>
<td>8-5-50</td>
<td>NY teletype</td>
<td>1 Release</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>to HQ, WFO</td>
<td></td>
<td>Infile 65-58236-357</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>444</td>
<td>8-5-50</td>
<td>NY teletype</td>
<td>2 Release</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>to HQ, WFO</td>
<td></td>
<td>Infile 65-58236-288</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>445</td>
<td>8-5-50</td>
<td>NY teletype</td>
<td>1 Release</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>to HQ, WFO</td>
<td></td>
<td>Infile 65-58236-292</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>446</td>
<td>8-5-50</td>
<td>NY SA report</td>
<td>77 Release</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Designated to or from Bureau and/or Albuquerque
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Serial</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>No of Pages</th>
<th>Exemptions</th>
<th>Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>447</td>
<td>8-5-50</td>
<td>NY SA Report</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>INF/1 101-245-25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>448</td>
<td>8-6-50</td>
<td>CG teletype to WFO and NY</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>INF/1 65-58236-289</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>449</td>
<td>8-7-50</td>
<td>BS teletype to HQ and NY</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>INF/1 65-58236-352</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>450</td>
<td>8-7-50</td>
<td>NY teletype to HQ</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>INF/1 65-58236-320</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>451</td>
<td>8-7-50</td>
<td>NY letter to HQ</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>INF/1 65-58236-320</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>452</td>
<td>8-7-50</td>
<td>NY letter to HQ</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>INF/1 65-58236-320</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>453</td>
<td>8-7-50</td>
<td>NY SA Memo for file</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>INF/1 65-58236-320</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>454</td>
<td>8-7-50</td>
<td>NY SA Memo for file</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>INF/1 65-58236-320</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>455</td>
<td>8-7-50</td>
<td>NY SA Memo for file</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>INF/1 65-58236-320</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>456</td>
<td>8-7-50</td>
<td>HQ Lab report to NY</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>INF/1 65-58236-320</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Designated to or from Bureau and/or Albuquerque
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Serial Number</th>
<th>Date of Serial</th>
<th>DELETION (S)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>388</td>
<td>7/29/50</td>
<td>This letter was previously processed as shown on the Inventory Worksheet.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>389</td>
<td>7/29/50</td>
<td>This letter was previously processed as shown on the Inventory Worksheet.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>390</td>
<td>7/31/50</td>
<td>No exemptions were cited.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>391</td>
<td>7/31/50</td>
<td>No exemptions were cited.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>392</td>
<td>7/31/50</td>
<td>No exemptions were cited.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>393</td>
<td>7/31/50</td>
<td>(b)(1) - Paragraph 2 has been classified by Executive Order 11652 on 11/18/77 and it bears the Classification Officer's number 2040.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>394</td>
<td>7/31/50</td>
<td>No exemptions were cited.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>395</td>
<td>7/31/50</td>
<td>No exemptions were cited.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>396</td>
<td>7/31/50</td>
<td>No exemptions were cited.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>397</td>
<td>7/31/50</td>
<td>This letter was previously processed as shown on the Inventory Worksheet.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>398</td>
<td>7/31/50</td>
<td>No exemptions were cited.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>399</td>
<td>8/1/50</td>
<td>This teletype was previously processed as shown on the Inventory Worksheet.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>400</td>
<td>8/1/50</td>
<td>No exemptions were cited.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>401</td>
<td>8/1/50</td>
<td>No exemptions were cited.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>402</td>
<td>8/1/50</td>
<td>No exemptions were cited.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serial Number</td>
<td>Date of Serial</td>
<td>DELETION(S)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>403</td>
<td>8/1/50</td>
<td>No exemptions were cited.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>404</td>
<td>8/1/50</td>
<td>No exemptions were cited.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>405</td>
<td>8/1/50</td>
<td>No exemptions were cited.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>406</td>
<td>8/1/50</td>
<td>This teletype was previously processed as shown on the Inventory Worksheet.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>407</td>
<td>8/1/50</td>
<td>This teletype was previously processed as shown on the Inventory Worksheet.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>408</td>
<td>8/1/50</td>
<td>This teletype was previously processed as shown on the Inventory Worksheet.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>409</td>
<td>8/1/50</td>
<td>This letter was previously processed as shown on the Inventory Worksheet.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>410</td>
<td>8/1/50</td>
<td>No exemptions were cited.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>410a</td>
<td>8/1/50</td>
<td>This letter was previously processed as shown on the Inventory Worksheet.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>411</td>
<td>8/1/50</td>
<td>(b)(7)(D) - This exemption was cited to protect the name and address of a source to whom an expressed or implied promise of confidentiality has been given. The release of this information which includes descriptive data would disclose the identity of this source.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>412</td>
<td>8/2/50</td>
<td>No exemptions were cited.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>413</td>
<td>8/2/50</td>
<td>No exemptions were cited.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>414</td>
<td>8/2/50</td>
<td>No exemptions were cited.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serial Number</td>
<td>Date of Serial</td>
<td>DELETION (S)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>415</td>
<td>8/2/50</td>
<td>This teletype was previously processed as shown on the Inventory Worksheet.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>416</td>
<td>8/2/50</td>
<td>No exemptions were cited.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>417</td>
<td>8/2/50</td>
<td>This teletype was previously processed as shown on the Inventory Worksheet.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>418</td>
<td>8/2/50</td>
<td>No exemptions were cited.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>419</td>
<td>8/2/50</td>
<td>This teletype was previously processed as shown on the Inventory Worksheet.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>420</td>
<td>8/3/50</td>
<td>This teletype was previously processed as shown on the Inventory Worksheet.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>421</td>
<td>8/3/50</td>
<td>This teletype was previously processed as shown on the Inventory Worksheet.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>422</td>
<td>8/3/50</td>
<td>This teletype was previously processed as shown on the Inventory Worksheet.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>423</td>
<td>8/3/50</td>
<td>This letter was previously processed as shown on the Inventory Worksheet.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>424</td>
<td>8/3/50</td>
<td>This letter was previously processed as shown on the Inventory Worksheet.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>425</td>
<td>8/3/50</td>
<td>No exemptions were cited.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>426</td>
<td>8/3/50</td>
<td>This lab report was previously processed as shown on the Inventory Worksheet.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>427</td>
<td>8/3/50</td>
<td>This lab report was previously processed as shown on the Inventory Worksheet.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serial Number</td>
<td>Date of Serial</td>
<td>DELETION (S)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>428</td>
<td>8/3/50</td>
<td>This lab report was previously processed as shown on the Inventory Worksheet.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>429</td>
<td>8/3/50</td>
<td>This teletype was previously processed as shown on the Inventory Worksheet.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>430</td>
<td>8/3/50</td>
<td>No exemptions were cited.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>431</td>
<td>8/3/50</td>
<td>(b)(7)(C) - The name and address of a third party was withheld to the extent that the release of this information would constitute an unwarranted invasion of personal privacy.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>432</td>
<td>8/3/50</td>
<td>(b)(7)(C) - The name and address of a third party was withheld to the extent that the release of this information would constitute an unwarranted invasion of personal privacy.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>433</td>
<td>8/4/50</td>
<td>(b)(7)(D) - The designation of informant symbol numbers was withheld on page 1, paragraphs 1 and 2 and page 2, paragraph 1 to protect the identity of sources who had been assured of complete confidentiality. To release this information would also compromise the further effectiveness of these sources. This exemption was also cited on page 1 paragraphs 1 and 3 to withhold the names and descriptive data of sources to whom an expressed or implied promise of confidentiality had been given. The release of this information would disclose the identity of the sources.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>434</td>
<td>8/4/50</td>
<td>No exemptions were cited.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>435</td>
<td>8/4/50</td>
<td>No exemptions were cited.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>436</td>
<td>8/4/50</td>
<td>No exemptions were cited.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>437</td>
<td>8/4/50</td>
<td>No exemptions were cited.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serial Number</td>
<td>Date of Serial</td>
<td>DELETION (S)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>438</td>
<td>8/8/50</td>
<td>This teletype was previously processed as shown on the Inventory Worksheet.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>439</td>
<td>8/4/50</td>
<td>This teletype was previously processed as shown on the Inventory Worksheet.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>440</td>
<td>8/4/50</td>
<td>This teletype was previously processed as shown on the Inventory Worksheet.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>441</td>
<td>8/4/50</td>
<td>This teletype was previously processed as shown on the Inventory Worksheet.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>442</td>
<td>8/5/50</td>
<td>No exemptions were cited.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>443</td>
<td>8/5/50</td>
<td>This teletype was previously processed as shown on the Inventory Worksheet.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>444</td>
<td>8/5/50</td>
<td>This teletype was previously processed as shown on the Inventory Worksheet.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>445</td>
<td>8/5/50</td>
<td>This teletype was previously processed as shown on the Inventory Worksheet.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>446</td>
<td>8/5/50</td>
<td>This report was previously processed as shown on the Inventory Worksheet.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>447</td>
<td>8/5/50</td>
<td>This report was previously processed as shown on the Inventory Worksheet.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>448</td>
<td>8/6/50</td>
<td>This teletype was previously processed as shown on the Inventory Worksheet.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>449</td>
<td>8/7/50</td>
<td>This teletype was previously processed as shown on the Inventory Worksheet.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>450</td>
<td>8/7/50</td>
<td>This teletype was previously processed as shown on the Inventory Worksheet.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serial Number</td>
<td>Date of Serial</td>
<td>DELETION (S)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>451</td>
<td>8/7/50</td>
<td>This letter was previously processed as shown on the Inventory Worksheet.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>452</td>
<td>8/7/50</td>
<td>This letter was previously processed as shown on the Inventory Worksheet.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>453</td>
<td>8/7/50</td>
<td>(b)(7)(C) - The investigatory file information of a third party was withheld on page 2 to the extent that the release of this information would constitute an unwarranted invasion of personal privacy. The investigation pertains to political affiliation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(b)(7)(D) - This exemption was cited on page 1 to withhold the designation of an informant symbol number to protect the identity of a source who had been assured of complete confidentiality. To release this information would also compromise the further effectiveness of this source.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>454</td>
<td>8/7/50</td>
<td>No exemptions were cited.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>455</td>
<td>8/7/50</td>
<td>No exemptions were cited.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>456</td>
<td>8/7/50</td>
<td>This lab report was previously processed as shown on the Inventory Worksheet.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
I called Inspector Carl Henrich, Bureau, at 3:15 P. M., today and advised him that ROSENBERG appeared before USC Edward W. McDonald, SDNY, at 2:45 P. M., today. Mr. Saypol requested an adjournment until 8/15/50 and a continuation of bail at $100,000.00. Emanuel H. Bloch represented ROSENBERG and argued that ROSENBERG was being held on an affidavit of an FBI Agent and Mr. Saypol immediately interrupted and stated that FBI agents always have facts and do not swear lightly to complaints. Bloch stated that he agreed and that his remarks should not be interpreted as any reflection on Bureau agents. After some further minor arguments, Commissioner McDonald granted USA Saypol's motion for continuation until 8/15/50 and continued the bail of ROSENBERG in one hundred thousand dollars.

ROBERT A. GRANVILLE, SA

RGG: MFB
New York, New York

MEMO:

RE: JULIUS ROSENBERG;
ESPIONAGE – R

A listing of telephone toll calls made from the subject's business phone Gramercy-3-8330 reflect that telegrams were sent from this telephone as follows:

1. 7-2-48 – Hazel Park (Michigan) – $1.08
2. 7-27-48 – Saratoga – $.59
3. 8-31-48 – Philadelphia – $.72
4. 12-8-48 – Hazel Park (Michigan) – $1.19
5. 4-18-49 – New Haven – $.45
6. 4-13-49 – New Haven – $.54
7. 11-11-49 – Hazel Park – $.08
8. 1-7-50 – New York – $.25
9. 3-16-50 – Hazel Park $1.77

St. WILLIAM O. MC CUE checked the records of Western Union and determined that the copies of all the above telegrams except for the last two have been destroyed.

LOG: RJS
65-15348

FBI - NEW YORK
Jul 31 1950

Blasco
Charles Bozsik is an employee of the Pitt Machine Products, Inc., 370 E. Houston St. Bozsik was retitled by 593 McDougall Place on July 21, 1950.

The telegram dated January 7, 1950, to New York, is addressed to C. BOZSIK, 3015 Golden Avenue, Bronx, and reads as follows:

"GET IN TOUCH WITH ME AT ONCE BE-3-1208.

JULIUS"

The telegram dated March 16, 1950, to Hazel Park, Michigan, is addressed to Quality Chaser Company, 21033 Stevenson Highway, Hazel Park, Michigan, and reads as follows:

"RUSH SPECIAL DELIVERY TWO SETS 5/16-32 SHORT LEAD STEEL, ONE SET CHASER 4-40-1 LEAD STEEL, ALL FOR 9/16 D. GEOMETRIC PITT MACHINE PRODUCTS; 370 EAST HOUSTON ST."

The latter telegram appears to deal with the business operations of the Pitt Machine Products, Inc.

LESTER O. GALLAHER, SA
New York, New York
July 31, 1950

To:

RE: JULIUS ROSENBERG
ESPIONAGE - R

WILLIAM PERL (ASSOCIATE)

In connection with the investigation to locate and identify WILLIAM PERL and possibly locate the apartment JULIUS ROSENBERG maintained on the East side of NYC the following investigation was conducted by SAC ROBERT HONE and EDWIN R. TULLY on 7/22/50.

ISTHILL BROWN, Superintendent, 310 E. 22nd St. advised he has been superintendent at this address for past six years. He advised that the PERL living at that address was ALFRED PERL, a script writer and "ghost" writer for Full Sail cigarettes. BROPP stated PERL has been living at this address in apt. 30 for about 8 yrs. A cousin CARMICHAEL also lives there. PERL is married and has 2 children, approximately 7 and 4 yrs. His wife is AGNES PERL. BROPP and his wife described PERL as approximately 40-45 yrs., 5'10, slender.

Photog apfs of MICHAIL SIDORVITCH, JULIUS ROSENBERG, JOEL BARR, LOUISE and ALFRED CARMICHAEL, and WILLIAM PERL were exhibited to Mr. and Mrs. BROPP, but they stated they did not recognize any of the photos.

MICHAIL SARACCO, superintendent of the building adjoining 310 E. 22nd St, advised he has lived there for 47 yrs. The photos listed above were exhibited to SARACCO, but he could not identify or recognize any of them.

EDWIN R. TULLY, SA

cc-NY 100-74582

EXT: LEVI
65-15348
New York, New York  
July 31, 1950

MEMO:

RE: ALFRED SARANT, esp.  
ESPIIONAGE - R

Investigation at 65 Norton Street, Greenwich Village, New York City.

FLOYD ELYN, SR.

On July 24, 1950, the writer re-contacted FLOYD ELYN, SR., Superintendent, 65 Barrow Street, who was formerly superintendent at 65 Norton Street, New York City. Mr. ELYN advised that tenants at 65 Norton Street having an AC electrical current outlet supplied to their apartment by the management during the period that he was superintendent, were supposed to have paid an additional fifty cents a month for this service with their rent.

The photographs of subjects and suspects in this case were again displayed to Mr. ELYN and he identified the photograph of SARANT as the individual he knew as SARANT who rented 65 Norton Street in 1943. He identified the photograph of MICHAEL SIDOROVICH as a man who had come to him a few times concerning little odds and ends for apartment 61 at 65 Norton Street, and a person who he had met at various times in the hall at 65 Norton Street. He stated that he thought that this individual, SIDOROVICH, was the man named PERL who had taken over the apartment after SARANT left. Mr. ELYN also identified the "second" photograph of WILLIAM PERL as a man who had been around 65 Norton Street and in Apartment 61 at that address after SARANT had left. Mr. ELYN was still quite positive that the photograph of JUZ BARR could be identical with an individual named FIDMAN who had visited tenants at 65 Barrow Street approximately 1938-1942 as previously reported.

cc: 65-15380  
65-14874  
65-15367  
65-15348 /

HOLIDAY  
65-15360
MEMO
NY 65-15360

MR. AND MRS. FLOYD FLYN, JR.

On July 24, 1950, the writer also reinterviewed Mr. and Mrs. FLOYD FLYN, JR., at 65 Morton Street. MR. FLYN is the present superintendent at that address. The photographs of all subjects and suspects in this case were again displayed to Mr. and Mrs. FLYN. They stated that they recognized and identified photographs of MICHAEL SYDOROVICH as the individual who in June or July, 1947, identified himself to them as MR. SARANT.

MR. FLYN, JR., at this time also recalled that SYDOROVICH was also assisting WILLIAM PERL, WILSON BRUCE PAYTON, and an unknown individual (Unknown Man D described in the writer's report in the JULIUS ROSENBERG'S case dated July 21, 1950), at the time furniture was moved out of Apartment 61 in January, 1950.

Both Mr. and Mrs. FLYN, JR., as previously stated, identified the "second" photograph of WILLIAM PERL as the unknown man B mentioned in the writer's memo of July 21, 1950, as an individual who was at Apartment 61, 65 Morton Street, New York City, near the Christmas holidays of 1949 and January, 1950, whom he knew as PERL. They also identified a photograph of WILSON BRUCE PAYTON as unknown man C mentioned in the memo, as the man who at one time had accepted a letter for PERL and who was present in the apartment in January, 1950, with PERL.

Mr. FLYN, JR., at this time identified the "mug" photographs of ALFRED SARANT as an individual who came to the lobby of 65 Morton Street about three or four months ago (approximately April, 1950) and rang for the superintendent. FLYN said that he went to the lobby and this man asked him if "SARANT still had Apartment 61". FLYN said he told him that the apartment had been given up by SARANT and this man then left without even thanking him for the information.

T. E. WILEY

On July 24, 1950, T. E. WILEY, colored, 1125 Dean Street, Brooklyn, New York, age thirty-three, was interviewed by the writer. He advised that he had been a porter at the apartment house, 65 Morton Street, New York City, since September, 1945.
NILEY was questioned concerning his knowledge of incidents or individuals in connection with Apartment 61 at that address, and he stated that the only thing he recalled is that he cleaned this apartment after it was vacated in January, 1950. He said that he found an old brown felt hat, a glass dish or vase similar to a fruit bowl of opal white glass in the apartment, and that in the closet in the living room of the apartment he found what appeared to be a drawing board. NILEY stated that he could not describe this board at this time, and that he had noticed nothing else which could possibly be pertinent to the investigation.

The photographs of subjects and suspects in this case were displayed to NILEY and he said that he recognized the photographs of ALFRED SARANT as an individual he has met in the apartment house on the stairs on in the halls. He said he could not recall when he last saw SARANT, but that it had not been for sometime, probably not within the last four years. NILEY also stated that he recognized a photograph of JULIUS FOSNELLER as an individual he has met in the apartment house. He stated that he has seen FOSNELLER within the last two years. NILEY did not recognize any of the other photographs.

It is the writer's opinion that NILEY would not make a good witness.

Lock Formerly on Apartment 61 at 65 Horton Street, New York City

On July 21, 1950, FLOYD FLYNN, Jr. furnished the writer with the lock which had been on the door of Apartment 61 at 65 Horton Street at the time of SARANT's tenancy. Mr. FLYNN also furnished one key to this lock. Both FLOYD FLYNN, Sr. and FLOYD FLYNN, Jr., advised that they were positive that this lock was on Apartment 61 during the entire tenancy of ALFRED SARANT from 1943 to January, 1950. The lock, which was observed to be a Mortlax lock and the key made by Independent Lock Company, Fitchburg, Massachusetts, key blank number 1017R, were identified by the writer and Mr. FLOYD FLYNN, Jr. They are being retained as part of the New York file.

Telephone Records — WATKINS 4-1927

On July 25, 1950, the records of the New York Telephone Company were checked by CT WILLIAM H. STAPLETON for background information.
concerning the subscriber to Watkins 4-1927, which telephone was listed to ALFRED SARANT, Apartment 61, 65 Morton Street, in 1949. STAPLETON learned that this telephone had been installed or connected originally as North 4-6479, on October 22, 1945, in the name of ALFRED SARANT at 65 Morton Street and the number had been changed to Watkins 4-1927 as a result of a "cutover" in 1946, exact date unknown. (The term "cutover" means that the exchange listing was changed because of expansion or alteration in telephone equipment or service without the request of the subscriber.)

The records indicate that telephone bills were forwarded to SARANT at the same address and that there was no reference in the telephone records for any other listing for this telephone such as JESL PARL or WILLIAM PERR. The records indicated that this service had been a new connection and was not a transfer of service of another subscriber. The records further indicated that SARANT had Credit Class "B", that he was employed as an engineer for three and one-half years for Western Electric Company at 529 West 42nd Street, telephone Bryant 9-3300, and that a check made on February 9, 1949, indicated that he was no longer there. His bank account was listed as the Manufacturer's Trust Company, 378 Sixth Avenue, and that he had a medium three figure account.

The records further reflected that SARANT'S telephone service was suspended for non-payment on February 10, 1949. This suspension was one way suspension in that only outgoing calls were prohibited and during a five-day grace period normally allowed incoming calls are permitted. The telephone service was disconnected on February 19, 1949.

On July 26, 1950, S. WILLIAM STAPLETON made a check of New York Telephone regarding toll calls made within the last two years from SARANT'S telephone Watkins 4-1927. This check reflected the following tolls:

12/29/48
12/31/48 or 1/24/49
2/4/49
2/15/49

Telegraph, New York City, charge $0.25

Yonkers 3-2862
Yonkers 3-2862
Collect call from "Rep.," Mulberry
7651, Baltimore, Maryland, to
WALTER LINDAUER (or LINDAUER),
Watkins 4-1927. The records
did not appear that apparently LINDAUER was

not present at the apartment and the
toll was accepted by a person named
MADDGAL of 601 West 26th Street, NY.
SE TAYLOR advised that the telephone company is making a further check to determine whether or not there were additional toll calls not listed above.

HARVEY G. LITTLEJOHN, SA
MEMO

RE: JULIUS ROSENBERG
ESPIONAGE - R

The following investigation was conducted by the writer in connection with the statement allegedly made by ROSENBERG to DAVID GREENGLASS to the effect that ROSENBERG took the proximity fuse out of Emerson Electric Company while he was employed there.

Mr. DORIAN D. ISRAEL, Executive Vice President, Emerson Radio and Phonograph Corporation, 111 Eighth Avenue, New York City, verified the fact that ROSENBERG was employed by the Newark Signal Corps Inspection Agency at Emerson Corporation from approximately 1943 to February 1945 and as a civilian employee at Emerson from February 21, 1945 to December 7, 1945. Mr. ISRAEL stated that during this time his position was Vice President in charge of engineering and Vice President in charge of engineering and production, respectively. In this position, he stated, he was concerned with the security measures taken by the Corp. He stated that all security measures taken by the Emerson Corp. were strictly in accordance with those instructions issued in the manual which is published by the U. S. Army for use in all plants having classified contracts.

He stated that a security officer from the U. S. Army conducted inspections and advised the corporation in any questions which arose in connection with security measures. Mr. ISRAEL verified the fact that the Emerson Corporation had a production contract for the proximity (variable-time) fuse during the time ROSENBERG was employed both as a Signal Corps Inspector and privately by Emerson Corporation. According to Mr. ISRAEL, the production of any classified Government contract with the Emerson Corp. is confined to a separately walled area with guards at the entrances to these areas. Passage to these areas and passage from one project area to another was allowed only by a special pass or badge which required the endorsement of the security officer of the Emerson Corp. ISRAEL stated that Signal Corps employees carried passes and were subject to the same restrictions regarding the classified areas as were all of Emerson's employees.
MEMO
NY 65-15348

Mr. ISRAEL advised that the U. S. Signal Corps inspectors maintained a separate office on the second floor and as a rule all Signal Corps activities were directed from this office. He stated that no private employees of the Emerson Corp. were allowed access to that office. However, Mr. ISRAEL stated that it was his recollection that in connection with the proximity fuse production project, the Signal Corps maintained a separate office on the 6th floor within the area that was designated for production and testing of those fuses.

Mr. ISRAEL advised that the specifications and drawings of the proximity fuse were made available to the U. S. Signal Corps for their duties in connection with the inspection of these fuses. Also in connection with their inspection duties the Signal Corp. employees concerned with the proximity fuse project necessarily had access to the proximity fuse itself. Mr. ISRAEL added that inasmuch as no Emerson employee was allowed access to the Signal Corps offices he had no way of knowing the specific duty of any Signal Corps employee within that office of whether any specific Signal Corps employee had access to the fuse or any plan or specification thereof.

Mr. ISRAEL advised that inasmuch as the employees having access to any area to which a classified project was designated turned over so rapidly no list is presently maintained of any employee having access to those areas. In connection with the proximity fuse itself, Mr. ISRAEL stated that Emerson had two contracts during the time ROSENBURG was employed by the Signal Corps at Emerson, one of which was a classified production contract of which the type designation was AN/CRQ ( ), and the number was WZ-013-14. This production contract was entered into in 1943 and delivery was started in April 1944. He stated this production contract terminated on VJ Day or shortly thereafter (August 1945).

The second contract mentioned by Mr. ISRAEL was a development contract pertaining to the proximity fuse which was obtained through the auspices of the office of Scientific Research and Development, National Bureau of Standards, Washington, D.C. Mr. ISRAEL stated that details concerning this development contract could be obtained from Mr. ROBERT S. RALPH, who was a liaison man in connection with this contract. Mr. ISRAEL further advised that he could furnish no information regarding instant contract and that all inquiries were to be directed to the National Bureau of Standards, Washington, D.C.
MEMO
NY 65-15348

Mr. ISRAEL stated that during the time ROSENBERG was privately employed by the Emerson Corporation he worked in the laboratory on two projects the first of which was an Airborne Loran receiver which was referred to as an ID project contract AN/APNL4. Mr. ISRAEL explained that the Loran receiver was a long range navigation instrument utilizing radar. The second project on which ROSENBERG worked while in the employ of Emerson was a Navy contract MODS-14078 which was obtained through the auspices of the Bureau of Ships, U.S. Navy. According to Mr. ISRAEL this equipment was used in signaling between ships of the U.S. Navy. He stated that at no time during his employment with Emerson did ROSENBERG have access to the proximity fuse or any plans or specifications thereof.

Mr. ISRAEL further stated that BENJAMIN YELSKY was the chief Signal Corps inspector and that ROSENBERG was one of the first or direct assistants to YELSKY. He reiterated that he did not know the specific duties of ROSENBERG as a Signal Corp inspector and the firm maintains no records which would reflect such duties. He further indicated that the Emerson Corp. presently maintains no records of persons who had access to the proximity fuse plans between 1943 and 1945. All plans relating to the proximity fuse contracts during ROSENBERG's employment were returned to the agencies through which said contracts were awarded. He added that plans relating to all classified Government contracts are kept in a locked safe except when actually in use.

Mr. PETER R. CERTO, Personnel Officer, Emerson Radio and Phonograph Corp., substantiated some of the information furnished by Mr. ISRAEL and in addition stated that during the time ROSENBERG was a Signal Corps inspector he had wide access to all of the jobs that they were working on at that time. Mr. CERTO advised that it was his recollection that as a Signal Corps inspector ROSENBERG probably had wider access and more freedom of movement through the plant than any employee of Emerson Corp. Mr. CERTO further substantiated the fact that BENJAMIN YELSKY was chief Signal Corps inspector at that time but stated he knew of no social activities between YELSKY and ROSENBERG.

Mr. CERTO stated that ROBERT PINTZ, who is now employed at Intra-Electronics Corp., 2h32 Grand Concourse, Bronx, New York, was employed by Emerson during the war and would probably know the activities of ROSENBERG in relation to the proximity fuse production.
Mr. CERTO also furnished the name of MORRIS L. LEVY, 17 North Chatsworth, Larchmont Hills Apartment, Larchmont, New York, who was employed by Emerson from 1943 to 1949 as one who would know the direct activities of ROSENBERG while he was in the employ of the Emerson Corp.

Accordingly, Mr. ROBERT PINTELL was telephonically contacted by the writer in an attempt to make an appointment for an interview and at the same time he stated that he did not know the specific duties and activities of ROSENBERG in connection with the proximity fuse project. He stated that he remembered ROSENBERG because of the fact that ROSENBERG was extremely confidential and further referred to one ARNOLD R. BUCKLES who would undoubtedly know the specific duties of ROSENBERG as a Signal Corps inspector.

Mr. ARNOLD R. BUCKLES, 50-23 210th Street, Bayside, Long Island, who is presently employed at the Jersey City Branch of the Emerson Radio Corp., asserted that he was employed by the Emerson Corp. as a chief engineer in March 1944 and ROSENBERG was already employed as a U. S. Signal Corps inspector at that time. It was Mr. BUCKLES belief that ROSENBERG as Second Signal Corps inspector in command at Emerson was in charge of inspection of proximity (variable time) fuses. Mr. BUCKLES stated that ROSENBERG had access to the proximity fuse as long as they were being produced at Emerson and that they were produced up to two or three months after VJ Day.

BUCKLES stated that ROSENBERG had access to all files, plans and drawings of the proximity fuse which were made available to the U. S. Signal Corps and he also had access to the fuse itself. BUCKLES also related that ROSENBERG also maintained files of his own regarding the fuse as appeared to be his right as a Signal Corps expert.

STUART J. CAMERON, SA
FBI ALBANY 7-31-50 12-27 PM

SAC, NEW YORK CITY....... U R G E N T

ALFRED SARANT, ESP., R. IN CONNECTION WITH THE SARANT LABORATORIES,
TWO TWO SEVEN WEST ELEVENTH ST., NYC, WHICH SUBJECT CLAIMS HE AND
JOEL BARR OPENED AS A HOBBY SHOP. IF FEASIBLE, NY IS REQUESTED TO
CONDUCT INVESTIGATION AT THE BANKS IN THE VICINITY OF TWO TWO SEVEN
WEST ELEVENTH ST., NYC, TO ASCERTAIN WHETHER A CHECKING ACCOUNT WAS
EVER OPENED IN THE NAME OF THE SARANT LABORATORIES FROM NINETEEN FORTY
FOUR TO DATE. SUBJECT DENIES THAT THERE WAS EVER A CHECKING ACCOUNT,
BUT STATED IF THERE WAS ONE IT WAS OPENED WITHOUT HIS KNOWLEDGE OR
CONSENT.

WALL

CORR LNE TWO NINTH WORD "SUBJECT"

END

12-31 PM OK FBI NYC EMCC
In connection with an official investigation being conducted by this office, it is requested that you be advised daily of any long distance telephone calls placed from the telephone number Cypress 2-3535.

Your cooperation in this matter will be greatly appreciated.

Very truly yours,

EDWARD SCHMIDT
Special Agent In Charge
New York, New York  
August 1, 1950

MEMO:

RE: JULIUS ROSENBERG, WAG.
ESPIONAGE - R

On July 18, 1950, Mr. ALLEN MANZAVINOS, 86 Madison Avenue, New York City, telephonically advised that he had been a fellow student at CCNY with ROSENBERG. He stated that if further information concerning ROSENBERG was desired, or STANLEY RICH who had also been a fellow classmate at CCNY had been a friend of ROSENBERG and had also been active in organizing Communist activities in the school.

By teletype dated July 26, 1950, the Albany Office advised that during a search of ALFRED SARDINI'S residence, for which he gave his consent, the name of MANZAVINOS was found in his personal telephone directory with the numbers Gramercy 3-2039 and Gramercy 3-7549. SARDINI advised agents of the Albany Office that THEODORE MANZAVINOS was a friend who had visited him at 65 Morton Street, New York City. The Albany Office further advised in another small address book of SARDINI, the name A. T. MANZAVINOS, 86 Madison Avenue, New York, telephone MU 3-2693, was located.

On July 26, 1950, MANZAVINOS was interviewed at the New York Office by SA VINCENT J. CAMILL and the writer. MANZAVINOS stated that he had been in the Class of 1938 working for a Degree of Bachelor of Electrical Engineering at CCNY, although, he did not actually obtain his degree until February, 1939 due to illness. He is presently engaged in business for himself under the name of Teleco Electronics Company, 67 East 13th Street, New York.

MANZAVINOS stated that during his college years he had been friendly with JULIUS ROSENBERG and although ROSENBERG was a member of a "leftist group" in the class, he did not impress MANZAVINOS as particularly active or in a position of leadership. MANZAVINOS stated that he maintained

cc: 65-15712 (J. KARR)  
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65-15360 (A. SARDINI)  
65-15390 (A. SIDOROVICH)  

65-15395 65-15396
friendly relations with ROSENBERG through college years and after graduation studies with a group which was preparing for Civil Service examinations in which group ROSENBERG was included. He has not seen ROSENBERG since that time. He stated that the only other name which came to his mind at the time of interview was STANLEY RICH.

He characterized RICH as intelligent and energetic and a very vocal and active Communist. MANZAVINOS stated that just prior to graduation, a group of Communists or pro-Communist students in the class carried on an intensive recruiting program and it was his impression that RICH was the leader in this activity.

MANZAVINOS stated that he had never been a member of the Communist Party, nor did he associate with any Communist Party fronts. He stated that his opinion of RICH and ROSENBERG as Communists was derived from their general attitude and political discussions, the details of which he cannot recall.

MANZAVINOS stated that RICH was a member of the study group which met shortly after graduation, but he has not seen him since this group broke up. He stated that he later heard that RICH had obtained a position with the United States Navy and had obtained a position of some importance because he had given them some very valuable ideas. He felt that in the event this was true, RICH would have been a good contact for an espionage ring if he had remained a Communist.

MANZAVINOS stated that during his college years, RICH had resided in the neighborhood of Dyckman Street near the Hudson River, but he had no idea as to his present whereabouts.

The name of MAX ELITCHER was suggested to MANZAVINOS. He stated that he believed ELITCHER belonged to the group at CCNY which he termed "leftist" and he also had the impression that ELITCHER was related to RICH or had a close association of some sort with him.

The name of WILLIAM DUMITRY was suggested to him and he stated DUMITRY also was a member of the "leftist group". He had a similar opinion regarding JOEL L. BARR and WILLIAM BUTTERFIELD.
When the name of MORTON SOBELL was suggested, MANZAVINOS stated SOBELL was also associated with this group and in addition, he remembered that SOBELL was an intelligent, but apparently embittered person who gave the appearance of a Communist because of his unkempt appearance.

During the discussion concerning these classmates, MANZAVINOS stated that he had met none of them since graduation, nor did he bring up the name of SARANT. When the name of SARANT was suggested to him by interviewing agents, he stated that in 1943 he had obtained a job with the Western Electric Company and through his employment had met SARANT who was a test planning engineer at the company's Bayonne Plant. MANZAVINOS stated that he had become quite friendly with SARANT at work and had met him a few times on social occasions. SARANT never impressed MANZAVINOS as being a Communist or Communist sympathizer in any degree. According to MANZAVINOS, SARANT left the employ of Western Electric about September of 1943. He gave MANZAVINOS an address somewhere on Horton Street in Greenwich Village, New York.

Sometime in the Spring of 1944, MANZAVINOS went to the address given him, but found that SARANT was not known there. MANZAVINOS stated that he had possibly tried on one other occasion to locate SARANT at the Horton Street address without success and has not seen or heard from him since SARANT left the employ of the Western Electric Company.

While speaking about his association with SARANT, MANZAVINOS recalled that JOEL BARR had also been employed for a time by Western Electric Company, but he stated that he had never become friendly with BARR, had never seen much of him either at work or socially, and was totally unaware that BARR and SARANT were acquainted. He also stated that he was completely unaware that SARANT knew any of the former classmates of his mentioned earlier in this memo.

JAMES T. O'BRIEN, SA
August 1, 1950

RE: JULIUS ROSENBERG

ESPIionage — B

The U. S. Post Office, Knickerbocker Station, advised on July 31, 1950 that Pitt Machine Products, Inc., 370 East Houston Street, had received the following mail on July 29, 1950:

Universal Brass Turning Company, Inc.
912 Cortlandt Avenue
Bronx, New York.

Rossal Company
170 Fifth Avenue
New York, New York.

Precision Associates, Inc.
34 Herkimer Place
Brooklyn, New York.

It is recommended that the above companies, with the exception of Precision Associates, Inc., be contacted to determine the reason for their corresponding with the Pitt Machine Products, Inc. It is to be noted that the Precision Associates, Inc. has been contacted in the course of the investigation of the business cards found in ROSENBERG’S pocket.

JAMES P. LEE, SA
New York, N.Y.
8/1/50

MEMO

Re: ETHEL ROSENBERG
ESPIONAGE - R

Inspector A. H. Belmont, Bureau, called at approximately 4:05 P.M., at which time I told him I had been in touch with MYLES LANE, Chief Assistant USA SDNY; that Mr. Lane had advised me nothing definite had been decided upon yet with regard to Mrs. ROSENBERG. I also told Mr. Belmont that I had suggested to Mr. Lane the proper procedure to follow here, since this matter is before a Grand Jury, would be to have a warrant issued on the indictment of ETHEL ROSENBERG; that he said he would take it up with Mr. Saypol.

WILLIAM M. WHELAN, ASAC

Saypol called at 5:00 A.M. and advised that he thought he would have a complaint filed against Ethel Rosenberg, if she was uncooperative before 6:00 A.M. after she testified. He furnished this info to Belmont.
August 1, 1950

MEMO

RE: JULIUS ROSENBERG
ESPIONAGE - R

The U. S. Post Office, Knickerbocker Station, advised on July 31, 1950 that the Pitt Lachine Products, Inc., 370 East Houston Street, received the following mail on July 27 and 28, 1950:

Ketay Manufacturing Company
555 Broadway
New York, New York.

Suite 1312
15 Park Row
New York, New York.
(Received 2 letters)

Metro Employment Agency
13 West 17th Street
New York, New York.

Commerce Industry Association
233 Broadway
New York, New York.

It is recommended that the above concerns be contacted to determine reasons for their correspondence with the Pitt Lachine Company, Inc.

JAMES P. LEE, SA
Transmit the following Teletype message to: CHICAGO - URGENT

JULIUS ROSENBERG, ESQ. MIIIL COVER ON PITT MACHINE PRODUCTS, INC.,
THREE SEVENTY-EIGHT HOUSTON ST., NYC, REFLECTS A POSTCARD FROM GRAFF AND
CO., SEVENTY THREE HUNDRED SOUTH CHICAGO AVE., CHICAGO, ILL., MAILED
ON JULY TWENTY-FIFTH LAST AT EIGHT P.M., AT CHICAGO. WILL CONTACT GRAFF
AND CO. TO DETERMINE REASON FOR THEIR CORRESPONDENCE WITH PITT MACHINE
PRODUCTS, INC. WHICH COMPANY IS OWNED BY THE SUBJECT.
Transmit the following Teletype message to: NEWARK - URGENT

JULIUS ROSENBERG, ESP-R. MAIL COVER ON PITT MACHINE PRODUCTS, INC. THREE SEVENTY EAST HOUSTON ST., NYC, REFLECTS A LETTER FROM ADAM COOKS AND SON, FIVE STILES ST., LINDEN, NJ, ON JULY TWENTY-EIGHT LAST. CONTACT ADAM COOKS AND SON AND DETERMINE REASON FOR CORRESPONDENCE WITH PITT MACHINE PRODUCTS, INC. WHICH FIRM IS OWNED BY THE SUBJECT.

SCHUETZ

JPL:MC? (#6) 65-15348

Approved: [Signature] Special Agent in Charge

Sent: [Date] M Per: [Date]

1.5.18.21 - 46
NEW YORK, N. Y.
AUGUST 1, 1950

BUREAU & NEWARK (URGENT)

CARLA S'ALL JAVNA, WAS, ESPIONAGE DASH R. REFERENCE NEWARK TEL TO BUREAU
DATED JULY TWENTYSEVEN LAST AT SIX TEN PM., AND BY LETTER TO BUREAU AND OTHER
OFFICES DATED JULY TWENTYSEVEN, BOTH ENTITLED JULIUS ROSENBERG, ESPIONAGE
DASH R. IT IS RECOMMENDED THAT THE BUREAU AUTHORIZE NEWARK OFFICE TO INTERVIEW
JAVNAS CONCERNING THEIR ASSOCIATION AND KNOWLEDGE OF ACTIVITIES OF
INDIVIDUALS MENTIONED IN REFERENCED LETTER. ALSO SUGGESTED THAT JAVNAS
BE QUESTIONED CONCERNING ASSOCIATION AND KNOWLEDGE OF ACTIVITIES OF VIVIAN
AND ELEANOR GLASSMAN WHO OCCUPIED APARTMENT FOUR A, ONE THREE ONE EAST SEVENTH
ST., NYC, IMMEDIATELY FOLLOWING JAVNAS AND WHO ARE POSSIBLY IMPLICATED IN
ROSENBERG CASE. NEWARK REQUESTED TO FURNISH KY OFFICE WITH PHOTOGRAPHS
OF CARLA AND STEVE JAVNA. KY WILL DISPLAY PHOTOGRAPHS OF JAVNAS AND
ROSENBERGS TO SUPERINTENDENTS AT ONE THREE ONE EAST SEVENTH STREET AND
QUESTION THEM REGARDING CONNECTION BETWEEN JAVNAS AND ROSENBERGS.

SCHIEDT

cc: JY 65-15348
NY 65--
(Vivian Glassman File)

WPG:SBS
65-15395

65-15348-4/10/6
SAC, New Haven

SAC, New York

WILLIAM DENIM
SECURITY MATTER - C
New Haven File 100-12576


On July 28, 1950, whose name should be carefully guarded, New York City, advised that during 1946-1948 he shared apartment at New York City, with and his wife.

During this time said that he became acquainted with WILLIAM DENIM and his wife, AVERY, who are good friends of and often stayed at the apartment. He said that the DENIMS were members of the Communist Party, although they were very discreet about it.

said he based this belief on the fact that ROBERT THOMPSON, the convicted Communist Party leader, J. PETERS, a Soviet agent who was introduced to him under the name of STEWART, and JULIUS ROSENBERG and HARRY GOLD, the atom bomb spies, were frequent visitors at the apartment.

said that he was not positive that GOLD was the individual who came to the apartment but he was sure about ROSENBERG.

stated that these people and others would usually come to the apartment around 2:00 A.M., which was the time that usually arrived home from his job with the Associated Press. He said that the visitors seemed to be friendly with both and DENIM.

said that DENIM resides at or near Ridgefield, Connecticut, on a farm apparently close to where former Vice-President HENRY WALLACE lives. He said that DENIM often boasted that he knew WALLACE well. According to DENIM'S farm is used as a sort of international Communist meeting place.

c.c. 65-15219

c.c. 100-97503

CDS: ENK
NY 100-97053
Letter to SAC, New Haven

In about March of 1940 [redacted] moved to 43 West 55th Street and said that the DENIMS use this as their residence when they are in New York.

[redacted] furnished the following identifying data:

WILLIAM (BILL) DENIM - Race white, age about sixty, height 6'2", weight about 200 pounds, heavy build, gray hair, wears small mustache. Is in the house insulation business, believed to be selling celotex insulation. He is a British citizen, still speaks with a British accent and arrived in the United States from South Africa. He is a veteran of World War I, having served in the British Army.

AVERY DENIM - Race white, age about fifty, height 5'5", weight about 135 pounds, gray hair, attractive appearance, good dresser, born in the United States. Employed by COLLIER'S magazine in New York City.

[redacted] Race white, small build, height 5'3", weight 110 pounds, blond hair, age [redacted] born in the United States. She has been employed as a dancer in a Greenwich Village, New York City, night club, believed to be Downtown. She has had [redacted]

[redacted] Race white, age [redacted] born in [redacted] small build, height 5'4", weight 130 pounds, black hair, partially bald, has a black mustache, is employed by the and uses the name of [redacted]

The following information concerning [redacted] was furnished by EARL ROBERTS, CIC agent at Lachland Air Base, San Antonio, Texas, who obtained the information from a soldier attached to the base in October, 1947:

[redacted] was born in Syria, educated in France and served in the Imperial Turkish Army during the war and was wounded. He has a limp in his left leg. After the war he worked for REUTERS as a correspondent in various cities in the Near East. He was also connected with the Havas, Paris, Office.
NY 100-97053
Letter to SAC, New Haven

He is employed in the Radio Division of the Associated Press as a special news writer.

New Haven is requested to conduct whatever investigation is deemed necessary concerning DENIM. RUC
New York, New York  
August 2, 1950

MEMO:

Re: JULIUS ROSENBERG  
ESPIONAGE - R

During a search of the apartment of JULIUS ROSENBERG conducted incident to his arrest on July 17, 1950, some cancelled checks of the National Bank and Trust Company were located. One of these checks was in the amount of $50.00 and was payable to B. WEINBAUM, dated March 14, 1950 and bore check number 993. The reversed side of this check had the endorsement B. WEINBAUM and a stamp reading as follows:

Paid to the order of the Bank of the Manhattan Company, Eastern Farm Products, Inc.

A review of the Telephone Directory reflects that the Eastern Farm Products, Inc. is located at 390 Oakland Street, Brooklyn.

It is requested that an investigation be conducted at the Eastern Farm Products, Inc. to attempt to identify the identity of B. WEINBAUM, and when WEINBAUM is identified to consider the possibility of interviewing him to determine the reason for his getting the check from the subject.

JAMES P. LEE, SA
New York 7, New York  
August 2, 1950  

MEMO  

Re: JULIUS ROSENBERG  
ESPIONAGE - R  

Reference is made to the letter of SA JAMES P. LEE dated July 27, 1950, at New York, which letter requested that photographs of certain individuals be displayed to MAX YERGAN.  

MAX YERGAN is presently in Europe and expects to return on or about August 20, 1950. As the writer is presently expecting to be absent from the field office until September let approximately, it is suggested that this lead be re-assigned to expedite the handling of it.  

It is believed that some agents assigned to Section 7 will contact YERGAN upon his return as they have been requested by the Bureau to interview him concerning some matter. It is possible that this lead could be covered by these agents at the time of their interview.  

In the event that the above interview has been postponed, the Agent to whom the lead is assigned can obtain the necessary information from SA JOHN J. MANNING, Section F12, to enable him to contact YERGAN.  

ARTHUR R. HARE, SA
New York, New York
August 2, 1950

MEMO:

RE: JULIUS ROSENBERG
ESPIONAGE - R

During the course of the search of the apartment of JULIUS ROSENBERG on July 17, 1950, incident to his arrest, an envelope was located with cancelled checks of the National Bank and Trust Company.

The checks were as follows:

1. ADA GABER, dated March 25, 1950, for $15.00, and bearing number 997. This check was endorsed by ADA GABER and was deposited in the Merchants Bank of New York on March 27, 1950.

2. A. HALPER, in the amount of $16.36. This check was dated March 25, 1950, and bore number 996. Check was endorsed by A. HALPER and deposited in the Modern Industrial Bank, March 27, 1950.

It is requested that investigation be conducted at above banks to attempt to identify these persons and if deemed advisable, consideration be given to interviewing them to determine reasons they received checks from subject.

JAMES P. LEE, SA.
New York, New York
August 2, 1950

MEMO:

RE: JULIUS ROSENBERG
ESPIONAGE - R

Reference Washington Field Office teletype dated 7/26/50 wherein a lead is set out to review Sub's Civil Service file located at NYC. 

Mr. WILLIAM J. SCANLON, Technical Advisor (Loyalty), U.S. Civil Service Commission, 641 Washington St, NYC, made available subject's CSC file 8/1/50 which had been requested from the Washington Eq. of CSC. That portion of the file made available consisted of the background of the Subject together with the results of the investigation and interview conducted by Jr. Field Examiner SAMUEL A. POLK, photostatic copy of which is exhibit 1161 in this case. 

In addition Mr. SCANLON read a memo from ELSTER D. SMITH, Field Instructor, dated 3/5/45 stating that on this date Capt. SIMPSON of the War Department called for file stating that the War Department has suspended ROSENBERG for membership in the Communist Party. That he changed from Branch 16 Industrial Branch of the CP of NY to the East Side Club, 1st Assembly District of the CP under transfer #12179 dated February, 1944 and now lives at 10 Monroe St, NYC. 

With reference to POLK'S report, SCANLON advised that with reference to the Special Hearing afforded the Subject appearing on page 9 of the report, the second Special Hearing starting on page 11 of the report, and the third Special Hearing starting on page 12 of the report, that for all these Hearings he believes the Subject was placed under oath since that is and has been the practice of the Commission now and at that time. 

With further reference to the where-abouts of Examiner POLK, SCANLON advised he last heard POLK was working for the Pennsylvania Government in Harrisburgh, Pa. 

SCANLON also states that the proper person to subpoena to introduce the CSC records would be H. E. ROSELL, Regional Director, 2nd Regional Office, U.S. Civil Service Commission, 641 Washington St, NYC. 

JAILIN
65-15348
However, SCANLON further advised that he was returning the Subject's file to the Washington Hq. immediately and the proper person to subpoena there would be LARRY MITCHELL, President, U.S. CG, Washington, D.C.

With reference to the balance of the Subject's file, Mr. SCANLON advised it consisted of Administrative matter and did not make it available for inspection.

JOHN A. HANISCH, SA
607 U.S. Court House
Foley Square
New York 7, New York

August 2, 1950

Honorable Albert Goldman
Postmaster
U.S. Post Office
33rd St., & 8th Ave.
New York, N.Y.

Dear Sir:

In connection with an investigation being conducted by this Bureau, it is requested that a cover be placed on all mail, including special delivery and registered mail, of the following for a period of thirty days:

JULIUS ROSENBERG & FELIX ROSENBERG
10 Monroe Street
Box York 2, New York

☑ return cards
☐ tracings

Your cooperation in this matter is greatly appreciated.

Very truly yours,

[Signature]

Special Agent in Charge
UNKNOWN RUSSIAN NUMBER ONE NEW YORK, HARRY GOLD INFORMANT, ESPIONAGE DASH R.
RE PHILADELPHIA TELS DATED JULY SEVEN, FIFTY IN CASE ENTITLED QUOTE HARRY GOLD,
WAS. ; ESPIONAGE DASH R ENQUOTE AND ONE ON JULY TWENTY-SEVEN, FIFTY CAUGHTED
AS IS THIS COMMUNICATION AND RE TEL OF AUGUST FIFTEEN, FIFTY. GOLD INTERVIEWED
NEW YORK CITY AUGUST THREE, FIFTY. HE ADVISES HE IS UNABLE TO POSITIVELY
IDENTIFY SUBJECT BUT AS MENTIONED IN OUR REFERENCE TEL HE CONTINUES TO
TENTATIVELY IDENTIFY SERGEY AS BEING POSSIBLY IDENTICAL WITH SUBJECT. HE
ADVISED DURING INTERVIEW THAT FOLLOWING RECEIPT OF LETTER MENTIONED IN FIRST
REFERENCED TEL HE DID NOT ATTEMPT TO ESTABLISH CONTACT WITH SOVIETS BY COMING TO
NYC IN JULY EIGHTEEN FORTY-NINE. HE EXPLAINED THAT THOUGH THIS LETTER DID NOT
MENTION THE PLACE FOR THIS MEETING OR THE DATE OF THE MEETING HE HAD
PERFECTED, PRIOR TO THE DEPARTURE OF ANATOLI YAKOVLEV, ARRANGEMENTS FOR A
FUTURE EMERGENCY MEETING PLACE FOR ANY FUTURE CONTACTS WITH SOVIETS OR
YAKOVLEV WISHED TO MALL WITH HIV, GOLD. GOLD EXPLAINED THIS EMERGENCY
MEETING SPOT AGREED ON WITH YAKOVLEV WAS SEAFOOD RESTAURANT MENTIONED IN FIRST
REFERENCED TEL. HE EXPLAINED THAT WHILE HE HAD COME TO THIS SPOT FOLLOWING
THE RECEIPT OF THIS JULY LETTER HE DID NOT SEE ANYONE FOR HAD HE BEEN CONTACTED
WHILE THERE. HE EXPLAINED HIS NOT BEING CONTACTED THERE AS PROBABLY BEING DUE
2.

TO HIS HAVING FORGOTTEN THE EXACT INSTRUCTIONS GIVEN TO HIM BY TAKOVLEV, WHICH INSTRUCTIONS WOULD HAVE MADE THIS MEETING ON A DAY THAT WOULD HAVE BEEN A CERTAIN NUMBER OF DAYS FOLLOWING HIS HAVING RECEIVED THE LETTER OR FOLLOWING THE DATE ON THE LETTER. GOLD ADVISED ME NO ADDITIONAL EFFORTS AFTER THE FOREGOING ATTEMPT TO ESTABLISH CONTACT WITH THE SOVIETS UNTIL HE WAS CONTACTED BY SUBJECT AS MENTIONED IN OUR REFERENCED TEL. HE EXPLAINED THAT AT TIME SUBJECT CONTACTED HIM AT HIS HOME SUBJECT WAS EXTREMELY ANGRY WITH HIM FOR HIS FAILURE TO MAKE THE FOREGOING MEETING. GOLD STATES THAT HE GAVE SUBJECT REASONS PREVIOUSLY SET FORTH FOR HIS FAILURE TO MAKE THIS MEETING. GOLD CONTINUES TO EXPLAIN ADDITIONAL MEETINGS AND HIS EFFORTS TO ESTABLISH CONTACT WITH THE RUSSIANS AS DESCRIBED IN LAST REFERENCED TEL AND POINTS OUT THAT HE HAS FURNISHED ALL INFORMATION THOROUGHLY AND COMPLETELY AS OF NOW IN CONNECTION WITH THIS MATTER. GOLD CLAIMS NOT TO HAVE BEEN FURNISHED MONEY BY ANYONE TO ENABLE HIM TO FLEE THE COUNTRY OR TO INSURE HIS SILENCE AND SPECIFICALLY CLAIMS THAT JULIUS ROSENBERG (WHO AS POSITIVELY IDENTIFIES AS BEING OBSERVED BY HIM AT THE FIVE HE, GOLD, ATTEMPTED TO MAKE HIS FEBRUARY MEET IN ELKHURT WITH THE SOVIETS) DID NOT APPROACH HIM, TALK TO HIM OR FURNISH HIM WITH ANY MONEY FOR ANY PURPOSE. EFFORTS OF THIS OFFICE CONTINUING TO ESTABLISH IDENTITY OF SUBJECT.

SCHINDT
Special Agent in Charge,
Federal Bureau of Investigation,
United States Department of Justice,
607 United States Court House,
New York 7, N. Y.

My dear Sir:

Receipt is acknowledged of your request to have a cover placed on all mail for a period of thirty days addressed to:

JULIUS ROSENBERG & ETHEL ROSENBERG,
10 Monroe Street,
New York 2, N. Y.

☐ Return cards

☐ Tracings will be forwarded to your office directly as they arrive, properly endorsed with your File No. 65-15348.

Sincerely yours,

[Signature]
Postmaster.

1-23-48
Federal Bureau Investigation, New York, New York.

Dear Sir —

I hope you don't mind me sending the following information. It probably won't be of any value whatever if not destroyed this letter and forget it on the other hand there is the possibility of it helping a little.

In the very late 1943 or early 1944 I was employed by the S.W.B. Telephone Co. at Joplin, Mo. I worked as toll operator only. We serviced Camp Andrews Calls and in positive I took more than one call placed by Julius Rosenberg to Harry Gold in Texas. There's one call that stands out in my memory. I worked a split shift and when I came on duty I was assigned to a part position. Rosenberg had placed a call earlier. Operator couldn't contact Gold and left word to try call later.

I put the call through. We didn't get a set signal from Camp Andrews so were required to make 5 seconds after initial signal.
from prepa - from whatev - toll was placed. Then we knew -
when they were through talking -
and could collect balance on toll -
It was during the monitoring -
I heard the following conversation -
Q. to S. - That quite a lot of money -
let's think it over -
S. to Q. - I don't know. Oh! I could use -
the money alright. My wife's been sick -
and under the care of three doctors -
think of the sick enrolment -
Q. to S. - Now you don't need to worry -
about that. Just do as I say and I'll -
take care of it -
S. to Q. - Oh! I don't know -
Q. to S. - You think it over, I'll -
call again there -
S. to Q. - No! Gold laughed and I don't -
know what to say -
Q. to S. - I'll call you there, hung up -
Need I say my suspicions were -
aroused. Goldie once indicated he -
was between 2 fires and I thought -
brother if there's any question in -
your mind at all you better give that kid a run around as a good letter alone.

The incident was so indelibly stamped on my mind I worried about it some time. Then concluded myself that he was probably black marketing cigarette and nothing serious.

Then in a few days it was before there (because I thought he's a persistent fellow). While on duty I took a call to Larry Gold from a payphone on the post. R. must recognized my voice because he didn't give me his name. I said who is the calling phone. R. said - you don't need my name this is a hack call.

He was right I couldn't say a thing. But I thought you're too smart for your pants brother this is one call I'm going to listen to. But I couldn't contact Gold. I left the ticket and tried again to reach Gold. (Although it was against regulations) It was time for me to go off duty and had to send ticket to fiction department.
I feel better now the idea of my mind if it is now value forget it.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

My name at that time was

[Signature]
Federal Bureau Investigation
New York, N.Y.

#6
607 U.S. Court House
Foley Square
New York 7, New York

August 3, 1950

Parsons, Kansas

Dear Mrs. Caldwell,

Receipt is acknowledged of your letter of July 31, 1950, for which we thank you.

Your interest in forwarding me the information contained in your letter is indeed appreciated. You may be assured that it will receive appropriate attention.

Very truly yours,

EDWARD SCHMIDT
Special Agent in Charge

65-15348
6/15-15348-4521-6
Memo

To: Julius Rosenberg.

Exp.-R.

I advised she did not recognize photos of any of individuals in instant case. She suggested that her former informant at the New York office was located in Burlington, Vt. She stated that she was acquainted with circles in the lower East Side and might know something of three people.

She also suggested that William Browde be contacted if this office ascertains him to be cooperative. She was a former confidential informant of the office whose identity is to be protected. She was shown photos in instant case.

I advised that Julius Rosenberg looked familiar. She stated that she saw him on one occasion in CP headquarters in Philadelphia around the spring of 1946. Rosenberg had just terminated a chat with Joe C.A. 15341-633, Caminsky, or Rabi (both phonetic). CP headquarters in Child area.

She likewise recalled Jack Bar on the phone but could not place where she had seen him. Signed.
I did not know him as Barr. He was of the opinion that Barr was a writer.
BUREAU, WASHINGTON FIELD, CLEVELAND, ALBANY, NEWARK URGENT

VIVIAN GLASSMAN, SPY Chapman - R. Subject interviewed evening of August third instant at her residence one three one East Seventh Street, N.Y.C. She advised that she met JULIUS ROSENBERG and his wife while canvassing Knickerbocker Village apartment project for American labor party, which was supporting the candidacy of Johannes Stade for Congress about nineteen forty-five. She became friendly with Rosenberg's wife and visited Rosenberg socially thereafter. She denied that she ever did any work for JULIUS ROSENBERG or that he had ever asked her to. She stated Rosenberg had been in her apartment at one three one East Seventh Street on several occasions when he had taken her home from Knickerbocker Village late at night, but denied that the apartment was ever used by Rosenberg for photographic work or that he ever had use of the apartment for any purpose. She was never introduced to anyone by the ROSENBERGS nor has she talked to Mrs. Rosenberg since JULIUS' arrest. Glassman admitted trip to Cleveland at her own expense and contact made with William Perl. She advised that about eight p.m. on Friday, July twenty-first last, a man knocked on her apartment door while she was telephoning a friend, Ernest Fattori, two one seven East Nineteenth Street, N.Y.C., who is employed by the Federal Telephone

AIR MAIL SPECIAL DELIVERY

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>City</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Phone Numbers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Albany</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Los Angeles</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Francisco</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>65-15357</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>65-15392</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>65-15318</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>65-15360</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ERITRON (6)
65-15355
COMMUNICATIONS, BUTLET, N.J. IN REPLY TO HER REQUEST FOR IDENTITY BEFORE SHE OPENED THE DOOR, HE STATED THAT HE WAS A FRIEND. SUBJECT STATED THAT SHE ADVISED PATOKI THAT A MAN WAS AT HER DOOR WHO HAD ONLY STATED THAT HE WAS A FRIEND AND THAT SHE ASKED PATOKI IF IT WOULD BE SAFE TO ADMIT HIM. PATOKI, ACCORDING TO SUBJECT, ADVISED HER TO LEAVE THE RECIPIENT OFF THE BOOK AND FIND OUT WHO WAS AT THE DOOR. SUBJECT ADMITTED THE INDIVIDUAL AT THE DOOR AND STATED THAT HIS IDENTITY WAS UNKNOWN TO HER. THE STRANGER ASKED HER IF SHE KNEW JOHN, AND WHEN SHE SAID NO, HE MADE REFERENCE TO JOSE BARR AND SHE REPLIED THAT BARR WAS HER FORMER FIANCE. THE STRANGER STATED BY WAY OF IDENTIFYING HIMSELF THAT AT ONE TIME HE WAS GOING TO HELP JOSE BARR GO TO EUROPE. SUBJECT STATED THAT ALTHOUGH SHE DID NOT KNOW THIS MAN, SHE THOUGHT THAT SHE COULD TRUST HIM AS LONG AS HE WAS A FRIEND OF JOSE BARR. HE THEN ASKED SUBJECT IF SHE WOULD GO TO CLEVELAND TO VISIT HER FRIEND, AN AERONAUTICAL ENGINEER WHO WAS INTERESTED IN HER SISTER. SUBJECT SAID SHE BELIEVED THAT HE WAS REFERRING TO HER SISTER ELEANOR, WHO IS VERY FOND OF WILLIAM PERL, AND THAT PERL WAS THE ONLY AERONAUTICAL ENGINEER SHEKING IN CLEVELAND. SHE WAS TO TELL PERL TO GO TO MEXICO. HE THEN GAVE HER A ROLL OF MONEY AND STATED, QUOTE HERE IS TWO THOUSAND DOLLARS UNQUOTE. HE THEN DEPARTED. SUBJECT INSISTS NO CITY IN MEXICO WAS MENTIONED, NOR BARR ANY ADDITIONAL INSTRUCTIONS GIVEN HER TO BE CONVEYED TO PERL. SHE SAID SHE GAINED THE IMPRESSION FROM THE STRANGER, WITHOUT HIS SO STATING, THAT PERL WOULD KNOW WHAT TO DO WHEN SHE RELATED THE STRANGER'S VISIT. SHE PLACED THE MONEY, WITHOUT COUNTING IT, IN A BILL FOLD
CONSISTING OF TWO BLACK PIECES OF CARDBOARD, APPROXIMATELY BILL SIZE, WITH ELASTIC BANDS AROUND IT, AND PUT THIS PACKAGE INTO HER PURSE. SHE THEN MADE AIRPLANE RESERVATIONS THAT NIGHT ON THE UNITED AIRLINES IN THE NAME OF MRS. S. GOLDBERG FOR A PLANE LEAVING LA GUARDIA AIRPORT AT ABOUT TWELVE THIRTY-FIVE PM, EST, FOR A NON-STOP FLIGHT TO CLEVELAND. SHE STATED THAT PERL'S ADDRESS IN CLEVELAND WAS UNKNOWN TO HER, SO THE FOLLOWING MORNING SHE WENT TO HER SISTER, ELEANOR'S APARTMENT AT 533 EIGHTH STREET, NYO, AND SECURED HIS ADDRESS FROM A LETTER HE HAD SENT TO ELEANOR. SHE THEN PROCEEDED TO LA GUARDIA AIRPORT AND DEPARTED FOR CLEVELAND. SUBJECT STATED THAT ELEANOR WAS NOT HOME WHEN SHE ARRIVED AT THE APARTMENT, AND THAT SHE LET HERSELF INTO ELEANOR'S APARTMENT WITH A DUPLICATE KEY WHICH SHE HAD. ELEANOR DOES NOT KNOW OF HER TRIP TO CLEVELAND TO SEE PERL. UPON ARRIVAL IN CLEVELAND, SHE OBTAINED A ROOM AT THE REGENCY HOTEL ON Euclid Street in the name of S. GOLDBERG. ON SUNDAY, JULY TWENTY-THIRD AT ABOUT NOON, SHE CALLED ON WILLIAM PERL AT HIS PRESENT ADDRESS AFTER GOING TO THE ADDRESS OBTAINED FROM HER SISTER'S LETTER AND BEING INFORMED BY THE LANDLADY THAT PERL HAD MOVED FROM THERE. SUBJECT STATED PERL SEEMED SURPRISED TO SEE HER, AND THEN SHE INFORMED HIM OF THE STRANGER'S VISIT AND HAD WRITTEN OUT ON A PAD OF PAPER PROVIDED BY PERL THAT THE STRANGER HAD TOLD HER TO TELL HIM TO GO TO MEXICO AND HAD GIVEN HER MONEY FOR HIM. PERL TOOK HER THAT SHE MUST BE CRAZY TO GET MIXED UP IN ANY SUCH DEAL. HE SAID HE KNEW NOTHING ABOUT THE STRANGER OR ANY REASON WHY ANYONE SHOULD WANT HIM TO GO TO MEXICO, AND TOLD HER TO RETURN TO NY AND WASH HER
HANDS OF THE WHOLE AffAIR. SHE THEN TOOK A PLANE FROM CLEVELAND AND RETURNED TO NYH THAT EVENING WITH THE TWO THOUSAND DOLLARS. SHE STATED THAT SHE DID NOT TELL PEARL HOW MUCH MONEY SHE HAD FOR HIM. SHE CARRIED THE MONEY WITH HER UNTIL THE EVENING OF THURSDAY, JULY TWENTY-SEVENTH. ON THE EVENING OF THAT DATE THE STRANGER AGAIN APPEARED AT HER APARTMENT AND ASKED IF SHE HAD GONE TO CLEVELAND. SHE RELATED WHAT PEARL HAD TOLD HER, RETURNED THE TWO THOUSAND DOLLARS, AND ASKED HIM NOT TO COME BACK AND THE STRANGER DEPARTED. SHE PROVIDED THE FOLLOWING DESCRIPTION OF THE STRANGER: AOE LATE THIRTIES; HEIGHT FIVE FEET SEVEN OR EIGHT INCHES; ONE HUNDRED SIXTY POUNDS; MUSCULAR; WELL BUILT; BLACK HAIR, FULL HEAD OF HAIR PROBABLY PARTED; FOREHEAD AVERAGE; OVAL FACE, CLEAN SHAVEN; MEDIUM COMPLEXION; DARK SUIT, TIE AND WHITE SHIRT, DARK SHOES, NO HAT; AMERICAN APPEARANCE; SPOKE ENGLISH WELL WITHOUT TRACE OF ACCENT. A SURVEILLANCE OF SUBJECT WAS CONDUCTED ON THE EVENING OF JULY TWENTY-SEVENTH, BUT SUBJECT'S APARTMENT IS LOCATED ON THE TOP FLOOR OF A LARGE APARTMENT HOUSE AND SURVEILLING AGENTS WERE THEREFORE UNABLE TO OBSERVE THE VISIT OF THE AFOREMENTIONED STRANGER IN THE APARTMENT OF SUBJECT ON THIS DATE. PHOTOGRAPHS IN POSSESSION OF THE NEW YORK DIVISION ARE BEING EXAMINED BY SURVEILLING AGENTS TO DETERMINE IF ANY PHOTOGRAPHS RESemble INDIVIDUALS OBSERVED LEAVING THE APARTMENT BUILDING THAT EVENING. SUBJECT COULD NOT RECALL WRITING PEARL ABOUT ANY SHIP INCIDENT AND EXPLAINED THAT THE ONLY INCIDENT SHE COULD RECALL CONCERNING HER FLOWER FIANCE, JOEL BARR, AND HERSELF WAS THAT WHEN BARR WAS PLANNING TO GO TO FRANCE TO STUDY IN NINETEEN FOURTY-SEVEN
She also planned to go to France to study. She had reservations on one steamship line and he had reservations on another. When he learned that she was going to France, he changed his reservations to the line on which she had reservations. Glassman stated that she thought Barr was going to marry her, but that he had not done so by the sailing date, January twenty-first, nineteen forty-eight. She canceled her steamship reservations and they had personal differences. She stated that Barr has never corresponded with her since that time, nor has she written to him. Subject stated that she made no other contacts other than William Pearl while in Cleveland. She agreed to give a signed statement regarding the above incident and travel, but when the statement was partly completed, she said she believed that she would seek legal counsel before signing same. She then attempted to call an attorney but was unable to reach him. She agreed to visit the NY division on the evening of August fourth for completion of interview and statement after consultation with an attorney. Subject believes she could identify the stranger if shown his picture, and it is contemplated that photographs of individuals associated in the Rosengerd case will be exhibited to subject in an effort to effect identification. The NY division has been telephonically requested to interview Ernest Patoki at the Federal Telephone Communications Company, Nutley, NJ, regarding incident related by subject of the stranger at her door on Friday, July twenty-first to verify subject's story without disclosing details of the stranger's visit. Cleveland is
REQUESTED TO CHECK THE RECORDS OF HOTEL REGENT FOR SUBJECT'S REGISTRATION ON JULY TWENTY-SECOND. BUREAU WILL BE KEPT ADVISED. SPOT CHECK SURVEILLANCE CONTINUING ON SUBJECT.

SCHIDT
August 4, 1950

Memo:

Re: Julius Rosenberg
Esp-R
NY file 65-15348

Re: Serial 289
Period: 8/3/50

Mrs. Mary Klimmek, 632 East 11th Street, N.Y.C., telephonically advised that on July 29, 1950, FBI Agents displayed several photographs to her and at the time she could not identify anyone. Mrs. Klimmek requested that the pictures be shown to her again.

On 8/3/50 the photos were shown to Mrs. Klimmek and she advised she could not recognize any of them.
She thought one of them might be identical with a woman in Apartment 13, same address. However on seeing the pictures for the second time she stated definitely none of them were identical.

(Mrs Bertha Estkenagy, Apt. 13 was shown the pictures of the various subjects and she could not make an identification. It is to be noted Mrs Estkenagy is a woman about 65 years old and in no way resembles any of the pictures. Mrs Estkenagy resides in Apt 13 with her husband and she has no boarders residing with her.

[Signature]

[Date]
MEMO

RE: JULIUS ROSENBERG
ESPIONAGE - R

I called Mr. Belmont of the Bureau at 5:30 Pm. on 8/2/50 and advised him that I had spoken with Myles Lane, U.S. Attorney, concerning possibility of the arrest of ETHEL ROSENBERG. Mr. Lane had advised me that the U.S. Attorney's office had not as yet decided as to whether she would be arrested on 8/3/50. He said that this was a matter that Mr. Saypol was handling personally and that Mr. Saypol had not made up his mind.

I told Mr. Belmont we would contact the U.S. Attorney's office on 8/3/50 and call him.

At 10:20 A.M. on 8/3/50 I spoke with Carl Henrich of the Bureau and advised him that Mr. Saypol had stated the proposed plan for 8/3 was to call RUTH GREENGLASS before the Grand Jury as well as HARRY GOLD and possibly DAVID GREENGLASS although this was not certain at this time. Mr. Saypol stated we would be given plenty of time in the event ETHEL ROSENBERG is to be arrested and that he would keep us advised. I told the Bureau that Mr. Saypol had not definitely decided his course of action with regard to ETHEL ROSENBERG.

ROBERT R. GRANVILLE, SA

RRG: TM
65-15348
MEMO

RE: JULIUS ROSENBERG
Espionage - R

Mr. Saypol called on the afternoon of 8/2/50 and stated he had been in touch with Peyton Ford of the Department who had advised that he thought a surveillance should be placed on ETHEL ROSENBERG and that stops should be placed with INS.

Mr. Saypol stated he would handle the placing of stops and I told him we would give consideration to instituting a physical surveillance.

ASAC Whelan called the Bureau and advised them of the above. The Bureau stated we should institute a discreet spot surveillance.

ROBERT R. GRANVILLE, SA

RRG: M
65-15318
NEW YORK, NEW YORK
AUGUST 5, 1950

BUREAU, WASHINGTON FIELD, FRNT
Cleveland

MICHAEL SIDOROVICH, Esq., ET AL, ESPIRAGE - R. WYLS J. LAND, CHIEF ASA.

SAY, ADVISED THAT HE CONFE-PLATES BRINGING ANNE SIDOROVICH BEFORE GRAND JURY,

SAY, DURING WEEK OF AUGUST ELEVEN NEXT TO TESTIFY RELATIVE TO JANUARY NINETEEN
FOURTH MEETING AT ROSENBERG'S APARTMENT WITH THE GRENLASS.

SCHMIDT

CC: ALBANY, NEW YORK
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA
LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA

ALBANY

KANSAS

PHILADELPHIA

NY 65-15336
65-51368

WINING (26)
65-15330

65-15348-452-
New York, New York
August 7, 1950

MEMO:

RE: JULIUS ROSENBERG
ESPIONAGE - R

In connection with the lead to display all photos pertinent in this investigation to all photographic supply shops in the vicinity of 65 Morton Street and 227 West 11th Street, New York City, the following investigation was conducted:

All photographs available were displayed to Mr. IRVING POTTRUCK and Mr. NORMAN SHALIT of the Crystal Photo Service, 225 Varick Street, New York City. These individuals have been in business at this address for sixteen years and are both a retail and wholesale photo supply firm. Neither individual could identify any of the photographs shown to him. Both advised that there is only one other photo supply shop, which is a small one, in the vicinity of 65 Morton Street. This firm is known as the Lo-Barr Studio.

JOSEPH BARREIRO, operator of the Lo-Barr Studio, 308 Bleecker Street, New York City, since August, 1948, was shown all photographs in this investigation and was unable to identify any of them. It is to be noted that BARREIRO has been a resident of the neighborhood all of his life.

It is to be noted that these two photo shops are the only photo shops in the vicinity of 65 Morton Street.

There are no photo supply shops in the vicinity of 227 West 11th Street, New York City.

THOMAS H. ZOELLER, SA
New York, New York
August 7, 1950

MEMO

RE: JULIUS ROSENBERG
ESPIONAGE - R

The records of the Knickerbocker Station, United States Post Office, 128 East Broadway, New York City, reflected that as of July 31st and August 1st, 1950 the Pitt Machine Products, Inc., 370 East Houston Street, New York City, had received mail from the following:

- Interborough Employment Agency, 80 Warren Street, New York City;
- Penn Electric Company, 148 Centre Street, New York City;
- United Tool Salvage Company, 319 Church Street, New York City;
- BEA, 80 Warren Street, New York City;
- Keystone Specialty Company, 6 Maiden Lane, New York City;

The Directory Publication Company, 303 Washington Street, Brooklyn, New York;

Consolidated Carton, 17 West 20th Street, New York City.

It is recommended that the above concerns be contacted to determine the reason for their corresponding with the Pitt Machine Products, Inc.

JAMES P. LEE, SA

JPL: RMC 65-15348

F. B. I.
1950

N. Y. C.
New York, New York
August 7, 1950

MEMO:

Re: JULIUS ROSENBERG
ESPIONAGE - B

The NY memo, dated 7/31/50, advising subject had received mail from the New Lincoln School, 31 West 110th Street and the Downtown Community School, 235 East 11th Street, both New York City.

The indices of the New York Office contained no information of value on the New Lincoln School.

With reference to the Downtown Community School, Confidential Informant advised on March 4, 1947, that BEATRICE DANZIGER, 13 Charles Street, New York City, told him she is a Communist Party member and was one of 10 Communist Party women who founded this school but that the Trotskyites recently got control of the school with the result that some of the Communists have taken their children out of the school.

The school was started in 1944 and tuition was $400.00. In 1946 it had an enrollment of about 235 and covered Kindergarten through 6th grade. The purpose of the school is a liberal democratic education for children stressing Inter-Racial Relations. In conjunction with the school it also operated an Adult Workshop where parents were given series of lectures on such topics as Inter-Racial Relations, the effect of a father's influence on a child, etc.

In addition, from time to time special dinners were held at which prominent individuals such as Mrs. ELEANOR ROOSEVELT, and Mrs. PAUL ROBESON were the main speakers.

Dun & Bradstreet reported in early 1947 that LEONARD B. BOUDINE was the President of the school. He was one of the signers of a statement defending the Communist Party in the "Daily Worker" on March 15, 1941.

ALBERT DEUTSCH was a former trustee and was a writer for "PM".

F.B.I.
I.C.E. 1950

JAH: EEX
65-15348
MEMO
NY 65-15348

Mrs. MARY ELTING FOLSOM is Treasurer. Her husband was
associated with Tass News Agency and was the

Dr. MARGARET MEAD, former trustee, is Assistant Curator of American
Museum of Natural History and a member of National Committee for Peoples
Rights 1940-1941 and the Committee for National Morale, 1942.

LUCY SPRAGUE MITCHELL, Trustee, in 1940 she was a national sponsor
of the American Peace Mobilization and the Committee to Defend America
by Keeping Out of War. In 1943, she was a sponsor of the National Council
of American Soviet Friendship.

MARY KINGSBURY SIMKHOVITCH, former Trustee. In 1938, she was on
National Advisory Committee of American Youth Congress. In 1941, she sponsored
Russian War Relief and in 1942 served as patron of the Congress of American
Soviet Friendship. In 1944, she served as a sponsor of a dinner for National
Federation for Constitutional Liberties.

LOUIS D. HOROWITZ, Trustee, was listed as a sponsor of the New York
State Conference of Negro Yough in 1942.

EUGENE DENNIS! son as of November 10, 1949, was a student at this
school.

JOHN A. HANISCH, SA.