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Mr de Herbelot pretends that the *Arabs of the Desart* exceed the other *Arabs* in Wit and Cunning....Be this as it will; both the one and the other are mightily fond of the Nobleness of their Extraction.

INTRODUCTION

ONE of the first steps which anyone desirous of studying the history of a people naturally takes is to consult such native records as may be extant and appraise their importance as evidence.

Following this course in the case of the Sudan Arab one is surprised to find that a large proportion of the population is in possession of scraps of paper which they regard as having a historical value. The owner often cannot read, but he is prepared to produce for inspection a handful of disreputable papers, torn, frayed and filthy. Some turn out to be unintelligible contracts concerning the cultivation of a plot of land, some are extracts from a manual of prayer and ablution, some contain strings of names, pedigrees of the owners to 'Abbás the uncle of the Prophet or some other notable. If the native is asked the source of the genealogical fragment either he thinks that he found it among his father’s papers or says that it is an extract which was taken for him from a larger work owned by some “feki.” In the latter case one’s hopes are perhaps raised by a graphic description of an enormous tome, centuries old, said to have been composed “by el Samarqandi perchance; but God knows!” And one proceeds in search of the “feki.” Then comes disillusionment. Sometimes the manuscript has been lost or burnt, or it has been lent to a relative at the other end of the country, or eaten by white ants. Sometimes the “feki” admits possession and with great care produces a few pages of genealogies obviously written within the last few decades. In this case one is generally referred for the original manuscript to some other “feki” who either lives beyond one’s reach or died some years ago.

In time, however, one does hear of some accessible “feki” whose manuscript has been the fons et origo of many of the ragged shreds in circulation and from him one learns that he or his father copied this “nisba,” fifteen or twenty years ago, from the copy that was in possession of some other learned “feki.”

Occasionally one finds a “nisba” that is known to have been in the hands of the owner’s family for several generations. An original author’s manuscript a century or more old I have never seen, though such may possibly exist.
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The chief reason for this disappearance of documents is not so much the reluctance of the "fekis" to risk their possessions in alien hands, though this motive has to be combated where confidence has not been established, as the indubitable fact that both the Mahdi and the Khalifa, and especially the latter, gave stringent orders for the destruction of all modern books and documents\(^1\). The Mahdi feared that research might tend to invalidate his pretensions to be the Expected One, and the Khalifa, who was a Ta'āšhi from Dārfūr, was only interested in genealogy to the extent of declining to appear less nobly born than his subjects. Consequently vast numbers of documents were deliberately burnt during the period of Dervish rule, many others were buried and so lost, or destroyed by white ants, and only a few survived to the present day.

My first impression after examining a medley of these copies fragments and extracts was to the effect that they were worthless; but a closer acquaintance shewed, on the one hand, that there were various scattered remarks and indications which had a certain value in themselves, and, on the other, that some passages recurred almost word for word in the majority of the longer "*nisbas" and pointed to a common origin dating from about the sixteenth century.

It also became more and more clear that, however faulty the details might be, the larger tribal genealogies, particularly those connected with the name of el Samarkandi, contained in the form of a genealogical parable much valuable information concerning the interrelation of the tribes of the Sudan.

Even allowing that the intrinsic value of these documents is comparatively small it is none the less true that anyone wishing to conduct researches into the history or sociology of the country would have the unwelcome choice either of delaying his work to collect specimens of these manuscripts from all over the country, and then examining them for what they were worth, or of ignoring the documentary evidence altogether. If he could afford the delay he would presumably choose the former alternative and would rapidly find himself sinking deeper and deeper into a morass of contradictions and inaccuracies from which a year or two of work would hardly serve to extricate him. To obviate the occurrence of this dilemma and smooth a little the path of research, by collecting, comparing and annotating such documents as I could find in the course of my work

\(^1\) Cp., for the case of the Mahdi, Slatin Ch. viii.
in various districts of the Sudan, was the object I set before myself in the first instance. If the zeal of a fool has outrun angelic discretion I can only hope that someone, with a more comprehensive grasp of the necessary scientific and historical material than I could ever pretend to, will be stimulated to undertake the task so imperfectly attempted in the following pages. The general plan adopted is as follows. The ethnological characteristics of the people who lived in the various quarters of the northern Sudan before the coming of the Muhammadans is first discussed in Part I, since it is to them that the non-Arab element in the population of the present day is chiefly due. The extent to which the institution of slavery has affected the racial type of the Sudan Arab is perforce ignored. Its consideration would have postulated a knowledge, which I do not possess, of half the negro races of Central Africa; and in the second place the fact that certain racial and cultural modifications have been caused by breeding from slave women, chiefly Nūba, Dinka, För and Fertīt, need only to be kept in mind throughout and their exact definition and classification is rendered less essential.

Secondly, in Part II, an attempt is made to trace the earlier history of some of the more famous Arabian tribes of whom branches eventually settled in the Sudan, and to accentuate the degree of racial connexion or distinction existing between them.

A more general account of the fortunes of the Arabs in Egypt from the seventh to the fifteenth century, shewing some of the causes that led to their southward movements and the conditions that accompanied these, is given in a second chapter; and at the same time, where there are any data forthcoming, some note is taken of the course of events in the Sudan during the same period.

Part III is occupied with a series of notes upon the history and composition of the Arab tribes now in the Sudan.

Part IV opens with a chapter on the origin value and limitations of the native manuscripts. Then follow translations of thirty-two native manuscripts, with explanatory notes, appendices and genealogical trees. It will be objected that some of them are worthless excerpts and might well have been omitted. Two considerations chiefly induced me to include them. In the first place this portion is intended to represent a small corpus of manuscripts typical of the country rather than a Golden Treasury of historical fact. In the second place it is instructive to note the extent to which variations...
and coincidences respectively occur in the presentation of the same facts by a number of documents which for the most part are either copied one from the other or traceable to a single source. One not only learns something of the accuracy or inaccuracy of the particular facts stated—a small matter as a rule—but is also enabled to gauge more confidently the degree of reliability which is likely to attach to native manuscripts in general when circumstances do not admit of the application of the comparative test.

H. A. M.

5 October, 1921
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PART I

THE INHABITANTS OF THE NORTHERN SUDAN BEFORE THE TIME OF THE ISLAMIC INVASIONS
CHAPTER 1

The Pre-Islamic Arabian Element

I With the country which roughly speaking lies south of the twelfth parallel of latitude we are not here concerned as to all but a limited extent it falls outside the sphere of the Arab. Tribes of Arabs, it is true, pasture their herds at certain seasons south of this line, and in some cases cultivate: the BAKKĀRA tribes of southern Kordofān and Dārfūr and the SELIM BAKKĀRA on the White Nile are the most notable examples of this: but allowing a few exceptions due to the suitability of the sub-tropical zone for cattle-breeding it is fairly accurate to say that the country south of the twelfth parallel is not yet arabicized in the sense that is true of the drier zones of country further north, where the Arab, or soi-disant Arab, is in undisputed possession.

It is proposed in these first chapters to give some general idea of the ethnic characteristics of the people who inhabited this northern portion of the Sudan before the period of Muhammadan immigration.

II Now, it is well to realize in advance, the fact that the Muhammadan settlement in the Sudan caused a profound modification of the pre-existing native stock is apt to obscure the other equally important fact that long before the Islamic period Arabian races had been crossing over into Egypt and the Sudan. Let us then, as a first step in the discussion of our subject, attempt to estimate the extent to which non-Muhammadan immigration to the Sudan took place from Arabia during this earlier period.

III It would be a most surprising fact if the connection between the two sides of the Red Sea had not been intimate from the earliest dawn of history, for their inhabitants were to a large extent cognate races and the passage was an easy one.

The merchant led the way. From the most ancient times trade in aromatic gums, ivory and gold flourished between Arabia and the ports of Egypt, the Sudan and Abyssinia. Settlements arose on the African coast and traders carried their wares at least as far as the

1 I limit the meaning of the term “Sudan” throughout to the country at present so called. This excludes Abyssinia and Eritrea.
Nile. Of the Wádi Ĥamámát route that runs east and west between the Red Sea and the Thebaid Professor Elliot Smith says:

From the records inscribed upon the rocks along this route we know that there was some traffic along it in the times of the fifth dynasty: but it is such an obvious means of access from the Nile to the sea that we can be sure it must have been a trade route even in predynastic times, or at any rate a highway where the Arab and the Proto-Egyptian met and intermingled. The widespread occurrence of marine shells, presumably from the shores of the Red Sea, in the predynastic graves of Upper Egypt and Nubia is positive evidence of the reality of such intercourse.

IV Some again have held that the conquering dynastic Egyptians who worshipped Horus were in fact Arabians who entered Africa by way of Massowa, and in the course of developing this theory Professor Navile ² quotes the saying of Júba, recorded by Pliny, that the Egyptians were of Arabian origin, and "as for the neighbours of the Nile from Syene to Meroe, they are not Ethiopian nations but Arabs. Even the temple of the Sun, not far distant from Memphis, is said to have been founded by the Arabs." Without going so far as this, one would allow that in early dynastic days Arabians did enter Egypt in large numbers by way of the Eritrean coast and settle there; and in that case far more of them are likely to have settled nearer home and south of the Egyptian frontier, in the Sudan.

V Some such movements are probably reflected in the ever recurrent tradition that the early dynasties of Egypt were of Ethiopian origin. It is perhaps too often assumed that "Ethiopian" is necessarily the equivalent of "negro." Certainly in the second millennium B.C. south-west Arabia was beginning to colonize the highlands of Abyssinia, and those cross-currents of migration had begun to flow which reached their height during the hegemony of Má'īn and Sába (c. 1500–300 B.C.) ⁴.

Throughout the whole of this period a large proportion of the world’s commerce passed by way of Abyssinia and the coast of the Red Sea to the Nile ⁵, and the populations on either side of the straits of Báb el Mandeb became more and more assimilated to one another ⁶.

---

1 Elliot Smith, loc. cit. p. 88.
3 Pliny, Bk. vi, 34.
6 Cp. Palgrave, C. and E. Arabia, ii, 240 ff.; and Ludolphus: the latter says of the Ethiopians of Abyssinia, "They are not natives of the land but came out of that part of Arabia which is called the Happy, which adjoins to the Red Sea" (op. Bent, p. 175).
VI Under the Ptolemies trade throve equally, and there is ample evidence of Arab trading-stations in the first and second centuries A.D. on the coast from Bāb el Mandeb to the Gulf of Suez.

VII As regards early Arabian immigration by land to Egypt, there are some who, while rejecting the theory that the early dynasts came through Ethiopia, would yet bring them from Arabia into Egypt by way of the peninsula of Sinai. This is very doubtful. The positive evidence, dating from the time of the earliest dynasties, does, however, prove that the eastern side of the Delta was being perpetually harried by nomads from Sinai and Syria, and there are numerous early reliefs shewing a Pharaoh smiting the Beduin, "the sand-dwellers" of the mining regions of Sinai.

VIII During the twelfth dynasty, nearly 2000 years before the Christian era, the monuments prove that there was also trade with these Beduin. "The needs of the Semitic tribes of neighbouring Asia were already those of civilized people and gave ample occasion for trade"; and hence the famous picture from the tomb of Khnumhotep II at Beni Hasan, in which is depicted the arrival of a band of Beduin traders.

The more amicable conditions now prevailing are also suggested by the wording of the Tale of Sinuhe's flight to Palestine during the time of the same dynasty:

I came to the Walls of the Ruler, made to repulse the Beduin...I went on...I fell down for thirst...I upheld my heart, I drew my limbs together, as I heard the sound of the lowing of cattle, I beheld the Beduin. That chief among them, who had been in Egypt, recognized me. He gave me water, he cooked for me milk. I went with him to his tribe, good was that which they did (for me).

IX About 1657 b.c. occurred the Hyksos invasion of Egypt. This people may have been Hittite or possibly Arabian by race: the evidence points to the former, but we may assume in any case that Arabia sent its quota of Beduin in the wake of the invaders and that during the Hyksos period and that succeeding it trade between east and west flourished to a larger extent than formerly.

1 See Periplus and Ptolemaeus, passim.  
2 E.g. Lepsius, q.v. ap. Navile, loc. cit.  
3 Elliot Smith, loc. cit. pp. 92, 93.  
4 See Breasted, A. R. 1, 168, 236, 259, 267, 311–315. The first of these dates from the first dynasty, and all fall within the period of the first six dynasties.  
5 Breasted, Hist. p. 159.  
6 Ibid. p. 158, and A. R. 1, 620; Schurtz, loc. cit. p. 619.  
7 Breasted, A. R. 1, 493.  
8 Breasted, Hist. pp. 179, 442.  
10 Breasted (Hist. p. 181) remarks that the Hebrews in Egypt may have been "but a part of the Beduin allies of the Kadesh or Hyksos."
X When the Empire was at the noontide of its glory and the Syrian
wars of Thutmose III (1479–1447 B.C.) had broken down such
barriers as remained, "all the world traded in the Delta markets," and
an inscription from the tomb of Harmhab (1350–1315) is par-
ticularly interesting as proving that Arab settlement in Egypt had
been taking place for some time: it records how fugitives from
Palestine begged the Pharaoh to give them an asylum in Egypt
"after the manner of your fathers' fathers since the beginning." By
now, too, the Shasu or Khabiri, the desert Semites, including
Arabs, Hebrews and Aramaeans, were inundating Syria and Palestine,
until, in the reign of Ikhnâton (1375–1358) they became paramount
on the eastern borders of Egypt.

Their power received a check at the hands of Seti I (c. 1313–
1292), and they were also no doubt affected by the repulses inflicted
by Rameses II (1292–1225) on the Hittites.

By the time of Rameses's death there were numbers of Arabians
captured in war and enrolled as serfs in Egypt, or employed as
mercenaries.

XI The power of Egypt then began to decline, and during the
nineteenth, twentieth and twenty-first dynasties the Libyans so over-
ran Egypt that by 950 B.C. they had gained the supreme power.
The presumption is that some of the eastern nomads, who were
divided by no great racial gulf from the Libyans, took the oppor-
tunity at the same time to settle with them in the Delta and inter-
marry with them as they had probably already intermarried with the
native Egyptians.

XII In the Nubian period which followed, Assyria rose to the
height of her power and subdued Egypt. Psammetichus I (663–609)
was practically a vassal of that power in the early years of his reign;
but later, as Babylon supplanted Assyria, he asserted his indepen-
dence and entered into widely ramifying foreign relations with the
powers to the north and east; and his successors imitated his example.

XIII Sixty years after the death of Psammetichus I Cyrus founded
the Medo-Persian empire, and in 525 B.C. Cambyses, King of Persia,
occupied Egypt.

XIV The Arabs may have strengthened their footing in Egypt
during the Assyrian and Babylonian periods. Herodotus indeed
speaks of Sennacherib as "King of the Arabians and Assyrians"

6 Bk. xi, 141.
and his army as “the Arabian host.” So, too, the Persian period lasted for about 200 years and presumably the settlements of Asiatics that now occurred included a proportion of Arabs. The presumption is made more certain by the fact that when Alexander the Great conquered Egypt in 332 B.C. he appointed Cleomenes of Naukratis to be governor of “Arabia about Heroopolis” with the title of “Arabarch,” and so important was this official’s position that he was also responsible to Alexander for the whole tribute of Egypt.

XV In the reign of the first Ptolemy we hear of the Arabs providing great convoys of camels for the abortive invasion by Antigonus, and no doubt they transported and raided both sides alternately throughout all the wars of the successive Ptolemies on the Syrian frontier; but to what extent they made any permanent settlement in Egypt during this period it is impossible to say.

XVI Meanwhile let us not forget the more continuous intercourse that was proceeding further south. Not only were trade relations maintained, but the Kaḥṭānites or Ḥimyarites of southern Arabia were forming a definite link between the Arabs and the negro population of Abyssinia, and periodically invaded the Nile valley. We need not pay much attention to the tale of Sheddád, a Ḥimyarite king of the ’Adites, who invaded Egypt in the days of Ashmūn the great-grandson of Ham son of Noah, and built pyramids and reservoirs before he was compelled to retreat, but the tradition that one of the early kings of Yemen, ’Abd Shams Sabá, the founder of Márīb, invaded Egypt probably refers to an actual incursion from the south-east during the Nubian period.

XVII More important matters were the expeditions of Abráha “Dhu el Maṇár” and Afrikus.

The former was born, according to Caussin de Perceval, about 134 B.C., and was king of Yemen, and brother or son of el Ša’āb “Dhu el Ḫarnayn” (“The two-horned”). He is said to have made

---

1 Mahaffy, History of Egypt, pp. 20, 21.
2 Mahaffy, loc. cit. 49.
3 Cp. Palgrave, Arabia, 1, 453, 454.
4 Mašrīzī, Khefāt, 11, 523.
5 See, e.g. Abu el Fidá, pp. 114, 115, quoting Ibn Sa’id; Van Dyck, p. 15; and Caussin de Perceval, 1, 52.
6 “He of the Signposts.” For his expedition see Abu el Fidá, p. 117; Van Dyck, p. 16; and Caussin de Perceval, 1, 67 (citing el Nuwayry, Hist. Imp. Vet. Yoct. p. 52).
7 Caussin de Perceval (1, 65) calls el Ša’āb “Essáb.” He was called “The two horned because he wore two plaits of hair hanging down over his temples” (Van Dyck, p. 16), or else because “he wore a crown with points like horns” (C. de Perceval, loc. cit.). By reason of the nickname he was sometimes confused with Alexander the Great “Dhu el Ḫarnayn.” It is possible there is a connection here with the “two horns” worn by the Mek of Bujaras (q.v. Part III, Chap. 2, xxxii) and the two-horned “takía” worn by the Fung (see Part III, sub “‘Abdullāb”). “On ne sait pas précisément pourquoi Alexandre reçut le surnom de ‘Zou-I-
an incursion into the Sudan and advanced as far as the Moghrab. This story evidently points to a Himyaritic expedition into the Sudan by way of Abyssinia. Abraha's son Afrikus, or Ibn Afriki, invaded northern Africa probably about 46 B.C.¹

XVIII There are grounds for supposing that these invasions were followed by two distinct Himyaritic settlements in the interior of Africa.

In the first place, numbers of them are said to have settled west of Egypt among the Libyan tribes and multiplied with these under the common name of Berbers: such is the origin assigned with very reasonable probability to the Šanhága and Ketáma sections of the Berber². In this connection it may be noted that at the battle of Actium Arabs of the Yemen fought for Antony on the galleys of Cleopatra³.

Secondly, it seems certain that colonies of Himyarites settled in Núbia, though it is hard to say whether the traces of Himyaritic
carnayn' ('à deux cornes'). Les uns prétendent que c'est parce qu'il avait deux éminences sur la tête, d'autres parce qu'il avait deux cornettes à sa couronne, d'autres parce qu'il avait deux longues tresses de cheveux pendantes, d'autres parce qu'il subjugea l'univers, de l'Orient réel à l'Occident réel, etc... La dénomination d'"Alexandre aux deux cornes" est l'analogue de celle de Jupiter Ammon." (Perron, ap. el Tûnisî, Voiy. au Darfour, pp. 456, 458.)

¹ Caussin de Perceval (1, 70) points out that Caesar in 46 B.C. was opposed in Africa by the Numidians of Juba, i.e. by the Libyo-Berber tribes, and that these latter were compelled to retreat before meeting Caesar because of an invasion of Juba's state at the instigation of Caesar by a certain Sittius at the head of an army of adventurers. Sittius may be Afrikus, and the name Afrikus may have been merely conferred in honour of the expedition. Ibn Khaldûn (i, 27) calls him "ibn Sa'îfî." Concerning the expedition itself see Ibn Khaldûn, 1, 166-176 (citing Ibn el Kelbi); Pococke, Spec. Hist. Ar. p. 60; Abu el Fidâ, pp. 116, 117; Caussin de Perceval, 1, 69 (citing the above and el Nuwayry's Hist. Imp. Vet. Yoct. p. 52); Carette, Explor. Scient. de l'Algérie, iii, 306; and Leo Africanus (Hakluyt ed.), 1, 122.

² See Ibn Khaldûn, 1, 27 and 184, and 11, 178; and cp. el Mas'ûdi, III, 240. Ibn el Rakîk (q.v. ap. Carette, loc. cit. p. 49) says that the first people to inhabit Barbary were five colonies of Sabaceans under Ibn Afriki, king of Yemen, and that they gave birth to 600 tribes of Berbers. These five colonies were taken to be the Šanhága, Mašmûda, Zenáta, Ghomárâ, and Howâra. Ibn Khaldûn only allows the Himyaric origin of the Šanhága and the Ketáma. He says

المشهور أنهم من

اليمنية وان أفرادهم ليغزوا أفريقيا انزلهم بها

(ed. ar., vi, 97, Bk 111). He puts down the rest as related to the Philistines and descended from Canaan.

Cp. also Ibn Ba'ûqa, 11, 196. This traveller visited Zhaṭâr, a month's journey by land from Aden, and records the striking resemblance between the food, the habits and the women's proper names among the people there and among those in the Moghrab. He says

وهذا اتشابه حكمة مما يقوي القول بان صناعة وسواهم من قبائل اليمور

أصلهم من حمير

("This resemblance bears out the statement that Šanhága and other tribes of the Moghrab are of Himyaritic origin.")

³ Caussin de Perceval, loc. cit. p. 70, quoting Virgil, Aeneid, viii, 706.
influence which occur there, and which will be noticed later, date in the main from this or a later period.

At this period sun-worship was flourishing both in Southern Arabia and among the Ḥimyaritic colonists of northern Abyssinia and the worship of the same deity that survived at Talmis (Kalabsha) until the time of Justinian may well have formed a bond of sympathy between Ḥimyarite and Nūbian through the medium of Abyssinia and so have facilitated and encouraged intercourse between the two. Pliny, as we have already seen, even quotes Jūba to the effect that the Nile dwellers from Aswán to Meroe were not Ethiopians but Arabians—a statement which though obviously exaggerated may be taken as containing at least some grain of truth. There is, too, a tradition that Abu Mālik, one of the last of the true Ḥimyarite dynasty, made an expedition into the Bega country in quest of emeralds and there perished with most of his army. The event on which this tale is founded probably occurred during the early decades of the Christian period.

XIX In 25 B.C. Augustus, under the impression that the merchandise brought to the Red Sea ports by the Arabs was produced by Arabia, commissioned Aelius Gallus, the Prefect of Egypt, to conquer that country.

This expedition was a failure; but about thirty years later, having learned that the most valuable merchandise brought by the Arabs came originally from India, and desiring a monopoly for ships from Egyptian ports, the Romans imposed a 25 per cent. import duty on goods from Arabian ports and destroyed Adane, the chief trading centre of them all. For about two centuries Roman shipping was developed at the expense of the Arab, but the old freedom of intercourse between the two coasts does not seem to have been checked thereby, and by the time of Diocletian (284–305 A.D.) the Axumites of Abyssinia and the Ḥimyarites of the Yemen had entirely regained the trade ascendency.

XX These two peoples, closely connected by race, were now united by the bond of a common religion. Axum had been finally converted

1 See Index, Ḥīmyār.
2 Cp. Van Dyck, pp. 18 and 38; and see Part II, Chap. 2, xxvi.
3 Letronne, Matériaux.
4 "Quin et accolas Nili a Syene non Aethiopum populos sed Arabum esse dicit usque Meroen." Pliny, Bk vi, para. 34.
5 Caussin de Perceval, 1, 82; Van Dyck, p. 18.
6 Caussin de Perceval puts the date of Abu Mālik’s birth in 31 A.D.
7 Milne, pp. 19, 20.
8 Ibid. p. 34.
9 Cp. Muir, Life of Mahomet, pp. lxxix, lxxx. He attributes to this cause the northward migration of the Kūḏā’a and Beni Azd.
10 Milne, p. 94.
to Christianity by Frumentius about 330 A.D., and the faith spread very rapidly throughout Abyssinia\(^1\). The Yemen had been converted half a century earlier and remained nominally Christian until about 500 A.D. when the king, Dhu Nawás, a descendant of Abráha, adopted Judaism\(^2\).

XXI Both Anastasius (491–518) and Justinus I (518–527) sent embassies to the Himyarites seeking their aid to check the increasing inroads of the Persians by an attack in the rear\(^3\); but their plans were nullified by the trouble that had arisen between the Himyarites and the Axumites as a result of the persecution of Christians by Dhu Nawás. Elesbaan, king of Axum, invaded the Yemen and subdued it about 522 A.D.\(^4\), and until about the end of the century it remained subject to Abyssinia, though the actual administration remained in the hands of the Himyarites.

XXII The last of the Himyarite viceroyos was Sayf, the son of Dhu Yazan and grandson of the Dhu Nawás mentioned above. This man, with the aid of the Persians, succeeded in driving most of the Abyssinians out of el Yemen and enslaving the rest. Some of these latter, however, murdered him about 608 A.D. and he was buried at Ṣana’a. The Persians then occupied the country until it was conquered from them by the Muhammadans in 634\(^5\).

Now, curiously enough, this Sayf ibn Dhu Yazan is fabled to have founded the kingdom of Kanem\(^6\). That he did not do so is quite certain, great traveller though he is related to have been in Arab tradition\(^7\). But during the tumultuous years which ushered in the seventh century in Arabia and immediately preceded Islam, there may have been, and probably was, some emigration from the Yemen to Africa, and it is not outside the bounds of possibility that some of these Himyarites penetrated to the far west, called themselves members of the royal family of el Yemen and were accepted as such by the ignorant natives\(^8\).

1. Lepronne, loc. cit.
2. Van Dyck, pp. 20, 21. The legend that a Himyarite founded a dynasty in Bornu at the end of the sixth century (Nachtigal ap. Schurzt, loc. cit. pp. 534, 582) is curious but unsupported by evidence.
5. Van Dyck, pp. 21–24, and Abu el Fidá, pp. 118, 119.
7. Abu el Fidá, loc. cit.
8. Cp. Carbou, loc. cit. and Barth, 11, 269. I suppose Sultan Bello to refer to this movement when he speaks of certain Berber slaves and conscripts in el Yemen as rebelling against the Himyarites and being forced in consequence to emigrate to the African coast. “They then went to Kanoom, and settled there, as strangers, under the government of the Tawarek, who were a tribe related to them, and called
XXIII But to revert: the Persian armies were active in the sixth century A.D. in the north as well as in el Yemen, and their pressure on Egypt steadily increased until in 616 A.D. that country and Asia Minor had been wrested out of the hands of the Romans.

The Persians themselves, as a race, had affinities with the Armenoid invaders of an earlier date\(^1\), but among their number were members of many Syrian and Arab tribes\(^2\), and with these latter their congeners already settled in Egypt were no doubt in active sympathy\(^3\).

XXIV The rule of Persia in Egypt only lasted for ten years. They had lost the support of the Arabs as a result of the Islamic movement, and by 626 Heraclius had driven them out.

But by now both Roman and Persian were enfeebled by continuous warfare and the Arabs began to swarm over the frontiers of Egypt. For a while they were bought off by subsidies, but in 639 'Amr ibn el 'Aši led his forces into the country, defeated the prefect Theodorus at Heliopolis, and drove the Romans back into the Delta.

By 641 Babylon had fallen and Alexandria was besieged.

Terms were then agreed upon, and in September 642, Alexandria was surrendered and Egypt passed under the domination of the Arabs.

Their immediate success cannot be credited wholly to religious fervour. A proportion were no doubt inspired by the new faith, but many were with equal certainty animated by purely material considerations; and their task was the easier in that they were freeing from a foreign yoke a country in which numbers of the population already consisted of their own kith and kin.

XXV We have thus seen that in pre-Islamic times there was a direct current of Arab immigration into Egypt, and most probably into Libya, through southern Syria, and a similar influx into the Sudan through Abyssinia, and a channel of trade from the mid-Red Sea coast to the Thebaid. It may therefore be regarded as more than probable that the ever-increasing infiltration of Arabs from these three directions, and their converging movements up and down the common highway of the Nile, whether in search of trade or pasture, had by the beginning of the seventh century led to the implanting at various points of a definite, if racially indeterminate, Arab strain in the population of the northern Sudan.

Amakeetan. But they soon rebelled against them, and usurped the country.... Their government flourished for some time and their dominion extended to the very extremity of this tract of the earth; and Wadai and Bagharme, as well as the country of Houssa...were in their possession.” (See Denham, Clapperton, and Oudney, ii, 446, 447.)

\(^1\) Von Luschan, p. 244.

\(^2\) Butler, Arab Conquest, p. 81 note.

\(^3\) Milne, p. 114.
CHAPTER 2

The Nūbians, the Nūba and the Libyan Element

I The way has now been cleared for the discussion of the non-Arab races which the Islamic Arabs found in the Sudan.

II All of these, with the exception of the nomad Bega in the eastern desert, were commonly included by the invaders under the vague denomination of Nūba. This term first occurs in literature in the geography of Eratosthenes, who was born in 276 B.C. He speaks of "the Nōβal." Later the name occurs as Nōβaðēs, or in the Latinized form of Nobatae.

The ultimate derivation of the word is not known, but it appears to be of very ancient origin and may be connected through the Coptic NOTBT (meaning "to plait") with "nebed," the word used in the inscription of Thothmes I (date c. 1540 B.C.) to denote "the plaited-haired ones," or as it is perhaps with less accuracy translated "the curly-haired ones" whom that monarch overthrew in the neighbourhood of the third cataract: "He hath overthrown the chief of the 'Nubians'; the Negro [nehesi] is helpless.... There is not a remnant among the curly-haired, who came to attack him."1

I imagine that the Arabs simply adopted the word which they found commonly used in Egypt to denote collectively the races living south of the first cataract. With ethnological differentiation they

---

2 Breasted, A. R. II, 71, and Seligman, Journ. Anthr. Inst. xliii, 1913, pp. 616, 618. The latter says, "With regard to the word in the inscription of Thothmes I rendered 'the curly-haired,' i.e. as a synonym of 'Negro' (nehesi), written earlier in the inscription...it is necessary to exercise a certain amount of caution, for Miss Murray points out that this word reads Nebed, and is determined by a lock of hair, i.e. 'the curly-haired' stands for 'the nebed-haired.' But 'nebed,' according to Brugsch, does not mean 'curly,' but is the equivalent of the French tresser, natter, entrelacer, and is akin to the Coptic NOTBT = plctere, intexere." Seligman does not, however, allude to the possibility of any connection between NOTBT and "Nūba." The word "Nūba" is sometimes derived from "nubu," the word used for "gold" in, e.g., the inscription of Amenemhet (Ameni) in the time of the twelfth dynasty (see Breasted, A. R. I, 520). Gold and slaves have been the chief attraction of the Sudan in all ages. (Cp. Budge, i, 534, 541.)

3 Elliot Smith says: "We are not justified in calling both the early and the late inhabitants of Nubia 'Nubians'; in fact, it is very doubtful whether we ought to apply the name to the pre-Hellenic population of the Nile valley between Aswān and Meroe" (Arch. Surv. Nub. Bull. II, Cairo, 1908). R. Lepsius speaks of the probably incorrect extension of the name "Nūba" to all lands out of which slaves were brought to the north (Nubische Grammatik).
were little concerned, and until late years that subject remained sufficiently obscure.

**The Present Inhabitants of Nūbia**

III At the present day the inhabitants of Nūbia, which may be taken as extending along the Nile banks from Aswān as far south approximately as the eighteenth parallel, to the vicinity, that is, of Debba and Korti, are commonly known to the north as BARÁBRA ("Berberines") and to the south as DANÁGLA, i.e. inhabitants of Dongola.

The term "BARÁBRA" is used to include the KANÚZ between Aswān and Korosko, a people whom we shall see to be an element distinct, the "NŪBA" round Ḥalfa, the SUKKÓT, the MAḤASS proper, and frequently the DANÁGLA. The DANÁGLA extend as far north only as the vicinity of Arḳo Island and do not admit that they are BARÁBRA. Physically and linguistically the SUKKÓT and MAḤASS fall into a single group and are distinct from the KANÚZ and DANÁGLA. The two latter, however, bear obvious resemblances to one another and their languages are similar. This curious fact is due without doubt to the geographical peculiarities of the Nile valley between Korosko and Dongola, the effect of which is to leave the MAḤASS and SUKKÓT more or less isolated.

IV All these people are Muhammadans and have Arab blood in their veins, but racial characteristics derived from non-Arab ancestors have survived very persistently, and more noticeably so among the MAḤASS and SUKKÓT. The KANÚZ and DANÁGLA approximate very much more to the Arab type.

At the same time, the importation of slave women from the south, which has proceeded uninterruptedly for centuries, has lent a further measure of spurious homogeneity to all of these Nūbian peoples.

1 The southern limit of "Kush" under the Pharaohs of the eighteenth dynasty was practically the same, viz. Napata (Breasted, *A. R. ii, 1020*).

2 "Danáglá," or more correctly "Danákla" is the plural of "Dongoláwi" or "Donkoláwi." There is probably a connection between "Danákla" and the "Danákil" of the northern Somali coast (see Johnston, *The Nile Quest*, pp. 34-42).

3 Cp. Beckett, *Cairo Sc. Journ.* Aug. 1911; Burckhardt, *Nubia*, pp. 25, 26; and Anglo-Eg. *Sudan*, 1, 83, where the term Barábra is used to include the Danáglá. Burckhardt (*loc. cit.*) says: "The inhabitants of Nouba, and Wady Kenous, as far as Dongola, are known in Egypt under the name of Berábera (sing. Berbery); but that appellation is seldom made use of by the inhabitants themselves, when speaking of their own nation." As usual, he is accurate. By "Maḥass proper" are meant the Maḥass of Maḥass district as distinct from the Maḥass settled, e.g. on the Blue Nile.

4 Cp. Schweinfurth, ii, 194.
V As regards the Barābra as a whole one thing is quite certain: there are no grounds for closely connecting them as a race with the Nūba of southern Kordofán as Rüppell, Rossi and Keane did. They are very similar in type to the Middle Nūbians who lived between 3000 and 4000 years ago in the same locality, but these had no more racial affinity with the southern Nūba than the Barābra-Danāgla have, and the latter are almost the complete antithesis of the southern Nūba both physically and culturally.

It may be the case, and probably is, that the southern Nūba are to some extent the modern representatives of the race of negroes who temporarily held Dongola and the cataract country south of Halfa in the days of the Middle Kingdom and early Empire and whose congeners, no doubt at a later date, formed part of the forces of the Ethiopian dynasty that conquered Egypt and ruled it for something less than a century, but these negroes were aliens in the northern Sudan and most of them were forced back to the south, and their place in Lower Nūbia was taken by its original inhabitants and settlers from Egypt.

In the Dodekaschoinos it is probable that the negroes had hardly displaced the original inhabitants, but south of Halfa they must have done so temporarily and to some extent modified the racial type in the process. But, even so, allowing for periods of interruption, it is true to say that from the time of the Middle Empire (2000–1600 B.C.) and onwards for centuries, and throughout the Meroitic, Ptolemaic and classical periods, and again in the years preceding the decisive Arab conquest of the Sudan, a strong infiltration of the Egyptian and, later, of the Egypto-Arab type was steadily and almost uninterruptedly proceeding in the northern Sudan and the negro element was correspondingly decreasing in that region.

VI It will be seen too that this prolonged infiltration to the south was more than the return of an ancient population, reinforced by fresh blood, to its quondam home on the river. When once the Arabs had overthrown the Christian kingdom of Dongola and established themselves in its place, they rapidly amalgamated with the local Nūbians and began to send colonies further afield.

Thus it came about that Barābra, with an Arab leaven, penetrated into Kordofán and settled round about the most northerly of the Nūba mountains and intermarried with the negroes who were

---

2 Seligman, loc. cit.
3 See Part II, Chap. 2, xxxix.
probably descendants of the erstwhile conquerors of Nūbia\(^1\). The immigrating race, in addition, imposed its own language upon the blacks in their vicinity, and thus are explainable the linguistic affinities which have troubled so many generations of investigators. The Barābra, in short, do not speak a language akin to that of the northern Nūba of southern Kordofān because the negroes conquered Nūbia,—the negroes probably spoke some language or languages of their own that may still survive in the mountain fastnesses of the far south,—but because the Barābra colonized the country round the foot of the northern hills of Dār Nūba. The conclusion, however, has here anticipated the argument and we must revert.

**The Earliest Inhabitants of Nūbia**

VII As regards the earliest period it has been proved that those shadowy inhabitants of northern Nūbia, who are known to archaeologists as “Group A,” were contemporaries of the pre-dynastic Egyptians, that both buried their dead in the same way and that in cultural matters there were marked similarities. The two peoples must have been practically uniform\(^2\), and their stock may have extended in a more or less diluted form from Egypt to the Blue Nile and Abyssinia\(^3\). They were a “small, dark-haired, black-eyed, glabrous people” bearing a close resemblance to the Libyans of the southern Mediterranean seaboard, and were, in the earliest period of all, devoid of all negro characteristics\(^4\).

**The First Arrival of the Negroes**

VIII Later, about the time of the third dynasty, negro types began to settle in Nūbia as far north as Aswān, and from now onwards “the population that grew up was a mixture of early Nubian and dynastic Egyptian with an ever increasing Negro element\(^5\).”

\(a\) The Bahr el Ghazāl Type

These negroes were for the most part “short and relatively broad-headed,” of a type akin to that found at the present day in the south

---

1 Further evidence on this subject will be found in Part III, Chap. 1, where details of the Bedayria and other Danāglā tribes are given.
3 Elliot Smith, *Ancient Egyptians*, pp. 78, 79. Of this stock he also says (p. 54): “There is a considerable mass of evidence to shew that there was a very close resemblance between the proto-Egyptians and the Arabs before either became intermingled with Armenoid racial elements.”
of the Baḥr el Ghazál province, and entirely distinct from the invaders of the Empire period.

(b) The Nilotic Type

The tall Nilotes, Shilluk, Dinka and Nuer of the White Nile valley, who now intervene between the Baḥr el Ghazál and Nūbia, and are dissimilar to either group and display certain Bantu affinities, could not at the time of the earlier (Baḥr el Ghazál) invasion have yet occupied their present position¹. It is likely that they arrived there during the second millennium B.C., or later.

The "C Group" in Lower Nūbia

IX By the time of the twelfth dynasty the fusion of races in Lower Nūbia had resulted in the production of the singularly homogeneous blend of traits which distinguish the people of the Middle Empire, that is, dynasties twelve to seventeen, or "C Group"; the very type which in a modified form is represented in the same locality by the Barabra of the present day.

By the same date the population further south must have become almost exclusively negro (nehes).

Early Libyan Influences in Nūbia

X Concurrently with the early negro infusion into Nūbia further racial modification was probably being caused by the settlement on the Nile of Libyans (Temehu) from the western oases and the steppes of northern Kordofán.

In the time of the sixth dynasty, about 2750 B.C., Harkhuf, the Governor of the district round Aswán, went to Yam, i.e. Lower Nūbia on the west side², and, he says, "I found the chief of Yam going to the land of Temeh to smite Temeh as far as the western corner of heaven. I went forth after him to the land of Temeh and I pacified him...³." Harkhuf then went southwards through Upper Nūbia, crossed over to the east bank, and returned downstream to Egypt bringing with him incense, ebony, oil, grain, panther-skins, ivory and throwing-sticks⁴. The advocates of the Libyan theory find here evidence that the Libyans (Temehu) lived between the first and second cataracts, but as Giuffrida-Ruggeri remarks⁵, "there is still the possibility suggested by Hrdlíčka⁶ that these Temehu lived...on the oases of Kharga and Dakhla, which are in the Libyan desert...."

¹ Seligman, loc. cit. p. 624.
² Breasted, A. R. 1, 335.
³ Loc. cit. p. 54.
⁴ Ibid. p. 336, and Seligman, loc. cit.
⁵ Seligman, loc. cit. p. 613 note.
Budge\(^1\) thinks from the list of products brought back by Harkhuf that he probably penetrated Kordofán and Dárfür \textit{via} the oases of Kurkur and Selíma; and Professor Navile\(^2\) accepts the inscription of Harkhuf as proof that the Libyo-Berbers were occupying Kordofán and Dárfür and possibly Borkū. The negroes, he thinks, must have ousted them at a later date.

Reisner thinks Harkhuf followed the river and doubts if he penetrated as far as Sennar. The products brought back, he points out, might have been obtained in trade anywhere between Dongola and Sennar, whatever their ultimate origin\(^3\). However, as large and wealthy Arab tribes have chosen to live in the Bayūda desert for centuries it is also likely in any case that races of similar habits and inclinations occupied it before them. That the earliest of such to do so were of Libyan origin appears to be sufficiently established, but the extent, if any, to which these races settled on the Nile and mixed with the Núbian population of the Middle Núbian period is still undetermined\(^4\).

XI The Middle Núbian stock was also mixed, it is probable, with another strain, that of the red-skinned Bega from the eastern deserts\(^5\). But in the main, from Assuan for some distance south of Ḥalfa, it was negroid, though certainly not true negro\(^6\).

\textbf{Núbia in the Time of the Twelfth Dynasty}

XII During the time of the great kings of the twelfth dynasty (2000–1788 B.C.) events of great importance occurred in the northern Sudan\(^7\). At least three serious military campaigns were carried out, by Amenemhat I (1971), by Sesostris I (1962) and by Sesostris III (1879). In connection with these a series of forts and garrisons was established from the Egyptian frontier as far as the lower end of the present Dongola Province, and at several of these, notably at Semna and Kerma (Inebuw-Amenemhat), regular colonies of Egyptians were founded. During this period the district between Aswán and Semna became populous and prosperous.

Every lateral valley had its village or group of huts. Every square meter of alluvial soil appears to have been cultivated. The people were

---

\(^1\) I, 512.


\(^3\) Bates (\textit{The Eastern Libyans}) would go so far as to class the Middle Núbians as a race with the Libyans rather than with the Negroes. Giuffrida-Ruggeri combats this theory in \textit{Man}, April 1915. The question of Berber influences in the western desert at a later date occurs again later in this chapter.


\(^6\) For the following see Reisner in \textit{Sudan Notes and Records}, April 1918.
Nubians, perhaps descended in part from the harried population of the Old Empire, but increased by immigrants from the more exposed districts south of Semna. Culturally they were still in an uncivilized state, nearly neolithic. They were sowers and herdsmen, hunters and fishermen. The only crafts were pot-making, cloth and mat-weaving, and basket-making,—all carried out by hand with the simplest of tools.

South of Semna, of course, conditions were far less settled and periodical punitive expeditions were necessary.

The expedition of Sesostris I appears, however, to have resulted in the "thorough subjugation of the country, certainly as far as the upstream end of Dongola Province, and perhaps well into Berber Province." The year 1962 marks the first real conquest of the north-central Sudan. The fort at Kerma was enlarged and the settlement increased, and the result has been shewn by Reisner's recent excavations. These prove that a "special local civilization, a curious modification of the culture of Egypt, deeply affected by local forms, materials and customs," was developed and thrived. About 1879, however, Amenemhat's fort was sacked as the result of a rising or invasion from the south. Sesostris III at once led an army into the Sudan and crushed the rebels and set up the famous stela at Semna, 37 miles south of Halfa, inscribed with the order forbidding the "negroes" to pass downstream beyond it for ever. From his time until the New Empire no mention of Nubia is found in the Egyptian inscriptions, but its occupation certainly continued and one infers that local conditions were more or less settled.

Kerma had been restored and made the administrative centre of a province, but it seems that about 1600 B.C. it was burnt out and never rebuilt.

**Nubia in the Time of the Eighteenth Dynasty.**

**Its Egyptianization**

XIII The Egyptianization of the northern Sudan was proceeding steadily in the time of Ahmose (Ahmes) I, the founder of the eighteenth dynasty (c. 1580–1350 B.C.) and under his successors. Ahmose I placed Lower Nubia ("Wawat") under an Egyptian Governor, and his successor, Amenhotep (Amenophis) I, appointed in 1548 the first of a long line of Egyptian viceroy, who ruled Ethiopia during the eighteenth and nineteenth dynasties.

In the following reign, that of Thothmes I, occurred the serious revolt and its suppression to which reference has already been made.

---

1 See Breasted, *A. R.* 1, 652.

2 For the following, to the close of the quotation ending "...kings of Ethiopia," see Reisner in *Sudan Notes and Records*, Oct. 1918.
AND THE LIBYAN ELEMENT

By the time of Thothmes III the northern Sudan was administered by two sub-governors, one for Kush (the south) and one for Wawat (the north). Mines were worked by the Government, taxes were collected, and considerable trade was developed with the out-districts. In fact, from 1548 B.C. to about 1090, for some 558 years that is,

Ethiopia was governed by Egyptian officials and paid tribute to Egypt.... The Egyptians followed up their military and political occupation by filling the land with Egyptians,—soldiers, officials, priests, merchants, and craftsmen. Southwards of Philæ, temples were made, decorated, and maintained at Kalabsha, Gerf Hussein, Kubban, Es-Sebua, Amada, Derr, Ibrim, Abu Simbel, Halfa (Buhen), Semneh, Soleb, Delgo (Sesi), Kawa, Gebel Barkal, and other places. Each of these was a centre of propaganda, a community of scribes learned in Egyptian medicine, law, and religion, and of artizans trained in every ancient craft....The better agricultural areas at least as far south as Semneh were assigned to the support of the temples and turned over to immigrants from Egypt and their descendants for cultivation.... The viceroy himself with his personal staff probably shifted his quarters from el-Kab or Elephantine to Semneh or Napata as the season or the necessities of the administration made it seem advisable....Most of the Egyptians were permanently domiciled in the country and had brought their families with them. The decimated tribes grew into a completely submissive population, were racially affected by intermarriage with the ruling class, and became more or less Egyptianized. The country, as a whole, was thoroughly Egyptianized, especially in religion. The names of the local gods were remembered, and all the gods of the Egyptian pantheon were called upon in their special functions, but the great god was Amun-Ra, the god of the Theban family who had conquered so much of the world....He dwelt in the midst of the "Holy Mount" which we now call Gebel Barkal, and in the days to come his oracles were to decide the fates of even the kings of Ethiopia.

Now there are no pictorial representations of Nubians dating from any dynasty earlier than the eighteenth, but it has been suggested as curious¹ that from then until the time of the twentieth dynasty—at a time, that is, when we know the Middle Nubian population to have been physically similar to that of the present day—the Nubians who were conquered by the great kings of the New Empire, and who were probably the same people as those whose boundaries Senusert (Sesostris) III some three centuries before had fixed at Semna, are habitually represented as "full-blooded Negroes with coarse negro features." This, however, would appear to be perfectly natural. The negroes living south of the second cataract and in the country beyond used to raid periodically to the north of

¹ Seligman, loc. cit. p. 617.
it. Senusert III repelled them\(^1\) and fixed their boundary above Ḥaláf. Later, the negroes—no doubt the same ones—gave further trouble, and Thothmes I defeated them even more completely and forced them back to the third cataract. It seems probable that it is these negro invaders who are depicted from the eighteenth to the twentieth dynasty, and not the more permanent and rightful inhabitants of Lower Nūbia.

**DISCUSSION OF THE NEGRO TYPE FOUND IN NŪBIA UNDER THE TWELFTH AND EIGHTEENTH DYNASTIES. THE KORDOFĀN TYPE?**

XIV There is some reason to think that these negroes whom Senusert III defeated and forbade to pass north of Ḥaláf, the "plaited-haired ones" with whom Thothmes I later warred farther to the south, the men depicted as tall, coarse, full-blooded negroes, were probably akin to the tall mesaticephalous type that now survives in southern Kordofān and whose remains, dating from the time of the twenty-fifth dynasty (Taharka, Tanutamon, etc.) and earlier, have lately been found at Gebel Moya and other hills in the Gezíra\(^2\). They no doubt followed the Nile in their northward movement, impelled perhaps by the Nilotic stock behind them, but it is as well to bear in mind the possibility that some of them also came overland through Kordofān by way of the Wāḍī el Mukaddām\(^3\).

XV It is to this type, the "*nebed*," that the name Nūba is, I suggest, most properly applied, and it is a noticeable fact that the Arab of the present day hardly ever speaks of the Nilotic negro of the south by that name: he instinctively reserves it, on the other hand, (\(a\)) for the big black of southern Kordofān, (\(b\)) the hybrid race living

\(^1\) See above, and Breasted, *A. R.* i, 640.

\(^2\) Dr Derry, who examined the burial sites at G. Moya, and Prof. Seligman who has closely studied the Nūba of southern Kordofān, agree as to the close resemblance between the early Ptolemaic negro of the Gezíra and the present type in southern Kordofān (see Seligman, *loc. cit.* p. 625).

"The cemeteries of this site [G. Moya] have yielded the remains of a tall coarsely built Negro or Negroid race with extraordinarily massive skulls and jaws. In a general way they appear to resemble the coarser type of Nuba living in south Kordofān at the present day, and it is significant that the cranial indices of the men of Jebel Moya and the Nuba hills agree closely." (Seligman, Address to the Anthrop. Section of the Brit. Assoc. for the Advancement of Science, *Report*, 1915, p. 9.)

Of the physical characteristics of the Nūba of southern Kordofān Prof. Seligman says: "They are a tall, stoutly-built, muscular people, with a dark, almost black skin. They are predominantly mesaticephalic...nearly 60 per cent. of the individuals measured are mesaticephals, the remainder being dolicocephalic and brachicephalic in about equal proportions." ("The Physical Characters of the Nuba of Kordofān," *R. A. I.* xi, 1910.)

For Reisner's remarks on the excavations at Gebel Moya see *Sudan Notes and Records*, Jan. 1919, p. 65.

\(^3\) See later in this chapter.
at el Haraza, Kaga, and other hills in the north of Kordofán, and (c) to denote the aborigines extirpated by the Funk at the beginning of the sixteenth century in the Gezira and the neighbourhood of the Shabluka cataract.

In the form "Nūbia," however, the name came to be applied not to the country whence these negroes came but to the scene of their greatest triumphs, the valley of the Nile between the first cataract and Napata. Nay more, by the irony of fate, although the northern portion of this same country throughout the early and the later dynastic, the Ptolemaic, and Roman periods, and again in the time of the Mamlūks, was considered almost an annex of Egypt and was largely populated by Egyptian colonies, the use of the name "Nūbia" was tending more and more to be restricted to it rather than to the southern portion, and we shall see that by the time of Ibn Selim in the latter part of the tenth century it was not uncommon to regard it as applying par excellence to that most northerly district of the Sudan commonly called Maris, which ended some way north of the second cataract¹.

The Libyo-Egyptian Period in Nūbia and the Nūbian Conquest of Egypt

XVI About 945 B.C. the Libyans, who in the course of centuries had obtained a strong footing in Lower Egypt and the Delta and became partly Egyptianized, seized the throne of the Pharaohs and founded the twenty-second dynasty². How this affected the Sudan immediately we do not know, but in the records of 750 B.C. the northern Sudan appears "no longer as a province of Egypt but as the seat of an independent monarchy of which the Thebaid was the northern province," and Reisner thinks it likely that, as the Libyan kings subsequently weakened and power became decentralized, Kashta, the Libyan (?) representative commanding in the northern Sudan and a member of the royal family, assumed independence. He even, it appears, invaded Egypt and established his supremacy as far north as Thebes. His capital was at Napata (Gebel Barkal), and we may assume that though he and his staff may have been Egypto-Libyans, the mass of his subjects were Nūbians of the present darker type in the north and negroes or semi-negroes in the south.

¹ Cp. Budge, i, 651, and ii, 105; also Letronne, loc. cit. Evidence of the consistency with which this tract south of Aswán was considered an annex of Egypt will be adduced later (see Part II, Chap. 2).

² For the following see Reisner in Sudan Notes and Records, for Jan. and Oct. 1919.
XVII Kashta was succeeded by his son Piankhi (744–710 B.C.). This king took further advantage of the decadence that had overtaken Egypt and completed the work begun by his father in overrunning the whole country and making it tributary to him.

XVIII Piankhi was succeeded about 710 B.C. by his brother Shabaka. This monarch, not content with merely receiving tribute, firmly established his authority over the whole of Egypt.

He was followed by Shabataka², and the latter, about 688 B.C., by Taharka (a son of Piankhi), who in the reign of Shabaka had commanded the Ethiopian army that was sent to Palestine to assist Hezekiah against the Assyrians of Sennacherib, who were now approaching the eastern borders of Egypt.

**The Assyrian Danger**

XIX Taharka’s main preoccupation throughout his reign was to stem the tide of this Assyrian invasion. But he was unsuccessful, and in 670 B.C. Esarhaddon forced his way to the Egyptian frontier and heavily defeated him. Taharka retired southwards leaving the Delta and Memphis in the hands of the Assyrians³.

Esarhaddon, however, did not press his success, and as he withdrew northwards Taharka reoccupied Memphis and renewed his intrigues with the Palestinian kings.

XX On the death of Esarhaddon in 668, his son Ashurbanipal continued the fresh campaign that had been started against Taharka and achieved a decisive victory in the eastern Delta. Taharka again retired southwards. The Assyrians followed and occupied Thebes, reinstated the Libyo-Egyptian dynasts as Governors in Egypt and left garrisons. Ashurbanipal himself then returned with his spoil to Nineveh.

Shortly afterwards Taharka died⁴.

XXI Tanutamon, a son of Shabaka (who had married Taharka’s

---

¹ The dates given for the twenty-fifth dynasty are as amended by Reisner in Oct. 1919.
² Manetho makes him son of Shabaka, but Breasted (Hist. p. 377) thinks this a little doubtful. Piankhi, Shabaka and Shabataka, it may be noted, were all buried at Gebel Kurru near Barkal (Reisner, Sudan Notes and Records, Oct. 1919).
³ Breasted (loc. cit. p. 378) says of Taharka: “His features as preserved in contemporary sculptures shew unmistakably negroid characteristics.” Reisner, on the contrary (Sudan Notes and Records, Jan. 1919, p. 50), says that though the Assyrian king chose to represent Taharka as a negro, he “was not a negro, for the statues of both himself and his descendants shew features which might be Egyptian or Libyan but certainly not negro.”
⁴ He was the founder of the great royal cemeteries of Ethiopia at Nüri, near Merowe.
sister	extsuperscript{1}), the last king of the twenty-fifth dynasty, came to the throne in 663 B.C., and, though in name he ruled over both Egypt and the Sudan	extsuperscript{2}, in fact the only result of his attempts to recover Lower Egypt was that he was driven back and Thebes was sacked by the Assyrians.

By 654 B.C. Tanutamon was dead and buried with his great forefathers Piankhi, Shabaka and Shabataka near Gebel Barkal, and the power of the Sudan over Egypt had come utterly to an end.

The Meroitic Period and After

XXII On the final separation of Ethiopia from Egypt Psammetichus (Psamtik) I, who had been installed by the Assyrians as King of Sais and Memphis and become founder of the twenty-sixth Egyptian dynasty, did not concern himself greatly with the Sudan. The rulers of that country, too, turned their attention southwards and the province of Meroe was consolidated and developed near the junction of the Atbara and the Nile	extsuperscript{3}. It was made an integral part of Ethiopia as Ethiopia had been of Egypt. Meroe was Ethiopianized, that is, brought under the influence of the Egyptian culture which had been inherited from the days of the viceroy. But this Egypto-Ethiopian culture...was certainly greatly diluted by its extension to Meroe. Meroe was Ethiopianized, not Egyptianized.

About 440 B.C., as Reisner believes, and certainly before 350 B.C.: Meroe had in its turn absorbed Ethiopia itself, just as Ethiopia, three centuries before, had absorbed its mother country Egypt. The degeneration of the culture became more rapid...even the race was changing. The Egyptian element was being overborne by others, Libyan, Nubian, negro, or whatever it may have been. The fine traits of the educated and skilled Egyptian were visibly fading into the coarse features of a negroid race which may have been slow at forgetting but was incapable of giving a creative impulse to art, learning, or religion.

XXIII We now have, as a result of Reisner's work at Núri and the vicinity, an almost complete list of the kings that followed Tanutamon, but there is no point in recording them here. More important is the fact that certainly by the time of Nastasen (Nastasen), who reigned from 298–278 B.C., and probably by about 440 B.C., the political capital was at Meroe while the religious capital remained at Napata.

The temples of Napata with their endowed bodies of priests and craftsmen educated in the learning of Egypt remained the cultural centre of the

---

	extsuperscript{1} Breasted, A. R. iv, 920, note.

	extsuperscript{2} Ibid. iv, 920.

	extsuperscript{3} The date of the actual foundation of the city of Meroe is not known. For further details as to Meroe and for the settlement of the Automoloi in the south see the following chapter.
kingdom, while Meroe became the centre of material wealth and political power....It was not until a generation or so after the death of Nastasen that the rulers of Meroe introduced a revival of learning and art under the influence of Ptolemaic Egypt and made their capital for the first time the cultural centre of Ethiopia.

XXIV Some further remarks on Meroe and its people will be attempted in the next chapter, but, before leaving the subject of the inhabitants of Nubia proper, we must first turn to the classical geographers of the Ptolemaic period, since they provide certain items of information that are of value.

XXV We have seen that it was Eratosthenes who first used the term Νουβαη in the third century B.C. As quoted by Strabo he says:

On the left side of the course of the Nile live the Noubai, in Libya, a great race, beginning from Meroe and extending as far as the bend of the river. They are not subject to the Ethiopians but live independently, being divided into several sovereignties.

Agathemerus (third century A.D.) in a bald list of African races includes Νουβαη (sic) on either side of the Nile.

Pliny says: "The island of the Semberritae on the Nile obeys a queen. Eight days journey further [north] are the Ethiopian Nubei. Their city of Tenupsis is on the Nile."

Ptolemaeus simply mentions a number of Ethiopian tribes with outlandish names, but contributes nothing definite to our knowledge of them beyond that they lived on the Nile, in the Island of Meroe and beyond, and in the western steppes.

Procopius says of Elephantine (Aswán) in the latter half of the sixth century, "There live, besides many other races, the very large tribes of Blemyes and Nobatae. The former occupy the interior of the country and the latter reside in the Nile valley;" and relates that

---

1 ἔξ ἄρατερων δὲ τῆς Ῥάκεως τοῦ Νείλου Νουβαη κατοικοῦσιν ἐν τῇ Λιβύῃ, μέγα έθνος, ἀπὸ τῆς Μερόδης ἀρξάμενοι μέχρι τῶν ἀγκώνων, ὁδὸν ἐποπατῶμεν τοῖς Ἀθιδόφυοι, ἀλλ' ιδία καὶ (?) πλεον βασιλεῖαι διειλημένει. Strabo, ed. Casaubon, xviii, 786.


3 Pliny, Hist. Nat. Bk. vii, § 35. "Insula in Nilo Semberritarum reginæ paret. Ab ea Nubei Aethiopes dierum octo itinere. Oppidum eorum Nilo impositum, Tenupsis." For the island of the Semberritae (Sembritae), which is perhaps the district between Kassala and Kallabát, see following chapter.

Pliny also calls some tribe in Syria by the same name of Nubei. "Nec non in media Syriae ad Libanum montem penetrantibus Nubeis, quibus junguntur Ramisi. Deinde Taranei, deinde Patami." (Bk. vi, § 32.)

4 Ed. Müller, Bk. iv, 748–783.

5 De Bello Persico, Bk. i, 59. The text is as follows: ἐνταῦθα άθην τε ἄλλα πολλά πάροικοι τοῖς Βλήμεοις τε καὶ Νοβάταις, πολυναθρωπώτατα γένεσιν άλλα Βλήμεως μὲν ταύτης δὴ τῆς χώρας ἐν ταύτα μέσα ἔσχησαν. Νοβάται δὲ τά ἁμοι Νείλον σταμών ἔχουσι. Procopius was born about 500 and died about 565 A.D.
Diocletian (284–305 A.D.) as well as paying to both tribes a sort of Dane-geld, gave the Nobatae a tract on the Nile banks and entrusted to them the care of the Dodekaschoinos, the district south of Aswán.

XXVI In The Egyptian Sudan Budge speaks of these Nobatae or Nūba as “a powerful tribe of nomads who lived in the Western Desert” and adds “The Nobatae appear to have come originally from Dār Fūr and Kordofān and in Diocletian’s time their settlements extended to the oasis of Kharga.” Again he says “The people who lived in the deserts on the west of the Nile...were known to classical writers as ‘Nubae,’ or Nubians, and ‘Nobadae’ or ‘Nobatae.’ In Roman times the Nubians consisted of a league of the great tribes of the Western Desert.”

The statement that the settlements of the Nūba extended to the oases of Kharga rests on the remark of Procopius to the effect that the Nobatae who were settled by Diocletian between Egypt and the Blemyes had originally lived “about the city oasis” (i.e. Kharga). It has been objected that the inhabitants of the oases were undoubtedly of a Libyan stock, and that the Nobatae were essentially a Nilotic race and could not have been so far north, and that therefore Procopius was at fault. But this is a very risky line of argument: there is no proof that the Nobatae were essentially Nilotic, and there is a quite definite probability that the Libyan races, the ancient Temehu, and the Nobatae, whether on the river or west of it, had commingled. Throughout history the nomads of the west, Libyans or Berbers, have maintained an intimate connection with the dwellers in the Nile valley; and there may have been both Libyans and Nobatae at Kharga, or a mixture of the two.

XXVII As regards the religion of these Nūbians the evidence is very slight. In 452 A.D., Priscus tells us, a peace was made between Maximin, the Roman general, and the Blemyes and Nūbians, and one clause of it stipulated that the Romans should allow the others, according to their ancient custom, to make a journey to Philae and visit the temple of Isis and take thence the statue of the goddess and bring it back after a certain time.

But Christianity had by now begun to find converts in Nūbia.

1 See Evetts, p. 260.  
2 11, 176.  
3 11, 417.  
4 In these quotations Budge seems to press somewhat ahead of the evidence. To what extent I agree with him will appear later.  
5 Loc. cit.  
6 Hall, Review in Man of May 1912.  
7 Fragm. 21 (ed. Müller) ap. Letronne, Matériaux..., 11, 205 ff. Priscus is a good authority as he was in Egypt at the time and a friend of the general.
The statement of Eusebius\(^1\) that so early as the reign of Constantine (313–337) Christianity had penetrated to the Ethiopians and BLEMYES refers to the Abyssinians and Troglydotes converted by Frumentius in the east\(^2\), but it is none the less probable that there were Christians in Nūbia at the same period, and the record of Cosmus Indico-pleustes prove that there were some there in the fifth century\(^3\).

XXVIII In the sixth century conversion took place on a larger scale. A certain priest named Julianus “...was greatly concerned for the black people of the Nobades, who lived on the southern border of the Thebaid, and as they were heathen he wished to convert them....” He accordingly persuaded Theodora, the Empress of Justinian, to send him on a mission to Nūbia. There he “taught and baptized the king and the nobles, and...thus were all the people of Kushites converted to the orthodox faith\(^4\), and they became subjects of the throne of Alexandria\(^5\).”

By the latter half of the century\(^6\) northern Nūbia had been formed into a Christian kingdom under Silko, the king whose Greek inscription was found in the temple of Talmis (Kalabsha). It is not unlikely that he was the actual convert of Julianus, and he was almost certainly the founder of Dongola, which was to remain the capital of Nūbia for the seven centuries during which that country barred the progress of the Arabs and their religion from the upper valley of the Nile.

XXIX But when all is said we know very little of the state of affairs in Nūbia in Silko’s time or of the people over whom he ruled, their racial characteristics, their customs, or their polity.

They were it seems almost continuously at war with the still pagan BLEMYES\(^7\) who occupied the lower valley of the Nile from Primis (Ibrim) to the frontiers of Egypt; and Silko also speaks of his raids against “the others, above [i.e. south of] the Nobadae\(^8\).”

---

1 Vit. Constantini, 1, 8, ap. Letronne, loc. cit.  
2 See I, i, xx above.  
3 See Butler, note to Abu Šālīb, pp. 265, 266.  
4 I.e. the monophysite beliefs. The narrator was a Jacobite or monophysite Christian.  
5 Translated by Budge (ii, 295) from the Syriac of Barhebraeus’s Ecclesiastical History. Barhebraeus, or Abu el Farag, drew upon the earlier work of John of Ephesus. Letronne quotes Pococke’s Latin translation. Budge places Julianus’s date between 540 and 548. Barhebraeus elsewhere, speaking inaccurately and in contradiction to the passage quoted, says that in the reign of Constantine were converted “all the negroes, such as Ethiopians, Nubians and others” (see Letronne, loc. cit.).  
6 So Letronne dates the inscription of Silko.  
7 The inscription of Silko says: ἐποίησα ἐλεήμων μετ’ αὐτῶν καὶ ἔμοιαν μοι τὰ εἰσίωνα αὐτῶν, “I made peace with them and they swore to me by their idols.” They were converted shortly afterwards.  
8 The Greek is οἱ ἄλλοι Νουβάδαις ἀνωτέρω. Budge (ii, 292–3) wrongly translates “the other Nobades”: Letronne is correct. These others, “the other kings”
He calls himself βασιλίσκος Νουβίδων καὶ ἕλων τῶν Αἰθίων, which may be translated "Mek of the Nūba and all the Ethiopians." But even if one allow some truth to his pretensions to overlordship it is clear that with the lapse of time a process of disintegration set in, and the petty kings, the βασιλίσκοι, who are mentioned in the inscriptions of Axum and Talmis, were evidently prototypes of the meks who ruled Nūbia so late as the nineteenth century and who still survive in name among the Gamū'Tā and some other debased Arab tribes in the Nile valley to the present day1.

XXX Now the manuscript numbered "D₄," written by a Berber of Ḥalfa, speaks of the ancient capital of the Nūba or Nūbians—he uses the words interchangeably—as Gebel el Ḥaráza in northern Kordofán, and it is clear from the context that he refers to the time of the twenty-fifth dynasty, i.e. "The Nūbian Period." I am inclined to think this is not so far from the truth as might at first sight be supposed. The people of the hills of el Ḥaráza, Abu Ḥadid and Um Durrag, which lie some 150 miles west of the junction of the Niles, are still called Nūba in spite of the racial modifications they have undergone by admixture with Danāgla from the Nile; and both the hills mentioned and also the now uninhabited hills in their neighbourhood show plentifully such traces of ancient occupation as stone villages on the slopes and old tumuli, presumably graves, by the sides of the little water-courses cut by the rains in the wet season, and on the crests of the hills. Similar tumuli are to be found at intervals all along the banks of the great Wādī el Muḳkaddam which, starting from near Bagbagi, about seventy miles east of el Ḥaráza, runs across the Bayūda desert to Korti at the southern end of the great bend of the Nile2.

XXXI From el Ḥaráza to Korti is only two hundred odd miles and the journey is easy. One can follow the course of the Wādī el Muḳkaddam for most of the way and find plentiful water at a shallow as they are elsewhere called in the inscription, were no doubt the "several sovereignties" spoken of by Eratosthenes 700 years earlier, but Silko does not specifically call them Nobadae.

1 Sir C. Wilson (p. 12) aptly compares these "Meks" with the kings of Palestine overthrown by Joshua.

2 I have opened some of these tumuli, but neither in them nor under them have I found anything: they certainly were not houses and the only feasible suggestion that I can make is that they were cairns made to protect the bodies of the dead from wild beasts and that the action of wind and rain percolating among the boulders of which they are composed and the ravages of insects have destroyed all trace of flesh and bones alike. It is probably to the custom of erecting such cairns that Agatharcides (q.v. ap. Strabo, iii, 34) refers when he says that among the Megabarai the dead are tied neck and heels and carried to the top of a hill where they are pelted with stones until they are covered over (see, however, Bent, p. 78). The cairns are roughly circular in shape and the stones are entirely unshaped.
depth all along it, or if one prefer there is a more direct route, that followed by the Turks in 1821 and by most of the Arab caravans, via the deeper desert wells of el Šáfa, Ḥobagi, and Elai. Further west and very roughly parallel to the Wádi el Mukaddam is the similar Wádi el Melik running from the Dárfür border into the Nile at Debba, only forty-five miles west of Korti.

At el 'Ayn on this Wádi and at Abu Sufián to the west of it are similar traces of human occupation well known to the nomads1, and at the southern end of it near the Dárfür border they are very common indeed.

XXXII In these parts the underground water supply has decreased to a very striking extent of late years, and that in ancient days the rainfall was considerably more heavy is proved, I think, by the presence of gigantic baobabs (tubeldi: Adansonia digitata) that are centuries old and could hardly have passed through the early stages of growth had the country been as dry as it now is. Some hundreds of years ago the country on both sides of the two great Wádis mentioned may have been habitable all the year through2.

XXXIII Now it is certain that in past centuries Danáglá from the Nile have settled at el Ḥaráza, and fresh colonies have joined the older ones within recent years3. It is also believed by the people of Gebel Midób in Dárfür, about 140 miles west of the Wádi el Melik, that their ancestors were Mahass and Danáglá from the Nile4, and, as Professor Seligman has pointed out5, there are very close linguistic resemblances between a list of their numerals which I collected in 19126 and those of the Barábra on the river.

Emigrant Barábra may have reached Gebel Midób by way of el Ḥaráza and Kága, or more probably by way of the Wádi el Melik7. But if Barábra from Korti and Debba, which are between the third and fourth cataracts and only a few miles from the pyramids of Barkal, could settle at el Ḥaráza, as we know they did, and at Midób, as we may be fairly sure they did8, there is no reason to deny the probability of corresponding movements along the same lines in the

1 I have not visited personally these two sites.
2 During six years that I passed in northern Kordofán I never saw a young baobab growing self-sown. The Arabs also declare there is no such thing and say the "tubeldis" date from the time of Noah.
3 For details see MacMichael, Tribes..., Chap. vi.
5 Loc. cit. Cf. the vocabularies of Kenūz and Nūbians given by Burckhardt.
7 Marauders from Midób and thereabouts follow this line in the rainy season and early winter when raiding the Arabs.
8 See Part I, Chap. 4.
opposite direction at other times, and I am strongly inclined to think that the NOBATAE were once lords of the Bayūda and the country south of it and that their negro ancestors may have previously ousted the Libyan races therefrom, or, more probably, become fused with them in race.

XXXIV The nehes of Senusert III and Thothmes I, and, to a modified extent, most of the western and southern subjects of the Nūbian dynasty which ruled Egypt, may have been of the same stock as these negro ancestors of the NOBATAE and their partly Libyanized descendants respectively; and if the racial substratum was the same, it is natural to suppose that the extent and direction of migration to and from the river were at different periods regulated by the weakness or strength, as the case might be, of other and hostile races living on the Nile.

For instance, when the tide of negro invasion was rolled back from northern Nūbia in the time of the New Empire, most would retire southwards along the river, but the presence of alien Nilotic negroes in their path, or other causes, may have led a proportion to move westwards, where their kin may or may not have been already established. If the same stock, as modified by Libyan admixture, was strong enough at a later date to support the Nūbian Empire of Napata it was by then probably predominant also in northern Kordofán, and a steady intercourse would naturally exist between the riverain and western groups, until the third century B.C. when Eratosthenes spoke of them collectively as Nūbīa.

In any case there is evidence of a close connection between the negro invaders of the second millennium B.C., the rank and file of the Nūbians who conquered Egypt under the twenty-fifth dynasty, the inhabitants of the Gezira at the same period, the present inhabitants of the Nūba mountains of southern Kordofán, the NOBATAE of Lower Nūbia, and the so-called Nūba of northern Kordofán.

XXXV The weakest link in the chain is perhaps that connecting northern and southern Kordofán, but even here, at the present day, though the general physique is obviously quite different, there is a common fund of superstitions connected with rainmakers and serpents, and recognizable cranial resemblances1.

1 Particularly the flattening of the fronto-parietal region. This was pointed out to me in 1912 at Kāga by Professor Seligman (q.v. in Harvard African Studies, 11; Varia Africana, 11, 181). It may be worth mentioning in this connection that some years ago I was told at Kāga that the ancient ("Anag") population used to bury their dead upright. Lepsius was told the same of Southern Kordofán (Discoveries..., pp. 221–2). Kordofán takes its name from the hill of that name close to el Obeid. As used by the natives the name does not properly apply to the nomad country to the north nor to the Kāga-Harāza group of hills adjoining it nor to the Nūba mountains in the south. See MacMichael, Tribes..., App. 1.
XXXVI Other minor points that possibly serve to connect the old inhabitants of central and northern Kordofán with the people who lived at the time of the twenty-fifth dynasty in the Gezíra, and even east of it, are, firstly, the occurrence of tumuli, exactly similar to those described above, both on the Kerreríi hills close to Omdurman and on the small rocky eminences, such as Gebel el ʿkehayd, round Wad Ḥasûna and Abu Delayk, and, secondly, the similarity between the contents of some middens found at Faragáb in Central Kordofán near Bárá and objects found at Gebel Moya (e.g. ostrich-egg beads) and at Meroe, and, thirdly, the finding of flat stone rings which may conceivably have been ceremonial mace-heads, or in some cases weapons of offence, but which, I think, are more likely to have been weights, or stands for round-bottomed jars, both in the

1 Both several days' journey east of Khartoum.
3 These ostrich-egg beads also provide a link with the Northern Nūba hills. Pallme (p. 156) speaks of the people of the latter (Daier, Tekáli, etc.) as wearing round their loins "a number of small buttons of about the size of a shirt-button, made of the shell of the ostrich's egg, with a perforation in the centre, through which a string is passed, connecting them together. I took the trouble," he says, "of counting the single buttons of one of these ribbons in my possession, and found a total number of 6860."
4 At the same time the use of ostrich-egg beads is not confined to the Nūba stock. The Shilluk men commonly wear girdles made of them. They break the shell into irregular bits, pierce the fragment in the centre, and then round off the edges by crushing (Westermann, p. xxxi).
5 See Seligman, loc. cit., discussing these resemblances, especially that between the types of pottery at Meroe and Faragáb. He thinks that "At a somewhat remote period—perhaps at least as far back as the Ptolemaic—the Faragáb site was occupied by a people rich in cattle, living in huts of grass or straw, and using bone points for their weapons; a people rich in ivory, which they worked with implements of stone."
6 MacMichael, loc. cit. Plate II. Some of these—they are in the museum at Khartoum—are porphyrite, felsite, gneiss, or granite, i.e. as at Básá, but most are of soft sandstone and too light and friable for use as weapons. The Nūbas of southern Kordofán use spherical stone-headed clubs (Seligman, "A Neolithic Site..., Journ. R. A. I. xi., 1910). The stone rings from Ḫayli, of which I have collected numbers and which, though rougher in workmanship, are exactly the same as some of those from northern Kordofán, are dated by Crowfoot from about A.D. 150 to A.D. 350 (Seligman, loc. cit., p. 214).

In ancient as in modern Egypt there were public weighers, and money was in the form of rings of gold and silver, and was also tested by its weight. Wilkinson, speaking of these rings, says: "And it is remarkable that the same currency is today employed in Sennár and the neighbouring countries." Furthermore, he notes, "The Jews also weighed their money. Their weights were of stone; and the word weight in Hebrew...also means a stone" (Manners and Customs..., ii, 10, 11). The illustrations from Thebes depicted by Wilkinson show that these weights were very like the stone rings of Meroe, and the latter may possibly have been made in imitation of the Egyptian system. Cp. also Breasted, Hist. p. 92, for the metal rings. He adds that "stone weights were...marked with their equivalence in such rings. This ring money is the oldest currency known." The most ancient coinage of Darfur also took the form of rings. "Le premier genre de numéraire qui fut établi au Darfour,
northern hills of Kordofán, at Gebel Moya, Gebel Kayli (on the Khartoum-Kassala road), in front of the altar at Bāsa, and in fact all over the Island of Meroe.

XXXVII One may say then that when the Muhammadan Arabs invaded Lower Nūba in the seventh century A.D. they found there a race radically compounded of pre-dynastic Egyptian and cognate Hamitic elements blended with dynastic Egyptian and Libyan stocks and deeply and repeatedly modified by forty centuries of dilution with Negro blood. One of the two main Negro strains was probably derived from Kordofán and the Gezira and in classical times had been represented in modified form by the Nobatae or Nūba.

XXXVIII As further evidence of a fusion of Nūba and Libyan elements in the Bayūda and west of it another fact may be cited.

So late as the seventeenth century A.D. the Bayūda was still known, as it had been a century earlier to Leo Africanus, as the Desert of Goran, or Gorham, or Gorhan, a name connected with “Kora‘án” and, as I believe, with “Gorama” and “Garamantes.”

XXXIX These Kura‘án (“Guraan”), whom el Hamdáni, by the way, includes with the Nūba, Zing and Zagháwa as descendants of Canaan, son of Ham, are a mixture of Tibbu and negro and at the present day they form a large nomadic section of that race in the deserts north of Dārfūr and Wadá, and are commonly spoken of as “Tibbu Kura‘án.” Their language is a dialect of Tibbu.

Of the Tibbu Keane says:

The Tibu themselves, apparently direct descendants of the ancient Garamantes, have their primeval home in the Tibesti range, i.e. the “Rocky Mountains,” whence they take their name (Ti-bu = “Rock People”). There are two distinct sections, the northern Tedas, a name recalling the Tedamansi, a branch of Garamantes located by Ptolemy somewhere.
between Tripolitana and Phazania (Fezzan); and the Southern Dazas, through whom the Tibu merge gradually in the negroid populations of [the] central Sudan. This intermingling with the blacks dates from remote times, whence Ptolemy’s remark that the Garamantes seemed rather more “Ethiopians” than Libyans.... The full-blood Tibus... are true Hamites.

XL The accounts given by Herodotus, Ptolemy and Pomponius Mela give an impression of the Garamantes as a nomad race extending from north of Fezzan as far south as Nubia.

Herodotus says: “The Garamantians have four-horse chariots in which they chase the Troglydye Ethiopians,” and Ptolemy “Some very great races inhabit Labya, namely that of the Garamantes which extends from the sources of the river Bagrada as far as the lake of Níba,”... &c.

XLI Again, more than a millennium later, we have Leo (fl. 1513–15) saying:

Nubia... is enclosed on the south side with the desert of Goran. The king of Nubia maintaineth continual warre partly against the people of Goran (who being descended of the people called Zingani inhabite the deserts and speaks a kinde of language that no other nation vnnderstandeth) and partly against certain other people... (i.e. the “Bugiha” or Béga).

XLII On the strength of these quotations alone, one would, I think, be justified in assuming that, just as for many years before the Christian era there had been contact and fusion between the dark Núbi and the Libyan races descended from the TÉMÉHÚ in the country between Dongola and Dárífur, so, too, in the Christian period there was similar contact between the NÚBA (NOBATAE) and the Tibbu in that region.

---

1 Man: Past and Present, p. 474. The latest anthropological researches in no way clash with Keane’s view. MM. Gaillard and Poutrin, authors of Étude anthropologique des populations des régions du Tchad et du Kanem, agree that they are largely Berbers, and measurements shewed that they “belong to a physical type closely resembling the Nigerians of the Sahara” (Review in Man, March 1915). Carette (loc. cit. p. 312) also says that the Tibbu and Tuvárek are by origin Lamta Berbers. Nachtigal regarded them as a “population intermediate between the indigenous peoples of North Africa and the Negroes of the Sudan” (Vol. ii of Sah. und Súdán, quoted by Carbou, i, 120).

2 De Situ Orbis, ch. iv.

3 Bk. iv, chaps. 174, 183.

4 Bk. iv, p. 742. καὶ μέγιστα μὴν ἑδρη κατανθέτει τὴν Λιβύην τὸ τε τῶν Γαρ- 

5 mánων διήκον ἀπὸ τῶν τῶν Βαγράδα ποταμοῦ πηγῶν μέχρι τῆς Νοβαία ὄψιν...καὶ... 

6 Leo’s original is “una generazione di zingani” (see Carbou, i, 118).

7 For further remarks on the Kura’án of the present day see Chap. 4 of this Part.
AND THE LIBYAN ELEMENT

A strong argument for the hypothesis is also provided by the case, already quoted, of Gebel Mídób, where we have a negro-Hamitic population claiming relationship with the Nūbiians of the Nile and speaking a language akin to that of the latter 1.

XLIII Further evidence is perhaps to be found in the rock-pictures at Shaláshi 2 one of the small hills composing the Haráza range on the southern fringe of the meeting-ground of the two races. These are very similar in type to those which occur elsewhere throughout that portion of North Africa which has been principally subjected to Libyan influences 3.

XLIV At what date the modified Nūba stock in northern Kordofán was replaced in the plains by the nomad Arabs it is hard to say, but the Kābābīsh insist that only some five or six generations ago their grandfathers were still engaged in extirpating "Nūba" from the small and less easily defensible hills. The country is so eminently suitable for camel-breeding that the Arab is not likely to have overlooked it when he first began to settle in the Sudan. The orographical, hydrographical and climatic conditions and the vegetation of northern and central Kordofán all wonderfully resemble those of the Arabian highlands: it is a land of steppes and pasture with sufficient water obtainable for the scanty needs of the nomad: it is not given over to the agriculturist and there are no great mountain chains to impede free roaming. But in Leo’s time, early in the sixteenth

---

1 The case of the Birked of south-central Dárfūr, who also speak a language closely akin to that of the Barábra will be dealt with in Chap. 4 of this Part.
2 Lejean saw them and gave a highly fanciful and misleading description of them, which is quoted by Hartmann in Die Nigr iter (Berlin, 1876, p. 41). I copied them as closely as I could in 1908, and published the result with some notes in Journ. Anthr. Inst. xxxix, 1909. They are quite distinct in type to the rudely engraved (or sometimes painted) "uncouth outlines in shepherd's ruddle" which occur on other hills at el Haráza, near Fóga in western Kordofán, at G. Daier on the northern fringe of Dár Nūba, in Somaliland, in Arabia, and all over the Tuwárek country, and which by the inclusion of the camel prove themselves not earlier than the beginning of the Christian era, and may be much more recent since the natives of the present day in the Sudan draw such, e.g. on the walls of rooms. The pictures at Shaláshi are full of life and movement and are graceful and well proportioned: they are in red and white pigment, and represent men on horseback; also giraffes and hynas. See L’Anthropologie, xii, 1901 (Flamand) for pictures of this type; and for the ruder type L’Anthropologie, viii, 1897 (Flamand); xvii, 1906 (Carette-Bouvet); xv, 1904 (Gautier); Journ. Anthr. Inst. xliv, 1914 (Zeltner); etc.
3 One of the ancient place-names in the same locality is also curiously suggestive. At Kága, some 100 miles west of el Haráza, is a large outstanding hill called Bakaláši, a name which recalls a passage, following on mention of the island of Meroe and the tribes south of it, in Ptolemy’s Geography: “In the rest of the country, but farther west of the Ethiopian hills, in the sandy and waterless region, dwell the races of Phazania [Fezzán] and Bakaláitès.” See Ptolemy, Bk. iii, p. 783, ed. Müller: τά δὲ λοιπά τῆς χώρας δυτικότερα τῷ Ἀθηναίων ὀρέων κατέχουσι μετά τὴν διαμορφοῦ καὶ ἄρομον χώραν οἱ κατὰ τήν Φαζάναν καὶ Βακαλάτιν. Barth (iv, 580) identifies Ptolemy’s Bakaláitès with Wárgeld, far to the north.
century, it seems to have been still held by the darker people whose affinities we have discussed. In the sixteenth and seventeenth centuries the Arab element entered the country from two sides. In the first place the nomads came in from the direction of Dongola and soon obtained a predominance in the plains north of the latitude of Kága. Here at the present day the so-called Nūba, whose type ranges from the negroid to the debased Arab, and a smattering of Danáglā, hold only the largest of the hills, el Ḥarāza, Kága, Katūl, Um Durrāg, and Abu Ḥadīd, which alone possess a water supply sufficient to support their population. They live in comparative amity with the nomads, and are not afraid to place their villages at the foot of the hills instead of, as previously, on the slopes, and to cultivate and graze in the plain. In a few generations they seem likely to be indistinguishable from the sedentary inhabitants of central Kordofán.

XLV In the second place, in the sixteenth century the allied forces of Fung and Arab, having taken Sōba and Ḳerri from the 'Anag or Nūba and founded the kingdom of Sennār, began to push northwards and westwards. By the middle of the following century they had begun definitely to assert themselves in central Kordofán. The population there in all probability was still essentially Nūba, but it may already have become to some extent adulterated with the negroid Dārfūrīan races¹, some remnants of whom still exist in the northern hills and further south.

¹ Q.v. Chap. 4. For an account of the people of el Harāza, Kága, etc. see Chap. vi of my Tribes of Northern and Central Kordofán. They are still known as Nūba and the common people call themselves so. Their subdivisions shew traces of totemistic origin: five of them are named respectively (in Arabic) “Cattlefolk,” “Ratfolk,” “Sheepfolk,” “Woodfolk,” “Horsefolk.” For this subject refer to Robertson Smith, pp. 186 ff. and 217 ff., and for other examples see p. 94 et passim.
CHAPTER 3
The Bega, the Blemyes and the Nuba of Meroe

I Let us now turn to the Eastern Desert between the river and the Red Sea.

II Here lived in the seventh century the Hamitic Bega, who in the far distant mists of antiquity may have come from Arabia, and who in their present form still largely resemble the pre-dynastic Egyptian type.

At the present day the Bishárín, Hadendoa and Beni 'Ámir are the three great tribes which represent the Bega.

The two former still speak To-Bedawi; but the latter, who live further south on the confines of Abyssinia, speak the Semitic Tigre, and “from the national standpoint...are less homogeneous than the Hadendoa and kindred tribes,” and physically differ distinctly from the other Bega. There is a “steady rise in the cephalic index from 74.7 in the south (Beni Amer) to 79 in the north (Bisharin).” The Beni 'Ámir are shorter and show less trace of Negro and Armenoid admixture than the others. They are “the most dolichocephalic of modern Bega.” Professor Seligman considers that the Hadendoa are representatives of the Beni 'Ámir stock modified chiefly by miscegenation with the tall negroes of the Nile Valley, and also, in all probability, with the quite alien long-bearded, round-headed Armenoid population which since the third millennium B.C., if not earlier, exerted a profound influence on Egypt as the immediate result of the ever-increasing intercourse with northern Syria. He holds that the Hadendoa and Bishárín owe the fact of their being more round-headed than the Beni 'Ámir to their subjection to

1 Seligman, Journ. Anthr. Inst. 1913, XLIII, 595.
3 Elliot Smith, The Ancient Egyptians, pp. 60, 95, 135; Seligman, loc. cit. p. 603. The various Armenoid groups of Asia Minor “are all descended from tribes belonging to the great Hittite Empire” (von Luschan, “The Early Inhabitants of Western Asia,” Journ. Anthr. Inst. XLI, 1911, p. 242). Of these Hittites von Luschan (loc. cit. p. 243) says they were settled in Western Asia when “about 4500 B.C. began a Semitic invasion from the south-east, probably from Arabia, by people looking like modern Bedawy. Two thousand years later began a second invasion, this time from the north-west, by xanthocroous and longheaded tribes like the modern Kurds, half savage, and in some way or other, perhaps, connected with the historic Harri, Amorites, Temehu, and Galatians.”
Armenoid influences and not to immigration by the brachycephalic Arab population of the Hegâz and the Yemen because
where the Semite (Arab) and Hamite have mixed the latter have ever adopted the language of the former, and when mixed people have arisen I think it can be said that they are more Arab than Hamite. It is clear that nothing of this sort has happened in the Red Sea Province of the Sudan....It is obvious that while the Bisharin have been most modified by the foreign round-headed element, the Beni Amer are the least influenced, so that, broadly speaking, their physical characters may be taken to be those of the original Bega inhabitants of the eastern desert.

III One notes in this conjunction that non-Bega traditions, as preserved in the native nisbas, are united in attributing to the Beni 'Ámir an Arab descent which is denied to the Bishârîn and Hadendoa: “Beni 'Ámir” too is a purely Arab name. If the greater brachycephaly of the Bishârîn and Hadendoa were due to Arab immigration it is not unlikely that the fact would have been reflected, rather than tacitly contradicted, in the traditions.

IV A comparison between the physical characteristics of the Bega of the present and of the pre-dynastic Egyptians and the Nubian contemporaries of the latter shews very marked resemblances to exist, and, as Professor Seligman says, it seems...that it is justifiable to regard the Beni Amer, the least modified of the Bega tribes, as the modern representatives of the old pre-dynastic (and Nubian) stock, and it further appears that the modification undergone by the latter during a period of some 7000 or more years is extremely small.

V Very closely related to the Bega tribes are the 'Abâbda, whose habitat is from Aswân and Kena to the Red Sea and of whom a lesser branch live east of Berber.

Reinsner compares them with the Middle Nubians (“C Group”) on the one hand and with the present-day Beduin of Lower Egypt on the other. Like the former they have been “metamorphosed by a cross with the negro” and therefore resemble the Barábra in race; but if tradition be any guide they have more Arab blood in their veins than the Barâbra.

VI One may say then, in short, that when the Arabs invaded the

1 Seligman, loc. cit. pp. 603, 604.
4 See Part IV (index), and cp. G. A. Hoskins quoted by Cameron (Journ. Anthr. Inst. Feb. 1887, “On the Tribes of the Eastern Sudan”). In one case at least a whole section of them have joined a nomad Sudanese Arab tribe, viz. the Kawâilha in Kordofân, en bloc and to all intents become an integral part of them. (See Part III, Chap. 9.)
eastern desert they met in the interior a race of Proto-Egyptian origin which was more modified in the north by Negro and Armenoid influences than in the south and which was distinctly akin to the riverain peoples. It may be added, and further justification will appear later for the statement, that if there are not traces of Himyaritic infusion to be found among the more southernly of these Bega tribes, it is a very remarkable fact.

VII Now in the classical period there are frequent allusions to a people called the Blemyes on the east bank of the Nile. These Blemyes have commonly been assumed to represent the same people as the Bega, but hitherto this has remained "a mere theory, at present undemonstrated".

VIII Claudian (born c. 365 A.D.), Ammianus Marcellinus (c. 320-390 A.D.), Sulpicius Severus, Palladius and Olympiodorus refer to the Blemyes as close to Syene (Aswán) and the cataracts.

Olympiodorus actually visited their country between 407 and 425 A.D.: he specifies Primis (Ibrim, 60 to 70 miles below Halfa) as their last city on the Nile; and the inscription of Silko, who warred with them in the sixth century, corroborates this. It is also clear that they were a race of invaders holding in subjection the older Nubian and negro population, and practically dominating the whole of the Thebaid.

The name of Blemyes was, however, also applied to the desert nomads, presumably Bega, near the Red Sea, for we read in the Acts of the Martyrs of Raithæ of some three hundred Blemyes embarking about 378 A.D. on a vessel of Aila which they had taken near the Ethiopian coast and sailing along the Red Sea to attack Raithæ. But it would not be legitimate to insist for this reason that the nomads of

---

2 Carmen de Nilo, v, 19:
   "Inde vago lapsu Libyam dispersus in omnem
   Aethiopum per mille ruit nigrantia regna,
   Et loca continuo Solis damnata vapore
   Inrorat, populisque salus sitientibus errat,
   Per Meroen, Blemyasque feros, atramque Syenen."
3 Bk. xiv, Ch. iv, sect. 3. (Also quoted by Quatremère, II (Mém. sur les Blemyes), from Etymologicon Magnum, p. 13.)
7 See Chap. 1.
9 See Milne, p. 81.
10 Illustrium Christi Martyrum lecti triumphi, pp. 107-109, quoted by Letronne (loc. cit.) and Quatremère, ii, 130 and 133. Raithæ is near Sinai.
the eastern desert really bore this name as it was common to misapply some known name to any unknown folk who appeared similar\(^1\).

Eratosthenes\(^2\), Theocritus\(^3\), Ptolemaeus\(^4\), Procopius\(^5\) and Vopiscus\(^6\) refer to the Blemyes in terms which would make their main habitat round Aswān extend much further southwards and eastwards towards the territories of Axum and Adulis, and are clearly referring to the country which we know was peopled then as now by Bega\(^7\).

The inscriptions of Axum and Adulis, though enumerating the peoples between Abyssinia and Egypt who were conquered by the king of Axum, make no mention of the Blemyes by name, but speak instead of Tangaiûtes and Bogaiûtes (Bega).

IX One concludes, therefore, with Letronne, that the people who called themselves Blemyes lived chiefly in the valley of the Nile below Nūbia on the confines of Egypt, and the people of the east and south-east between the Nile and the Red Sea, to whom the historians mentioned vaguely gave the same name, called themselves something else. In fact, early Christian writers were very haphazard in their nomenclature and used the name Blemyes to represent the Bega who seemed to be much the same type of people.

X The Bega were essentially a nomad folk and the Blemyes primarily sedentary and riverain\(^8\), and there can be little doubt that the Blemyes were originally a branch of the Bega who had settled on the river and abandoned the nomadic life.

A similar tendency has been and still is very marked all along the Nile valley. It has already been suggested that there was probably a similar connection at one time on the western side between the riverain Nūbias and the nomad Nobatae; and in more modern times the forbears of many of the “Arabs” at present settled on the river were wont a few generations ago to lead a nomad life inland. This is not to deny many cases of a movement in the contrary

---

\(^1\) Letronne (loc. cit.).


\(^3\) Idyll, vii, 114:

“ἐν δὲ θέρει πυμάτωι παρ’ Αἰδιόπεται νομεύοις
πέτρα ὑπὸ Βλεμῶν, ὥδε οὐκέτι Νεῖλος ὀρατός.”

\(^4\) Bk. iv, Ch. viii. He follows Eratosthenes. His date is about 560–565 A.D.

\(^5\) De Bello Persico, i, 19, p. 59. He places them east of the Nile between Axum and Elephantine (Aswān).

\(^6\) In Hist. Augustae Scriptores, pp. 220 and 239, quoted by Quatemère, loc. cit., and Letronne, loc. cit.

\(^7\) Letronne, loc. cit.

\(^8\) Woolley and Melver have shown that the old ruin called Karanòg near Ibrim was the castle of a Blemyan chief. See Karakòg: the Cemetery (1910) and Karanòg: the Town (1911) in the Publications of the E. B. Coxe, Jnr. Expedition to Nubia, Univ. Museum, Philadelphia (reviewed by H. R. Hall in Man, May 1912).
direction: the two tendencies may even be at work contemporaneously, and the movement is definitely directed one way or the other at any particular period by the political conditions of the moment.

Xi Whenever mention is made of Bega or Blemyes by classical or mediaeval historians it is, I believe, always in connection with the eastern bank of the river and the eastern deserts. There is hardly a suggestion that there were also Bega or Blemyes to the west of the river.

A passage in Pomponius Mela would naturally be taken, as Quatremère¹ and Letronne apparently do take it, to mean that the Blemyes, or rather some of them, were west of the Nile: he says:

Above those parts which are washed by the Libyan sea are the Egyptian Libyans and the Leucoaethiopes and the large nation of the Getuli with its numerous branches. Beyond these is a vast empty region, uninhabitable throughout its length. Beyond it again [are other races]. Beginning from the east these are first the Garamantae, then the Augilae and the Troglo-
dytas, and lastly and furthest west the Atlantes. Further inland are people who, if one may believe it, are scarcely human, but rather half-wild-beasts, the Aegipanes and Blemmyae and Gamphasantes and Satyri, who wander about without any settled habitation and may be said rather to occupy than to dwell in the country.²

But this passage does not necessarily bear the interpretation that the Blemyes were west of the Nile, and if it did need not be accepted as strictly accurate, for as we have seen there is the evidence of a perfect host of writers to the contrary. If Mela thought that the bulk of the Blemyes were west of the Nile he was certainly wrong: if he did not the passage has no value as evidence that they were there. Strabo, who ascended the Nile with Aelius Gallus in 24 b.c., quotes Eratosthenes to the following effect³:

Lower down, on either side of Meroe, along the Nile [and] towards the Red Sea, are Megabari and Blemyes, subject to the Ethiopians and

¹ 11, 128. "Pomponius Mela...les place dans l'intérieur de l'Alfrique au delà les Garamantes."
² "Super ea quae Libyco mari abluuntur Libyes Aegyptii sunt, et Leucoaethiopes et natio frequens multiplexque Getuli. Deinde late vacat regio perpetuo tractu inhabitabilis. Tum primos ab oriente Garamantias, post Augilas et Troglo-
dytas et ultimos ad occasum Atlantes audimus. Intra (si credere libet) vix iam homines magisque semiferi Aegipanes et Blemmyae et Gamphasantes et Satyri sine tectissimassim ac sedibus vagini habent potius terras quam habitent." (Pomp. Mela, de Situ Orbis, Ch. iv.)
³ τα δὲ κατωτέρω ἐκατέρων Μερών, παρὰ μὲν τὸν Νεῖλον πρὸς τὴν 'Εσονθρᾶν Μεγάθαρου καὶ Βλέμυας, Αἰδιόσων ὑπακολυντες Ἀγγυτίους δὲ ὑμοροὶ...ἐξ ἀριστερῶν δὲ...&c. (Strabo, XVII, 1, 53).

Budge (11, 174) says of the "tribes of the Eastern Desert" about the third century "it is said that these tribes had settlements even in the oasis of Kharga," but no authority for the statement is quoted. Makrizi (Khetdê, 561-571) quotes a very full description of the Bega from Ibn Selim, who lived in the tenth century, but there is no hint in it of any Bega west of the Nile.
neighbours of the Egyptians...but on the left side of the course of the Nile live the Noubai..." (etc., as quoted in Chap. 2).

XII Having once settled on the river the Blemyes were strongly influenced by the contemporary Ethiopian civilization of Meroe.

"It is certain," says Crowfoot, "that in the fifth century or earlier Kinglets of the Blemyes used the Greek tongue and aped much of the complicated ceremonial of a Byzantine court...by virtue of a common script and mutual indebtedness to Egypt they stand in close relation with the rulers of Meroe."

XIII The account given by Olympiodorus at the beginning of the fifth century, the terms of the peace recorded by Priscus as made a few years later between them and the Romans, and the inscription of Talmis in the following century prove that they were still pagans about the middle of the sixth century. Apparently they worshipped Isis at Philae previous to their conversion. But Procopius, writing about the middle of the same century, and mentioning this, also adds that they used to sacrifice men to the Sun, and it is almost certain that, as a matter of fact, their chief religious place was at Talmis where the temple is known to have been sacred to the Sun worshipped under the name of Mandoulis, and that for that reason Talmis was chosen by the invading Silko as the site for his inscription.

The Blemyes may have been converted to Christianity as a result of Silko's expedition, or perhaps he or his successors so crushed them that they ceased to exist as a separate race. In any case, when the Muhammadans, less than a century later, invaded the country south of Aswán we hear nothing of "Blemyes," and the population was simply "Nuba" and Christian.

XIV An even more difficult problem is presented by the country which lay a little further south. As already mentioned the capital of the Libyo-Nubian kings who conquered Egypt in the eighth and seventh centuries B.C. and of their immediate successors was at

1 Arch. Surv. Nub. xix, 35, 36. Cp. Hall (Man, May 1912); he says: "If the people of Karanog were Blemmyes the Blemmyes spoke and wrote in Meroitic."
2 We have seen ( Chap. 2) that the statement of Eusebius to the effect that as early as the reign of Constantine Christianity had penetrated to the Ethiopians and Blemyes refers to the people of Abyssinia and the Troglydyte whom Frumentius converted, and not to the riverain Blemyes with whom Silko fought.
3 De Bello Persico, I, 60 of edn 1662, quoted by Quatremè re (ii, 133).
4 Letronne, loc. cit. There were also at Meroe temples sacred to Isis and to the Sun respectively, dating from the sixth or seventh century B.C. (see p. 9 above).
5 It may be noted here that Herodotus divided the Ethiopian race into Eastern Ethiopians with straight hair, that is the Bega and suchlike, and Western Ethiopians ("they of Libya") with curly hair, that is the negroids, each group speaking a different language. (Bk. vii, 70. The context is the composition of the army of Xerxes.)
Napata, but probably by 440 B.C. the political headquarters had been shifted to Meroe, about two hundred miles away to the south-east near the junction of the Atbara with the Nile, the religious capital remaining, as before, at Napata.

The original substratum of population in the country round Meroe, or at least to the south and east of it, may have consisted of that same red-brown race which is held once to have occupied the banks of the Nile, the south-western littoral of Asia and the Red Sea coast; but by the first millennium B.C., if not long before, this race must have become submerged in the negro hordes which had surged up from the south and acquired predominance in the Nile valley.

XV The earliest extant remains at Meroe prove that, though the population was predominantly negro in the fourth and fifth century B.C., Egyptian motives in art and manners were still predominant, and that they continued so until about the third century B.C. The account of Meroe given by Herodotus (c. 450 B.C.) is evidence leading to the same conclusion; but before we deal with this and with the scraps of information left us by later Greek and Roman geographers a digression is necessary to point out that there was apparently another cultural influence at work besides that of Egypt.

XVI We have already had occasion to note the continued connection between Abyssinia, the Nile valley and the Yemen of Arabia, which probably began at least four or five thousand years before the Christian era and became intimate in the second and first millennia B.C. The temples of Meroe, the Sun Temple, the Lion Temple, and the original Temple of Isis, all of which belong to the "Early Meroitic" period, and the sitting stone lions of Bása, Náka, el Muşowwaráţ, Um Sóda and Sóba are certainly connected with the Sun Temple of Tálmis, the two lions before the great pylon of the temple of Isis at Philae, and, one supposes, with those sitting "dogs" which Bruce saw in Abyssinia and which Heeren and Crowfoot unite in thinking were lions of the same type as those at Bása and the other places mentioned.

"In Abyssinia," Bent considers, "Christianity must have succeeded a form of Sabaeen sun-worship; the monoliths and altars all point to this; and in the ritual of their church we can still clearly see traces of this cult. The nightly services which end at sunrise, the circular churches with four

1 Elliot Smith, Ancient Egyptians, pp. 61, 79.
2 See Chap. 1.
3 Sun worship was, of course, prevalent in ancient Egypt from the time of the Old Kingdom: see Breasted, Hist. pp. 59, 62, etc.
4 Crowfoot, loc. cit. p. 23. Stone lions of this type are rare in Egypt.
5 The Sacred City..., p. 83, and cp. ibid. pp. 138–197 and 231–293.
doors orientated to the four points of the compass, the sacred groves surrounding the churches, and the dancing of the priests—all recall what we know of Baal worship, which was closely akin to the sun-worship of Southern Arabia.”

He remarks in this connection on the suggestiveness of Herodotus’s statement\(^1\) that “Ethiopia borders on the Southern Sea and the table of the Sun in Ethiopia is a meadow on the skirts of their town full of the boiled flesh of all manner of beasts.” The ancient Abyssinian capital of this Sabaean or Himyaritic colonization was Ava (Yeha), where there are monoliths, “a mass of Himyaritic inscriptions,” and a sun-temple. Its founders (the “Avalitae”?\(^2\)) are supposed to have been traders in the first instance, but as they increased in numbers and strength and were reinforced by their kin from over the Red Sea they succeeded in imposing their language, their religious rites, and to some extent their racial type, upon northern Abyssinia. Its name of Ava is presumably connected with the Sabaean worship of Baal-Ava. The capital was subsequently removed to Axum, also a Himyarite foundation with monoliths and “a highly perfected form of stone worship associated with sacrifices to the Sun.”

Resemblances between the later Meroitic architecture of El Muşowwarât, etc., dating from about the third century A.D., and the roughly contemporaneous architecture of Axum shew that the links of connection between the main valley of the Nile and Abyssinia held fast in the generations that followed. Crowfoot notes in particular “the exact resemblance of the plan of the upper storey in the old Dongola church with the plan of Enda Giorgis near Adowa?\(^3\)”

XVII Bearing these facts in mind, we may now revert to the descriptions of Meroë left by the classical geographers.

In the time of Herodotus the inhabitants of Meroë itself worshipped Jupiter (\(i.e.\) Amen-Rā) and Bacchus (\(i.e.\) Osiris)\(^3\).

The Ethiopian tribes in the vicinity, however, practised circumcision, wore skins, used palm-branch bows, stone-headed arrows, spears tipped with horn and clubs of wood, and painted their bodies before going into battle\(^4\).

As far south from Meroë as Meroë was south of Aswān lay the country in which had settled the “Automoloi” or “Aasmach”

---

2. Loc. cit. p. 40, but Crowfoot is inclined in the case of El Muşowwarât and Axum to regard the Axumites as the borrowers.
3. Herodotus, ii, 29, 144, 156.
4. Herodotus, vii, 69 (speaking of Xerxes’s army). He does not specifically mention that they lived round Meroë but speaks merely of the “region above Egypt.”
AND THE NUBA OF MEROE

(Ἀμψαχ), the descendants of the “240,000” mercenaries1 who, having been sent by Psammetichus I (663–609 B.C.) to garrison Upper Egypt against the Ethiopians, deserted to the south and were granted lands there by the ruler of Ethiopia2. Of these Automoloi Herodotus says: “their acquaintance with Egyptian manners has tended to civilize the Ethiopians.”

XVIII Eratosthenes in the third century B.C. also speaks of the Automoloi, or “Sembritae” as he calls them, and says that their sovereign was a queen but they recognized the overlordship of Meroe3.

XIX Artemidorus, more than a hundred years later4, tells of a district, probably the country between the present sites of Kassala and Ḫallabát, inhabited by the Sembritae, and describes them as ruled by a queen “to whom Meroe also is subject,” a statement which, if true, implies a revolution between the third and the first century B.C. and the overthrow by the southern colonists of the suzerain power at Meroe5. Conceivably the drastic measures of Ergamenes had not been well received by the people and had proved the cause of the downfall of his house.

XX Now Ptolemy I came to the throne of Egypt in 323 and his accession introduced a period of prosperity there. Some portion of this was reflected in Meroe and Hellenistic ideas began to pervade that capital and to supplant the older Egyptian influences. This tendency is apparent in various objets d’art that have been unearthed and in the altered style of architecture. Direct evidence of it is also provided by Diodorus in his story of Ergamenes.

Diodorus6, writing in the time of Julius Caesar and Augustus, speaks of the Ethiopians of Meroe as the earliest of mankind and indigenous to the country, and he mentions the Ethiopian custom whereby the king at Meroe used to be ordered by the priests to commit suicide when they became tired of him7. This custom, he states, continued until the time of the enlightened Ergamenes, Arq Amen, that is8, who was a contemporary of Ptolemy II (284–247 B.C.)

1 They are generally called Egyptians: Maspero thought they were Libyans (Budge, II, 55).
2 Herodotus, II, 39.
4 Artemidorus wrote about 100 B.C.
5 Cp. Crowfoot, Island of Meroe, p. 33. It is conceivable, though purely hypothetical, that it was these southern colonists who transplanted to Meroe the matriarchal system which gave to Ethiopia the line of Candaces. The matriarchal system was certainly earlier, as is proved, e.g. by the stele of Aspelut (q.v. Budge, II, 65), and “mother kin very rarely carries with it ‘matriarchy’ or the power of the female” (Farnell, in Quarterly Review, April 1915, p. 482).
6 He depends for his facts to a large extent on Agatharcides and Artemidorus.
7 Diodorus, ed. Wesselingius, Bk. III, 177.
8 See Budge, II, 109, 112, 115. Apparently Ergamenes survived the second third and fourth Ptolemies also. The last died in 205 B.C.
and had received a Greek education\(^1\). Ergamenes declined to acknowledge the authority of the priests and put them to death.

Strabo\(^2\) gives a similar account of Meroe, based largely on Eratosthenes.

To these and the rest of the Greek and Roman geographers the Ethiopians who lived not in Meroe itself but in the surrounding country were no more than wild savages, “wretched Kush,” as the Pharaohs would have called them.

XXI Soon after the beginning of the Christian era began the great days of Meroe which are associated with the Queens Candace. The first of these who is a historical personage and not purely mythical\(^3\) appears to have ruled from Napata\(^4\). She was powerful enough to capture Syene (Aswán) with its Roman garrison of three cohorts in 24 B.C.; but in the following year Petronius defeated her and Napata was destroyed\(^5\).

About 60 A.D. Nero’s centurions, sent to explore the Nile, found another Candace reigning at Meroe\(^6\). They reported, too, that the kings of Ethiopia were forty-five in number, and that the country between Meroe and Aswán was mostly deserted, little trace remaining of all the towns and the thriving civilization mentioned by the earlier geographers. In fact, a period of decadence in Egypt synchronized with a state of comparative desolation in Ethiopia, a further proof of the dependence of the southern state upon its great northern neighbour\(^7\).

XXII Meroe did not, however, cease to be a place of importance. The state of its fortunes still continued to reflect those of Egypt, and

\(^1\) μετασχηκός Ἐλληνικὴς άγωγῆς καὶ φιλοσοφής. Mahaffy (p. 140) makes Ergamenes a contemporary of the fourth Ptolemy (222–205).

\(^2\) Born between 64 and 54 B.C. Died after 21 A.D.


\(^4\) Crowfoot, loc. cit. p. 33, citing Strabo, p. 820.

\(^5\) Petronius chose Primis (Ibrim) to be the Roman boundary, but within a year it was abandoned in favour of Hierosykmínos (Muḥarraka), the old Ptolemaic frontier town. The frontier remained at Hierosykmínos until Diocletian retired the legions to Aswán.

\(^6\) Pliny, Hist. Nat. vi, Ch. 35. Griffith (Arch. Surv. Nubia, xix, 80) surmises that “possibly this dynasty of Candaces is identical with the Natakamani-Amanités series of royalties” whose remains are found at Meroe and Nāka. There are no records in history of any Candace living after the first century A.D. and from the pictorial evidence of the monuments it seems that kings ruled in the second, third and fourth centuries (see Crowfoot, loc. cit. p. 39). In the note to para. 1 of D 7 is given a quotation from Bruce which, if the facts are authentic, shews that in 1619 there was a modern Candace ruling at Mundara in the Isle of Meroe and deriving her income from the great trade-route between east and west as her prototype no doubt did.

\(^7\) Crowfoot, loc. cit. p. 36. Pliny says (loc. cit.) that warfare with Egypt was responsible for the desolation of Ethiopia, but, as Crowfoot says, there is no warrant in history for this.
in the first part of the fourth century, the period of the Flavian and Antonine Emperors, a revival of trade occurred in both countries. The buildings erected at this period at Bása, el Muṣowwarát and Nāka (the Graeco-Roman temple), all probably the work of a single dynasty, represent, in Crowfoot’s words, “a bye-product of the imperial prosperity, directly due to the overflow of Romano-Egyptian energy and wealth beyond the imperial boundaries.”

XXIII Thus we are left with a general impression of the outlying districts of the Island of Meroe as peopled during the centuries immediately preceding and following the Christian era by half-nomadic half-sedentary indigenous savages living by the chase and sparse cultivation of the soil. To the south was the colony founded by the Automoloi or Sembritae, who were probably of Egyptian, but possibly of Libyan origin. To the north lay the comparatively highly civilized town of Meroe shewing both a successive predominance of Egyptian, Greek and Roman influences and also a certain measure of indebtedness to the Himyarites of southern Arabia.

There seems to be little evidence to date that the ruler of Meroe exercised at any period a permanent control inland and southwards further afield than Gebel Ҫaylı and Gebel Moya 2.

XXIV Meroe, standing at the meeting of several great trade routes, owed most of its fame and prosperity to the popularity and accessibility of its markets. North and south were the riverways, westwards the road to Napata, eastwards the great caravan route which crosses the Atbara and runs to the Red Sea ports, and southwards the wāḍi routes which tap the cornlands and grazing areas of the Ḥawād and Abu Delayk.

Meroe thus formed an excellent site for an emporium where slaves, ivory and gold might be obtained by exchange 3.

2 There is a rock at Kaylı with a carving representing an Ethiopian king wearing the uraeus, and a Sun God (see Crowfoot, loc. cit. p. 25); and in a cave close by I found in 1912 a drawing which Mr Griffith thinks represents the lion-headed Arsenuphis or Apizemak. Upon the rock with the carving are heaped a number of stones. This may illustrate the Arab practice whereby, it is said, the passer-by signifies his detestation of something abominable (see Jaussen, p. 336), or may be connected with the ancient beliefs exemplified in a similar way in northern Dāṟūr (see App. 5 to Part I, Ch. 4).

From the Nastasenen stela (298–278 B.C.) it appears that that monarch may have invaded Kordofān, and “operations at this distance from Meroe would imply that the Gezira or a large part of it was permanently occupied by the Ethiopians.” (Reisner in Sudan Notes and Records, Jan. 1919, pp. 65, 66.)

3 So Crowfoot, loc. cit. p. 7. He continues, however, in agreement with Lepsius (Discoveries..., p. 163), “but the true basis of their prosperity was agricultural and pastoral,” and this, I think, is something of an overstatement. In a year of good rains the wāḍi’s produce a fair crop of millet, but even if one allow that the rainfall
XXV  But about 340–350 A.D., as we learn from one of the Axumite inscriptions\(^1\), an expedition was made by Aeizanes or Aizana, the powerful king of Axum, against the ruler of the Nūba in the Island of Meroe on account of his aggressions on the frontier:

\(^2\)It took the field against him, and arose in the strength of the Lord of the World, and I smote them at the Takaze\(^3\) beyond Kamalkē. And then when they withdrew themselves to a distance, then followed I [during] three and twenty days, during which I smote him, and took from him prisoners and booty, and took away from where the prisoners dwelt, booty, and during which my people returned who had gone to the war, and during which I burnt their towns of mason work and of straw, and they plundered his crops and his iron and his ore and his copper, and destroyed the pictures (or statues) in his temple, and the provisions of heaped up corn, and threw them into the river Seda\(^4\)....There were of leaders who perished five, and one priest, and I reached to the Kasu and smote them and annihilated them at the confluence of the rivers Seda and Takaze. And the day after I had arrived I sent out a marauding party...and they laid waste up the Seda the towns of masonwork and of straw\(^5\)...and came

was rather heavier 2000 years ago, there would still have been no great surplus. Again, as regards pastoral wealth, the steppes of the Island of Meroe could have supported large herds for most of the year, as they do to-day, but the savagery of the roaming tribes must have made it impossible for flocks from Meroe to be driven for grazing as far afield as they are now, and though “ḥāfirs” were dug to preserve the rain-water supply as long as possible the grass supply would have failed if large flocks were concentrated all the year on a limited area. See also Reisner in Sudan Notes and Records, Jan. 1919, pp. 50 ff.

\(^1\) I follow Bent, pp. 263 \(et \ seq\). where Dr D. H. Müller’s translation and notes are given. The translation followed by Crowfoot (\textit{loc. cit.} pp. 36–38) is that of Littman and Krencker (1906) and differs in several particulars. For instance, Crowfoot says the expedition was against the Nūba “who had recently conquered the Island of Meroe. It seems that a wave of Negro aggression had lately surged up from the south and overwhelmed the ‘Red’ races on the Island and even north of it. The Blacks had captured towns of masonry belonging to the Kasu, occupied them and built towns of grass huts near them, such as the negroes still use; they had harried their neighbours without a cause, and three times they had broken their word and insulted the envos of the King of Kings, confident that he would never cross the Atbara. The King recounts how in revenge he had sacked both towns of masonry and towns of grass huts, and sent expeditions up and down the Nile from the point of its junction with the Atbara....” Müller’s translation gives no warrant for any recent conquest of the Kasu by newly immigrant Nūba.

\(^2\) The following quotation omits several unimportant lines of the original as given by Bent.

\(^3\) \textit{I.e.} the Atbara.

\(^4\) \textit{I.e.} the Nile.

\(^5\) Of the towns of masonwork ‘Aloa (\textit{i.e.} Sōba) is mentioned. The first mention of ‘Aloa, I believe, is in the stele of Nastasen, who, according to Reisner’s calculation, ruled from 298 to 278 B.C.: “Amen of Napata...came forth from the Great House, and he made me to be King over Ta-Kenset and Alut and the Nine Tribes who fight with bows and the country on both sides of the river and the Four Quarters of the World” (Budge, II, 98). See Para. xxviii.

Crowfoot thinks that by ‘Aloa in the inscription of Aeizanes is possibly meant Meroe (see following note); but whenever ‘Aloa is mentioned in any context that defines the locality the region round Sōba is always clearly intended. The name
in good condition back...and thereupon I sent the troop Halen and the troop Dakân and the troop Sabarât, and they plundered and laid waste down the Seda the Nuba towns of straw (houses) four, Nagûsô 1. Towns of masonry of the Kasu and Nôba, Naszato 1. D. v-r tâli 1, and reached as far as the district of the red Nôbâ, and in good condition returned my people back....And I set up my throne within the confluence of the river Seda and Takaze, in sight of the town of masonry1...the island, which the Lord of heaven has given me...and I set up my throne here at the Seda through the strength of the Lord of heaven....

XXVI Here we have three distinct races mentioned: firstly, the Nûba in the Island of Meroe, as far east as the Atbara, secondly, the Kasu to the north-west near the junction of the Nile and the Atbara, and, thirdly, the “Red Nûba” some distance further downstream.

Immediately downstream of the junction of the rivers the Kasu and the Nûba would seem to have been dwelling side by side. It is hard to avoid hazarding an opinion that the “Red Nûba” may have been southerly colonies of Blemyes. As regards the difference between the “Kasu” and the “Nûba” of the inscription much obviously depends on whether the interpretation of Müller or of Littman and Krencker is correct; but in either case the “Kasu” would appear to be the more civilized and Egyptianized Meroitic type and the “Nûba” the negro tribes of the out-districts. The matter must simply remain doubtful.

It is at least clear that by the middle of the fourth century A.D. Meroe had fallen on evil days and a process of disintegration had set in. From now onwards we know nothing to speak of about the history of the people living south of the confluence of the Nile and the Atbara until the time of el Masûdi and Ibn Selim El Aswâni who wrote their descriptions of Nûbia in the tenth century.

XXVII At what date the Meroitic peoples became Christian we do not know. Abyssinia was converted about 330 A.D. and northern Nûbia about two centuries later; but the severance of friendly relations between the two countries which occurred in the middle of the fourth century militated henceforth against the spread of the religious beliefs of the one to the other, and so much so that when Christianity

1 From “Takaze” to “heaven has” forms line 40 of the inscription.
was finally established in Nūbia in the sixth century it was by way of Egypt that it came.

XXVIII In all probability it was about the end of the same century, or early in the seventh, that the faith was received by 'Aloa.

The town of Sōba, or 'Aloa, had been a place of importance even in Meroitic days, for a temple there dates from that period\(^1\); and though “Alut” on the stele of Nastasenēn refers no doubt to the district, it is natural to suppose that its capital was the town subsequently famous by the same name.

When 'Aloa was converted pagan temples were turned into or replaced by churches. With Christianity and the importation of liturgies and holy books came also a more general use of Greek writing for purposes of religion and ceremonial\(^2\), and a line of communication was opened for the future between Alexandria and the villages of the Blue Nile\(^3\). At the present day there are still visible some traces of an ancient civilization even beyond Sōba, such as the old red-brick buildings, probably remains of churches, near Elti, Kutrang, Kasamba, Bronko and Ḥaṣa Ḥaṣa\(^4\). Their date is not known, but they appear to belong to the same period as the Christian remains found at Sōba.

XXIX Further north, as the power of Meroe declined the allegiance of the petty meks who had once owned its overlordship began to be drawn to the one side by the magnet of Abyssinia or to the other by that of the rival Nūbian kingdom which centred upon Dongola. Whenever we catch a glimpse of these two powers, as, for instance,

\(^1\) Budge, ii, 304. The name “Sōba” suggests “Astasobas,” the name by which Strabo denotes the Blue Nile.

\(^2\) The same probably does not apply to Abyssinia, where the use of Greek writing was chiefly due to trade influences (see Lebronne, loc. cit.).

\(^3\) 'Aloa, or Sōba, is mentioned ("'Aloa") in the treaty of 652 A.D. between the Arabs and the Nūbiāns (see Part II, Chap. 2, v), and by the tenth century it was the most important town in the Sudan south of Dongola (see Ibn Selim’s account in Part II, Chap. 2). It remained so for some two or three hundred years and, though with the fall of the Christian kingdom of Dongola and the invasion of the Arabs its importance no doubt diminished, it apparently revived somewhat at a still later date, for at the beginning of the sixteenth century it was the capital of the 'Anag or Nūba whom the Fung dispossessed (see D 7, 1, in Part IV).

\(^4\) Cp. Crowfoot, loc. cit. p. 8; and see note to MS. D 7, 1. Alvarez mentions these churches. It is stated locally that the ancient name of Elti was Anti. Anti and Rūdis are said to have been sister and brother, 'Anag by race, who settled one (Rūdis) on the east bank on the present site of Bashākīra East (or Rodos, Cailliand’s Rodess, ii, 210), and the other (Anti) on the west bank.

Ibn Selim and el Mas‘ūdi, it is true, speak of the tribes south of Sōba in much the same terms as do the classical geographers, and call them worshippers of the moon, stars, fire, trees and animals, “blacks naked like the Zing,” but they clearly refer to the tribes living inland, away from the river, or else to those who dwelt considerably farther upstream.
in the seventh and eighth centuries,\textsuperscript{1} they appear to be bickering and the lesser princelets who were wedged between the two, probably succeeded in maintaining some measure of local independence, whatever nominal allegiance they may have professed.

XXX It is indeed difficult without further scientific data to get any but a vague impression as to the race to which the various occupants of the country round Meroe belonged in the period immediately preceding its conquest by the Arabs. Four distinct races at least met thereabouts. Inland to the north and east were the Bega: to the north-west were the Nuba tribes; to the south-east was Abyssinia: to the south along the White Nile and the Sobat were the people of whom the modern representatives are the Shilluk.

XXXI As regards these latter, as has been mentioned\textsuperscript{2}, they display Bantu affinities, and probably they moved into the country south of the Sobat and the upper reaches of the White Nile during or rather later than the second millennium B.C. They did not extend their occupation to the lower White Nile, to the vicinity of Kawa and Dueim, until the beginning of the sixteenth century A.D., the period, that is, of the rise of the Fung kingdom\textsuperscript{3}.

Bruce was told in Sennar in the eighteenth century that these Fung were descended from the Shilluk, and Westermann has lately added proofs of the correctness of the tradition. To what extent, if any, the Nilotic negroes modified the racial composition of the

\textsuperscript{1} In 687 the Patriarch of Alexandria sent a message exhorting the kings of Nuba and Ethiopia (Abyssinia that is) to concord (Renandot, \textit{Hist. Patr. Alex.} 178, ap. Letronne).

In 737 A.D. the Patriarch writes to Cyriacus "King of Nubia" to cease raiding Upper Egypt, and the biographer speaks of the king's power as extending over thirteen other kings (ap. Le Quien in \textit{Orient. Christian.}, ii, 662, for which see Letronne). But as a matter of fact there is a continual confusion in the ideas of the early Christian writers between Nuba and Abyssinia, and even in some cases between Abyssinia and India, and "Nuba" should probably read "Abyssinia" in this passage. The subject of this confusion will be further dealt with later, but one may quote a note by A. J. Butler to a remark by Abu Šālih (p. 285): "Our author here seems to look upon South-west Arabia as identical with or forming part of Abyssinia or Ethiopia, an error akin to the confusion of Abyssinia with India which appears lower down."

In the thirteenth century we shall see that the Sultan of Egypt in addition to sending an embassy to the king of Dongola had to approach ten separate mels to the south (see Part II, Chap. 2).

\textsuperscript{2} P. 16.

\textsuperscript{3} Westermann, i11 ff. In 1842 they inhabited the islands as far north as the fourteenth degree of latitude (Dehérain, Fig. vii, opp. p. 262). Cp. Schweinfurth, 1, 9–10: "On the 13th of January, on one of the thronging islands, we had our first rencontre with the Shilloks. This tribe of negroes formerly extended themselves much further north than at present, having settlements on all the islands; but now [1869] they only exceptionally penetrate to this latitude (12° 30') in their canoes....In a few days we lay-to alongside the village of Kaka, the most northerly place inhabited by Shilloks on the White Nile."

M.S.I.
inhabitants of the Island of Meroe is unknown, but some indication of connection is perhaps furnished by the existence in Meroe until the third century B.C. of the custom of killing the king when he was considered to be no longer sufficiently vigorous to rule. This constitutes presumably one more example of the ancient belief in kings "believed to incarnate the divine spirit...who were periodically killed lest that spirit should suffer from its retention in an ageing body". If so, it is probably to be connected on the one hand with the "sed" festival of ancient Egypt, which is thought to have originally celebrated the Osirification of the king through death, and certainly, on the other hand, with the still-existing custom according to which the Nilotic Shilluk and Dinka put their kings to death before their bodily vigour passes away.

Traces of the same custom existed among the Fung of Sennár, at whose court there was a personage who combined the functions of Master of the Household and Executioner of the Kings; and, it seems, among the 'Abdulláb of Kerri. Of the former Bruce says:

"It is one of the singularities which obtains among this brutish people that the king ascends his throne under an admission that he may be lawfully put to death by his own subjects or slaves upon a council being held by the great officers, if they decree that it is not for the advantage of the state that he be suffered to reign any longer. There is one officer of his own family who alone can be the instrument of shedding his sovereign and kinsman's blood. This officer is called Sid el Coom, master of the king's household or servants, but has no vote in deposing him; nor is any guilt imputed to him however many of his sovereigns he thus regularly murders."

XXXII But until the beginning of the sixteenth century the Nilotic negroes do not appear either racially or culturally to have exercised any influence in the Island of Meroe comparable to that of the other three groups mentioned. Of these the most important was probably the Nuba. The ancient inhabitants of Sōba, the Island of Meroe and the hills of northern Kordofán are still commonly spoken of as

---


2 Seligman, loc. cit. pp. 665, 666; and see, in particular, Miss Murray's "Evidence for the Custom of Killing the King in Ancient Egypt," in Man, Feb. 1914. For the custom in Fazoglii see Lepsius, Discoveries..., p. 221.

3 See "D 5 (a)" in Part IV.

4 Bruce, Bk. vii, Ch. ix. For another ancient Egyptian custom which survived among the Fung, that of the king personally hoeing a piece of land, see Part I, Chap. 4, xxvi. The connection between the two lies in the typically Hamitic conception of the king as a rainmaker and the medium whereby the yearly renascence of vegetation is ensured. Cp. Seligman, loc. cit. pp. 681, 683.
"'Anag," and this term, it will be seen, is used in the native MSS. as practically synonymous with "Nūba," though originally it seems to have denoted one particular branch of Nūba who had become semi-independent.

The Nūba strain was the most potent racial element in the Island of Meroe from the days of the dynastic Egyptians until the coming of the Muhammadans, though one would of course concede very considerable local modifications due to admixture with the Begā, the people of Abyssinia and, to some slight extent in the south, with the Nilotic negroes.
CHAPTER 4

The non-Arab Races of Darfur

I The consideration of the races who inhabited Darfur before the Arabs is, on the one hand, rendered more difficult by the lack of modern scientific research, and, on the other, made more easy by the fact that the Arabs have coalesced so slightly with the older population that it is still easy to pick out the non-Arab elements. Several intrepid and accomplished travellers have visited the country and brought back valuable information, but anthropology had not in their days made such giant strides as now, and their statements are not always backed by scientific data of the type available for Egypt and Lower Nubia. It is the researches of Barth and Nachtigal and the acute observations of el Tūnisī that have cast most light on the pre-Arab element in Darfur.

II Bedayát. The northern portion of Darfur is contained in that vast unfertile northerly portion of Africa which is set aside by nature for those who lead a pastoral life. Scattered in insignificant numbers as far south as Kebkebia and Kuttum, but chiefly roaming further north in the Ennedi district outside Darfur are the Bedayát, a wild and entirely nomadic race related to the Zaghāwa. Their geographical position between the Kura'ān (to the north) and the Zaghāwa (to the south) roughly represents also their ethnographical status. Barth calls them “Terauye” and says the Arabs call them “A’uwa.” The Arabs of Kordofán and Darfur speak always of “Bedayát,” and “A’uwa” seems to be the Kura’ān name for them and “Terauye” or “Teráwa” a name applied to them by the Arabs of Borku. Lieut. Ferrandi divides the Bedayát into (a) a northern group, and (b) a southern group called Billia, and says they claim to have once been Christians. In Barth’s time most of them were pagans, but they now profess Muhammadanism. Parties of these Bedayát periodically swoop down over the deserts that intervene

1 “Darfūr” means “The Country of the Für.”
2 Vol. III, App. 1, p. 496.
4 Ibid. On this point see App. 5 to this chapter.
5 El Tūnisī (Voy. en Ouaddò, p. 17) speaks of the Bedayát as not of Arab origin though their manners and way of life, but not their language, are those of the Arabs. He classes them (p. 25) as nomadic negroes or “pseudo-beduins.”
between them and the Arabs and raid camels, women and children from the latter, and they even venture as far as the riverain districts of Dongola. Their hand is against every man's, but so remarkable is their power of endurance and their sense of direction that it is very difficult to overtake them.

Slatin had dealings with them when he was an official of the Turkish Government in Darfur before the Mahdia. After mentioning that they are pagans in all but name, he adds:

Under the widespreading branches of an enormous heglik tree, and on a spot kept beautifully clean and sprinkled with fine sand, the Bedayat beseech an unknown god to direct them in their undertakings, and to protect them from danger. They have also religious feasts at uncertain dates, when they ascend the hills, and on the extreme summits, which are whitewashed, they offer sacrifices of animals. They are a fine, stalwart race, very dark in colour, with straight features, a thin nose and small mouth, and resemble Arabs more than Negroes. The women are famed for their long flowing hair, and there are some great beauties amongst them, as one often finds amongst the free Arab tribes. They generally wear skins of animals round their waists and loins; but the higher class and their women dress in long flowing robes made of white Darfur cotton cloth. Their food is very plain. Corn does not grow in their country, and is almost unknown to them. They take the seeds of the wild pumpkin, which grows there in abundance, and they soak them in wooden vessels made from the bark of trees. After taking the outer shells off, they leave the seeds to steep until they lose their bitterness, and then, straining them off and mixing them with dates, they grind them into a sort of flour, which is cooked with meat, and forms the principal food of the country.

They have also most strange customs as regards inheritance and succession. The cemeteries are generally situated at some distance from the villages; and when a father dies, the body is taken by all the relatives to be buried. The ceremony over, on a given signal they all rush together at the top of their speed to the deceased's house; and he who arrives first and fixes his spear or arrow in it is considered the rightful heir, and not only becomes possessor of all the cattle, but also of his father's wives and other women, with the exception of his own mother. He is at perfect liberty to marry them if he wishes, or he can set them free. A man's female household is entirely regulated by his financial position. It is great or small according as the lord and master is rich or poor.

As I before remarked, most of the people still adhered to their pagan customs, and it amused me greatly when Saleh Donkusa, who was by way of being a good Moslem himself, denied to me, in the most emphatic manner, that such customs were still in vogue in his tribe. I asked him what the great heglik-tree was which I had passed the previous day when

1 Bk. I, Ch. 3.
2 Balanites Aegyptiaca. For the cult connected with trees and stones in Darfur see later sub Dagü and Für.
riding through the Khor, and why the ground underneath was sprinkled with fine sand. The question surprised him, and for a moment he was silent; he then answered that it was the usual meeting place in which tribal matters were discussed. "The Maheria Arabs," said I, "wanted to graze their cattle near the tree; but when I saw that it was dedicated for some special purpose, I prevented them from doing so." He thanked me most heartily, and I could see that, though a fanatical Moslem himself, he was determined to uphold the ancient manners and customs of his tribe, and so retain his hold over them. I subsequently learned that it was entirely through him that the holy tree was preserved.

Among the subdivisions of the Bedayât in Darfur are the Birayra, the Galligerki, the Kötierra, the Sar and the Urdia.

III Kura'ân. The Kura'ân for the most part live to the north of the Bedayât and also outside Darfur, but a few of them are scattered among the latter tribe and the Zaghawa. Their possible identity with the ancient Garamantes and their other racial affinities have been discussed in an earlier chapter. I may add here that such of them as I have met in Darfur identify themselves with the Daza (they say the terms are synonymous) as a branch of the Teda (or Ankazza). They admit only a distant relationship with the Bedayât and an even more remote one with the Zaghawa and do not understand the common language of those tribes. The Tibbu to the north of them, they say, speak a language similar to their own, but not identical with it. They disclaim all connection with the Tuwârek ("Kenûn"). The only branches of Kura'ân I have heard mentioned are the following:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BULTA</th>
<th>DONZA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GAIDA</td>
<td>KILLIA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BIRRASA</td>
<td>DUDIRNA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KOKURDA</td>
<td>NOARMA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MURDINGA, or MURDIA</td>
<td>JIGADA (in the west)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

IV Zaghawa. Mixed with the Bedayât, but mainly to the south of them, in northern Darfur, are the Zaghawa. This large tribe is mainly a mixture of Hamitic Tibbu and negro, and has LibyanBerber affinities. They were known to the mediaeval Arab geographers, but the bulk of them in the middle ages appear to have been consider-

1 Curiously enough, when I was asking some Bedayât, Kura'ân and Zaghawa with what tribe in particular they connected the Tibbu they replied that the Tibbu were reputed to have been in old days relatives of the Hadendoa of the Eastern Sudan. The strain common to both is of course the Hamitic.


3 Keane, Encycl. Brit. art. "Sudan," and cp. Cust, i, 253, and Carbou, ii, 209. Nachtigal, on the other hand, denied, on grounds that seem insufficient, that they were a Tibbu race. See Voy. au Ouadâl, p. 73.
ably further west than at present, on the same latitude. Their native language is a dialect of Tibbu\(^1\), but most can also speak Arabic of a kind.

They are first mentioned by that “Herodotus of the Arabs,” el Mas‘ūdi, about 943 A.D.\(^2\). He speaks of the descendants of “Kush the son of Kana‘án,” whom he refers to in general terms as “Habsha” and “Aḥábīsh” (\textit{i.e.} literally, Abyssinians), as moving westwards after the flood and then dividing into two main branches. The Nūba, the Bega and the Zing became separate, he says, from the others, who continued westwards “towards el Zagháwa and el Kánem and Marka and Kaukau and Ghána and the [s.c. countries of the] other kinds of blacks and Demádem.” Later he speaks of these western migrants themselves as containing “Zagháwa and Kaukau and Kārākür and Madīda and el Melána and el Kumáți and Duwayla and el Karma\(^3\).” What he obviously means is that certain Ethiopian races at a very early period pushed westwards to those countries bordering on the Niger west of Lake Chad which were subsequently known as Zaghái, Ghána, etc.\(^4\)

El Idrísí, who wrote his \textit{Geography} about 1153 after extensive travels in West Africa, in dealing with the desert of Tiser and the Zagháwa and Fezzán describes the precarious semi-nomadic existence of the people, and says\(^5\):

Les deux résidences les plus considérables du Zaghawa sont celles de Sakouat (سقاوة) et de Chameh (شامه). On y trouve une tribu voyageuse appelée Sadrāiet (صدرایت), qui passe pour être Berbère. Les individus qui la composent ressemblent aux Zaghawiens; ils ont les mêmes habitudes, ils se sont identifiés à leurs races et ils ont recours à eux pour tous les objets qui leur sont nécessaires, et pour leur négocie. Chameh est un gros bourg, aujourd’hui mal peuplé, dont les habitants se sont transportés pour la plupart à Koukou (كوکو), ville située à 16 journées de distance. Ils boivent beaucoup de lait, leurs caux étant saumâtres, et mangent de la viande coupée en lanières et séchée au soleil. Ils se nourrissent aussi de reptiles, dont ils font une chasse abondante et qu’ils font cuire après leur avoir coupé la tête et la queue. Ces peuples sont très sujets à la gale, en sorte qu’à ce signe, dans tout le pays et dans toutes les tribus du Soudan, on reconnaît un Zaghawien. S’ils s’abstenaient de manger du serpent, ils en seraient totalement exempts. Ils vont nus et cachent seulement leurs

\(^1\) Cp. MacMichael’s vocabularies in \textit{Journ. Anthr.} with those of Carbou, \textit{I}, \textit{213 et seq.}

\(^2\) Vol. \textit{III}, Ch. 33, pp. 1, 2, 37, 38.

\(^3\) The names vary in different MSS. Kaukau (Leo’s “Gago”) is Kágho (or Gao or Gogo) on the Niger. See Cooley, p. 32.

\(^4\) Cooley learnedly discusses the exact geographical situation of these western kingdoms and may be consulted for details.

\(^5\) P. 111 in Vol. \textit{V} of the \textit{Recueil de Voyages}....
parties honteuses au moyen de cuirs tannés de chameau et de chèvre, qui sont couverts de diverses sortes d’incisions et d’ornements.

Il y a dans ce pays une montagne nommée Loukia (lothiette), très haute et d’un difficile accès, bien qu’elle soit formée d’une terre blanche et molle. Nul ne peut, sans périr, approcher des cavernes qui se trouvent sur son sommet, attendu, d’après ce qu’on assure, qu’on y trouve des serpents d’une grosseur énorme qui s’élancent sur quiconque se dirige sans le savoir vers leurs retraites, ce qui fait que les habitants du pays les redoutent et les évitent....Les habitants de ce canton sont Zaghawiens et leur tribu se nomme Sakouat; ils sont très sédentaires, possèdent de nombreux troupeaux de chameau de race estimée, fabriquent leurs vêtements et les tentes où ils demeurent avec le poil de ces animaux, et se nourrissent de leur lait, de leur beurre et de leur chair. Chez eux les légumes sont rare; cependant ils cultivent le dhorra, qui (comme on sait) est la principale production du Zaghawa: on y apporte quelquefois du blé de Wardjelan et d’ailleurs.

Late in the fourteenth century, it is said, the Zagháwá came under the domination of the Bulála.

Ibn Khaldun (1332–1406) speaks of the Tawárek as a section of Sanhága Berbers who include the kindred tribes of Lamtúna, Zagháwá and Lamta and have frequented the tracts separating the country of the Berbers from that of the blacks since a time long previous to Islam. But he also quotes Ibn Sa’íd (1214–1287) to the effect that there were Zagháwá living next to the Núbiáns, i.e. further east, and that they were Muhammadans and included a section called “Táguá.”

Mákrízí (fl. 1400), or Ibn Sa’íd from whom he copies, tells us that “all the nations between Abyssinia on the south, Núbia on the east, Barká on the north, and Takrúr on the west are called ‘Zaghái’.”

Leo Africanus (fl. 1528) evidently refers to the Zagháwá and the cognate Kura‘án when he speaks of the journey between Cairo and Bornu as dangerous owing to the depredations of “certaine theeves called Zingani,” and when, in the passage previously quoted, he says “the king of Nubia maintaineth continuall warre partly against the people of Goran (who being descended of the people called Zingani, inhabite the deserts and speake a kinde of language that

1 Or “Lounia” (لونیہ).
2 Barth, III, Ch. li, p. 428, quoting Mákrízí and Abu el Fídá; but it is probable that the allusion is merely to the Zagháwá of Kánem.
3 Ed. de Slane, Bk. ii, 64.
4 Ibid. p. 105, and ed. ar., vi, 199; Bk. iii. A variant for “Táguá” (تاجوة) reads “Tágra” (تاجرة).
5 Cooley, p. 98.
no other nation vnderstandeth) and partly against certaine other people1.”

The Zagháwa are still much where they were in Leo’s time, but the nomad Arabs have interposed to a larger degree between them and Núbia, and the only colony of them now between Dárführ and the Nile is at Kgamár in Kordofán. This settlement was probably made early in the eighteenth century2 and includes the “Zagháwa hills” of el Roy’ián and el ’Atšhán: it is now in gradual process of arabization; and the same applies in a less degree to the semi-nomadic Zagháwa of Dárführ, who, though they do not call themselves Arabs and have not yet faked Arab pedigrees, speak Arabic and with Muhammadanism have adopted many Arab customs. They still, however, retain their belief in rainmakers (hógi3). They are a lithe, stalwart and active folk, of the same cast of countenance as the Tibbū, very black-skinned4, and much addicted to raiding and blood feuds.

The Fűr call them “Mérída,” the Mídóbis “Kébádi,” the Táma (and Erenga) “Kuyuk,” and they call themselves “Berri”; but in the dialects of the Dágú and others they appear as “Zagáwa.” The Birked use the form “Zaugé.”

El Tûnisi gives5 a number of details concerning the Zagháwa at the beginning of the nineteenth century. Their country, a very spacious area in north-western Dárfüh was ruled by a tributary sultan who had twelve meliks subject to him. It was often known as “Dár Tékényáouy,”6 a term also used however to include the Berti country immediately east of it. The Zagháwa and Berti, though living as neighbours, “par un trait frappant de la sagesse divine,” were very different in character, the advantage both in morals and appearance lying with the latter. The Zagháwa were at feud with the Maḥámíd Arabs.

---


2 See MacMichael, Tribes..., p. 109.

3 “Hógon” is also the word used on the Hombori plateau (Upper Niger) for a rainmaker or sorcerer (see MacMichael, loc. cit. 114). For their belief in certain holy stones and trees see Para. viii of this chapter.


5 Voyage au Darfour, pp. 128, 132, 133, 136–139, 297.

6 The term is said by el Tûnisi to mean “the left arm or wing [of the Sultan]”; but the Fűr of the present tell me it denotes the loins (“şulub”). “Tékényáouy” was the title of its ruler, a functionary quite distinct from the local Sultan and apparently a kind of viceroy of the Sultan of Dárfüh (see loc. cit. pp. 132, 133, 138). The title still survives, though its holder has neither authority nor duties.
At present the Zagháwa are divided into several large sections, of which the chief are the following:

Arteyt.
Mirra.
Aḵaba.
Kaitinga. This large community, living close to the north of Kuttum, regards itself, and is regarded by others, as Tungur by descent on the male side and Zagháwa on the female side. They are inclined to demur to the appellation of Zagháwa, though not flatly disowning it, and to speak of themselves as a separate tribe. They never call themselves Tungur.

Kobbé. (Including the Kubga.) This section is in the extreme north-west of Dárífur and north-east of Wadáí. There is a colony of Kubga, with other Kobbé (Nás Fírtí), near Kebkebia, but their habitat proper is in a mountainous district north-west of Dár Táma.

The Kobbé are subdivided into Ango, Mirra, Nowra, Wayra, Baybela, Kerayko, Birriarra, Bursu, Sigerla and Godé; the Kubga into Bigi, Erla, Hotilla, Derbula and Birgabela.

Kaliba.
Nikiri.
Galigalgera, or Ganigalgera (under the Kaliba).
Awlád Díkayn and Awlad Doura. Under Aḵaba. Until the latter part of the eighteenth century, however, the Aḵaba were under the Awlád Díkayn nahás.

Each main division of the Zagháwa now has its own melik, subject to no single Zagháwi Sultan or overlord. Their habitat stretches across practically the whole of northernmost Dárífur and part of northern Wadáí, and in its more southerly districts (in Dárífur) is largely peopled by Fūr and Tungur.

V Midób. Gebel Midób lies about 400 miles west of Khartoum or 350 miles west-south-west of Debbá, in the north-eastern corner of Dárífur, and mention has already been made of its people as having a Núbian strain and speaking a dialect that resembles that of the Barábra.

---

1 The Zagháwa generally add the Bedayát to the list of their subtribes.
2 The Kaitinga brand is as shown. The “crow’s-foot” at the base is common to most Fūr and Tungur brands and appears to be borrowed from the royal Kayra section of Fūr (q.v. in Para. xx, and cp. Paras. vii and xix).
3 The details of subdivisions of Kobbé and Kubga were supplied to me by Mr E. G. Sarsfield Hall of the Sudan Civil Service, Inspector of Northern Dárífur.
5 Part I, Chap. 2, xxxiii and xlili. The account of Midób which follows is partly identical with an article I contributed, under the title “Nubian Influences in Dárífur,” to the first number of Sudan Notes and Records (1918), but certain additions have been made. For a comparative vocabulary of Midób, Birğed and Barábra, see later sub Birğed.
The range itself is a jumbled mass of hills of volcanic origin, between 100 and 200 miles in circumference, divided by numberless small valleys. The people are semi-nomadic: for the greater part of the year they are constantly shifting camp from place to place in and about their hills according to the grazing facilities, and in the rains, though a few folk remain stationary in villages for the sake of cultivation the great majority are away with the flocks in the great uninhabited area lying east of the range and west of the Wádi el Melik, where the Kábábísh Arabs send their camels and sheep at the same season from the opposite side. They are primarily herders of sheep and goats and have little cultivation. They buy most of their corn from the Berti to the south and there is a small but long-established colony of Midóbis living in the northern Tagábo (Berti) hills.

The huts which compose a Midób village are of a curious—and to me unique—design. As having no permanent value they are built in a ramshackle manner, and when the site is changed they are simply abandoned. In shape they are roughly circular and in appearance not unlike great beehives: in content they slightly exceed the ordinary village tukl of Kordofán and Dárfür.

The sides are formed of long boughs stuck in the ground so as to bear slightly inwards. Their tops do not converge so as actually to meet—this would make the house too small—but the space between their tops is filled by interlacing many other shorter boughs horizontally from fork to fork in the manner of a rook's nest. Stability and support are given to the structure by two or more stout roof-trees, forked at the top, which are planted side by side a few feet apart near the centre of the hut. Smaller boughs and sticks are thrust in and across the forks of the larger boughs and interstices are crudely plugged with bunches of grass and cornstalks. The doorway opens to the south and is low and formed of two shaybas. The interior is not open as in the case of a tukl. On entering the door one advances along a kind of gangway which extends as far as the roof-trees. This gangway consists of a high partition of grass-matting (sherkania) on either side, reaching nearly to the roof. On one side the partition is continued at a right angle along the line of the roof-trees to the outer wall in such a way as to form a private room in the angle: on the other side it ends near the centre of the hut, thus leaving about three-quarters of the interior open. The villages are all on the plain but usually close to the foot of the hills.

The people are Muhammadans, but there are plentiful traces of more ancient manners and beliefs. For instance, a matrilinear system
of inheritance and succession is still followed, and on the death of a *mek* he is succeeded by his sister's son. There are two *meks* in Mídób, one of the northern portion of the range (Urti section), the other of the southern (Shelkótá section), and in both cases the practice is the same.

In the matter of inheritance it is usual, in order to conform to Islamic practice while preserving the ancient custom, for a man before his death to give his wealth to his sons, and the sister's son therefore finds nothing left to inherit. The well-to-do carry a sword, the rest a few throwing spears or a knobbed stick. The throwing stick, universal in the rest of Dárfrúr, is not used at Mídób.

Circumcision of both sexes is practised. Marriage with the daughter of the paternal uncle, usual among the nomadic Arabs, is taboo at Mídób, but the same does not apply to marriage with the daughter of the maternal uncle.

A very interesting annual festival is held by the Mídóbis of the north and south alike. It begins, it is said, on the eighth day of a lunar month, when the corn is ripe and the first few heads are being cut, but before the general reaping. On this occasion the young men and the girls go (in the case of the southern Mídóbis) to Khór Odingár and camp there for fifteen days, enjoying themselves with dancing and horse-play. The elder folk merely act the part of spectators and bring out the food and drink for the others.

A month later, on the eighth of the following month, that is, the young men go (in the case of the southern Mídóbis) to Khór Tát and take part in manly sports, running and riding, etc. The women and girls look on. In the evening each young man has to jump over the Khor; and then all go home.

So much—the date in the month excepted—I was told at Mídób, and it was added in passing that the young men had their heads anointed for the festival. But in 1918 I was travelling with some Mídóbis and noticed that one of them, a youth aged 19 or so, wore his hair long and thickly plaited and parted down the middle—somewhat after the fashion of the Bedayría youths—with the plaits tied together for temporary convenience at the back of his head. This led to enquiries and the following additional facts transpired. The whole festival described above is known as the *bazza*, and if the year is a bad one from the point of view of the harvest (*bukkali, Arabic*¹; *urung-ul*, Mídób) it is not held.

The plaited hair (*dirwa*, or *tirwi, Arabic*¹; *rufan, Mídób*)

¹ The Midóbis speak of this as an Arabic word, but, if so, it seems to be a corruption of some sort.
is an important feature in the proceedings. In anticipation of the festival the lads of Midôb allow their hair to grow long, and about spring-time they begin to pay special attention to anointing and plaiting it. Thus, when the time comes for the celebration of the second half of the festival, if the fathers, judging the harvest sufficiently good to warrant it, give sanction and anoint their own heads (which are, of course, close shorn), the lads dress themselves up with women’s ornaments, bracelets, beads, etc., and take a drum (nu-gâra) and form a procession and go round visiting all the neighbouring villages, beating their drum and inviting contributions.

In a good year there will be some fifty to a hundred youths thus celebrating the baizza, in a poor year perhaps only a dozen or so; and evidently it is a matter for distinct pride in after-life to have been one of the lads of a good year: of my informants one boasted that he had been one of sixty-three and another one of fifty-five. If the numbers are sufficiently large two independent processions are formed instead of one.

Before setting out on their series of visits (which may extend over a week or a fortnight or so, according to the number of villages to be visited and the length of the gaps between visits) the lads select from among the elders of their tribe, for the maturity of their judgment, two old men (“baraga sirigi,” i.e. “rulers of the young men1”) as advisers: it was explained that two were chosen, and not one only, because in human affairs “two heads are better than one.” It is a matter of formality that these two elders at first refuse, and only allow themselves to be over-persuaded when the lads engage solemnly to behave themselves and lead a decent orderly life, avoiding quarrels and irregularities and insubordination. This done, the two elders consent to act, and instruct the lads in the proper ritual. The same elders may never serve more than twice.

In addition, the lads select from among their own number two leaders2 for each procession, or for the single procession, as the case may be; but for this privilege only those are eligible whose fathers and mothers are still alive and hale. During the course of the processional visits all the lads submit to the orders of the leaders thus chosen.

Then the procession sets out and visits the villages, beating the drum and collecting whatever is offered of corn, money, sheep, strips of cotton material, etc. Small girls, not yet come to puberty, may

1 The word “sirigi” is also that used for a village sheikh at Midôb.
2 Also apparently called “baraga sirigi,” as are the two elders. It appears to be immaterial which of all the youths beats the drum.
follow in the train of the procession, but it is not customary for anyone else to do so.

At the end of the festival the offerings are all handed over to the two elders, who divide up one-third of the total among the lads and keep two-thirds for themselves. The leaders of the procession get no more in this distribution than their companions.

Then the lads disperse to their homes and the father of each (or in default the father's brother) cuts off his son's locks and gives them to the mother, and she hangs them up in the home and there they remain suspended indefinitely.

This cutting of the hair is the final consummation of the whole affair, and every male Mídóbi goes through the process on the threshold of his manhood, and once for all. There is no particular age specified, and the rite has apparently no connection with puberty, marriage, etc. One simply waits for a reasonably good harvest year. It is only forbidden for sons of the same mother to go through the ceremony in a single year, though sons of the same father by different mothers may do so. Until his hair has been shorn it is improper for a boy to leave the mountain, and, in the case of the one with unshorn locks whom I encountered, nothing but the force of particular circumstances would have induced him to do it, and he was obviously ashamed of himself¹.

¹ So far as I could ascertain no other neighbouring Dárfür tribe has any strictly analogous rite. The somewhat similar practice among the Kimr (see Para. xxix) is associated with circumcision and has nothing to do with the harvest.

The obvious interest in the Mídóbi festival is the resemblance it bears to May-Day festivals, whether that associated with the holy bull of Magnesia (Asia Minor) in pre-Christian days, or that still held in Thuringia, or in the country districts of England "where," as Miss Harrison says, "the Queen of the May and the Jack-in-the-Green still go from house to house. Nowadays it is to collect pence; once it was to diffuse 'grace' and increase" (Ancient Art and Ritual, p. 175).

Take the case of the Thuringian festival first: "As soon as the trees begin to be green in spring, the children assemble on a Sunday and go out into the woods, where they choose one of their playmates to be Little Leaf Man. They break branches from the trees and twine them about the child, till only his shoes are left peeping out. Two of the other children lead him for fear he should stumble. They take him singing and dancing from house to house, asking for gifts of food, such as eggs, cream, sausages, cakes..." (Art and Ritual, p. 60).

Even more striking is the parallel from ancient Asia Minor: "It was not only at Elis that a holy Bull appears at the Spring Festival. Plutarch asks another instructive Question: 'Who among the Delphians is the Sanctifier?' And we find to our amazement that the Sanctifier is a Bull. A Bull who not only is holy himself, but is so holy that he has power to make others holy, he is the Sanctifier; and, most important for us, he sanctifies by his death in the month Bysios, the month that fell...at the beginning of spring, the time of the blossoming of many plants.'

"We do not hear that the 'Sanctifier' at Delphi was 'driven,' but in all probability he was led from house to house, that every one might partake in the sanctity
Just before the rains the southern Midóbis hold a quite different ceremony at the holy rock of Udru, a broken unshaped block of granite some 2½ feet high lying at the foot of Gebel Udru (called by the Arabs “Mográn”), a large and conspicuous detached hill on the south side of Midób. The holy rock is called Telli (northern dialect) or Delli (southern dialect) and the same word in the Midóbi language means God. Over it is built a rough hut of boughs, which is repaired yearly before the ceremony, but left in bad repair for the greater part of the year. The rock, when I saw it in July 1917, was still covered with milk stains. Another smaller boulder near by had similar stains upon it and some stones and cow-dung on the top of it. This second boulder was referred to as the son or younger brother of the larger one, and the reason of its having also been honoured was said to be that the hut built over the big boulder had so consistently fallen to pieces that the people thought the rock was perhaps annoyed at the neglect shown to the smaller boulder, so of late years they had taken to making offerings to both. The stones and cow-dung had been placed upon the smaller boulder by the children in play.

The ceremony at Udru is performed by certain old women of the Ordarti section, who inherit the privilege from mother to daughter. The offerings of milk, fat, flour, meat, etc., are handed by the votaries to these old women and by them placed on the rock. The rest of the people stand some way off and pass the time jumping and dancing and singing.

There is said to be another holy stone at which similar rain-

that simply exuded from him. At Magnesia, a city of Asia Minor, we have more particulars. There, at the annual fair year by year the stewards of the city bought a Bull, ‘the finest that could be got,’ and at the new moon of the month at the beginning of seedtime they dedicated it for the city’s welfare. The Bull’s sanctified life began with the opening of the agricultural year, whether with the spring or the autumn ploughing we do not know. The dedication of the Bull was a high solemnity. He was led in procession, at the head of which went the chief priest and priestess of the city. With them went a herald and the sacrificer, and two bands of youths and maidens. So holy was the bull that nothing unlucky might come near him; the youths and maidens must have both their parents alive, they must not have been under the taboo, the infection, of death. The herald pronounced aloud a prayer for ‘the safety of the city and the land, and the citizens, and the women and children, for peace and wealth, and for the bringing forth of grain and of all the other fruits, and of cattle.’ All this longing for fertility, for food and children, focuses round the holy Bull, whose holiness is his strength and fruitfulness.”

The bull is set apart and fed and “it is good” for those that give him food. He lives on through autumn and winter but early in April the end comes. Again a procession is formed, senate and priests, “children and young boys and youths just come to manhood” take their part in it, and the Bull is sacrificed so that his strength and vigour may pass to his people. And “when they shall have sacrificed the Bull, let them divide it up among those who took part in the procession,” that each “may get his share of the strength of the Bull, of the luck of the State” (Art and Ritual, pp. 86–89).
making ceremonies are held, a day's journey away, at Gebel Abu Nuṭa. It also is called Telli (Delli).

We shall see that elsewhere in Darfur analogous ceremonies are held with the object of ensuring good rains, and in every case the medium is an old woman, and offerings are made at some particular stone or tree; but in the case of Midob there is, so far as I could discover, no suggestion of the usual serpent or other demon having its lair beneath 1.

The three main sections into which the people of Midob are divided are the Urṭī (in the northern hills), the Torti (or Dorti), and the Shelkota (in the southern hills), but there are also certain well-defined subdivisions such as the Ordarti, the Genāna—who are reckoned to have a strong Arab strain and whose name is familiar from the "nisbas" (q.v. in Part IV)—the Turkeddi, the Usutti and the Kageddi. All alike (the Genāna excepted) claim to be Mahass from Dongola but they preserve no written record nor oral tradition as to the time at which they settled at Midob nor as to the circumstances of their migration. They call themselves Tiddi 2.

The old burial grounds at Midob are invariably at the foot of the hills and the sites are marked by rough cairns of stone. Exactly similar cairns occur between Midob and the Wādi el Melik, on the Wādi el Melik, at Kaga and Katūl, on the Wādi el Mukaddam, in the hills immediately west of Omdurman and in the hills between the Blue Nile and Abu Delayk.

VI Berti. South of the Midob hills, in eastern Darfur, live the Berti, a large tribe of mixed origin. To the Fur they are known as Kurmu, to the Birked as Sulgu, to the Midob people as Bayti. They call themselves Sigato. Their upper classes put forward shadowy claims to be related to the Ga'aliūn of the Nile valley and to the Howara 3 by descent and to the Dar Ḥamid group of Kordofān by intermarriage, but in appearance they are all alike negroid.

The true home of the Berti is in the Tagabo hills between Midob and el Fāsher, but in recent years, owing partly to the oppressiveness of the Fur Sultan's rule and partly to the local failure of the crops, large numbers of them have settled to the south-east in Gebel el Ḥilla and Tawaysha districts, where there used to be only insignificant colonies of Berti, and in western Kordofān. They are entirely sedentary and are rightly described by el Tūnisī 4 as a mild and

---

1 Compare Ibn Selīm's record of the vogue of a sacred stone in connection with rainmaking in the Sōba (Gezira) region in the tenth century (see Part II, Chap. 2).
2 "Tiddi" in the Berti language means "white"—probably a mere coincidence.
3 See Part III, Chap. 8.
good-natured people. In fact the Arabs despise them as spiritless and cowardly. Apart from cultivation their only industry appears to be the making of burnas, or jars for water or merissa. The process is the same as in northern Kordofán, for instance. The ball of clay is placed on a piece of rough matting, the fist is driven into it and the walls of the jar are driven out from the inside. The mouthpiece and neck are made separately and superimposed.

Iron-workers are, as usual throughout Dárfür, held in detestation, but both the ZAGHÁWA and the BERTI harbour small colonies of servile iron-workers from the west

There are two or three holy stones and trees in or near the Tagábo hills, where rites are performed once or twice a year. The usual occasion is just before the rains are due to commence, but it is not unusual for recourse to be had to these sites ("mahallát 'awáid" = "places of customs") also at harvest time, immediately before the reaping, in the hope of ensuring a good crop and fat kine. As at Mídób, the intermediaries are old women who hold the right from mother to daughter, but the daughter does not practise until she has had children or is advanced in years. The space round the tree or stone is carefully swept and sheep are sacrificed and offerings of meat, milk, fat and flour are made and "worship is rendered." The families of the old women officiating are allowed to sit close by and watch the rites, but the rest of the populace remain afar.

One informant denied any idea of a spirit or animal living below the sacred tree or rock, but others on the contrary held there were afárit (sing. afrít = an (evil) spirit) there, though they had no notion of their shape or form or attributes. The old women, they say, talk to these and stroke and soothe the stone.

But, as a matter of fact, those BERTI who have acquired some measure of civilization by contact with the Arabs are inclined to regard the whole matter as a superstition, and it seems to be only among the ruder type living among the Tagábo hills that the rites are still practised.

The BERTI are subdivided into innumerable sections and the names of the greater number of these correspond to the names of hills in or near Tagábo, but it was insisted by the head Shartdái ('omdá) of the tribe, from whom I obtained the following list, that it was the hills which were called after the sections, and not vice versa.

1 See p. 89.
2 One is at Sayáh, one at the small hill which gives its name to the whole Tagábo range. The latter of these is the most important site of all.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tribe</th>
<th>Tribe</th>
<th>Tribe</th>
<th>Tribe</th>
<th>Tribe</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wámato</td>
<td>Wámírto</td>
<td>Mína</td>
<td>Wímáto</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kamdirto</td>
<td>Masandiát</td>
<td>Báisinga²</td>
<td>Kadarinto</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sínfánto</td>
<td>Kaylinga</td>
<td>Warl</td>
<td>Selbalo</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dibayrto</td>
<td>Armadiát</td>
<td>Kamlínga</td>
<td>Burmáto</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bishinánto</td>
<td>Wadkeniát</td>
<td>Wázato</td>
<td>Miráto</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Widárito</td>
<td>Kamaršowát</td>
<td>Kashrito</td>
<td>Mangílto</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>'Abdinto</td>
<td>Atábírto</td>
<td>Kibranto</td>
<td>Sowáránto</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dúkurto</td>
<td>Kamalkua</td>
<td>Bobarto</td>
<td>Wiráto</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fóbato</td>
<td>Musaba’át¹</td>
<td>Mísmártó</td>
<td>Sandílto</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kuáto</td>
<td>Kudúl</td>
<td>Wimarto</td>
<td>Sambángáto¹</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aminkáto</td>
<td>Umbáto</td>
<td>Buranto</td>
<td>Labábís⁵</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dadamarto</td>
<td>Kiráto</td>
<td>Ódáto</td>
<td>Kínáto</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tóbíto</td>
<td>Umzáto</td>
<td>Kadanto</td>
<td>Umziráto</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Umbáto</td>
<td>Tándilto</td>
<td>Hóbáto</td>
<td>Shókanto</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Koliáat</td>
<td>Karaka</td>
<td>Madinkírto⁸</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The dialect spoken by the Berti bears marked resemblances to that of the Zagháwa⁶.

VII TUNGUR. The Tungur were reported to Barth⁷ as having come originally from Dongola "where they had separated from the Batálesa, the well-known Egyptian tribe originally settled in Bénesé." Now "Bašálesa" is simply a regular plural formed from "Bašlús," the Arabic form of Ptolemy (Ptolemaeus)⁸, and the legend suggests that the Tungur were an ancient pre-Arab tribe from Núbia. From certain customs that survive among them⁹ one would infer that they were Christians at the date of their migration to the west. Carbou states¹⁰ "La tradition des Toundjour parle aussi d’un séjour de leur tribu sur les bords du bahr Nil"; and one notes in confirmation that their name survives in that of the "Tungur" Rapid seventy-two miles south of Wádi Ḥalfa. On reaching Dárfur, probably in the fifteenth or sixteenth century¹¹, they took up their abode in the northern or central districts. They have been spoken of by travellers as dispossessing the Dágu in Dárfur, but there is no doubt that there

---

¹ Presumably Für by origin.
³ Cp. "Madargárkei" among the Birkéd?
⁵ Cp. other Labábís, claiming to be Kabábísh by origin, among the Für.
⁶ For examples see Appendix 1 to this chapter.
⁷ O. ע. Vol. iii, Ch. li, p. 430.
⁸ See, e.g., Abu el Fidá, Hist. Ant. p. 104. I see that Dr Brown, editing Leo, calls (p. 645) the "Batalises" one of the Zeneta (Berber) tribes.
⁹ See Appendix 5 to this chapter.
¹⁰ I, 74.
¹¹ It may well have been earlier than the sixteenth century, but the Tungur are not mentioned by Leo at the beginning of the sixteenth century: cp. Barth, ibid. pp. 429, 430. Nachtigal thought they entered Dárfur in the fifteenth century (see Carbou, I, 74).
has been some misapprehension on this point. It is true that natives will tell one that first the Dāgu ruled, then the Tungur, then the Fūr; but what they mean in the case of the first two is that each in turn was the most powerful tribe in the country and not necessarily that one subdued the other or even occupied the same part of Dārfūr. For instance, the Dāgu never had any shadow of power or influence in northern Dārfūr or Gebel Marra, and the Tungur never had any connection with the southernmost districts of Dārfūr or Gebel Marra. The main spheres of the two peoples were always distinct, except that they certainly met and overlapped in central-eastern Dārfūr, that is to say, in the neighbourhood of el Fāsher.

I incline, too, to think that there has been a further misconception as to the Tungur. Nachtigal\(^1\) speaks of the last Tungur king, Shāu Dorshid, as living in Gebel Si, and it has been inferred that the Tungur (all or part) lived in those mountains and that they had the seat of their rule there. But the term “Gebel Si” is a very wide one. It does not include only the rocky, almost impassable, range which forms the northern prolongation of Gebel Marra, but all the cultivable sandy country with smaller outcrops of rock which flank the hills for a day’s journey or so to east and west. Even in 'Ali Dinár’s time and at the present day the head Shartāi of Si, which is thus a district as well as a range, does not live in the hills but on the sandy fertile tract to the east of it; and there is no local record or tradition that I have been able to trace, even in Si itself, that the Tungur ever occupied the mountains of Si proper or had their headquarters there. Nor is it in the least likely from what we know of their history that they ever bothered—or were able—to overrun these inhospitable crags and settle there. Why should they when the fertile country to the east, and perhaps to the west also, was ample for them?

The truth seems to be simply that the Tungur, when they arrived in northern Dārfūr made their headquarters at Ferra in Dār Furnung to the north-west of Kuttum—all local tradition agrees as to this—and their control extended over the eastern plains of Gebel Sī\(^2\). That the savage mountaineers of Sī were overawed by them and perhaps paid them some tribute is not impossible, but there is no definite evidence of it. That there was copious intermarriage between Fūr and Tungur in this neighbourhood is indubitable. The name of Shāu Dorshid is familiar in Gebel Sī itself to the present day, but the greatest vagueness prevails as to details and opinion is even

---

1 See History of the World (Helmolt), p. 585.
2 For a description of their remains at Ferra see Appendix 5 to this chapter.
divided as to whether he was a Tunguráwi or a Fúráwi or one of the Tó Rá, the prehistoric people who, according to tradition, preceded the Fúr both in the mountains of Sí and Turra (the northernmost portion of Marra, immediately south of Sí). For this confusion the local blending by marriage of Fúr and Tungur stocks is obviously responsible, for an exactly similar doubt surrounds the ethnical status of the people of Dár Furnung themselves at the present day.

The Tungur were not content to remain for long in Dárfür. In less than a century they began to extend their conquests over Wadáí and up to the borders of Bakírmi.

In proportion, however, as they moved westwards they weakened their hold over the most easterly part of their dominions and their place was taken by the Kayra section of the Fúr with whom they had intermarried

In the west they were overcome in the first half of the seventeenth century by 'Abd el Kerím, the founder of the Muhammadan empire of Wadáí. They then moved into Kánem and overcame the Bulála and compelled the Arab tribes to pay tribute. By this time, if not before, they had been converted to Islam. Subsequently they were subdued by the Bornuans, but in the middle of the nineteenth century regained the mastery by the aid of the Sultan of Wadáí. Shortly afterwards, however, the Awlánd Sulaymán invaded their country and made them tributary. Since then they have been of little account.

The tradition given by Slatin is to the effect that the Tungur came from the north from Tunis under “Ahmad el Ma’akur” (of the Bení Hilál, the commonly reputed ancestor of the Kayra Fúr), but this story is so obviously intertwined with the fabulous “Abu Zayd” or “Bení Hilál” cycle current in Egypt and the Sudan, and there may so easily be a confusion between Tungur and Fúr traditions, due to the extent to which they intermarried in northern Dárfür,

1 Note that the mother and not the father of Dáli, who is spoken of as the first Kayra Sultan, belonged to the Kayra family: the father was a Tunguráwi (Carbou, 1, 77). So, too, 'Abd el Kerim (q.v. next paragraph) is said to have married the daughter of the Tungur king (Carbou, 1, 78).
2 Barth, loc. cit.; Nachtital, Soh. und Sudan, iii, 449 ff. and Voy. au Ouodaï, pp. 72, 93; Schurtz, pp. 541-544, and Carbou, 1, 73-84, 25, 26. The last two are quoting Nachtital.
3 The subject is discussed in my Tribes..., pp. 56, 57. See also Escayrac de Lauture, Le Desert et le Soudan, and Carbou, 1, 74, 84, and i, 17. The last-named also refers to an article “Schoa und Tundschers” by Hartmann in Der islamische Orient, i, 29-31, and to C. H. Becker’s “Zur Geschichte der ostlichen Sudan” (in Der Islam, 11, année, fasc. 11), pp. 161, 162. Becker brings the Tungur from the east. Kampffmeyer (Studien der arabischen Beduinendialecete Inner Afrikas, p. 166), ap. Carbou, 1, 84, brings them from Tunis.

For an account of the Bení Hilál see Part II, Chap. 1, xiv.
that one would hesitate to accept any of its details as historically correct.

The Tungur, however, are generally regarded as having some intimate connection with the Beni Hilal, and, though this may only be an echo of the Kayra tradition, the converse may equally be true, and there also remains the possibility that about the fifteenth or sixteenth century a.d., or even earlier, some Arabs or Arabo-Nubians with a Beni Hilal connection, moved westwards from the cataract region of the Nile to Darfur, mixed with the native races, and came to be generally known as Tungur¹, a theory not unsupported by local tradition².

There is also the bare possibility that the Tungur may have been related to the Berber tribes dispossessed by the Beni Hilal in North Africa, and perhaps through them to the Beni Hilal themselves. Or they may conceivably present a parallel to the case of the Hawara settled in and near el Fasher: these latter are by origin Berbers from Upper Egypt who in Dongola and Kordofan are represented by the nomad Hawâwir and the nigrified Gellâba Howâra respectively³.

The word “Tungur” in Nubian or Barâbra means “a bow for shooting⁴”; Nubia was known to the Pharaohs as Ta-sety (Land of the Bow), and “Les Nubiens, dit Masoudy,...se servent d’arcs arabes pour lancer des flèches. C’est d’eux que les peuples du Hedjaz, du Yémen, et les autres Arabes, ont appris à tirer de l’arc⁵.... Les Arabes les nomment les archers habiles⁶.” Their traditions connect them with Dongola and the Beni Hilal, they preserve (as may be seen in Appendix 5) the custom of using the sign of the Cross, their name survives in a rapid on the Nile, and, all things considered, one may say that such evidence as there is clearly indicates a Nubian origin for the Tungur.

If this is correct, a parallel is provided by the case of the Birked which will shortly be discussed.

The Tungur have generally been referred to by travellers as

¹ This seems to be Carbou’s view (q.v. i, 74 note).
² Cp. MS. D 1, cxiili, and cp. Sir H. H. Johnston’s views in Journ. Anthr. Inst. xliii, 1913, p. 399. He considers that a large proportion of the “Hilalian” invaders found their way from the cataract region of the Nile “across Darfur to Wadai, Bornu and Baghirmi, where they are represented at the present day by the Shawia. Others again mingled with Hamitic and negro elements and founded the powerful Funj dynasty of Senaar.” From the second of these statements I would entirely dissent.
³ See App. to Part II, Chap. 1.
⁴ Reinisch, Die Nuba-Sprache, p. 165.
⁵ Quatremère, ii, 28.
⁶ Mas’udî, ii, 383 (and cp. ii, 2, xxiii above): the Arabic is رِمَاةُ الحدَق.
Arabs, and they still, after the debased Ga'ali manner, make per-
functory claim to be descended from the Beni 'Abbás, however
difficult it may be to reconcile this with the Beni Hilál connection.

In Dárfür and Kordofán it is not uncommon to see both the
distinctively negroid and the distinctively dark Arab type among the
Tungur; but in Wadái, on the other hand, Nachtigal found the
Tungur with "a skin almost white." They spoke Arabic and had
the reputation of being Arabs.

M. Carbou speaks of the western Tungur as a population inter-
mediary between the Arabs and the Kanembú and Tibbu, and mixed
with other tribes among whom they have lived. As regards their
appearance he says: "On trouve chez les Toundjor le teint clair
des Arabes (‘hamer': rouge), mais la nuance ‘akhdher' (litt. vert: 
bronze foncé) est la plus répandue. Quelques-uns d'entre eux, assez
rares d'ailleurs, ont le teint 'azreq' (noir-gris)."

Their chief, he says, is known as the fongbou, a word of Kánem
origin.

Most of them are in Kánem: others are in Bornu and Wadái (Dár
el Ziüd, etc.) and Dárfür. M. Carbou scouts the idea that they came
from the east and has little doubt but that there is good foundation
for their claim to be connected with the Beni Hilál and to have
come from Tunis. They do not practise female excision as the Arabs
and Wadáyans do.

The Tungur of Dárfür are mentioned by el Túnsís among the
minor Sultanates of that country, neighbours of the Birkéd, living
between Gedid Rás el Fil and Tubeldía. They had "une certaine
dose de religion et d'intelligence, ce qui les maintient dans les limites
d'une conduite plus modérée." Unlike the other petty sultans, the
ruler of the Tungur used to wear a black turban, and he told el Túnsí
that he did so as a sign of mourning for the glory that had departed.

As we have seen, in the early days of their predominance in
Dárfür their capital was at Ferra, north-west of Kuttum, near Sí, but
they pushed southwards thence and made Gebel Harayz, south of
el Fásher, one of their headquarters. Moderately large colonies of
Tungur still exist both round Kuttum and Harayz. With the exception
of the indeterminate Tungur-Für of Furnung they speak Arabic only
and are known by no other name than Tungur to the various dialect-
speaking tribes of the country. They are divided into a large number
of sections, mostly small and negligible, but the following appear to

1 Voy. au Onadaí, p. 93.
2 Carbou, 1, 82-84 and 167.
3 Ibid. 11, 17; and 1, 73, 74.
4 Ibid. II, 17, 22.
5 Voy. au Darfour, pp. 128, 133.
6 Ibid. p. 128. The custom does not survive now.
be the most important. The brands which each most commonly uses for its animals are added, but of course many of the subsections use variants.

A. Kirátí (the ruling family at Ḥarayz). They use the brand (a) or (b) and call it (probably by error) the ankarib (“bedstead”).

B. Dowlunga (the ruling family at Kuttum). They use the dingar (i.e. nugará or small war-drum) with sticks as in the figure.

C. Kirwa. They use the tukdi (“reaping knife”), (a), or vary it to (b). They also use (c).

D. Kūrūkūrî. They use the ankarib, as (a), or as (b), but in the latter case call it a nugdra.

E. Niminga. They use the brand as shewn.

F. Um Kadárîk. They use the rigl el ghorâb (“crow-foot”) in the form shewn.

G. Sukûrî. They use a rigl el ghorâb in the form shewn.

H. Waringa. They are said to be a branch of Sukûrî. The figure shews their brand, which is alleged to represent a sword hilt with an extra line for the scabbard.

J. Ingunga. They are said to be a branch of the Kirátí. Their brand, round Kuttum, is as in the figure.

VIII Dâgu. One of the most ancient Dârfûrian races, one which now forms with the Birked and Bâyko1 a distinct, albeit heterogeneous, negroid group in central Dârfûr, east and south-east of Gebel Marra, to the north of the Bakkâra country proper, is the Dâgu.

The grounds for any possible identification of these Dâgu with the Tâgûa branch of Zaghâwa mentioned by Ibn Sa’îd as living forty days eastwards of Tâdmeeka, which is in the hilly country north of Agades2, would be, so far as I am aware, nil, and neither Dâgu nor Zaghâwa lend any support to such theory either by their own traditions or by obvious physical characteristics.

Browne states3 that he gathered from native tales in Dârfûr about 1794, that “The Dageou race came originally from the north, having

---

1 For the spelling of these two names see note later under Birked.
2 See above sub Zaghâwa, and Cooley, p. 30. The occasional spelling of Dâgu as “Tagu” alone leads me to mention the possibility of the identification by error of Dâgu and Tâgûa.
3 p. 280.
been expelled from that part of Africa now, nominally at least, under
the dominion of Tunis.” This story also has nothing to recommend it.

Browne refers, too, to an alleged custom practised by the Dâgu
of lighting a fire on the inauguration of their king and keeping it
burning till his death. A similar custom appears to prevail in Uganda1.

El Tûnisi mentions the Dâgu, the Maâlît, the Mîma, the
Kashmara and the Kûra’ân as the five aboriginal tribes of Wadáï2,
and of the first-named says:

Les Dâdo sont au sud du Dâr-Séleih [i.e. Wadáï], voisins des Koukah...
[ils] sont généralement d’un noir foncé; leur caractère est encore sauvage.
Ils sont, aux yeux des Ouadayens, ce que sont les Berty aux yeux des
Fôriens [i.e. people of Dârfür]. Les Berty sont au nord du Fôr, et les
Dâdo au sud de Ouadây.

El Tûnisi here of course refers not to the Dâgu of Dârfür but to
those of Dâr Sula in southern Wadáï. The former group he mentions
briefly in his book on Dârfür as living next the Bayqo under a
tributary “Sultan” of their own3.

Barth speaks of the Dâgu as having dominated Dârfür in the
tenth century of Islam and as being called in his time (1849–55)
“Nâs Farâ’ón” (“Pharaoh’s Folk”4). He regarded them as entirely
different from the Zaghâwa and thought they might have come
from the mountains of Fazoghlí south of Sennár. Their traditions lend
colour to this theory and their customs suggest a Bantu connection.

Nachtigal (1872), who met the Dâgu on the frontier of Dârfür
and Wadáï, speaks of them5 (the western or Sula branch, that is) as
“black as jet,” strongly built, and hideously ugly. As regards their
state of culture they were nominal Muhammâdâns with numerous
pagan beliefs.

1 Browne, p. 306. A survival of this custom, now forgotten, may lie in the use
of the word “nâr” (“fire”) as the equivalent of “sovereignty”: thus it is said that such
and such a tribe is “in the fire of” (“ft nâr”) another tribe, meaning it is subject
to it; or again that so and so has been appointed “to the fire of” (“ft nâr”) a tribe,
meaning he has been made chief over it. When I directly questioned the Dâgu as
to the truth of the story told by Browne, they denied it, but spoke very vaguely
of some similar custom which, they believed, had prevailed among the Dâgu of
Dâr Sula. One man vouched for the fact that Sultan Bakhît Abu Rîshá of Sula
(deposed by the French in 1916) had six times caught his slaves (probably Fertit.
q.v.) lighting some such fire against his wishes and had caused it to be put out.
2 Voy. au Ouadây, pp. 245, 248. The spelling is taken from the Arabic (q.v.
pp. 728 ff.).
4 Vol. III, Ch. 11, p. 426. The Tibbu are called “Nâs Farâ’ón” in the “Târikh
el Khamîs,” a native MS. of Wadâï (see Carbow, 1, 116). Cp. remarks at the close
of this chapter.
5 Voy. au Ouadaï, p. 68.
They have a shrine for their deity whom they supply very freely with merissa, and the ministers of the sanctuary do not fail to profit by this lucky fact. They have also a sacred tree which they similarly water with merissa, and a holy stone. Death is seldom attributed to natural causes or the will of a Supreme Being, but as a rule to the evil eye of a magician. If by the help of the Gods and by means of some tricks of magic some of these sorcerers are discovered they are massacred without pity, their goods are seized, and their households sent in slavery to Wadai.

The "sacred tree" and the "holy stone" at once recall Bruce's description of the Nuba slaves, captives from Daier and Tekali in Kordofan, whom the traveller met in Sennar and who adored the moon and trees and stones "though I could never find out what tree or what stone it was, only that it did not exist in the country of Sennar but in that where they were born." But as a matter of fact, as has already been noted in dealing with the Berti and the people of Midob, this cult is so widespread as to be almost universal in Darfur. Some account of its practice by the Fur is also given later. With them, as with the Zaghawa it is associated with the idea of placating some evil being, generally in the form of a snake, believed to live beneath the tree or stone. The spread of Muhammadanism has, needless to say, wrenched the ancient superstition from its original setting and re-set it in a modified form among the unobjectionable, if not quite orthodox, observances of the local True Believers; and the latter would never fail to represent their prayers as directed to the One God, however much their fears might really centre upon the hidden demon known to their forefathers. The position, from the point of view of the educated native of Darfur, is perhaps expressed most easily by repeating the gist of a dialogue I had with the Makdum Sherif, lately the Sultan's viceroy in northern Darfur:

_Ques._ Have the Zaghawa any holy places in their country? If so of what kind?
_Anss._ Yes, if anyone wants anything, or is undertaking any venture, he visits some rock or tree and makes the usual offerings of meat and _dihin_ (grease) and voices his appeal.
_Ques._ Would any rock or any stone be good enough?
_Anss._ No. There are certain definite ones, three or four in Dar Zaghawa.
_Ques._ To whom does he appeal?
_Anss._ To God, of course.
_Ques._ Does he have no local demon also in view?

---

1 Cp. Roscoe, _Baganda_, p. 98.
2 See Bruce, iv, 420 ff.; and cp. Roscoe, _Baganda_, p. 271.
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*Ans.* Well, there used to be, but nowadays they appeal to God only.

*Ques.* Did they adopt this system of holy sites from the Für?

*Ans.* It is general in Dárfur. The Dágu and the Birked and the Für and the Zaghawa and Bedayát all do the same, and the practice is practically universal except among the Arabs.

*Ques.* Is there a medium?

*Ans.* Yes, a woman generally. Her position is hereditary from mother to daughter, irrespective of age. Among the Zaghawa she is called the báda.

*Ques.* Is there any particular season more favourable than another?

*Ans.* No, but of course at this present season (June) it would be for rain most people would be praying and making their offerings. The Dágu of Dár Sula make a regular festival of it. The Sultan and his nobles attend and all the horsemen, and they place the dihn in front of a hole in a certain rock and wait. If the ants—the big black battling ants—come out, it is a good sign and all rejoice. If not the prospect is bad. This particular system I believe to be confined to Dár Sula. Throughout Dárfur it is merely a question of making offerings at certain places and praying for success.

The Dágu in Dárfur live by cultivation and breeding cattle in the fertile tracts round Nyála and Takala to the west of Dára.

They have a hereditary Sultan, tributary to the Sultan of Dárfur of course, as head man, and his right-hand man is the sambei—a sort of president of the tribal sheikhs or damalig, who does most of the work. All the sections of the tribe are subject to the sambei’s orders except the Sultan’s own and one or two others closely related to it. The position of the sambei, however, is not hereditary, but almost elective. He is chosen by the people from among the body of the tribe by a consent that is as near as possible unanimous. In theory he can be dismissed by the Sultan, but in practice he is secure so long as he commands the confidence of the people.

In addition to the main Dágu settlement in Dárfur and the colony in Dár Sula there are also smaller groups of Dágu in Dár Messiría, in Kordofán, on the north-west fringes of the Núba country in what are known as the Dágu Hills, and even a few small and scattered settlements farther east near el Obeid and, it is said, east of Tekali.

1 In Dárfur, even among the Arabs, the tribal elders, the body that chooses or deposits the head sheikh that is, are known as “damalig” (sing. “dimlig”). See para. xxi to follow. The term is not used elsewhere in the Sudan. For the position of the “sambei” among the Dágu cp. that of the “dingar” among the Masáli (Para. xvi). The two exactly correspond.

2 Cp. MacMichael, Tribes... pp. 51, 52. See also Carbou, i, 371, and ii, 218–220. The Dágu in Kordofán are regarded locally as “Hameg” or “Núba” by origin.

3 These last I have not met and I only heard of them when in Dárfur.
I. 4. VIII. THE NON-ARAB RACES OF DÁRFÜR

In Dárfür the DÁGU call themselves FININGA. They are known to the FÜR as MIRINGA, to the BIRKED as NISHIGI, and to the MÉSÁLÍT as BEREJÉ.

In view of Barth's theory, quoted above, that the DÁGU may have come from south of Sennár, and the evidence of their own traditions, is it not possible that there is a connection between the words "FININGA" (sing. "FINICHEI") and "FUNG"? It will be noticed that the period of DÁGU ascendancy in Dárfür corresponds to the time when the FUNG, having founded their kingdom in 1504, were extending their power over the neighbouring provinces.

They perfunctorily claim descent from the BEDUIN of the Hegáz and say that their ancestor, who brought them from Arabia, was a certain Kédír who gave his name to the well-known Gebel Kédír¹, one of the NUBA mountains lying west of the upper reaches of the White Nile, south of latitude 11°, east of Talódi. From Kédír, they say, the DÁGU moved westwards, leaving small colonies in Kordofán, to Dárfür, and there took up their abode.

The successors of Kédír were in turn Mai, Zalaf, Kamteinyei², 'Omar, 'Abdulláhi Bahür and Ahmad el Dag. Of the first three nothing is recorded, but it was 'Omar who finally ejected from the DÁGU country of the present day the FORÓGE or FORÓKÉ who inhabited it previously, and drove them back south-westwards to their original home in Dár Fertít³. Of Ahmad el Dag is told the story, not peculiar to him⁴, that his pride and presumption were such that he was not content to ride a horse or any other animal but a tiang, and that his mount ran away with him and galloped to Dár Sula, and he was never more seen in Dárfür. They explain thus the foundation of the western colony of DÁGU⁵. It is impossible to arrive at any exact date for this event, but the Sula colony must have broken away from the main

¹ Gebel Kédír was chosen in 1881 by the Mahdi as the starting point of his campaign. He called it Gebel Mása because the Muhammadans believe that the true and "Expected" Mahdi will come from a hill named Mása (see MacMichael, Tribes..., p. 37).
² "Teinyei" means a cow in the language of the DÁGU of Dárfür and of those in Sula.
³ It may be noted that the FÜR are known to the people of Dár Táma by the name of Forók. Dár Forógé is shown, on Nachtigal's map, for instance, in Dár Abo Díma, north of the Ta'alísha country. El Túnisí (Voy. Darf. p. 134) calls it "Dar-Faràougueh."
⁴ At Turra I was told practically the same story of the FÜR Sultan 'Omar Layla ("Lele") who died in Waddá in 1739. It was added that whenever "karamás" were being offered in memory of the various Sultans, 'Omar's bull invariably gave much trouble and refused to be sacrificed though all the rest came quietly to the slaughter.
⁵ The DÁGU of Sula call themselves "Koska" instead of "Fininga" as in Dárfür.
stem some centuries ago since the language of the one is all but incomprehensible to the other.

It is well established that the Dágú were at one time the pre-dominant race in central Dárfúr, the earliest known founders of a monarchy there, and that they were supplanted by the Túngur about the sixteenth century. The coming of the Túngur resulted in the restriction of the Dágú to the districts where they still live.

The main divisions of the Dágú of Dárfúr are the following:

A. To the east—

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>English</th>
<th>Dárfúr Dágú</th>
<th>Sula Dágú</th>
<th>Bayko</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>one</td>
<td>nuáni</td>
<td>ung’un</td>
<td>nuáni</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>two</td>
<td>faddá</td>
<td>biddak</td>
<td>fidda</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>three</td>
<td>koddós</td>
<td>koddós</td>
<td>koddós</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>four</td>
<td>kashfé</td>
<td>tishek</td>
<td>teshwet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>five</td>
<td>muddak</td>
<td>muddak</td>
<td>middík</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>six</td>
<td>arann</td>
<td>arann</td>
<td>arann</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>seven</td>
<td>fahtindi</td>
<td>faktindi k</td>
<td>fáttindi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>eight</td>
<td>kosonda</td>
<td>kohandak</td>
<td>kosonda</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nine</td>
<td>wishtanda</td>
<td>bistandak</td>
<td>tibishtenda</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ten</td>
<td>assing</td>
<td>assin</td>
<td>assin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>man</td>
<td>yóhé</td>
<td>ýógi</td>
<td>fabangé</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>grass</td>
<td>niárté</td>
<td>nierké</td>
<td>niérté</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>head</td>
<td>assé</td>
<td>ísi</td>
<td>ísé</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>black</td>
<td>gill</td>
<td>gíra</td>
<td>údia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>red</td>
<td>fírr</td>
<td>pirra</td>
<td>kaylé</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

B. Round Nyala and south of it—

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>English</th>
<th>Dárfúr Dágú</th>
<th>Sula Dágú</th>
<th>Bayko</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tulindjigerké, holding the najás</td>
<td>Sumbinangé</td>
<td>Buharé</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chortinengé, closely related to the Tulindjigerké</td>
<td>Dufugé</td>
<td>Dambogé</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kalwaké</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tumbugé</td>
<td>Tarünungé</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Keiawarké</td>
<td>Doruningé</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adajungé</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Dágú use either of two brands on their animals, namely the "kindirei" or the "lohonei." The former is shaped as (a) and the latter as (b).

1 The following list of common words collected at random shews the extent of the difference. The corresponding words in the Bayko dialect are also added.

2 Schurtz, p. 544; Slatin, ii, Ch. 2. One would, however, be inclined to suppose from the authorities that the Dágú (and cp. the case of the Túngur above) ruled all Dárfúr. But it is beyond all doubt that they never held any power in northern Dárfúr (the Tibbu sphere), nor in Gebel Marra nor in the country north-west of it (the Für sphere). They do not even advance any such claim.

2 Included in this section are the Sambelangé (Sumbinangé?). This name is said to be the Dágú form of "Senábla." They say certain Shenábla at a remote period became incorporated among the Dágú. Cp. "Sambangáto" among the Berti (Para. vi above), and "Sambellanga" among the Túngur-Für of Furnung (App. 5 to this chapter).
 IX Birked. The Birked1 live north and east of the Dágo and Bayko, between Gebel Ḥarayz and the Rizaykát country, and are a much larger tribe than either. They have also a small colony, a day's journey north-east of el Fásher, at Turza near Śania Kuldingyi. Others are in Wadái, and these el Túnisi called2 the lowest and most despizable of folk, "traîtres, brutaux, pillards...la honte et la plaie du Ouadây." "C'est de cette peuplade," he added, "que sortent les ouvriers en fer et les chasseurs." He described them as black and slim and short. In speaking of the Birked in Dárífür he generally grouped them with the Tungur, and his opinion of them was that they were "traîtres, voleurs et rapaces à l'excès, sans crainte de Dieu ni du Prophète3."

Barth merely mentions them4 ("Birkit") among the negro tribes on the Wadáï-Dárífür frontier.

Nachtigal says5:

This tribe, composed of the slaves of the Sultan [of Wadáï] has remained free of all racial admixture. The Birguid are dark grey ("gris foncés"), darker than the Mabas, and are of a negro type and have the character and customs of the Central Africans, and speak a language entirely peculiar to themselves6.

Their main divisions in Dárífür at present are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Madargarke</th>
<th>Tungurke</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tuddugé, said to be Hilálin</td>
<td>Fileiké</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sirindiké</td>
<td>Eraykát, i.e. some &quot;Arab&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Togongé, said to be Hilálin</td>
<td>Eraykát living with the Birked</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kamungá</td>
<td>Tongolké</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mírowgé</td>
<td>Kagurtigé</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kulduké</td>
<td>Morolké</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Izmándiké</td>
<td>Sasulké</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

There are also many other less important divisions. The component parts of all are, in the view of other tribes than the Birked, largely adulterated by alien elements.

1 The spelling of "Birked" and "Bayko" is taken from the Arabic of el Túnisi. The words are pronounced "Birged" and "Baygo" respectively, and should perhaps be so spelt.
2 Voy. au Ouadây, pp. 249, 250.
4 III, 543 (App. 7).
5 Loc. cit. p. 67.
6 They now speak Arabic as well. Their "rojána" is distinct from that of the Dágu, etc. See later.
7 The brand of this section, called after it the Madargarke, is as shewn, representing, as a comparison with the royal Fur brand and that of the Tungur will show, a war-drums and sticks. It is interesting in view of what follows to note that the Serár Buňker ("Cattle Folk") at Gebel el Ḥaráza in northern Kordofán also use a brand called "Bayt el Núgára," which represents a small round drum and stick, as in the figure. (See MacMichael, Camel Brands, p. 34.)
In the palmy days of the Dárfûr Sultanate the Biriked country was the appanage of the Für dignitary known as the Uründülü. The latter employed four mulûk (literally, "kings") as farmers of revenue there. The Birked, unlike the Bayko, Dágu, Zaghäwa, Borku, Mîma and Tungur, had, it seems, no "Sultan" of their own, and it is stated at the present day that they only had a Shartái or local 'omda at the head of their tribe. Consequently, it may be presumed that they had no naḥás, and certainly they had no wakil or vizier corresponding to the dîngar of the Mašálît and the sambei of the Dágu, but only a number of damâlig subject to the Shartái.

Their country was known by the name of Kajjar—a term said to have included the Dágu and Bayko lands also—and the Birked themselves are still known to the Für as Kajjara, to the Dágu as Kagárugei and to the Bayko as Kajargé. They call themselves Murgi.

A few Birked live in Kordofán, south of el Obeid, and it is traditionally reported in northern Kordofán that about the beginning of the eighteenth century they were the ruling people in the hills of Kåga and Katüül and were ousted thence by the Bedayría.

The tendency among their neighbours near el Obeid is to class the Birked with the Tomám and Tumbáb, who are negroid tribes with pretensions to a Núbian-Ga'ali connection, as of Hamag or Nuba descent.

Now in collecting a small vocabulary of Birked in Dárfūr in 1917 I found two interesting facts. In the first place, the Birked of Turza mentioned that the people to whom they were most nearly related in Dárfûr were those of Gebel Mídób; and in the second place, the dialect of the Birked in southern Dárfûr bears an obvious similarity to the Núbian and Kanzi vocabularies collected by Burckhardt. These two facts transpired quite independently of one another and provide a clue to the origin of the Birked. It will be remembered that the people of Mídób claim to be an ancient colony of Maḥass and

---

1 See later sub Für.
2 El Tûnisi, Voy. Darf., p. 137.
3 Ibid., 138, where no Birked Sultan is mentioned.
4 Their name probably survives too in that of the great wádi Kajjar (maps "Kåga," "Kajja," "Kia," etc.) which runs between Dár Mašálît and Wadáii.
5 See MacMichael, Tribes..., p. 66.
6 See Part III, Chap. 1, and the genealogical trees of the "A" group in Part IV.
7 The Birked of Tarza only speak Arabic. They say their fathers all spoke a "rotána," as the southern Birked still do. The latter are a large tribe, and not a small settlement with Arabs living round them as is the case at Tarza.
8 See Appendix 2 to this chapter.
Danáglia from Núbia and that their language resembles that of the Barábra: so it seems that the Birked too found their way into Dárífür from Núbia.

Their connection with Mídób, the similarity between the names “Kajjara” (“Kagáruei,” “Kajarge;” etc., all meaning Birked) and “Kága” (or “Kája”) and “Kageddi” (a subtribe of Mídób), the occurrence of old ironworks\(^2\) at el Ḥaráza between Kága and Dongola coupled with the fact that the Birked of Wadái are ironworkers, and the local tradition at Kága that the Birked once ruled there and at Katül\(^3\), all suggest that it was by way of northern Kordofán that the Birked came.

There are also indications as to the period of their arrival. It has been noticed that el Túniṣi usually groups the Birked with the Tungur and that two of the Birked subtribes call themselves Beni Hilál by origin. The traditional connection between the Tungur and the Beni Hilál is strong, however difficult it be to define its details, and the Birked are evidently implicated in this ethnological imbroglio. Since there is no trace of the Tungur having ever spoken any tongue but Arabic, whereas the Birked still speak a rotána as well as Arabic, and since the Birked are socially indistinguishable from the Dágū, who preceded the Tungur in Dárífür, and since the Birked have forgotten everything about their Núbian connection and are generally regarded as having lived in south-central Dárífür from time immemorial, whereas it is common knowledge that the Tungur immigrated and are not indigenous, it appears likely that the Birked reached Dárífür before the Tungur immigration. The Tungur came in the fifteenth or sixteenth century, and the Birked may have left Núbia soon after the dismemberment of the Christian kingdom in the fourteenth century, or even earlier. The so-called Hiláli sections of Birked may be no more than Tungur who joined them in Dárífür, or may represent Beni Hilál elements who joined the Birked in the same manner as others joined the Tungur.

There is even extant what I believe to be a seventh century reference to the Birked (“Kajjara”) when they were still in Núbia.

---

1 The name of Kága applies to the broken chain of hills comprising the Gebels of Katül, Kága, Kága Surrag in north-western Kordofán, and the Lughud hills near Gebel el Ḥīlla in Dárífür. From it the term Kágawi is formed to denote an inhabitant.

2 See MacMichael, Tribes..., p. 240. Iron is no longer smelted at el Ḥaráza, but was so until a generation ago. I lay no stress on the argument derived from the existence of ironworks at el Ḥaráza since such are common in Kordofán and may have been due to races other than the Birked.

3 Curiously enough, in MS. D 1, clxii, it is the Dágū who are grouped with the people of Kága and Katül.
Immediately after Ibn Selim’s account of the Sudan Makr zi places the following passage:

J’ai vu aussi dans une lettre adressée par certaines tribus à l’émir des croyants ‘Ali ben Abou Taleb1, qu’il était fait mention des Bedjahs et des Kadjahs lesquels sont très méchants, mais peu pillards2. Les Bedjahs sont ainsi; quant aux Kadjahs, on n’en connaît que ce qu’en dit ’Abdullah ben Ahmed l’historien de Nubie3.

’Abdulla ibn Ahmad is Ibn Selim el Aswâni, who wrote between 975 and 996 A.D., but what he had to say about the “Kajara” we do not know because the extracts from his work quoted by el Makr zi contain no mention of them.

X BAYKO. The Bayko, neighbours of the Dagu and Birked, are said by Slatin4 to belong to the Monolke family and to have emigrated from the Bahre el Ghazal in ancient days and to have been granted lands in Darfur on condition of supplying annually a maiden for the royal harem. But the mother of the Sultan Muhammed Fadl (1800–1838) was a Baykahwia and he, in consequence, declared the tribe free for ever, and forbade the buying and selling of them under penalty of death. The Bayko at present, as is natural, deny the implication of “slave” (i.e. negro) origin and merely point with pride to the fact of their intermarriage with Fur royalty. As a tribe they do not claim to be Arabs but, as usual in Darfur, the royal house of Bayko, the holders of the nahas, the Terkit Haggar section of the Subhanin that is, affect a Ga’ali origin. It was one of them, by name Um Busa, who married the father of Muhammed Fadl. Of their early history the Bayko know little, but the general tradition among them is that their ancestors came from the East via southern Kordofan and the Nuba country at much the same time as the Bakkara. The tradition is, however, much too vague to have any real value. Browne identified the Bayko (“Bego”) too closely with the Dagu in speaking of “the people of Bego or Dageou who are now [i.e. 1799] subject to the crown of Fur but are a different tribe which formerly ruled the country5”; but at the same time the dialects of the Bayko and Dagu are almost identical6 and it is curious that when I asked

1 The letter must therefore have been written within twenty years of the conquest of Egypt.
2 Burckhardt translates (p. 509): “Warlike nations who do not make much booty.” The above quotation is from Bouriant’s translation (ii, 570).
3 Burckhardt translates: “But I know not who the Kedja are.”
4 Ch. II.
5 Browne, p. 285. There is a mention by Ibn Sa’id (ap. Abu el Fida, p. 158) of a people called the Bajo, connected with the Zaghawa, and the term puzzled Barth (q.v. Vol. III, Ch. I, p. 426). It is, however, very unlikely that the Bayko are meant.
6 E.g. see examples given above when speaking of the Dagu.
the present Sultan of the Bayko for his pedigree he traced it (as follows) to Aḥmed el Dag, whom we have already met as a Dāgu Sultan: Muḥammad "Kebkebē" son of Abukr "Nāka" son of 'Omar son of Ḥusayn son of 'Ībba son of Nāfī son of Ḥāggar son of Aḥmad el Dag. At the same time he repudiated all relationship with the Dāgu and attributed the similarity of dialects to the fact that the tribes had long been neighbours in Dāfur.

It seems probable that the Bayko either came to Dāfur from much the same direction and at much the same period as the Dāgu—physically the two are indistinguishable—or else that the Dāgu came from the east and were joined in Dāfur by the negroid Bayko from the south-east, and that the latter borrowed the language of the former but preserved their independence. The Dāgu dialect bears resemblances to those of Nūbia but in this respect falls far behind the Birked dialect.

The status of the Bayko in el Tūnisi’s day seems to have been much the same as it is now, but little is said of them beyond that they were ruled by a petty Sultan of their own. The tribal wakīl or vizier or President of the Council of Sheikhs (Damlīq), the same of the Dāgu, is among the Bayko called the gindi (plur. genādi). His chief function, outside the sphere of administration, is said to be that of performing the accession ceremony for a newly succeeded Sultan.

Of the subdivisions of the Bayko, once very numerous, only a few are now remembered. These fall into the two main groups of Subhānīn (“Easterners”) and Gharbānīn (“Westerners”) and include the following:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ENGLISH</th>
<th>BAYKO</th>
<th>DĀGU of DARFUR</th>
<th>DĀGU of SULA (MĪDĪB)</th>
<th>NŪBA</th>
<th>KANZI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>cow</td>
<td>teinyé</td>
<td>teinyé</td>
<td>tur</td>
<td>tyga</td>
<td>tyg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>horse</td>
<td>murtani</td>
<td>murtani</td>
<td>mortyga</td>
<td>koky</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ass</td>
<td>kāchiné</td>
<td>kāchiné, or kakiné</td>
<td>utchi</td>
<td>kadjia</td>
<td>hanoub</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 E.g.—

The percentage of Birked words that resemble Nūbian words is a good hundred per cent. higher, I should say, than in the case of Dāgu or Bayko words. The numerals of the latter, for instance, differ entirely from those of Nūbia.


3 “Yadarrag” (Ar.) “gives rank to.” The Sultan himself was my informant on the point.

4 The Bayko cannot pronounce the Arabic “gh;” so they call this group the “Harbānīn.”

5 These are Subhānīn.

M.S. I.
THE NON-ARAB RACES OF DÁRFÚR

1. Outside Dárfúr there are said to be many BAYKÖ, with DÁGU, between Tekali and the White Nile in Dár Kebír, and a considerable colony of Nyogolgolé are said to have lived for many generations at Kafakingi in the Bahř el Ghazál.

The BAYKÖ call themselves BÉOGÉ. To the Für they are known as BÉGONGA, to the TÁMA as BÉGUKUNG, to the DÁGU as BÉOGÉ, and to the BÍRĶED as BÉKÉ.

XI. There are also in Dárfúr several tribes of distinctively western origin, FELLÁTA, TAKÁRİR, "BORKU," "BORNU," MÍMA, "ABU SINÚN," and MARÁRÍT. Of these the Míma are the oldest colony.

MÍMA.

The town of Míma is mentioned by Ibn Bațiṭa in the middle of the fourteenth century as lying not far to the west of Timbuktu: of the latter town the traveller remarks "most of its inhabitants are people of Míma, or of the tribes called el Mulaththamün" (i.e. the Veiled Ones, the TUVÁREK BERBER).

Apparently they, or a branch of them, subsequently moved eastwards. El Tūnisi says of them in 1803:

Les Mymeh constituent une population qui se compose de plusieurs tribus divisées en fractions. Ils sont d’un noir foncé comme de l’encre. Ils habitent au sud direct du Ouadáy, sur la même ligne que les Dâdjo et les Koúkah.

He also alludes to a branch of them as under a tributary Sultan in Dárfúr. Nachtigal mentions them as a large tribe in Wadái, but most of them had scattered in the south of that country and lost their racial identity. The rest of them preserved their language, which was akin to that of the Zagháwa and the KURÁ’ÁN, and had a melik of their own. Their social reputation was, like that of the Zagháwa, unsavoury, and they had largely intermarried with that tribe.

1 These are Gharbánín and can be referred to as "genádi," i.e. sections subject to the "gindi."
2 Their headman is spoken of as "Makđum Násir."
3 See Cooley, pp. 45, 84, 86. Older writers than Ibn Bațiṭa used the form "Amíma."
4 Voy. au Ouaddý, p. 249.
5 Voy. au Darfour, pp. 128, 138, 297. These were at feud with the Beni ’Omrán Arabs.
6 Voy. au Ouadaï, pp. 65, 74, 75; and cp. Carbou, 11, 199; the latter calls them "Mimi ou Moutoutou."
At present there is a colony of Míma round Fáfa and Wada’a in Dárfūr, another in Abu Daza district on the western border of Kordofán, and a third at Magrūr north of Bára in central Kordofán. As a rule they are a very dark coarse-featured folk and, like all the “Borku” group, have more hair on their faces than is usual among the northern negroid tribes of the Sudan. The main subdivisions of the Míma in Dárfūr and Kordofán are:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Míma Subdivision</th>
<th>Borku Subdivision</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nunku (the “royal family”)</td>
<td>Bora</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ármé</td>
<td>Abké</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daré</td>
<td>Mahádí¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Firra</td>
<td>Kusta</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Awlíád Zayt</td>
<td>Mudrung</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kirratindílo</td>
<td>Baba</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guru</td>
<td>Tilmé</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baka</td>
<td>Gilmé</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All are Arabic-speaking.

XII Marárit. The Marárit² and Abu Sinûn are small colonies of “Borku” origin near the western frontier of Dárfūr, but nothing is known of the date when they settled there. The former people are settled among the Ereniga and the Mašáliṯ. The Borku proper, *i.e.* Wadáians, and Bornu have many settlements in eastern and central Dárfūr but most of these date from no more than a few years back and owe their existence to the French occupation of Wadái. Others of course, like the Takárir³ settled in Kordofán and eastern Dárfūr, have been for several generations in their present positions.

XIII Felláta. The Felláta are very largely represented in Dárfūr, and though some of them have only entered the country within recent generations the majority have been there for a considerable time. Their main period of immigration is said to have been during the reign of Sultan Ahmad Bukr, that is towards the end of the seventeenth century⁴. They have a dář of their own at the south end of Gebel Marra and have intermarried freely with the Baškára Arabs. Some are sedentary and these are the more recent arrivals, intending pilgrims for the most part, but the majority are cattle-owning nomads, as in West Africa, living under a regular

¹ These Mahádi or Maháda call themselves Arabs, but, if so, are a very debased form of the same. They are not found as a tribe anywhere, but only as sections of other communities. Some are among the Mašáliṯ and others among the Hábánía of Dárfūr and other Baškára.
² Sing. “Marárit.”
³ Sing. Takrüri. For this term see el Tünisi, *Voy. au Ouadd*, p. 6.
⁴ So Nachtigal, quoted by Ensor (p. 145).
tribal organization, speaking Arabic, and divided into two main groups, the 'Ibbā and the 'Ikka1.

There remain the western frontier tribes, the slave tribes, and the Fūr themselves.

To take these in turn:

**XIV Kimr.** Dār Kīmr lies north of the Mašālīt country and east of Dār Tāma. To the north and east of it is open country sparsely populated by nomadic Zaghāwā of the Kubbē and Kubga sections. It is a small tract, poor in natural resources, sandy in parts and stony in others, and its people live by cultivating dukhn and breeding sheep and cattle. The water supply is moderate. Iron is plentiful, especially at Bābīrī.

The population claims to be Arab, Ga'āliīn from Metemma by origin, but, beyond the fact that it is Arabic-speaking (with the exception only of the Abu Jōkha section, who speak Tāma) and has no dialect of its own, there is no reason to think the claim has much to recommend it.

The name Kīmr, like the name Ermbeli, which is used in place of it in Wadāī, means "dove," and there are variant traditions that the Kīmr were the original inhabitants of Dārs Tāma and Mašālīt or, as the Fūr say, that they were once Temūrka (Fūr) who could change themselves into doves.

The Fūr call the Kīmr "Orang'a," the Tāma and the Dāgu call them "Gimruk" and "Gumerkē" respectively. Not being a warlike people they have suffered much at the hands of the more rapacious Zaghāwā, Mašālīt and Fūr4.

Politically they formed a part of the Dārfūr Sultanate, save in so far as they could maintain their independence, and from time immemorial they have had a petty Sultan of their own.

Their main subdivisions are:

1. Mīggi (the ruling section)
   - (a) dhurriat Tāhir
   - (b) ,, Husayn
   - (c) ,, Nakhiīt
   - (d) ,, Būlād
   - (e) ,, Mūsa
   - (f) ,, Hārūt

2. Abu Jōkha
   - (a) Efferē
   - (b) Showa
   - (c) Ligām

---

1 El Tunisī (Voy. au Darfūr, pp. 129, 134, etc.) speaks of those south of Marra as "Foullān," by which name they are known to the Arabs and Hausa in West Africa. The Kanūrī call them Fellāta. To ethnologists they are commonly known as Fulbe or Fūl or "Poul": see Barth, Vol. iv, Ch. i.vii, p. 143. In the Sudan the term Fellāta is loosely used to cover the Hausa also.

2 The Arabic is قرني.  


4 Cp. Car bou, 11, 204, 205; Nachtigal, Voy. au Ouadāl, p. 73.
3. **KURBU**
   (a) Gidayrnuk
   (b) Ownga
   (c) Sābir
   (d) Rimayla

4. **Lūk**
   (a) Awład Ḥaggar
   (b) Ṣikīn
   (c) Meddi
   (d) Ḳera’a

5. **BĀGI**
6. **GERMŪK**
7. **MoʾūK**
8. **GENNBAIŪK**
9. **TILLINGBAIŪK**
10. **MILLA**
11. **BULGERO**
12. **AKERMŪK**
13. **LERIK**

**XV TĀMA.** Dar Tāma, lying to the west of Dār Ḍimr, on the Wadāi border, is more fertile and more thickly populated than either Mašālīṭ or Ḍimr. It has always been a bone of contention between the Sultans of Wadāi and Dārfūr and has been temporarily subjected by both at different times. At other times it has preserved a measure of independence, and it has always had a Sultan of its own.

Its people are spoken of by Matteucci as “de taille élevée (près de 1m, 80), tète brachycéphale (350), angle facial très ouvert (81°),” and Nachtigal saw in them a close resemblance to the Dāgu (of Sula, presumably), a mixture of whom with earlier Ḍimr inhabitants they may represent².

Nachtigal says their dialect is similar to that of the Sungur of Wadāi and of the Dāgu and the Birked³, but it is more than doubtful whether, in the case of the last two peoples mentioned, he was right. Their dialect is quite distinct in vocabulary from any Dārfūr tongue, if one except only that of the Erenqa of Dār Mašālīṭ.

**XVI Mašālīṭ.** The Mašālīṭ country is 7000 to 7500 square miles in extent and is bounded to the west by Wadāi, to the south by Dār Sula, to the north by Dārs Tāma and Ḍimr, and to the east by the Fūr. The central districts are undulating and sandy with numerous small rocky outcrops; the south is mountainous. The northern districts, those of the Erenqa and Gebel Mūn, are more stony and unfertile: they will be dealt with separately in the following section. The great wādis Bārē and Kajja, on the east and west respectively, provide an excellent water supply at a shallow depth, and deeper wells, giving a more precarious supply, are also dug inland from these two arteries.

¹ See Carbou, 11, 207.
² See Carbou, 11, 205.
³ *Voy. au Quadaï*, pp. 66, 69, 74. Perhaps the Sungur are to be identified with the Asungūr branch of Erenqa (*q.v.* later). The Tāma dialect and that of the Erenqa are practically identical.
⁴ They call themselves Mašalīṭ as a rule, but are usually known to others as Mašālīṭ. The Tāma call them Masarak.
The population is fairly numerous in the central districts and is socially on about the same plane as that of eastern Darfur. In the south it is more numerous and less civilized. Cattle and sheep form the chief wealth of the Mašálíṭ, and dukhn is their staple food product. Iron is found in plenty throughout the country. On the whole, however, Dár Mašálíṭ is distinctly a poor country, and, but for the trade-route from Abesha to el Fásher which bisects it, would be a mere backwater.

Like Dár Táma it has always been a bone of contention as between Wadái and Darfur, but the former power never held any rights in it and merely made occasional attacks on it as being the nearest part of Darfur.

Previous to the Egyptian conquest of Darfur Dár Mašálíṭ was a part of the western district and subject to the viceroy (makdūm) of the west. The Erenga and Mūn districts in the north were counted a part of the Fūr district of Mādí, the Mašálíṭ living east of Wādí Bāré were under Kermē, and all the rest was a part of Fīa and subject to the Shartāī of that district. At this period, whereas the Kimr (also under Mādí) and two sections of Erenga had petty Sultans, the Mašálíṭ had only firrash (sing. fersh)—who are dignitaries of distinctly lower rank and less importance than Sharāṭī.

It was only in the Dervish days that a single Mašalāṭi amīr united under his rule the Erenga, Mūn and Mašálíṭ, and not until the close of the Dervish days that he assumed the title of Sultan and claimed complete independence. At present the firrash of the various Erenga and Mūn groups, and the Shartāī who is over the former, are all placed in subjection to a makdūm of the Mašálíṭ Sultan, and this viceroy is simply a mamlūk, an old Dinka slave who has attained to a position of the highest trust. The various Mašálíṭ sections are allotted as appanages to members of the royal family or state functionaries and administered on feudal lines. The Sultan is of course supreme over all. To assist the firrash (in the case of Mašálíṭ, Erenga and Mūn alike) there is a body of damālig.1

In Fūr days, they say in Dár Mašálíṭ, each fersh had also a sambeī or chief executive officer and representative, attached to him, as was also the custom in the case of the Sultan of the Dāgu; but since the chief fersh of the Mašálíṭ has become a “Sultan,” this has been dropped.

1 See p. 74.
The following are the chief sub-tribes of Mašálît:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FOKUNYUNG</th>
<th>ASUMUNG</th>
<th>DÁGU (i.e. colonists from Dár Sula, no doubt)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MISTERINN</td>
<td>ABDURAG</td>
<td>AMUNUNG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASUMUNG</td>
<td>AJMUNG</td>
<td>MUNDERA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SERBUNG</td>
<td>KERJUNG</td>
<td>MANGIRI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MARARÎT¹</td>
<td>KUSUBÉ</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NIERNUNG</td>
<td>FÖRUNG</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MINGIRI</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All speak the same language, which is distinct from any Darfur dialect and said to belong to the same group as that of the Mâbas of the west². They claim a vague descent from Arabs of the Benî Khuzâm and Messîrî (Bâkkâra both), but are obviously more than half negroes, with the slightest Arab leaven³.

They are a warlike people of fairly good physique and intelligence, but they are regarded askance by the Darfur and Kordofán tribes owing to the power of metamorphosis, chiefly into hyaenas, which they are believed to possess⁴.

Nachtigal reported them very "priest-ridden" and fanatical and widely suspected of cannibalism. Nor was the charge entirely unfounded, for the Mašálît have themselves admitted to me, though only with regard to the Um Bûs section, who have the distinction of becoming ghouls after death and emerging as "shadows of the dead" from the tombs to prey upon the unwary, that they believe that by eating raw the entrails of their slain foes, they gain courage and eliminate soft-heartedness.

Slatin was also told that they were accustomed to use the skins of their slain enemies as waterskins and their headman, the fersh Haggâm, admitted that the custom "had once" existed⁵.

At the present day most of these horrible customs have fallen into disuse, but the people speak of them as having flourished "once, in the days of Haggâm"!

In addition to these Mašálît of the border-state there is a considerable independent colony of Mašálît in southern Darfur on the northern borders of the Habbânîa (Bâkkâra) country, who have been there for something over a century and a half.

¹ See p. 83.
² Nachtigal, Voy. au Ouadal, pp. 66, 76. Cp. Barth, iii, App. 7, p. 542. A few words of the Mašálît vocabulary, as a guide, are given in Appendix 4 to this chapter.
³ There are a few small encampments of Mahämîd, Tergâm, Ta’elba, Darûk, and Mahâda in Dár Mašálît (for all of whom see Part III), but these seem to keep quite distinct from the native Mašálît.
⁴ Cp. pp. 84 and 103, and see Robertson Smith, p. 203, re totemism in Arabia.
⁵ Slatin, Fire and Sword..., Ch. iii.
In el Tūnisi’s day they were under four “kings” (i.e. meks) and their country formed a part of Dar Abo Uma.

At the present day they are ruled by a petty Sultan with a nahās, but the administration appears to be, in fact, in the hands of the wakil, or dingar—a term denoting properly a small drum (nugāra) of wood, but generally applied to the melik or fersh who holds the same. The Sultan conducts business and issues orders through the dingar, who is, in fact, a sort of vizier.

The royal section, that of the nahās, among this southern Dārfūr colony is the Sērbung, which is subdivided into Sugurbo, Kunderung, Kaidung, Bialung and other subsections; but the remaining subsections are reckoned as belonging to the dingar: the chief of these are:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mungaré</th>
<th>Umbertchung</th>
<th>Gunkung</th>
<th>Awnung</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Umbūs</td>
<td>Fokanyung</td>
<td>Merkerinn</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The dialect spoken is the same as that of the western Mašalīt, but the tradition current as to the origin of the tribe is different. The colony in southern Dārfūr state that their ancestors came from the Yemen via northern Dārfūr. The fact is that neither division of the tribe has the remotest idea where it came from and each hazards a guess to which little attention need be paid.

In addition to the two main settlements described there are some Mašalīt in Wadāi, and others in Dārfūr in the districts south-west of el Fāsher, namely Dōbō, Tawila, Gebel Ḥarayz, etc., living among and intermarried with the Fūr and Tungur. Their parents were mostly prisoners of war deposited here and there as colonists by the Fūr Sultans.

XVII ERENGA and MŪN. These two peoples have already been spoken of as living in the northern part of what is now Dār Mašalīt. The language of both is alike and to all intents and purposes is the same language as that spoken in Dār Tāma.

The Mūn, or Mūl, are a very small community and have not more than sixty odd villages. The Erehgā are considerably more numerous. They call themselves Birrung, but every other tribe calls them Erehgā. The Mūn are called Mūn or Ahl el Gebel by Arabic-speaking people, and Jebarok (i.e. Jebalok) by the Erehgā, and Mūn or Jebalta by the Mašalīt.

1 El Tūnisi, Voy. au Darfour, pp. 136, 137. See p. 98 and note on p. 95.
2 I do not know the origin of the word, but “Eringē” is the Mašalīt word for “Arabs.” It may be, and probably is, pure coincidence that “erunga” is the family name of the snake people at Tira el Akhdar in southern Dār Nūba (see Seligman, Art. “Nuba”), and that the Erehgā word for “rain” (or “sky”) is “arr,” while at Dilling (northern Dār Nūba) “rain” is “ara,” and at Mīdōb it is “arrī.”
The main subdivisions of the Érenjá are the following:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Shál</th>
<th>Asung'úr</th>
<th>Marárít</th>
<th>Owra</th>
<th>Girga</th>
<th>Darómi</th>
<th>Nyudung'úr</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

The Owra and Marárít have "Sultans" of their own: the rest only

fírrash.

XVIII Ḥadáheid. Scattered here and there in Dárfür, but particu-
larly in the neighbourhood of the Táma and Maṣálít border are
small colonies of Ḥadáheid (or "Ḥadádīn"), that is, "Blacksmiths."
These have been in the country for many generations and have come
to be looked on, in some cases,—rightly or wrongly—as Fûr; but
most of them certainly originate from Wadáí or west of it and, when
asked, say so. As is usual in north-central Africa from east to west
they are held in general contempt and the rest of the population do
not intermarry with them. This feeling of aversion towards the
workers in iron is strongest among the Zagháwa, who so far from
intermarrying with them would not eat or associate with them. They
are a hereditary caste and are called Miro (sing. mir) by the Fûr.
From casual remarks of contempt that I have heard used in speaking
of them I should say that it was not so much to their dealing with
iron that they owe their inherited unpopularity as to their employ-
ment of fire for the purpose.

XIX "Slave" Tribes. The "slave" tribes of Dárfür may be
divided into two groups; firstly, colonies of negroes from outside
Dárfür imported by successive Sultans en bloc and settled on the
land during the last century or two, but particularly by the Sultan

1 See p. 83. There are also Marárít among the Maṣálít proper.
2 Nachtigal (Voy. au Ouaddií, pp. 80–81) records the contempt with which the
blacksmith is held in Bornu, Wadáí and Dárfür "and in general among all the
Tibú tribes" and that in Wadáí no one would think of marrying one of their women
or eat from the same plate as a blacksmith. The "Sultan of the Ḥadádīn" is there
a kind of carnival king. Thus, too, in Dárfür 'Ali Dinár contemptuously appointed
as "Sultan of the Ḥadádīn" a rival to the Sultanate whom he overthrew as a
preliminary to his own succession.

Compare Carbou, 1, p. 49 et seq. and 209: "Ḥaddåd chez les Arabes, dogoá
chez les Kanembou, azá chez les Toubou, noégué chez les Boula, Kabartou chez
les Ouadaïens, les forgerons sont toujours profondément méprisés...." In Kanem
the majority of the workers-in-iron are of Tibú-Kura'án origin.

So, too, in the east: cp. Bent, p. 212. "The Blacksmith in Abyssinia is looked
upon with mingled dread and superstition...he is supposed to have the power of
turning himself into a hyaena and committing ravages on his enemies."

Compare the following from "The Pre-Bantu Occupants of East Africa" (Beech), in Man, March, 1915: The ancient population of the Kikuyu country in East
Africa are reputed to have been cannibal dwarfs called Maithoachiana. These
latter, according to the District Commissioner of Fort Hall, "appear to be a variety
of earth-gnomes with many of the usual attributes....Like earth-gnomes in most
folklore, they are skilled in the art of iron-working....It is a Kikuyu insult to say
"You are the son of a Maithoachiana."
Tiráb towards the end of the eighteenth century; secondly, negroes whose home, so far as is known, has always been in Darfur. Apart from these two groups, of course, innumerable Dinka, Fertít, Nuba, Niam-Niam and negroes of various other Bahr el Ghazál tribes have been imported singly or in small batches into Darfur as slaves, their families broken up, and their wives and daughters used to breed children for their captors from the earliest period to the present; but of these it is unnecessary to say more at this juncture.

To the first of the two groups specified belong such people as the Turūg, who are by origin Nuba from Gebel Tekalî in Kordofán, imported by the Sultan Tiráb, the 'Abîdâ round Kebkēbâ and Kuttum, who were slaves of Kordofán tribes imported by the same monarch, and the Dâdingâ, who are said to have been in Bornu, their true home, until about two hundred years ago and to have sojourned awhile in Dar Táma prior to their removal to Darfur.

The second group is in the extreme south and falls partly within Darfur and the Bahr el Ghazál Province and partly in French Equatorial Africa. Among these, in Darfur, to the east are the Mandala (or Bandala) and the Shatt, living in the Rizaykât country and the northern Bahr el Ghazál, and to the west a certain number of Kâra, Binga, Bandá, Dayga (Digga), Foróké, Funkur, etc. This latter congeries, however, has its main habitat in the western Bahr el Ghazál and in the French sphere to the west of it. It is commonly known by the vague generic term of Fertít, but I believe that the negroes themselves who compose it distinguish between a western division, all speaking dialects of the same tongue and consisting of Kâra, Sâra, Gûla, Médi, Koîo, Vôr, Dûdû, Binga, Runga and Féri and known in general as "Yér," and a loose eastern group of "Fertít" consisting of Digga, Béa, Keraysh, Shayrê, Bongo, Belunda, etc. To the Arabs, of course, all alike are "slaves" who have been raided by themselves from time immemorial, and the name of "Fertít" in common parlance embraces all or any of them. They appear to belong to the Bantu family.

Now in Darfur tradition relates sometimes that the original habitat of these Fertít was in Gebel Marra and that the Fûr race is no more than a conglomerate body composed of them; or sometimes—a more probable theory—that the aboriginal Fûr were a distinct race though it has amalgamated with the Fertít tribes in

1 Their brand, or rather that of their chief, the Melik Maḥmūd el Dâdingâwi, the most generally respected man in Darfur in 'Alî Dinar's time and one of his chief councillors and commanders, is as (a). Others use such variants as (b). Compare the Fûr brand (p. 95).
the districts lying west and south of Gebel Marra, and even in the Gebel itself, and as far north as Gebel Śī.

XX Fūr. The Fūr, from whom, whatever their origin, Dārfūr takes its name, form at the present day the most numerous part of the sedentary population in its western half, and they are well represented in all but the open rolling sandy country, some 130 miles across, which marches with Kordofān.

There is no doubt that the cradle and the stronghold of their race is the vast range of Gebel Marra, the main watershed of Dārfūr. They are still its sole inhabitants from its southern extremity to Gebel Śī in the north. Now the Fūr of Gebel Marra and Śī and the Fūr of the west, in fact the Fūr in general with the exception of the Kungāra branch, are socially, physically and intellectually inferior to the average of the tribes who are their neighbours to the east and north. But it is the Kungāra whose virility has preserved to the race the predominance which was gained some three centuries ago by their ancestors, and this superiority of the Kungāra is evidently due to an Arab strain which they have acquired. They are, generally speaking, a people of better physique and higher intelligence, and in their habits more cleanly, than the common Fūr, and they are much better Muhammadans. Most of them now live east of the mountains, though many of the debased Fūr in the south and west lay claim to be wholly or half Kungāra. For the maintenance of their power from the beginning of the seventeenth century the Kungāra Fūr have relied very largely on the brute force of a slave army, but their main asset has been the Arab cross in their blood which has given them the qualities of leadership. An extra measure of prestige has been theirs on account of the traditional connection of their royal house, the Kayra, on the distaff side, with the Beni 'Abbās and the Beni Hilāl.

The facts as usually given, though with many discrepancies, are, briefly, that all the Fūr were living in Gebel Marra (including Turra,

1 There is a section of “Fūr” in Gebel Śī called Káranga, i.e. “Kár folk,” who admit their Kár (Fertit) origin. A Fūr custom which savours of the negroes of the Bahr el Ghazāl is that of spitting three times on the head by way of expressing a blessing. This was done to me by Fekī Bahr el Dim, the oldest Fūr in Gebel Marra, aged 120, in return for a much desired concession. It was said to exemplify the scattering of cool water on the hot fires of vitality in order to preserve the latter for as long as possible. For the Fertit tradition, too, cp. ABC, xxiii.

2 The Fūr call themselves Fūrkang (sing. Fūrdongo). The Dāgu of Dārfūr call them Onágé (sing. Wadache), the Dāgu of Sula call them Yargé, the Birked call them Kadirīg. To the people of Tāma they are known as Forök, to the Mashaļīt as Furtā, to the Zaghāwa as Korra, and to the Mīdōb people as Kūrka. The Fertit tribes also have different names for them: to the Dīgga they are Ura (i.e. “slaves”), to the Banda they are Poro, to the Sāra they are Dūm, to the Kár they are Dāla and to the Gula they are Lālī.
Sí, etc.) and the hills south-west and west of it in a state of savagery until some Beni Hilál Arabs under Ahmad el Ma'akûr, a descendant of Abu Zayd el Hiláli who was himself descended from the Beni 'Abbás1, came to these parts. It was a descendant of this Ahmad named Sulaymán and surnamed Solong—the word Solonga means Arab in the Fûr tongue—who finally established an overlordship over the Fûr and welded them into a single political unit and became ancestor of the royal line2. He and his son Müsa ruled from Turra,

1 This is, of course, impossible. The Beni 'Abbás have no connection with the Beni Hilál (see Part II, Chap. 1).

2 As being "Beni 'Abbás" they claim a kind of kinship with the Ga'aliin, and "Idris Ga'âl" or "Edriadjâl" appears as paternal grandfather of Sulaymán Solong (see Nachtigal, op. Helmolt, World's History, p. 583). The same Ga'âli connection is implied in the tradition that Sulaymán was "son of a Tekûrî [Fûr] and an Arab woman of the tribe of Bedaâria of Kordofán" (Essayrac de Lauture, Bull. Soc. Geogr. Aug.-Sept. 1855, p. 79), the Bedaâria being generally reckoned Ga'alîn of a sort. A similar claim is also preferred in the case of most of the African kingdoms west of Dârfûr. For instance, in Wâdái, a Ga'âli from Shendi—a son-descent 'Abbâsi that is—by name 'Abd el Kerîm ibn "Yâme" (the "Gâmâ'î") of the Ga'alîn pedigrees, ancestor of the Gawaâm'a'a is the traditional ancestor (see Nachtigal, J. au Ouâdal, pp. 70 and 93; and Part III, Chap. 1 on the Ga'alîn-Dânâglà group).

The details of Sulaymán Solong's ancestry are extremely vague and various. I have generally heard him spoken of as an Arab of the Beni Hilál who married a Fûr princess. He sometimes appears as a son of Ahmad el Ma'akûr, and sometimes as descended from him in the second or third or more distant generation. His mother is variously reported as an Arab or a woman of the Mašâlit, but there is no sort of agreement about him beyond the fact that he was an "Arab" and connected with the Fûr by marriage. Both the Fûr (Kungâra) and the Tungur, while admitting they are entirely different from one another in race, claim to be descended from Ahmad el Ma'akûr of the Beni Hilál; but the fact is likely to be that a combination of Fûr and Arab (the Kayra section), reminding one of that of the Bega and Arab in the eastern Sudan, intermarried with the Tungur as a preliminary to succeeding them in northern and eastern Dârfûr at the end of the sixteenth century. Dr Helmolt's tree, q.v. The World's History, p. 583, based on Nachtigal's and Slatin's accounts, shews "Fûra," a daughter of the Kayra chieftain, married (a) to the father of Shâu Dorshîd, the last of the Tungur Sultans of Sî (for whom see p. 67), and (b) to Ahmad el Ma'akûr the "ancestor of the Tungur in Dârfûr." To her first husband she bore Shâu and to her second Dâli, the ancestor of Sulaymán Solong. To pursue the matter in detail is a waste of time, and all that one need note is the existence of an ancient Fûr stock, connected on the one hand with the Islamic Arabs and on the other, with the Tungur.

That the succession was matrilineal is also obvious. As each dynasty succeeds the last, tradition seldom fails to marry the founder of the new dynasty to the daughter of the last representative of the old. This system maintained in Egypt under the early New Kingdom, and almost certainly before, and in the Ptolemaic era (see Murray, "Royal Marriages and Matrilineal Descent" in Journ. Roy. Anthrop. Inst. xl.v, 1915), among the Bega and in the Christian kingdom of Dongola. We shall see, too, how the Arabs, to whom the practice had been familiar in Arabia, readily adopted it for their own purposes when they conquered Dongola, and how it was in vogue among the Berber princes round Asben in the fourteenth century. Fuller details and references will be found in a note to Part II, Chap. 2, xxxiii.

So, too, Barth (II, 273) says: "The Kanûri even at the present day call people in general, but principally their kings, always after the name of their mother, and the name of the mother's tribe is almost continually added in the chronicle as a
between Marra proper and Sî. The aboriginal population, both here and in Gebel Sî, is fabled to have been “Tó Râ” but no more is known of them and they are not differentiated by tradition in any way from the original Für.

Towards the end of the seventeenth century the Für were sufficiently powerful to leave the mountains. The Musaba‘ât, a branch of the Kungára, had already found their way into Kordofán, and the royal capital of the other Für was now set up near Tîna in the fertile country at the eastern foot of the Turra range. From here the Für not only subdued all eastern Dârfür but by the second half of the eighteenth century Tiráb had overrun Kordofán, crushed the circumstance of the greatest importance.” Again, in Bakirmi, Barth says (III, 453): “The mother of the Sultan, or the ‘Kuñ-bânga,’ is greatly respected, but without possessing such paramount authority as we have seen to have been the case with the ‘mägira’ in Bôrnu, and as we shall find exercised by the Môma in Wàddây.”

A relic of the same idea no doubt survives in the official position of dignity until lately enjoyed by the grandmothers of the Sultan of Dârfür and in particular the maternal grandmother. El Tûnisi says (Voy. au Darfour, p. 184) on this subject: “Sîle sultan régant un encore son mère et sa grand’mère, elles ont chacune un rang; bien entendu, ce rang n’est pas une dignité toujours présente dans l’État; il meurt avec celles qui en sont revêtues.”

Thus the Tungur, in claiming Ahmad el Ma‘akhûr as their ancestor, marry him to the daughter of the last Dàgu Sultan, the Dàgu having been predominant until the coming of the Tungur; and Sulaymán Solong is allotted an Arab mother (Slatin, Ch. 11). Similarly, the Musaba‘ât, calling Ahmad el Ma‘akhûr a Hiláli, marry him to the daughter of the last Tungur Sultan (see MacMichael, Tribes..., p. 56), and the Dàgu of Dârfür agree with them in this tradition.

1 Turra is part of the same range as Marra. It is the burial-place of the Dârfür Sultans and there stand the tombs of Sulaymán, Úsá, Ahmad Bukr, Muhammad Dowra, Abu el Kásim, Tîrab, ’Abd el Raḥman el Rashid, Muhammad Faḍl and ʿUsayn. They were rebuilt by ’Ali Dinár about 1910 in red brick with grass roofs in place of the old stone and mud edifices. The graves of Sulaymán and Úsá his son are in a single tomb standing alone to the north of the rest. ’Abd el Raḥman, Muhammad Faḍl and ʿUsayn have a single large tomb. The others have each a separate tomb. The five tombs containing Úsá’s successors are side by side in a single walled enclosure. Near by the tomb of Sulaymán and Úsá is a large stone mosque built by the Sultan Ahmad Bukr (1682–1722) which is still in good repair but for the roof. There is a similar mosque called “Gámi Kurro” near Buldang, between Kebkебia and Kulkul to the north-west of Marra. This is said to have been built by Abu el Kâsim (1739–50) and is a large well-made construction of red bricks, morticed with earth and slips of stone and having arched doorways and windows.

2 The people of Turra say the Tó Râ were called after the giant lizard or “monitor” (Ar. “wirîl,” or “warana”), called “to” in Für dialect. Its scientific name is Varanus Niloticus. The same name “to” or “tow” occurs also as the nickname of the present “Shartâi” of the Birked.

3 For the various accounts of their secession see MacMichael, Tribes..., pp. 60–62. The name of Tonsam, the traditional Musaba‘ât ancestor and (generally) uncle of Sulaymán Solong, survives in Tunsam, or Tulzum as it is now called, a site in the hills between Turra and Tîna. The Musaba‘ât in Kordofán have twisted “Tonsam” into “Muhammad Tumsâh.” Practically all accounts, though differing in details, date the Musaba‘ât secession from the time of Sulaymán Solong. The name “Musaba‘ât” is derived from “şobaḥa,” “to go east.” The Arabic ḥ is commonly dropped by the Fūr and the ś weakened to s.
secessionist Musaba'át, and advanced as far east as the Nile, to Omdurman and Shendi. After such achievements it was the natural course to found a capital in a more central position and 'Abd el Rahman el Rashíd (1785–1799) chose el Fásher, which is two days' journey east of the Marra range in a sandy open country suitable for cultivation and endowed with a good supply of water. Thus the more civilized members of the race, Küngára for the most part, left the rough and rocky fastnesses of the hills and the broken country beyond to their ruder and more savage brethren of the Fúr.

El Tûnisi correctly divides the race into Küngára, Karákirít and Temûrka. Roughly speaking, the first named are in the east, though they are to be found intermarried with other Fúr in the west. The Karákirít are properly the people of Gebel Sí, and the Temûrka are in the south-west beyond Gebel Marra. But, as a matter of fact, no exact lines can be drawn between the three groups, and elements of the first in particular are scattered far afield. Even though there may be an original substratum which is of distinctively "Fúr" origin, there are the traditional grounds quoted for supposing that the various Fertít tribes have become grafted upon this stem to such an extent that the Fúr of the present have quite as large an element of Fertít in their composition as of true Fúr.

It is at once obvious as one travels in Dârfûr and enquires as to the inter-relationships and groupings of the Fúr that their subdivisions, apart, perhaps, from the main groups of Küngára, Karákirít and Temûrka, are local or totemistic in origin rather than linear. Their names are taken, not from a common ancestor, but either from some hill or valley, or some bird or beast or grass. After a few general remarks on the Küngára and Temûrka it will, therefore, be best to arrange such information as there is about the composition of the Fúr district by district rather than to try to trace ramifications of any single family throughout Dârfûr.

The Küngára include, beside the royal Kayra section, the great Musaba'át group which broke away to the east in the seventeenth century and conquered Kordofán and remained in power there until ousted by the Küngára from Dârfûr in 1784–1785. The Küngára

---

1 Both Shendi and Metemma are said to be Fúr names.
2 Voy. au Darfou, p. 134.
3 When enquiring for a traditional ancestor among Arabs one never draws blank. The Fúr, however, are nonplussed and hazard such guesses as "that fellow Adam, was it not?" or "Sulaymán Solong."
4 Examples are given later. See also note on p. 34.
5 See MacMichael, Tribes..., Chaps. i and ii.
remained in power in northern and central Kordofán until the Turkish conquest of 1821, and it has been mentioned how during the preceding period of the decadence of the Fung kingdom in the Gezíra they penetrated as far east as the Nile. It is thus that we have at present a sprinkling of Kungára and Musaba'át in Kordofán, and it is partly on the same account, though by no means wholly, that the Gawáma’a show marked signs of Für influences1.

The Kayra are subdivided into Básinga and Telinga, but neither term has any tribal or local connotation. The Báisinga are the immediate relatives on the father’s and the mother’s side of the last reigning Sultan and the Telinga are remoter branches of the same stock.

The former use the camel brand as (a), calling it “the Kayra” and the Sultan himself used to add a war-drum and sticks, making it appear as (b)2.

The most thickly populated part of the Für country proper is that lying south-west of Gebel Marra, and here, in so far as any single tribal name can be applied at all, the people are Temúrka though the “upper classes” claim a Kungára connection. These parts, in the days when the Für ruled from Marra, were under a viceroy known as the Díma or Abo Díma. His sphere was known as Dar Abo Díma3 and the people subject to him as Dímanga. A

1 See Part III, Chap. 1.
2 Notice the resemblance to the Birked and Dadinga brands. The former omits the crows-feet: the latter includes one of them. The use of a war-drum and sticks as a brand both at G. Haráza and among the Tungur the Birked and the Für has already been noted (see sub Birked). The crowsfoot (“Rigl el Ghoráb”) is also the commonest brand among the Bukkára and Derham sections at el Haráza and Kága (see MacMichael, Camel Brands..., p. 34), and is further evidence of the connection between these hillmen of northern Kordofán and the Dárfür tribes.
3 Q.v. on Nachtigal’s and Mason’s maps of Dárfür (second half nineteenth century). Dár Abo Díma includes in its geographical scope much of what is, in fact, the country of the Ta’áisha and the Beni Ḥelba Arabs (Baḵkára) and the Mašúlit and Felláta, all of whom have obtained their rights at the expense of the Für.

The Abo Díma in the south-west corresponded to the Abo Uma in the southeast, the Abu Dáli in the centre, the Tekenyáwi in the north, etc. The name Abo Díma, or rather Díma alone, means the right arm [of the Sultan]. El Tunisi, who speaks of “Abadyma” (loc. cit. p. 132, etc.), agrees as to this, saying the term denotes the right arm or right wing and that the Abo Díma used to march with his troops on the Sultan’s right. It was customary to name all the chief dignitaries of the state after various parts of the Sultan’s body. El Tunisi gives several examples, e.g. “Abo Díma” the right arm, the “Tekenyáwi” the left arm, the “Urundulu” the head [N.B., this is doubtful; see later, p. 105], the “Abo Uma” the dorsal vertebrae (ibid. pp. 172, 173); but all accounts do not agree in detail. For instance, that given to me by reliable people in Dárfür is that the “Abo Díma” was the right arm, the “Abo Uma” the left arm, the “Tekenyáwi” the loins (“šulub”), the “Abo Dáli” the trunk, the “Abo Gebayín” (in charge of collecting the corn taxes) the stomach, etc.

Perhaps the most interesting of all is the “Abo Füré,” or “Kámné,” of whom el Tunisi (loc. cit.) says: “Son nom [Kámneh] signifie: le col du sultan. Le sultan
singular *Dimangowi* is formed from this word and the head sheikh (*Sharṭā*) of the district is still known as the *Dimangowi* or Dumungowi.

“Dimanga” therefore includes all the Temūrka and soi-disant Kungāra under the Dumungowi. This group includes several subdivisions, local rather than lineal, namely:

- Murginga, or Murkei, the Dumungowi's own section
- Burna, or Burnabatinga
- Nuygonga
- Mederinga

Now el Tūnisi, who speaks of the Dár Abo Dīma (“Dar Abadyma”) as the Temūrka country and of the Abo Dīma himself as the dignitary “qui a le Témourkeh comme apanje attaché à son rang,” describes the latter as living in a less mountainous and inaccessible country and as being more civilized than the rest of the Fūr, who were “une population à peau très-noire, ayant les yeux rouges sur la sclérotique, et les dents naturellement rougeâtres... bruts et colères, surtout dans l'état d'ivresse... d'une grossièreté et d'une brutalité extraordinaires,” but he is either making a comparison with the Fūr of Marra, to whom the words quoted admirably apply, or (more probably) he refers to the Kungāra element which, as personified by the headman, may have been the only one in the Abo Dīma’s sphere with which he happened to have been brought into contact. The name Temūrka at present is used to designate the less civilized element in the far south-west, who are feared on account of their power to transmogrify themselves into animals and to come to life again after death, and is almost a term of reproach. Of their customs more will be said later. Dár Abo Dīma extends, roughly

est-il tué à la guerre, le Kammeh, s'il lui survit et s'il revient, est mis à mort; on l'étrangle en secret. Son successeur est élu par le sultan nouveau. Si le sultan meurt dans son lit, on laisse survivre le Kammeh. Les pays du Darfour où on ne parle pas arabe appellent encore le Kammeh, aba-fory, le père du Darfour”—[This is not quite accurate: “Abo” is a courtesy title]—“... Il a... presque la même liberté de conduite et d'action que le Sultan...” (p. 172).

When 'Ali Dīmar was killed in 1916 the “Fūrē” of the day, one Sayfo, a Fūr, survived (having deserted a week or two before) and so incurred great odium among the Fūr. I heard him spoken of not only as the “neck” but “the half of the Sultan,” *i.e.* I suppose, his “second self.” El Tūnisi's account was more or less borne out except that I understood that however the Sultan died the “Fūrē” must die also and that for a new Sultan there must necessarily be a new “Fūrē,” but of the same family.

1 Called after a local grass named “mayri.”
2 The word means “pigeons” in Fūr. It is used both of the district and its inhabitants.
4 In Fūrīan dialect “Tumurdongo.”
speaking, as far north as the wádí ’Aţūm, which rises in Gebel Marra and flows west to Gebel Murni on the Mașálít border and thence south-westwards until it becomes the Bahr el Salámát.

North of the Aţūm lies Dár Kerné¹, subject to a Für functionary called the Niamatón. To the north and north-west again of Dar Kerné are the districts of Fía² and Mádi³. According to the Niamatón himself all his subjects with few exceptions are Runga and other kinds of Fertít settled in their present positions by past Sultans of Dárfür as serfs. He even speaks of his chief Shartás as Fertít. Needless to say, he claims Arab blood for himself, though calling himself a Für and being a slave to all the local superstitions and customs. Of tribal divisions he has practically no conception and distinguishes group from group on purely local lines. The Temūrra of Dár Abo Dīma he regards as half Für and half Fertít, the Shartás chiefly the former and the common villagers the latter. The particular type of Fertít commonest in Dár Abo Dīma, according to the Niamatón, are the Forókë, the people, that is, whom the Dágū say they found west of Dāra on their first arrival and ejected. The Tébella, he says, are properly Binga, and as it is certainly true that the Tébella are popularly regarded as differing in some way from the rest of the Dumungowi’s subjects, this explanation may have some truth in it. In fact, if the Niamatón is in any way to be trusted all Gebel Marra and western Dárfür was at one time the home of Fertít tribes, and they were only partially dispossessed by the Dágū, Tungūr and Arabs⁴. How they came to be called Für and why they speak one single language, which is not that of any of the Fertít tribes I have met, both in Marra and the east and the west, the north and the south, he fails to explain, and one hardly sees any explanation but that the Für were a distinct race at some early period however much they may have subsequently amalgamated with the Fertít⁵.

Fía district, under the old Sultans, included what is now the northern part of Dár Mașálít, and Dár Erenga which, though generally

¹ Kerné in Für means [the] trousers [of the Sultan].
² Fía means a hare.
³ Mádi means one who walks in front [of the Sultan’s horse].
⁴ Speaking of the Für outside Dár Abo Dīma and Kerné the Niamatón affirmed the people of Nurgnia (western slopes of Marra) were Banda, those under Shartás ’Ali (eastern slopes of Marra) were Binga, those of the Umungowi (east of Marra and in it) were Makraka (a tribe closely affiliated to the Azande) from Bahr el Gebel, and even the Karákirî of Gebel Sí were “Fertít” also.
⁵ Lepsius (Discoveries..., p. 260) says the Kungára language is quite different from Núbian (i.e. Barâbra) and seems to have strong affinities with some South African languages. The Für, whether Kungára or not, all speak the same language at present. A table comparing it with the Fertít dialects is added in Appendix 2 to this chapter.
included in Maşálint, is really a separate district between the latter and Dar Kmnr. Numerous Erenga and Maşálint now live among the Fūr outside their own dáirs.

The Fūr of Fia divide themselves into Mogunga (called after Gebel Mogu), Andunga ("anda" in Fūr means a scout), Madringa, Abtunga (called after Gebel Abtu), Elganga (called after the tonsils: it is said the Fia people were once expert at cutting them out of children's throats), Mailunga (called after Gebel Mailo) and Isākhung (called after an ancestor 'Isákha, the Dārfūr form of 'Isḥāk'). The Sharṭāi of Fīa, like the Niamatón, has no idea of tribal subdivisions as distinct from local groups of mixed origin.

On the eastern side of Gebel Marra lives the Umungowi. This name is formed from Abo Uma (the Sultan's "left hand"), as "Dumungowi" is from Abo Dīma, and his people may be referred to as Umunga. His country seems once to have theoretically included, as the old maps shew, the territories of the Rizaykāt and other Baḵkāra, the Bayko, Birkēd and Dāku, but he is now restricted to a very limited area in the hills.

As would be expected the Umungowi claims that he and the majority of his people are Kungāra, and they do, no doubt, contain a Kungāra element, especially in the case of the ruling clan, the Mayringa, whom we have already met in the Dumungowi's country to the west.

He divides his people into nine "wurrdri" (a word used among all the Fūr to denote their various groups), namely:

Mayringa  Turūg
Kungāra  Mirī
Zōmi  Suni 1
Sumbi 2 Wanna, including (a) Nuyonga 3
Dullo  (b) Tūm

All the above are subject to the Mayringa. As regards their antecedents he is vague, but the Dullo, the Suni and the Wanna (or Wannanga) he classes as "Gebbāla" or Hillmen, and for the Wanna he admits a slave origin 4.

1 There is also a Gebel Isākha in Fīa, but it is denied that the Isākhung are named after it.
2 His territories were bounded to the north by Dar Dāli, or Abo Dāli (the Sultan's "trunk"), which was subject to the Abo Sheikh and extended to the eastern frontier.
3 The word means a spear.
4 The word denotes a small dark species of dove common in Dārfūr and Kordofān.
5 Cp. the Dumungowi's list.
6 A certain Gayta ibn Salab, he says, was their ancestor. Gayta was "a slave of Pharaoh of Egypt."

THE NON-ARAB RACES OF DĀRFŪR  I. 4. XX.
The names of all three groups are merely those of local hills. The Mfir, he says, are properly Dagu who have coalesced with the Fur. Of the Tārūg mention has already been made when speaking of the "Slave Tribes" of Darfur. The Zomi are said to differ from the rest by race, but the Umungowi could not say in what way. He classes them and all the rest, however, except the Wanna, as Fur. For himself and all the Mayringa he claims an ancestor Mayri, but he admits mayri to be only a kind of grass.

The Niamatôn, we have seen, classes the Umungowi's subjects as Makraka from the Bahr el Gebel.

In the hills of Si, the northernmost part of the range of which Gebel Marra is the southern and main portion, the population, though Fur and speaking precisely the same language as the rest of the Fur, is differentiated by the name of Karakirit (or Korakirit, or Korokoa). They are subdivided into Kāranga, who admit being by origin Kāra from the south (i.e. Fertī), Dugunga, Urtunga, Ṣayrīnga and Kayra. In civilization, or the lack of it, they are on a par with the Fur of Marra, and they appear to be racially identical with them.

The story that Sháu Dorshíd, "the last of the Tungur Sultans," ruled from Si has already been discussed.

The Fur living in the plains east of the mountains of Marra, and even in the outlying hills, nearly all claim to be Kungāra and in some cases Kayra. In Dobó and Kullu districts, for instance, round Murtalaf and west of Tiña there is a strong ruling Kayra element, and, mixed with it, some Temurka, some "Gebbāla," some Mašālīt, and, curiously enough, some very debased Kabābīsh called Lebabīs.

Of the soi-disant Kungāra in eastern Darfur who live at some distance from the Marra range perhaps the most important are the

---

1 The name "Zomi" is said to apply to one who keeps very silent and unobtrusive.
2 There seems to be no singular form, though one man suggested "Korodongo," and another "Kerkerwāi." The latter added that the name Karakirit was onomatopoetic and formed from the noise "koro, koro, koro" made by the hillmen scratching about in the stones on the hillside when preparing the ground for cultivation.
3 The name means "melon ('batik') folk."
4 Derived from "serrayf," the diminutive of "serraf," meaning a permanent sub-surface flow of water such as one finds in the beds of the larger "wādis" in western Darfur.
5 Among their subdivisions are Gurji and Tomári.
6 Subdivision Murtál.
7 The Labábīs (sing. Labási) claim to be descended from a Kabbāshi called 'Om. 'Om seems to be a pversion of 'On, for among the Kabbāshi Awlād 'On in Kordofan is a section called Labábīs. The Awlād 'On are probably of Shākla origin (see Part III, Chap. 1 (g)).
Kunyang. These belong properly to the great northern district of the Tekenyawi, now inhabited by Zaghawa, Tungur, Arabs, etc., but they have also colonies farther south, especially round Beringil and Darra. Their claim to be Kungra is probably a good one since the head of their group held the hereditary rank of "Melek el Nahas" ("King of the War Drums").

XXI Something may now be said of the habits and customs of the Fur.

In the first place, they are all now nominally Muhammadans, but so they were in el Tunis's day, a century ago. Previous to their conversion "by Sulayman Solang" they are popularly supposed to have worshipped "stones or trees," and there is, so far as I have seen, always either a stone or a tree intimately associated with the malignant local genii whom it is still considered advisable to placate. Certain spots are "sacred" to these genii, and are known as "mahalat 'awaid" ("places of customs, or rites") in Arabic, or "adingallo" in Fur. For instance, when I was touring in western Darfur (Kerne district) in 1916, accompanied by the Niamaton, it twice happened that our road passed by one of these spots and nothing would induce the Niamaton, in spite of his "Arab ancestry" and his contempt for his Fur subjects, to remain with me. He insisted in each case in making a detour of some miles to avoid the "holy" spot. The other Fur who were with me were unaffected because the observance of the custom applied only to the headman of the district and to no one else. Had the Niamaton been able to sacrifice a sheep on the spot all would have been well and he might have passed it in safety, and he would normally have sent word on ahead to the nearest village to meet him there with the animal for slaughter; but the exigencies of travel had rendered this impossible and it therefore only remained for him to avoid the place. He firmly believed that the alternative was sudden death for himself within a few months.

The explanation he and his friends gave as follows. At one site, called Sergitti, is a stone under which lives a devil (shaitan or gin). The headman of Kerné district must never pass by this stone without offering a sacrifice to the devil, but the prohibition applies to no one else whether he be the Sultan of Darfur or a village sheikh. The site marks no boundary and it makes no difference

1 Voy. au Darfour, p. 145.
2 In Gebel Kongyo, a mile west of Gulli, at the foot of a steep incline, in the bend of a small khor, where the track crosses it and where the villagers draw their water (at the depth of a few feet) throughout the year. The "stone" referred to was an ordinary boulder undistinguished in any way from any other boulder near it. Cp. p. 122 for another similar case.
from which side it is approached. The local devil has the form of a short fat white snake about two feet long with a large black woolly head the size of a man's fist and enormous eyes. An old woman living at Gulli, near by, used to be the familiar of this monster. Her position was hereditary, but she died leaving no descendants and her functions are therefore in abeyance.

The Niamatón on reaching the stone would slaughter a sheep\(^1\) in such a way that its blood would gush over the stone and would drag the carcase across the path which he was to take. The old woman would remain behind after he had passed to make up cakes of blood and flour and cut the meat into strips and arrange these morsels on or by the stone for the snake. She would at the same time hold converse with the snake and intercede with it for the Niamatón's immunity from all harm, and the snake would appear to her and talk to her and grant her request. She would address it as "ya waladi" ("my child") and pet it and place it in the shade.

In the summer offerings are made to this same snake to ensure good rains for the crops. The local sheikh and elders perform this ceremony in lack of the old woman familiar, but, of course, the snake would not appear to them or hold any communication with them.

In another case, in Kerné district, it was an old harácz tree by the edge of a khor running through a gap in some low hills, and not a stone, under which the local snake lay hid. I also heard of other similar sites in western Dárfür and at Dóbo on the eastern side of Marra, but I did not visit them.

Sacrificial offerings of a rather different nature are common among the Fùr, and especially at Gebel Sí, the Karákirít district. These are made by persons about to start on a journey or any perilous venture and are designed to placate the local demons. The intermediaries in all such cases are the old women of the village.

The belief in the "sacred" snake is not confined to Dárfür. Professor Seligman and I found traces of it in northern Kordofán some years ago at Kága, where the local "Nùba" believed a great snake to live in the hill called Abu 'Áli and had once been accustomed to send their women to placate it\(^2\), and the Abyssinian Gregorius in the seventeenth century told Job Ludolfus, the Treasurer to the Elector Palatine, that it was an old belief in Abyssinia that the ancient "Ethiopians worship'd for their god a huge serpent, in that language call'd Arwe-midre\(^3\)." The same cult exists in southern Kordofán

\(^1\) As a rule, the sheep must be "akhdar" in colour, but I am not sure if this is universal.

\(^2\) For details re this and re the cult at Kága see Seligman, Art. "Nùba" in Hastings's Encyclopaedia.

\(^3\) Ludolfus, Bk. ii, Ch. 1, and Bk. iii, Ch. vi.
among the Nūba, e.g. at Gebels Tekeim and Tira el Akhdar, at the present day.¹

A less unattractive type of sprite in Dārfūr is the damzóga (pl. damázig). These are mischievous, and, in particular, delight to curdle fresh milk and break household utensils, but they may also be conciliated and will then act as guardians of the home and prevent any pilfering or suchlike. El Tūnisi gives a long and substantially reliable account of these damzógas. He heard of them rather in the rôle of guardian genii, to whom flocks and household gear were entrusted for protection. He also relates of them how he was terrified at Gebel Marra, on calling at a man’s house, by hearing a loud cry of “akibé,” meaning “he is not here,” and was told:

“C’est le génie gardien de la hutte. Ici, presque chacun de nous a le sien; et nous les appelons en fôrrien damzóg.”² He was told later in Fásher that damzógs could be bought. “J’entendis souvent raconter,” said his informant, “que les Damzóg s’achetaient et se vendaient; que, pour s’en procurer, il faut aller trouver quelque propriétaire de Damzóg, et lui en acheter un au prix demandé. Une fois le marché conclu, on revient avec un carà³ de lait et on le donne au vendeur, qui le prend et le porte dans le lieu de sa demeure où sont ses Damzóg. En entrant, il les sale, et va suspendre le carà à un crochet fixé au mur. Ensuite il dit à ses Damzóg: ‘Un de mes amis, un tel, très-riche, craint les voleurs, et me demande que je lui fournisse un gardien. Quelqu’un de vous voudrait-il aller chez lui? Il y a abondance de lait; c’est une maison de bénéédiction; et la preuve c’est qu’il vous apporte ce carà de lait.’”...

The damzógas were at first unwilling, but at the final appeal:

“Oh! que celui de vous qui veut bien aller chez lui descend dans le carà!” One of them apparently relented. “L’homme s’éloigna un peu, et aussitôt qu’il entend le bruit de la chute du Damzóg dans le lait, il accourt et pose vite sur le vase ou carà un couvercle tissu de folioles de dattier. Il le décroche ainsi couvert, et le remet à l’acheteur, qui l’emporte chez lui. Celui-ci le suspend à un mur de sa hutte, et en confie le soin à une esclave, ou à une femme, qui, chaque matin, vient le prendre, en vide le lait, le lave parfaitement, le remplit de nouveau lait fraîchement trait, et le suspend à la même place. Dès lors on est en sécurité contre tout vol et toute perte.”⁴

El Tūnisi’s comment on this story is “Pour moi, je traitais tout cela de folie,” but it is none the less interesting and it seems to provide additional evidence of the “sacred” attributes of milk to which further reference will be made in the note at the end of this chapter.

¹ For details re this and re the cult at Kāga see Seligman, Art. “Nūba” in Hastings’s Encyclopaedia. Cp. Roscoe, Baganda, pp. 320 and 321.
² El Tūnisi, loc. cit. pp. 149, 150.
³ I.e. a gourd.
⁴ El Tūnisi, loc. cit. pp. 150, 151. El Tūnisi relates a further story about a damzóg in the same strain.
El Tūnisi also relates how, according to popular belief, the Fūr of the Temūrka division and the Mašālīt had the power to trans-
mogrify themselves into animals, the former into lions and the latter into hyaenas, cats and dogs. The Temūrka, too, were said to come to life again three days after death and leave their tombs and go to other countries and there marry and live a second life. The Sultan had a band of these magicians under his orders and used them as envoys: they were under a “king” who was called “Kartab.” The chief of the Temūrka himself warned el Tūnisi against attacking any lions in their country “car tous ceux que vous verrez dans ces con-
trées sont de nos compagons et amis métamorphosés!.”

Popular belief, however, throughout Dārfūr still attributes to all
the Fūr a power of metamorphosis, and the word nabāti there is a
common expression of abuse implying that the person to whom it
is addressed is in his second existence, that he had died, that is, and
instead of dwelling in Paradise, has come back to lead a second
existence upon earth.

Of the political system in vogue in Dārfūr under the Sultanate,
and the various ranks and privileges enjoyed at the court, el Tūnisi
gives a full and generally trustworthy account, which need not be
retailed here. He saw little, however, of the out-districts and does
not describe their internal economy. Their organization at present

1 These “lion”-Fūr (Ar. “usudda,” or Fūr “murunga,” sing. “mūru” = a lion)
are known at present as “ahl el ’awād” (“the folk of the customs”), a respectful
euphemism, and in the Temūrka country, south-western Dār Abo Dīma, that is,
they collect each year varying sums from the villagers, paid in consideration of
the members of the guild, if it may be called so, engaging not to ravage their herds
in the form of lions. The Fūr themselves do not admit that any of their number
ever change into animals other than lions, and even the power to become lions is
confined to particular families among the Temūrka.

The only people in Dārfūr (excluding Mašālīt) who are believed at the present
day to change into hyaenas are the Awlād Māna, who are debased Gawāmān living among the Fūr.

2 In this connection the remarks of Dr Felkin are worthy of notice (Notes on
the For Tribe, 1884–1885), but it must be remembered that he is speaking of the
district round Dārā only and that many of the inhabitants thereabouts are Dāgū
and Birked as well as Fūr. He says: “‘Kīlma’ is what seems to correspond to our
idea of ‘soul.’ It is called the ‘power of the liver,’ for believing that the liver is
the seat of the soul it is considered that an increase of a man’s soul may be obtained
by partaking of an animal’s liver.” When an animal is killed, he says, the Fūr eat
the liver raw but avoid touching it with the hands as it is sacred. “Women are not
allowed to eat liver, and are believed not to possess a ‘Kīlma’...When a man dies
his ‘Kīlma’ is supposed to go to Accra and there he is told whether he has been
good enough to go to Molu. Molu is the ancient native name for God.” Felkin
adds that Molu lives in Jouel (the sky), that “Uddu” similarly corresponds to Hell,
and that women have no life after this one. The ghosts of departed spirits, he says,
are called “malal.”

As regards the beliefs concerning the liver there is evidence in support to be
found in el Tūnisi’s book, where there is a description of the ritual eating of liver
at the inauguration of a Sultan.
—and there is no evidence of recent change in this respect—is simple. At the head of the affairs of each district is a Shartái (pl. Sharatí)\(^1\), corresponding roughly to the 'omda of the rest of the Sudan. An important Shartái has under him several lesser Sharatí, each of whom controls a particular group of villages\(^2\), and all alike are purely secular officials of the same tribe or sub-tribe as the people to whom their district belongs.

Each Shartái has also under him a varying number of damálíg (sing. dimlig) or tribal elders\(^3\).

A Kursi is a kind of president of the council of damálíg.

In dividing tribal dues (in Gebel Si) the Shartái takes two shares (one for himself and one for the Sultan), while the Kursi takes one share, which again is subdivided in similar proportions, the Kursi getting two-thirds of it and the rest of the dimligs one-third. The functions of the Kursi are executive, viz. to carry out the behests of the Shartái, collect taxes, etc. His position is customarily hereditary, passing to the brother or son, but in cases of personal unsuitability some other dimlig is chosen. Below the above in rank are the village sheikhs, commonly called mulúk (sing. melik)\(^4\).

There is also, however, an interesting and somewhat shadowy figure still to be accounted for—the Urundulu. In the Fūr country proper every Shartái has his Urundulu, and the Sultan at el Fāsher always used to have one also. As to what exactly were his functions there is some difference of opinion. In Dar Abu Dima and Kerné his functions appeared to approximate to those of a Kādi and to have been primarily religious. If there is a criminal or civil case to be decided and the facts are not in dispute, the judgment is simply given by the Shartái. But if proofs are needed or witnesses are called the matter goes before the Urundulu who reports his finding to the Shartái to enable the latter to give judgment or sentence. If a fine is imposed it is shared between the two.

\(^1\) The word is regarded as of Arabic derivation though whether this is really so seems doubtful. The proper Fūr term for a Shartái is "Kīso," or "Kisōng" (pl. "Kisongong").

\(^2\) A head-Shartái is called "Kisongong Kirī" (Chief of the Shartāis).

\(^3\) El Tūnsī, p. 176: "Les simples gouverneurs secondaires de districts ou de communes sont appelés 'chartāy' (au pluriel 'chërāty'). Les inspecteurs des tribus portent le nom de 'damālidj' (singulier de 'doumloodj') qu'ils prononcent 'domeledj.'" As el Tūnsī's editor notes, the term "dimlig" is of Arabic derivation and means "a sort of bracelet worn above the elbow." The proper Fūr term for "damālig" is "kilmo," and a single "dimlig" is usually called by them "dilmong" when they talk in Fūr. Cp. note to p. 74 above.

\(^4\) "Melik" is, of course, a purely Arabic term meaning "king." The proper Fūr term for a "melik" is "sagal" (pl. "sagla").
At Gebel Sí, on the other hand, I could find no hint of any religious functions pertaining to the Urundulu and he was spoken of as simply a vizier to the Shartáï.

As regards the Sultan’s Urundulu, or the “Urundulu of el Fásher,” the term is said by el Tünisi to denote “the head of the Sultan,” and the Urundulu was un haut et puissant dignitaire, qui possède, comme prérrogatives, plusieurs grands domain sn...On porte devant lui un tapis, comme devant le Sultan. Quand celui-ci va à la chasse ou en voyage, la fonction de l’orondolon est de marcher avec ses soldats, en tête des troupes; c’est lui qui ouvre la marche.

But the interpretation of the name as “head of the Sultan” is contradicted by what the people of Abu Díma, Sí and Kerné alike state, namely, that the term means “the threshold of the door.” It is, they all explained, through the Urundulu that anyone desirous of approaching the Sultan or Shartáï must prefer his request1.

When Dárífur was reoccupied in 1916 there was still a nominal Urundulu at el Fásher, but his privileges and powers were nil, and the Sultan seemed to have taken no notice of him whatever and merely to have allowed him to exist as a sort of traditional survival. Similarly, but to a much slighter extent, in the out-districts peopled by Für there has evidently been some change, and with the wider spread of Islamic manners the fekis have increased and it is now usual for them to be consulted as much or more as the Urundulus, and the latter have lost much of their distinctive character. Local Kádis have also arisen, appointed by the Sultans, and among the non-Für tribes perform the same functions as the Urundulus among the Für, but with the aid of a greater smattering of Muhammadan law than the latter possess.

In Dár Abu Díma if a man die a natural death he is buried free of charge; but if he is killed in a quarrel or murdered a sum equivalent to about a pound or so has to be paid as a burial fee by his relatives to the Urundulu, who shares it with the Shartáï. This is called “buying a grave,” but no purchase of land is implied, since the money would have to be paid even if the deceased owned the land on which he was buried2. The fee, which is entirely distinct

1 The Für word for “a door” is “wurré” or “urré.” The word for a “fiki” is “ür.” The same word (“ür”) also means “flour” (made by grinding grain on a “murhaka”).

2 Some feeling was caused in 1916 when during the disturbances that accompanied the reoccupation some Arabs were killed by the Für and the latter were not only averse to paying “dá” (blood-money) but crowned their impudence by demanding a “burial fee” from the Arabs before they would consent to let the Arabs bury their dead.
from blood-money or fines, is, nevertheless, alleged to have been originally devised as a deterrent to quarrelsomeness; but this explanation is unconvincing and the fee is charged irrespectively of there having been a quarrel at all (e.g. if a man is murdered in his sleep) and whichever party may have been to blame. If an Urun-
dulu were killed, his relatives, it was held by the Sharťáis of whom I enquired, would pay the fee to his successor. Blood-money is paid in the usual manner by the relatives of a murderer as compensation to the relatives of the murdered man, but in addition a fine of six head of cattle has to be produced by the people of the district (hákóra) in which the murderer resides and given to the Sharťái of the district of the murdered man. This is, no doubt, correctly explained as a measure to deter evildoers by making it to the interest of their neighbours to prevent them from offending.

A well-known feature of savage etiquette, that of the avoidance by a man of his mother-in-law and by a woman of her father-in-law maintains in Darfūr, as in Kordofán among the Arab nomads and the sedentary population, and in the Gezíra, if not universally in the northern Sudan. El Tūnisi says of this subject:

Lorsqu’un individu est fiancé à une fille, s’il fréquentait précédemment le père et la mère de sa future, et si celle-ci fréquentait aussi le père et la mère du prétendant, les relations des deux familles sont interrompues du jour même de la demande en mariage; ils se deviennent tous absolument étrangers. Alors, si le fiancé aperçoit de loin le père ou la mère de sa future, il prend un autre chemin que celui où il les voit: le père et la mère en font de même à son égard. La fille évite également la rencontre du père et de la mère de son futur époux....Il est de règle, ainsi que nous l’avons dit, que lorsqu’un individu est amoureux d’une jeune fille, et que la mère de celle-ci a consenti à l’accepter pour gendre, il ait soin d’éviter la rencontre de sa future belle-mère, qui, à son tour, doit aussi éviter de se trouver face à face avec son futur gendre. Si donc elle le voit venir de son côté, et qu’étant trop près de lui elle ne puisse pas ou ne veuille pas s’éloigner assez vite, elle s’accroupit à terre, ramène un pan de ses vêtements sur sa tête, se voile la figure, et reste ainsi cachée jusqu’à ce que l’amant de sa fille soit passé.

The above is still correct. In particular, it is taboo for a man to eat with his mother-in-law, or a woman with her father-in-law. If the parties were forced by circumstances to speak with one another they would do so briefly and rapidly with bent heads.

There seems to be also some reluctance on the part of a man to speaking or eating with his father-in-law, but it is very slight and not universal. He would even have qualms about speaking to the brothers

1 Voy. au Darfour, pp. 219, 236.
and sisters of his wife's mother, though none to fraternizing with those of his wife.

The wife would have a distinct reluctance to conversing with her mother-in-law or with the brothers and sisters of her husband's father. This superstition is common both to the Arab and the non-Arab population, though its observance is often slack. The only explanation I have heard vouchsafed is that of "the respect due to the parents of one's spouse." A father and a paternal uncle is almost the same thing among the non-Arab tribes and the latter is spoken of as a "lesser father".

It is often in connection with this curious belief that one sees by the roadside, generally by a rough stony track leading to a well, a little cairn, or several cairns, made chiefly of stones, but with pieces of cow-dung and sticks added. These are called "Um Bull" in northern Darfur and denote a mishap of some sort. The usual mishap is a meeting between son-in-law and mother-in-law, one going to and the other coming from the wells. The former, in this case, would at once crouch down on his hams, with hands on the ground before him, till his mother-in-law had passed, and then make a little heap of stones on the site. Similarly, a cairn is begun if one trips in walking or breaks wind by accident, and subsequent passers-by occasionally add a stone to the heap "for luck." The idea seems to be that an evil spirit must haunt the spot and cause the mishap, and the stones are either intended to "keep him under" or, as the addition of cow-dung and sticks suggest, to placate him by a small emblematical (not to say invidiously perfunctory) offering.

Circumcision of males was universal in Darfur in el Tünisi's time: the circumcision of females, either partial or entire, was not uncommon, but the Für proper did not practise it.

---

1 Among the Bishārin "A man may not speak to, or come in contact with, his mother-in-law, though his first child should, if possible, be born in her house. After two or three children have been born he gives her a present and may then speak to her. A man may speak to his father-in-law, but will never eat with him, i.e. out of the same dish at the same time" (Prof. Seligman, "Note on Bisharin," Man, June, 1915). An interesting article on this widespread superstition, common to Africa, America, Australia and the Oceanic Isles, though not to Asia or Europe, was published by Andrew Lang in the Morning Post of March 8, 1912. For the custom among the 'Abábda see Belzoni (Narrative..., pp. 304–313), and for the same among the Rubáťáb and Kabábish see Crowfoot, Sudan Notes and R., Apr. 1918, p. 128, and Harvard Afr. Stud. 11, 126, respectively. Cp. Roscoe, Baganda, p. 129.

2 This last was the explanation I heard given at Gebel Katul (N. Kordofán) in 1919.

3 Voy. au Darfour, pp. 216, 217. Cp. Browne, pp. 342 et seq.: he adds, concerning excision, "In Dar-Für many women, particularly among the Arabs never undergo excision.... Thirteen or fourteen young females underwent حفظ [excision] in an house where I was."
A custom connected with circumcision which came to my notice on one occasion may be quoted here. The incident happened at a village of Kímr near Kebkebía, but it was said to be common to all Dárfûr, Arabs and others. When a boy has been circumcised his parents trick him out in the gayest apparel possible, even with women’s trinkets and a man’s sword in the particular case under notice, and for a period of fourteen days after the circumcision the boy demands from any wealthy visitor to the village, and from his relatives, a customary gratuity as by right. He is also for a period of forty days from the circumcision sent to visit neighbouring villages and it is incumbent upon the person visited to sacrifice a fowl in his honour. The fowl may be cock or hen, and no animal or bird but a fowl is acceptable.

XXII All the villages of the Fûr that I have seen are as dirty and badly built as those of the other inhabitants of Dárfûr. The present generation when living at any distance from the gebels usually build a conical tukl of straw or grass with a rakuba attached; but when there is a supply of rocks handy they often place a layer or two of them at the base of the sides of the tukl as a protection against white ants and prowling beasts of prey, and superimpose the straw, or else make all the wall of stone and only the roof of straw. In the past the population must have been quite ten times what it is now: whole mountains that are now utterly deserted may be seen, as one travels, to be “terrassed” for cultivation; their sides, that is, are banded horizontally up to a considerable height with narrow ridges made by so arranging the stones that the side of the hill, instead of being a continuous slope is a series of short steps. On these banked-up steps, which would hold the rain-water, the corn was planted, and the utilization of every available foot of ground for this purpose testifies to the previous density of the population.

Similarly, remains of old stone villages litter the whole countryside in the vicinity of Gebel Marra and its countless foot-hills. The houses were round or square and the walls fairly well built of rough unshaped slabs and rocks, but in some cases there was a solid foundation of stones built up above the ground-level, upon which, it is said with probability, some sort of grass hut was erected.

In plan the villages were mere rabbit-warrens with the houses built close together on high ground suitable for defence. The poorer man would apparently have no more than a single hut, but the better-to-do would have an enclosure containing a number of rooms or else a group of several huts built contiguously to one another. The chief’s enclosure—as one assumes it to be—generally occupies a central position on the highest ground and is larger, better built, and more
intricate in design. Figs. 1, 2, 3 will serve to give a rough impression of the curious designs of some of these enclosures so far as I could reconstruct their ruins. The first represents a chief's house some seventy feet up on a ledge of Gebel Kowra. (This gebel forms a connecting-link between Gebel Si, to which it belongs, and Gebel Marra. The road from el Fásher to Kebkebia passes through it.) The other two figures are of large houses at the old village of Deriblayn on the western edge of Gebel Si, to the north of Kowra.

The date of none of these villages is known for certain, but so far as can be ascertained they were inhabited until Zubeir Pasha devastated Darfur late in the last century. The most curious feature of the buildings is certainly the little closet-like recesses built into the walls. In some cases (as in the figures portrayed) these closets were single, generally about two and a half or three feet high, with a concave roof, and an entry barely big enough to admit a small human being. In other cases they were double, the smaller closet leading by a tiny doorway into a rather larger closet of similar design.

Whether these rocky holes were built for warmth in the cold weather or as female apartments, or for some other purpose, one cannot say.
The majority of the enclosures contained only single-storey buildings of simple circular design, but in the more important ones one often finds an upper-storey room built over the closets or on the top of the wall, which is broadened sufficiently to support it.

I have not personally visited any of the present-day villages in the most inaccessible and undisturbed portions of the range, namely, the heart of Gebel Bí and the peaks above Kálokiting, at the south end of Gebel Marra, near the great mountain lake of Deríba; but the following extract from a report made and kindly lent to me in 1918 by Captain H. F. C. Hobbs of the West Yorkshire Regiment, who, with Mr J. A. Gillan of the Sudan Civil Service, has the distinction of being the first white man to visit the latter portion of the range, suggests that some at least of the Für still take some care in the building of their huts and the management of their crops and,
because more segregated, have deteriorated less than their brethren in the more accessible regions. The account given of the lakes of Deriba\(^1\) is also of interest.

It was not until we had risen to some 1700 feet above the plain that we encountered any signs of present day occupation: here the nature of the country changes, numerous rock plants, bracken and short mountain grass making their appearance with villages, areas of wheat cultivation and tomato and onion patches; the latter being irrigated by the natives by means of the many small running streams with which the Jebel abounds. The two lakes at Deriba...lie, at an altitude of 1700 feet above the plain and 4794 feet above sea level, in the arena of what may best be described as a vast amphitheatre, from three to four miles in diameter, formed by a continuous circular (or slightly oval) range of steeply-sloping heights, varying from about 800 to 2000 feet above the surface of the lakes\(^2\).

The salt lake (termed by the natives the “female”), which is the larger of the two, occupies the north-east corner of the amphitheatre. It measures approximately 1050 yards in length, 1350 in breadth, and about \(3\frac{1}{4}\) miles in circumference. The water is very salt, dirty, and greenish in colour and has an unpleasant acrid smell. There is a heavy deposit of salt all round the perimeter of the lake clearly defining its high-water mark. Except at the northern end, the banks shelve very gradually into soft, oozing, strongly smelling mud....It would appear that the lake is of no great depth, except possibly at its extreme northern end....

The second lake (the “male”) lies about \(\frac{3}{4}\) mile to the south-west of the salt lake and contains more or less fresh water. It is approximately 1550 yards long, 900 broad, with a circumference of about 2 miles. It forms the centre of a large crater, undoubtedly volcanic in origin, the sides of which rise almost perpendicularly out of the water to heights varying from about 400 to 700 feet....The water, like that of the salt lake, is greenish in colour, but clean and clear, and smells and tastes slightly of sulphur....The banks shelve very abruptly and the lake appears to be of great depth....This lake is regarded with much superstition and fear by the inhabitants of Jebel Marra, to whom its mystic properties are well known....The Furs of the Jebel say it is haunted, regard it as an oracle, and ask it questions, the answers to which they deduce from the various colours which the waters of the lake assume in the early morning or late afternoon when there is considerable reflection, or when the surface of the water is ruffled by the wind....There is no outlet of any kind from either of these lakes, unless it be a subterranean one. They are fed by numerous khors from the surrounding mountains....

The Fur build quite good “tukls,” or circular huts, with walls of loose stone and roofs well thatched with grass. The villages are in every case surrounded by loose stone walls of considerable strength and thickness, about six feet high and usually topped with a breastwork of faggots. These

---

\(^1\) The “See Daribe” of Nachtigal’s map of Wadai and Darfur (Gotha, Justus Perthes, 1875).

\(^2\) All these heights have since been found to be very considerably underestimated. The peaks are quite 10,000 feet above sea-level.
villages are very much better and more strongly built than any others I have yet seen in Northern or Southern Darfur, and are in striking contrast to the miserable ill-built hovels of the Beni Helba Baggara Arabs who inhabit the plain to the south-west of the mountain. The Jebel Fur... are distinctly in advance of the other tribes of Darfur as builders and cultivators.

The burial-places of the old inhabitants are frequently met with. They are oval circumferences of random stone slabs stuck up on end and, in Dar Abo Dima, were spoken of as the work of “Abu Um Gonaán,” a term which must be the same as the “Abu Gonaan” (or Kona’an) who are fabled to have once lived in the northern “Núba” hills of Kordofán, and whose name again may be connected with Kana’an, i.e. Canaan, son of Ham, the traditional progenitor of pagan tribes.

The system adopted in the Fûr villages for storing grain is distinctive from that in Kordofán or the east to the best of my knowledge. It is as follows: A number of poles with short forks are put in the ground so as to form a rough square. The fork is at the lower end and remains a foot or so above ground. In the forks other poles rest horizontally, and brushwood and matting are laid from pole to pole to form a bed. The heads of corn, when cut, are heaped on this bed and are contained by long, broad sheets of matting (sherákânia, pl. sherákâna) which are stretched all round the uprights and bound to them, thus forming an enclosure. The matting is made of nál grass worked in a criss-cross pattern. The object of having the corn-store thus raised above the ground is to avoid the ravages of the white ants.

For the storage of grain inside the house the Fûr use the Suayba, a large cylindrical vessel formed of cow-dung and mud, some four feet high and two and a half in diameter. For water or merissa they employ the common circular burma of burnt clay, usually with two or three tiny ornamental false handles placed at the angle formed by the belly and the neck of the vessel. The burmas are made locally and in the same manner as in northern Kordofán, namely, by placing a lump of clay on a mat and driving the fist downwards into the middle of it and then working outwards.

The only art in which the Fûr show any particular proficiency is that of basket-work. The neatly made baskets of coarse strong plaited grass, dyed in various colours and resembling an ordinary waste-paper basket in shape, with their large, flat, slightly convex lids worked in every conceivable fantastic coloured design of line

1 See MacMichael, Tribes..., pp. 88, 241. The jungle-fowl is also known in Kordofán as “gidád Abu Kona’an.”
and cube, which are often seen for sale in the Omdurman bazaars, are essentially a Darfur manufacture.

For measuring grain, or carrying it in smaller quantities, the Fûr make an uncoloured rayka of basket-work. This is in shape a wide circular bowl about a foot high and one and a half in diameter at the top. The latitudinal bands are formed of strips of bark of laôt (Acacia nubica), etc., an inch broad and immediately contiguous. Horizontally intersecting these, in and out, are strips of cane, immediately contiguous. The intersection is the simplest possible. The rim is formed of a larger strip of cane, and the base of two concentric rings of stout cane. The interior is plastered with cow-dung to prevent leakage.

The Fûr usually carry a quiver full of barbed throwing-spears and a knife, but their most distinctive weapon is the safaróg (pl. safârîg), or throwing-stick, shaped as (a) or (b), or, even less commonly, as (c), and cut from the roots of the inderáb or kîtr bush. Practically every Fûr carries one of these, and they are very expert in their use. They chiefly employ them for killing hares and guinea-fowl, but, when occasion arises, for injuring the legs of the horses ridden by their foes.

The Nilotic negro does not use the throwing-stick, but the negroes who invaded Núbia and Upper Egypt under the eighteenth dynasty did, and so also did the Bega.

XXIII In person the Fûr of Marra, Sî and the west are small and skinny with thin legs, small bones and egg-shaped heads. All have a peculiarly rancid smell. The young men wear bracelets of brass and hang a few beads and cowries in their hair—more especially the Tebella—but on reaching years of discretion they give up these vanities.

Their character is marked by stupidity and low cunning in combination. They are suspicious and deceitful and they instinctively lie about even the most trivial subject rather than speak a word of the truth. They are very ignorant and credulous of the wildest rumours, hot-tempered, idle and drunken; but they are easily amused and have a distinct sense of the ludicrous. Their one ambition in life is to acquire more cattle.

1 "In numerous xviii dynasty paintings Negroes are represented with bows and arrows and throwing sticks (boomerangs)." Seligman, Address to the Anthrop. Section...Manchester, B. A. Rep. 1915, pp. 10, 12.

2 This was noticed also by Dr Felkin (see Bibliography).
As one goes farther away from the mountains, and particularly in the east, where the population is more crossed with Arab and other strains, an obvious improvement is noticeable in physique and mental and ethical standards alike. Among the Kungára it is not uncommon to see an extremely well-built man of massive proportions, dark in complexion—even to coal-black—but with the coarse negro features reduced to some kind of regularity.

XXIV Until recent years the Sultan of Dárífür used to appear with the lower half of his face veiled, and it was counted the height of offensiveness for any of his subjects, even his chief men, to look at him straight in the face. One addressed him only with bowed head and eyes abased, half kneeling and half sitting on the ground. The Sultan of Mašáliṭ still appears in public with face veiled to the eyes\(^1\). Here we probably have a tradition of royalty derived from the Berber element in the western states\(^2\); but, of course, the veiling custom is most familiar to the world in the case of the picturesque “veiled” (“mulaththamin”) Tuwárek of the northern deserts—known in Dárífür, where there is a large colony of them close to el Fásher, as “Kenín”—who are largely Berber.

Similarly, the seven-days' sequestration of a newly chosen king, mentioned by Barth\(^3\) as practised by the (Berber) Muniyoma, closely corresponds to the similar custom related by el Tünisi\(^4\) of the Sultans of Dárífür.

XXV Now the above disjointed items of information about the various people with whom the more distinctively Arab stock com mingled in Dárífür obviously form too slender a foundation to support any conclusions of scientific finality, but they do give certain indications of a general nature as to the directions from which came the ethnic influences that have been at work in the country.

Apart from the Arab strain it seems that the two main ethnic elements in Dárífür are the Negro(Bantu ?) and the Hamitic. The former is the most ancient and survives more strongly in the south and in the range of Gebel Marra. The latter is partly due to the continuous pressure exerted by the Arabs in north Africa upon the Berber races, compelling them to move southwards and encroach upon the lands of the darker races, a process which began at least as early as the seventh century A.D. and affected every state from the Nile in a greater or less degree.

---

1 March, 1918, was the date I met him.
2 See, e.g., Browne, p. 211, and cp. frontispiece to Denham, Clapperton and Oudney’s Travels. For the ancient custom of covering the mouth see Barth, II, 270. It also appears in Abyssinia (see Bent, p. 39).
3 II, 271.
4 Voy. au Darf. p. 160.
The earlier waves of the southward-flowing tide were composed almost entirely of Berbers, but as the Arabs fused with the Berbers in the north and converted them to Islam its composition was proportionately modified, and by the tenth century there were Arabs as well as Berbers definitely established in the more westernly kingdoms and beginning to work their way eastwards. A Berber or Arab origin is claimed for the ruling house in each of the states that border on the southern fringe of the Sahara to the west of Lake Chad.

But from the fusion of Libyo-Berber and negro farther north had already arisen the Tibbu stock which had become all powerful in the Tibesti hills long before the Arabs began to force the Berbers southwards. They had also established themselves in northern Wadái and Darfûr, and the later Berber-Arab congeries, though their social influence may have been not inconsiderable, never supplanted them there.

Thus one might describe the general ethnological aspect of Darfûr as distinctively Tibbu in the north and negro in the south. In addition, however, to the Tibbu and the negro element and to the numerous Arab tribes which will be dealt with in a later chapter, there are scattered over the country various debased tribes which, though blended with negro from the south or Tibbu from the north, are at the same time connected on the one side with the ancient peoples of the Nile valley, or, on the other, with the old kingdoms lying west of Lake Chad.

2 Thus Leo Africanus, speaking of Bornu, says (p. 832): "They have a most puissant prince being descended from the Libyan people called Barđoa"; and again (p. 133), "Some writers are of opinion that the king of Timboto, the king of Melle, and the king of Agadez fetch their originall from the people of Zanaga [i.e. Sanhága] to wit, from them which inhabite the desert." Makrízi and Sultan Bello similarly trace the Bornu dynasty to a Berber origin. (See Dr Brown's note to Leo, loc. cit. and cp. Orr, The Making of Modern Nigeria, p. 60, and App. to Part II, Chap. 1.)
3 See above, Part I, Chap. 2.
4 It is noticeable in this connection that whereas the western states had been converted to Islam centuries before Leo wrote his travels in the first half of the sixteenth century—and Ghana as early as 1076 (see Cooley, pp. 42-86, Brown in Leo, p. 838), Bornu was still pagan in Leo's day (see Leo, loc. cit.). According to Ahmad Bâba's History (q.v. Barth, iv, 407) Tilúta the great Lamtûna (Berber) chief who died in 837 A.D. had been the first of his people to adopt Islam and convert the negroes, and Zá-Kasî, King of Songhay, was converted in 1009 by missionaries from Egypt.
XXVI Sir H. Johnston speaks of “a wave of late Egyptian culture” being borne “across the Sudan along the southern fringe of the Sahara Desert to the Upper Niger.” This he dates “immediately prior to the Christian era.” At Agades arose the Songhay people who “adopted accidentally or by influence an imitation of ancient Egyptian architecture in clay and wood instead of stone....” After subduing the Mandingo of Melle they made their headquarters for a time “the city of Jenne at the confluence of the Niger and the Bani. From Jenne was radiated over all the Western Sudan an apparent Egyptian influence in architectural forms, in boat-building, and other arts.”

Professor Seligman, however, objects to the stress laid on Egypt: he would prefer to speak of the “Hamitic influence (of which the Egyptian civilization was only a special development) which was leavening dark Africa, perhaps for thousands of years before Egypt herself emerged into the light of history.”

In this connection three facts may be cited. El Tünisi relates as follows:

Autre exemple de bizarrie....Autrefois, on ne permettait pas au Sultan du Ouadây de boire du lait frais. “Car,” disaient les Ouadayens, “si le sultan boit du lait, qu’est-ce que boiront les sujets?” Or il advint qu’un Sultan se procura une vache laitière. On le sut dans le public; on s’amêuta, et on alla dire au sultan: “tu vas te défaire de ta vache, nous promettre de ne plus boire de lait, ou bien nous te tuons.” Il fallut obéir. Aujourd’hui cette coutume est abolie, et les sultans boivent du lait comme tout le monde.

Superstitions concerning milk are prevalent among the tribes of the eastern Sudan and East Africa and the Nilotic negroes, being characteristic of a Hamitic or culture. Whether the one quoted necessarily reached Wadái from the Nile across Darfur or whether it may have come in from the north with other Libyo-Berber influences

1 Loc. cit. p. 387.
2 Journ. Anthr. Inst. XLIII, 1913, p. 420. See also “Address to the Anthrop. Section of the Brit. Assoc. for the Advancement of Science,” 1915. Barth thought he found various linguistic analogies between Tibbu and ancient Egyptian, and the “Târîkh el Khamîs” (q.v. supra, p. 72) appears to derive the Tibbu from Egypt (see Carbou, ii, 116), but M. René Basset notes (Carbou, i, 117) the matter is extremely doubtful and “Si le toubou est apparenté à l’égyptien, il l’est par conséquent au berbère qui appartient au groupe chamitique, appelé aussi proto-sémite.”
3 Voy. au Ouaddy, p. 393.
is a question to be decided by experts, but we have lately seen that certain milk-superstitions did exist in Dārfūr.

The second fact to which I would draw attention is as follows: Browne states that in Dārfūr at the beginning of the rainy season the king accompanied by the lesser chieftains (meleks) goes out into the fields while the people are sowing and makes several holes with his own hand. The same custom is said to apply in Bornu, etc. It has its counterpart (as Browne notes) in ancient Egypt.

"The great mace-head of Hierakonpolis, dating back some six or seven thousand years...shews his majesty inaugurating irrigation works with a hoe of the pattern still in use."\

"The central figure is the king standing with a hoe in both hands. Before him is a man holding a basket for the earth, and beyond that there has been another man holding a bunch of ears of corn."

The same practice used to obtain among the Fung. Bruce relates that the name "Bādi," which he considered generic to the Fung kings, meant "the peasant," and was given because of the custom whereby the king always ploughed and sowed with his own hand a plot of land once in his reign.

Thirdly, when 'Amr ibn el 'Āṣi conquered Egypt he found and abolished the annual rite of sacrificing a virgin to ensure the rise of the Nile.

That the same custom lingered in Bornu down to modern times appears from the story which negro pilgrims told Burckhardt in 1816–1817 at Cairo: they related that "at the time of the inundation, which is regular there as in Egypt, it [s.c. the river Tsad which "flows through Bornou at a short distance from the capital of Birney"] flows with great impetuosity. A female slave richly dressed is on this solemn occasion thrown into the stream by order of the king."

---

1. Browne, pp. 283–284. El Túnisi confirms the truth of Browne's account as regards Dārfūr. "Le sultan possède, en propriété spéciale, des terres labourables. ...A l'époque des semaines...il sort en grande pompe, escorté de plus de cent jeunes femmes....Le prince, une fois arrivé en pleine campagne, descend de cheval, prend différentes graines, et, à mesure qu'un esclave pioche la terre, il les jette et les sème. C'est la première semence qui tombe sur le sol, dans la contrée où est alors le sultan,..." (Voy. au Darfour, p. 169.)


4. Bruce, iv, 469 (Bk. vii, Ch. ix). According to MS. "D 7" there were six Fung kings called Bādi.


APPENDIX I

_A tabular comparison of the Berti and Zagháwa dialects_

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>English</th>
<th>Berti</th>
<th>Zagháwa</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>mouth</td>
<td>å</td>
<td>á</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>boy</td>
<td>merr</td>
<td>burr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>water</td>
<td>mí</td>
<td>bí</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cow</td>
<td>firr</td>
<td>hirri</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hand</td>
<td>may</td>
<td>bá</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>arm</td>
<td>ábi</td>
<td>t[d]ebbir</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>horse</td>
<td>burto</td>
<td>hirrté</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>camel</td>
<td>derri</td>
<td>dí</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>donkey</td>
<td>di</td>
<td>áddé</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dog</td>
<td>murr</td>
<td>birri</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>meat</td>
<td>ní</td>
<td>enni</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>star</td>
<td>már</td>
<td>bar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rainy season</td>
<td>gf</td>
<td>gé</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hut</td>
<td>bê</td>
<td>bê-a [be']</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>name</td>
<td>tirr</td>
<td>ter [tirri]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>road</td>
<td>gündur</td>
<td>gcardi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>white</td>
<td>tiddi</td>
<td>terri</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>brother</td>
<td>barra</td>
<td>kerrbári</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>one</td>
<td>sang</td>
<td>lakoi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>two</td>
<td>su</td>
<td>swé (shwé)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>three</td>
<td>sóti</td>
<td>wé</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>four</td>
<td>sitti</td>
<td>ishté</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>five</td>
<td>pi</td>
<td>húé</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>six</td>
<td>düti</td>
<td>deshté</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>seven</td>
<td>tayti</td>
<td>dishté (disht)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>eight</td>
<td>kúzi</td>
<td>wotté (otté)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nine</td>
<td>kiddási</td>
<td>disti (disht)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ten</td>
<td>mussang</td>
<td>timm (timmi)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a hundred</td>
<td>ommár</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
A tabular comparison of the dialects of the people of Mido, the Birked and the Barabra

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ENGLISH</th>
<th>MIDOB</th>
<th>BIRKED</th>
<th>BARABRA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>one</td>
<td>piriki</td>
<td>meirti</td>
<td>werrum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>two</td>
<td>uddi</td>
<td>ullu</td>
<td>áwum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>three</td>
<td>tāśi, or dāśi</td>
<td>tizzit</td>
<td>tōskum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>four</td>
<td>ēgli</td>
<td>keimzi</td>
<td>kēmsum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>five</td>
<td>tēchi, or déchi</td>
<td>tishi</td>
<td>dōjum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>six</td>
<td>korrchi</td>
<td>korshi</td>
<td>gōrjum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>seven</td>
<td>olottī</td>
<td>koldi</td>
<td>kōlladum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>eight</td>
<td>irdī</td>
<td>ṭītu</td>
<td>ḍudum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nine</td>
<td>ukuddī</td>
<td>ḫīmoldi</td>
<td>ḫudum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ten</td>
<td>tinnìgī</td>
<td>timmūn</td>
<td>dimnum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a hundred</td>
<td>immīl</td>
<td>mia (Ar.) meirta</td>
<td>dim (FM)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>iron</td>
<td>tesso</td>
<td>sirtī</td>
<td>šārti</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hair</td>
<td>téddi</td>
<td>tillē</td>
<td>dūltī</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mountain</td>
<td>ār</td>
<td>kūr</td>
<td>kūlu</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>stone</td>
<td>ulli</td>
<td>kuldī</td>
<td>kūlu</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>woman</td>
<td>ēddi</td>
<td>ein</td>
<td>ēn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>boy</td>
<td>’uțchi</td>
<td>otonti</td>
<td>têndi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>green</td>
<td>tessē</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>déssī</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>red</td>
<td>kaijli</td>
<td>kaylē</td>
<td>gel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>black</td>
<td>uddī</td>
<td>ūdīa</td>
<td>ārō</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>white</td>
<td>addē</td>
<td>aylē</td>
<td>(KD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mother</td>
<td>iya</td>
<td>ennon</td>
<td>ēn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>father</td>
<td>abba</td>
<td>embābōn</td>
<td>ambâb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mouth</td>
<td>āl</td>
<td>enagul</td>
<td>āgul</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>he</td>
<td>ōn</td>
<td>ter</td>
<td>ter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>they</td>
<td>ung’a</td>
<td>tīr</td>
<td>tīr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>meat</td>
<td>osongyē</td>
<td>kōzī</td>
<td>kūso</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>name</td>
<td>urri</td>
<td>einerē</td>
<td>ērī</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>what is</td>
<td>nā urri négoda</td>
<td>einerē nenta</td>
<td>?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

your name?

| horse      | pornyi | kisi | murti (MF), kaj (KD) |
| winter     | itchi  | kizidi | kis (KD) |
| milk       | itchiri | eshi | iji (KD), ingiśsi (FM) |
| star       | ongyedi | weindi | wiissi (KD), winji (FM) |
| water      | utrchi, or ushi | eigi | éss (KD), āman (FM) |
| watercourse  | “khor” | mantiti | ? |

(N.B. Burckhardt gives “amanga” as “river” in Nuba, and “essig” in Kanzi.)

| head       | orr    | urr  | ur (KDFM) |
| donkey     | utchi  | kusuldi | kaj (FM). (N.B. The Dágù use “katchē” and “kachinî,” the Bayko “katchinî.”) |
| dog        | pewrl | meil | wel, or uel (KD) |
| man        | ett, or irr |orte | ogid, or ogi, or id  |
| corn       | urti, or urdi | uzzé | (N.B. The word for “man” in the Dilling hills resembles “kortogé.”) |
| cow        | tur   | teį  | ti. (N.B. Táma “teį”) |
| rain       | arri  | āli | āru (KD), āwu (M), āli (F). (N.B. Táma “ārr.”) |

1 Taken from Leo Reinisch’s Die Nuba-Sprache (Vienna, 1879). K=Kanzi, M=Mahass, D=Dongolowi, F=”Faddisha” (i.e. Sukkot).

## APPENDIX 3

A tabular comparison of the dialect of the Fur with those of certain of the "Fertit" Tribes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>English</th>
<th>Fur</th>
<th>DIGGA (locality: Bahr el Ghazal, south of the Kára)</th>
<th>BANDA (locality: Bahr el Ghazal, S.E. of the Digga)</th>
<th>SÁRA (locality: west of Bahr el Ghazal in French sphere)</th>
<th>KÁRA (locality: beyond S.W. border of Dárfür; chiefly in French sphere)</th>
<th>GÚLA (locality: south of Sna on Bahr Mámín; west of the Kára)</th>
<th>MUNDU (locality: west of Regaf, on Bahr el Gebel)</th>
<th>ABU KEIA (locality: west of Regaf, on Bahr el Gebel)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>one</td>
<td>dik</td>
<td>sá</td>
<td>bári</td>
<td>kerri</td>
<td>kaala</td>
<td>kalla</td>
<td>biri</td>
<td>alló</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>two</td>
<td>ow</td>
<td>uwé</td>
<td>bish</td>
<td>go</td>
<td>vandrr</td>
<td>dro</td>
<td>beshu</td>
<td>irri</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>three</td>
<td>is</td>
<td>biettesa</td>
<td>vutta</td>
<td>mudda, or mutta</td>
<td>witta</td>
<td>mitta</td>
<td>batta</td>
<td>nná</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>four</td>
<td>ungál</td>
<td>biána</td>
<td>vanna</td>
<td>so</td>
<td>so</td>
<td>so-o</td>
<td>baá</td>
<td>su</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>five</td>
<td>ös</td>
<td>bishó</td>
<td>minto</td>
<td>mi</td>
<td>mi</td>
<td>mi</td>
<td>burúfi</td>
<td>nji</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>six</td>
<td>usündik, or &quot;sitta&quot; (Arabic)</td>
<td>batisa</td>
<td>minto pabari</td>
<td>mikka, or mikha</td>
<td>nikka</td>
<td>minjé</td>
<td>maidia</td>
<td>jikeza</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>seven</td>
<td>sábi (from Arabic)</td>
<td>batówé</td>
<td>minto bish</td>
<td>sili, or shilli</td>
<td>songéélí witta</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>larizzi</td>
<td>jileili</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>eight</td>
<td>tamání (from Arabic)</td>
<td>batibietta</td>
<td>minto pavutta</td>
<td>murta</td>
<td>songéélí vandrr</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>bàdzenna</td>
<td>jilanna</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nine</td>
<td>tisa (from Arabic)</td>
<td>batibiiema</td>
<td>minto pavanna</td>
<td>doso</td>
<td>tisa (from Arabic)</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>menawá</td>
<td>jilissu</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ten</td>
<td>woic</td>
<td>babé</td>
<td>murfo</td>
<td>do-kámi</td>
<td>do-o</td>
<td>do-o</td>
<td>njókwá</td>
<td>mudri</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>eleven</td>
<td>wayadik</td>
<td>butisindésá</td>
<td>murfo pabari</td>
<td>do-o</td>
<td>do-o</td>
<td>njókwá</td>
<td>mudridifálo</td>
<td>nuerita</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>twelve</td>
<td>waynaow</td>
<td>butisindùwó</td>
<td>murfo pabish</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>do-o</td>
<td>njókwá</td>
<td>mudridileiri</td>
<td>nuerinji</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>twenty</td>
<td>“ishrin&quot; (Arabic)</td>
<td>bórówó</td>
<td>zóóu</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>do-o</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>tikkibíri</td>
<td>itti</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a hundred</td>
<td>firri, or pirri</td>
<td>aburisbihe</td>
<td>“mia” (Arabic)</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>do-o</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>tikkibúrúzi</td>
<td>“gawád” (Arabic)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cow</td>
<td>ú, plur. kú</td>
<td>byé’</td>
<td>madó</td>
<td>mang</td>
<td>wisha</td>
<td>bál</td>
<td>iti</td>
<td>“gawád” (Arabic)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>horse</td>
<td>murta</td>
<td>muta</td>
<td>berta</td>
<td>sinda</td>
<td>mutta</td>
<td>súnda</td>
<td>“gawád” (Arabic)</td>
<td>labaggú</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hyæna</td>
<td>tóro, pl. tórunga</td>
<td>zági</td>
<td>bongo</td>
<td>nyingo</td>
<td>jõng</td>
<td>mula</td>
<td>lapagú</td>
<td>labaggú</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>milk</td>
<td>borra</td>
<td>wia</td>
<td>gongo</td>
<td>bá</td>
<td>súusi</td>
<td>si</td>
<td>lay’</td>
<td>lay’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>English</td>
<td>Dinka</td>
<td>Dinka</td>
<td>Dinka</td>
<td>Dinka</td>
<td>Dinka</td>
<td>Dinka</td>
<td>Dinka</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>meat</td>
<td>nino</td>
<td>oorina</td>
<td>sing. oor</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>stars</td>
<td>abba</td>
<td>abba</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>father</td>
<td>aba</td>
<td>abba</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mother</td>
<td>iya</td>
<td>nuna</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>head</td>
<td>tabu</td>
<td>kuna</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mouth</td>
<td>pitto</td>
<td>ambako</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>iron</td>
<td>doura</td>
<td>amma</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>red</td>
<td>fukka</td>
<td>ko</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>black</td>
<td>diikko</td>
<td>zali</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>white</td>
<td>fatty</td>
<td>pusha</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>boy</td>
<td>kwé</td>
<td>pushihé</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>girl</td>
<td>kweinyu</td>
<td>dégudé</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>ká</td>
<td>mi</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>you</td>
<td>mo</td>
<td>babastá</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>corn</td>
<td>káng</td>
<td>vundé</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fire</td>
<td>uttu</td>
<td>ve'l</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rain</td>
<td>kuee</td>
<td>üvéro</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hand</td>
<td>donga</td>
<td>berrer</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>come!</td>
<td>gyalá</td>
<td>mûyí</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>go!</td>
<td>go</td>
<td>negâm</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do not go!</td>
<td>aggóba</td>
<td>mundú</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sun</td>
<td>déulé</td>
<td>mukaráchiro</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>moon</td>
<td>denál</td>
<td>lolo</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>man</td>
<td>duódé</td>
<td>abòwáli</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>woman</td>
<td>yaunkwé,</td>
<td>ñi</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tree</td>
<td>yangu,</td>
<td>yippi</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fùr</td>
<td>Fùrákang,</td>
<td>yippi</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lion</td>
<td>náso,</td>
<td>yippi</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dog</td>
<td>assa</td>
<td>yanyoro</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
A short Vocabulary of the Mašálít language

one  tio                       sister  mŭmbé
two  barra                    brother  mfr'mbé
three táng                    child (fem.)  kimámbé
four áš                       „ (male)  kimámba
five tóró                    ancestor  ûa
six  itti                     „wádi“  mandaldi
seven murri                  “khor”  idda
eight aiâ                     “gebel”  kóma
nine addé                    a stone  ditterá
ten  uttu                     horse  berré
twenty  iddo'mbará          camel  dírri
thirty  iddo káng             donkey  léri
go forty  iddo ás           dog  ingí
cow  dé                       bul  murgí
a hundred  iddo tóró      meat  nyugu
Arabs  Eringé                milk  gí
Für  Furtá                    “merissa”  nyunguru
dágú  Beréjé                 salt  ango
corn  assé                     1      ama
hair  kijí                      thou  mam
head  kujjo                   he  igí
mouth  kanna               man  kumba
.eye  kogo                    woman  mutcho
the two eyes  kosimbará   God  Mūla (Ar. ?)
hand  koro                    star  kité
leg  joinyo                  moon  aia
arm  kuru                     sun  ungé
father  bába                  mother  da

The Tungur-Für of Dár Furnung

1 A day’s journey west-north-west of Kuttum lies the district of Dár Furnung. To the east it is bounded by Berre district, inhabited by KAITINGA (a blend of TUNGUR and ZAGHÁWA) and Für, and to the west by Serayf (AWLÁD MÁNA). To the south-west of Furnung is the range of Gebel Si, the home of the still savage KARÁKIT Für.

Dár Furnung itself consists of a group of desolate high sun-blackened peaks, with low hills between them intersected by narrow watercourses that flow from springs, and surrounded by cultivable lands where the TUNGUR and Für have their villages and semi-nomadic ZAGHÁWA come to graze their flocks. It takes its name from the holy stone of Furnung, at which the headman of the dár has to make sacrifice if he would avoid death or disaster1.

1 Cp. pp. 100, 101 above for another of these holy stones, in Kerné district west of Gebel Marra. At Furnung it is only the “ḥákîm” (the “Shartái” of the “Dár”) who sacrifices. The villagers and lesser sheikhs do not, but if one of them aspires to be “Shartái” he goes privately to the holy rock and throws a stone onto it. If the stone holds, the omen is good; but if it rolls off, the omen is bad for his project.
II Ferra is a site among the Furnung hills, near the centre of their southern fringe, and is locally famous as being the ancient capital of the Tungur and the headquarters of their last independent Sultan Sháu Dorshid (or Dór el Sid as he is sometimes called).

As one winds one’s way, from the open country lying to the south, towards Ferra in the dry summer months, over foothills of sandstone and blackened rocks that remind one of the country round Korosko and Ibrím, nothing could seem more wild and arid than the prospect on every side of high broken plutonic peaks mottled with dry thorny kitr, but suddenly, as one enters the circle of the larger hills, the ground dives steeply down and at the foot one sees a deep narrow gorge like a miniature Valley of the Nile. In places it is twenty or thirty yards wide, in places it is no more than a sharp cutting in the rock, and here and there is a tiny glade carpeted with green grass and watered from a bubbling spring.

The sides of the gorge are half hidden by the luxurious foliage of a variety of trees, and below runs a perennial stream of sweet spring water, ’Ayn Ferra, which gives its name to the locality. Here and there are little cascades and below them deep, silent pools fringed by high reeds and alive with small minnow-like fish.

The cliffs rise in steep tiers on either side and now and then one sees a family of baboons cautiously eying one as one picks one’s way on foot along the shelves of sandstone or forces a path through the reeds by the water’s edge.

The stream flows winding from south to north towards the heart of the hills, and, about a mile from its source, on the left, rises sheerly the rocky hill of Ferra.

III Here, overlooking the gorge from a height of some 200 feet was the capital of Sháu Dorshid, who, it is said, when threatened by the rising power of the Fúr under Sulaymán Solong fled northwards to the Bedayát country and was never seen again in Dárfur. Sháu’s fortress and palace are perched

Like an eagle’s nest
Hangs on the crest
Of purple Apennine

on the very top of the highest eminence of the hill and command a fine view.

Standing here one sees towering above one in the distance on all sides rugged inhospitable peaks; far below one to the east winds the narrow stream clothed in evergreen verdure, and to the north and west some fifty feet below the fort is a stony plateau, the site of the ancient settlement.

1 In view of the traces of Christianity that will be described as existing hereabouts, there may be some connection with this flight of Sháu to the Bedayát and the story (see p. 52 above) that the southern Bedayát were once Christians.

“Sháu” is said to have had another fort and palace at Gebel Mutarrik, on the north-eastern fringe of the Furnung hills, some 20 miles from Ferra, but I did not visit the site.
Beyond the gorge, to the east, where a few square miles of hillside shelve less steeply, the ground is all ribbed with ancient cultivation "terrasses." Now all is overgrown with stunted kitr bush and the lines of the stones have been broken by the rains, but at one time all must have been cleared and every foot of ground levelled into successive ledges, each a foot or so above the other.

The main entrance to the fort is from the west, that is, from the side of the settlement, and the great gateway, three and a half yards broad, is flanked by stone walls not less than 12 feet high. Entering here the outer line of the defences one mounts along the broad sloping pathway between the outer and the inner walls to the fort which crowns the peak.

The foundations of the fort, like the outer and inner defensive lines beyond it, are well built of rough unhewn boulders, but the upper stories of the structure, and the inner rooms and dividing walls, are of magnificent red brick, hard as iron, metallic in ring and slightly glazed. The labour involved in bringing the hundreds of thousands of bricks required from the kilns, which lie a mile or more away to the south, must have been enormous, for the intervening ground is inconceivably rough, cut and scarred by ravines and littered deep in jagged rocks. The actual plan of the fort is like nothing but a rabbit warren: galleries run in and out and chamber leads to chamber in bewildering manner. All is partly ruined, but the outlines can easily be traced. Near the centre is a deep square pit, with lower sides and bottom of rock, and upper sides of brick. Higher up, in fact at the topmost point of all, one enters a small brick room, perhaps a guardroom, and from it descends spirally down steps through a series of doorways, each at right angles to and below the last one, to what appears to be a dungeon in the rocky foundations of the fort. The steps are made of huge burnt bricks 2½ spans long by 1½ broad. The doorways have lintels of wood, long since decayed and crumbling, and small windows open at intervals to the outer air. The entrance to the dungeon itself, if such it be, is just large enough to admit a man, and beyond is the horrible cavity itself, too low for a man to stand in and with a floor space of not more than three square yards. Some 50 yards to the north of the fort and about 20 feet below it stands the Sultan's (2) house, a medium-sized oblong building of red brick. The only remarkable feature of it was the ingenious manner in which the inside surface of the walls had been plastered with red earth of the exact kind used for making the bricks and then subjected to intense heat by the lighting of enormous fires inside the room, so that the plaster itself had become hard brick.

Below the fort and the Sultan's house, about 200 yards to the south-west, stands the mosque, a square building of thick walls, with mihrab to the east and four interior pillars. To the casual eye there was nothing to distinguish the architecture from that of the red brick and stone mosques

---

1 These large bricks are also found here and there in the ruins of the larger houses and the fort and mosque—they have also been noticed near Fōga in western Kordofán on Gebel Zankûr, a site lying on the ancient highroad from Nûbia to Dârfûr—but the vast majority of the bricks used in all the buildings were of the usual size and shape.
of Gáma'i Kurro, on the Wádi Baré between Kebkebiya and Kulkul, and of Turra in Gebel Marra. But the making of the nihrdb had evidently given some trouble, for, though the face of the arch had been negotiated successfully, the concave back had been formed by building up a straight surface of large bricks and then hewing them into concavity as one would hollow out a trough. The houses of the common folk were of stone in their lower courses, and presumably roofs of straw were superimposed. Some of them were unusually large; the diameter of one close to the mosque—the Imam's probably—was eleven yards.

IV No implements or ornaments were found, but I had not the time or the means to dig for them. Broken shards were not infrequent. The pottery was of three kinds. The common burmas were obviously of the same shape and made in the same way, i.e. kneaded outwards on a mat, as the ordinary burma of Darfur and Kordofán, with wide mouth, short neck and round belly. The inside and outside surfaces are brick-red and the intervening material burnt black. In texture they are very hard and thicker than the usual—a very necessary precaution when one considers the rough treatment they were likely to receive in being carried some 200 feet up a sheer slope, littered with rocks, from the stream below.

There were also larger receptacles, presumably for storing liquid in the houses, and these were of coarser and even harder fibre almost indistinguishable from brick, with quite large pebbles embedded in them, generally an inch or more thick.

The third kind of pottery was of the shape of the present-day dulang, with long graceful neck (slightly bulbous in the middle) and red, glazed surface. On the only large fragment I picked up were very roughly incised markings, on the belly of the jar, which in form were similar to the brand still used by the Fella (or Fellanga) section of the Tungur-Für. The markings are thus:

![Markings](image)

The brand is thus¹:  ⒫

V At the present day there are no villages in the Furnung hills: all are

¹ This Tungur brand bears obvious resemblances to the "caractères à lunettes" pictured by Doutté (q.v. p. 158). These latter, as used by the Muhammandans of North Africa, are said to be derived from Jewish magic, and may represent eyes, to symbolize Providence and counteract the evil eye. The brand may have been brought by the Tungur to Darfur from Nubia, but, in the lack of any evidence of the use of "caractères à lunettes" in Nubia, it is more probable that they borrowed them at a subsequent date from the Tibbu tribes living to the north of Darfur, whose brands are not dissimilar.
outside, where the grazing and cultivable soil are better, but within reach of the water supply.\[1\]

The villagers themselves are a blend of Tungur and Für, black but with less distinctively negroid features than the Für of Marra and Si. They talk among themselves in the Für dialect but all seem to know Arabic as well. Such of them as I questioned called themselves Tungur, no doubt because of the aristocratic associations of the name, and preserved a tradition that they came originally from Dongola, but they admitted that many of their fellow-villagers were Für and that the two races had intermarried freely and on no particular system for generations. They regarded the Tungur as being the real owners of the dar. Of the criterion whereby they decided whether a child of mixed origin was Tungur or Für I could extract no coherent account. There was some talk of the "mother's mother" ("habóbá"), but when pressed for details they always fell back on the normal Muhammadan Arab custom obtaining in such matters. Their Sharíá, Hasan Kanjók, they called a Tunguráwī, but when I met him some days later and questioned him in the presence of the Für Sharíá of Si and the Tunguráwī Sharíá of Kuttum, he evidently felt himself in a quandary, and the other two fidgetted uneasily: if he called himself a Tunguráwī he risked a smile at his pretentiousness and a sneer at his pusillanimity, so he hesitated and tried "Tungur-Für" and, when pressed, decided for "Für." As a matter of fact, "Tungur-Für" is the term which would best describe the people of Furnung. They fall into three groups, Fella (Fellanga), Sambella (Sambellanga) and Dumúča. All of these the Tungur proper and the Für proper alike regard as Für, they themselves seem to regard the Fella as Tungur rather than Für, and the Sambella as Für rather than Tungur.

The name of the Sambella would appear almost certainly to be connected in some way with that of the Sambelángé section of Dágú, who consider it to be a corruption of "Shenábła" (sing. "Shambali"), and with that of the Sambangáto section of Berti.\[2\]

\[1\] The Tungur round Furnung and Kuttum, like the Berti and most of the rest of the population who cultivate on soft sand in eastern Dárfur, use for hoeing the ground the "gilmoia" (or "nágára" as the Tungur call it, and one notes the word is formed from the same root as "Tungur"). This implement is of rough local wood and shaped as shewn. The bend at B is a natural one. It is rather larger than a right angle. The length from A to B is about 27 inches, from B to C about 18. The head is of hammered iron and shaped with slightly concave surface as shewn. For hoeing the implement is held with the two hands at A and used from above downwards and inwards between the legs. For making holes into which to drop the seed it is also held in both hands, but the cultivator, as he walks along, at each step makes with it a short jab into the ground on his left side.

For hoeing in a garden on one's knees a much shorter instrument of the same shape but with a very much shorter shaft (B to C being only an inch or two) is used.

\[2\] See paras. viii and vi of this chapter. Compare too the Dágú brands with those of the Fella and of the semi-Tungur Kaitinga living among the Zagháwa.
The mark (called "sambella") with which they brand their cattle and donkeys is distinctively Furian in character. The Fella brand, on the other hand (see above) is probably of Tungr origin.

VI. The customs of the Fur and the Tungr appear also to have dovetailed in some respects in Furnung. For instance, the holiness of the rock of Furnung is probably a Fur conception, adopted by the ruling Tungr.

Similarly, at 'Ayn Sirra, a few miles from 'Ayn Ferra and also in the Furnung hills, we seem to have two ceremonies which have gradually become joined into a single observance.

'Ayn Sirra is a delightful little oasis with a rich water-spring and palm-grove, lying just inside the circle of the hills and approached by a narrow pass. At the entrance to this pass stands a large boulder called "haggar el 'arūs" ("the Bride's Stone") or "haggar el 'āda" ("the Custom Stone"), and on the top of it are heaped some hundreds of loose stones interspersed with bits of dry cow-dung. The explanation of this, given by soi-disant Tungr, was as follows:

There are certain spirits who reside here and protect the entrance to the grove, and any stranger desiring to enter without mishap would need to be, so to speak, introduced to them by the proper people (for whom see later).

At the time when the rains first begin Fur and Tungr alike join in making offerings in the stereotyped manner at this stone to ensure a good rainfall.

This "rain-making" rite may be of Darfur origin, but there are other features which certainly are not, and the heap of stones on the top of the rock at once calls to mind the exactly similar phenomenon to be seen at Gebel Kayli east of the Blue Nile.

Apart from the rain-making properties of the stone it is used on four different occasions, viz. on marriage, on circumcision of a child, on a birth and when a hākim (ruler) visits 'Ayn Sirra. From its name the stone would seem to be chiefly associated with the occasion of marriage.

The rites performed on that occasion were said to be as follows: after the fātha has been read and the couple thereby wed—for, needless to

1 See note on the Kaitinge brand in para. iv of this chapter.
2 Another so-called Tungr brand used in Darfur Furnung is as shewn. A man who belonged on his father's side to the Fella, e.g., and had a Sambella mother would use both his own Fella brand and the "Sambella," i.e., most of his animals would be marked with the Fella brand, but the minority would carry the "sambella." In case of their straying there would thus be a chance of their being recognized and claimed by two parties instead of only one. The Fella explained that they would carry the paternal brand than the maternal brand because "the meat only is from the mother, the bone is from the father"—which is apparently a popular quotation, since I also heard it at Midob.
3 The Tungr who own the site say their ancestors brought the palm from Dongola. Their only cultivation, dates excepted, is cotton and "bānīa." Neither red pepper nor onions are grown, though the soil is ideal for both and one expects to see them here as at Kuttum, Mellit and other oases where the population is similar. Utter inertia is the only explanation, and the people admitted "they just felt too tired" ("igizu")!
4 A rather different explanation from that which follows is also suggested in the case of Kayli (see note on p. 45).
say, Fūr and Tungur alike call themselves good Muhammadans—they are escorted to the stone by the sheikh of the village or, in his absence, by one of his family, or, failing both, by the Imam of the village mosque, and there they each smear some ḍihn (or blood, if an animal has been sacrificed) in the form of a cross with their forefingers on the side of the boulder, and each deposits a stone or a piece of green grass from the grove on the top of it. If the couple are too poor to have afforded a sheep or any ḍihn they make instead the offering of a piece of cow-dung. This done, the couple are led on to the water-spring in the palm-grove and there the presiding priest—if one may call him such—takes a piece of mud from the pool and dabs it on the foreheads of the couple, on the tips of their shoulders (in front), on their middles⁴, on the points of their knees and in the small of their backs. He then binds a twist of green grass from the fringe of the pool round each of four ankles and wrists and round both necks, and the ceremony is over.

Mutatis mutandis precisely the same is done, it is said, on the occasion of a circumcision or a birth, but in the latter case it is the mother and not the child who is the object of the rite. In the case of a ḥākim visiting Ḩayn Sirra he is similarly expected—or rather used to be, for these customs are falling into disuse—to sacrifice a sheep and smear its blood in the form of a cross on the stone, or else to mark it with ḍihn, and to make his offering of a stone or a piece of green grass, and to go to the spring and be marked as described above, but—the only difference—a twist of grass was placed round his right wrist only.

The Fūr proper (so the “Tungur” say) have no part or lot in these rites, and the reason would not seem far to seek. The Tungur, one supposes, brought with them from Christian Nūbia the recollection of certain church rites, in particular the Sign of the Cross, and though the Fūr were never converted to Christianity their holy stone was utilized by the new-comers. On the other hand, the Tungur in time became Muhammadans, witness the mosque at Ferra, but both they and the Fūr still preserve superstitiously some relics of their ancient faiths.

¹ My informant described this by placing his finger just above his navel, but called it his “heart.”
PART II

THE PROGRESS OF THE ARAB TRIBES THROUGH EGYPT
CHAPTER 1

The Progress through Egypt in the Middle Ages of certain Arab Tribes now represented in the Sudan

I At the time of the rise of the prophet Muhammad in the first half of the seventh century A.D. the tribes of Arabia were considered to fall into two great main groups, the one descended from Kahtán ("Jocan") the son of 'Abir and the other from his brother Fālig, the biblical "Peleg, in whose days the earth was divided."

The first of these groups formed the "'Arab el 'Āriba," the older and more exclusive Kahtánite or Yemenite stock: they were counted the true Arabs, and their original home was the southern portion of the peninsula. They consisted of two branches, one descended from Himyar and one from Kahlán1.

The second and more northerly group, the "'Arab el Must'ariba," traced their descent through 'Adnán to Ismā'il, that is Ishmael the son of Abraham, and in consequence are generally known as the Isma'ilitic or 'Adnánite stock2.

II The most important division of the Himyaritic branch of Kahtán was that descended from Kūdā'a; it included such important tribes as the Beli, the Beni Kelb and the Guhayna3.

The Kahlán branch also contained several famous tribes. The best known of these were Tai, including Gudhám and Lakhm, Mudhig, Hamdán, Bagila and el Azd. The last-named again contained the two great Ghassánite tribes of el Aus and el Khazrag, who were later to be known as "el Anšár," the "Helpers" [of the Prophet].

III The chief Isma'ilitic tribes were those of Kays 'Aylán, Rabī'a, Kenána, Wáil (a section of Rabī'a), Sulaym, Hawázin, Ghatafán, Tamím, and the Prophet's own tribe of Kuraysh. Kuraysh, itself

---

1 The term "Himyarite" is, however, used frequently as though it were co-extensive with "Kahtánite."

2 Robertson Smith casts the gravest doubts upon the whole system of Arab genealogies (see *Kinship and Marriage*... Chap. 1). It is not at all improbable that he is right, but, even so, though many of the assertions of the genealogists may be incredible as literal statements of fact, yet they have considerable value if understood in a figurative sense—if, in other words, they are taken as parables. It is in this liberal sense that the statements made categorically in these chapters must often be taken.

3 Robertson Smith points out that as a matter of fact the Himyaritic origin of Kūdā'a, though generally accepted by later Arab historians, is extremely doubtful, and that the older authorities refer to them as Isma'ilitic.
a section of Kenána, contained among others the Beni Makhzûm, the Beni 'Abbâs, and the Beni Ommaya.

The ancient capital of the Kahtânite Arabs was at Ṣana'a in the Yemen, but a century or so after the Christian era large numbers of them migrated northwards in consequence, tradition has it, of the bursting of the great dam of Mârib, and settled there.

Thus the Beni Lakhm came to found the Monádira dynasty at Híra, near the ancient site of Babylon, and ruled the Arabs of 'Irâk as vassals of Persia2.

The Ghassân took up their abode near Damascus and from about 37 to 636 A.D. maintained a control, under the aegis of the Byzantine emperors, over a considerable portion of Syria3.

The Ḥudâ'a group, particularly the Guhayna and Beli, settled in the northern half of the Hegáz having all but extirpated the ancient tribes of Thammud4 and AD, who had previously lived there and who are likely to have been cognate to the Hamitic tribes inhabiting the opposite African coast5.

IV Previously to Islam the difference between the Kahtânite and Ismâ'ilitic tribes had been to some extent accentuated by a difference of language, for the more southerly group spoke Himyaritic; but the tribal movements that took place in Arabia after the Christian era resulted in a spread of Arabic, and with the acceptance of Muhammadanism that language became completely paramount.

We shall see later that the distinction between Kahtânite and Ismâ'ilitic survives under a rather different guise in the Sudan at the present date.

V Let us now pass to the conquest of Egypt by the Arabs in the seventh century.

The profuseness of details we possess concerning the conquest is only equalled by their inconsistency. The chief reason for this is to be found in the fact that the earliest writers of all were Copts, who were chiefly concerned with matters of church history; and the records of the earliest Arab historians, between whom and the Copts there is, in any case, a sad gulf, are either lost or only partially extant in the extracts preserved by later writers6.

1 Caussin de Perceval (1, 85–87) puts the bursting of the dam about 120 A.D., but shews that there is considerable divergence of opinion as to the exact date.

2 Abu el Fidâ', pp. 122 et seq. and Van Dyck, p. 24.

3 Abu el Fidâ', pp. 128 et seq. and Van Dyck, pp. 28–31.

4 Diodorus's 'Thamudeni.


6 See Butler, Arab Conquest..., pp. vi–xxi. The difficulties are also increased by the inaccessibility of several important MSS. and the general scarcity of adequate translations.
The Futūḥ el Buldūn of el Baládhuri, written about 868 A.D., is the earliest complete extant record of the conquest from the pen of an Arab, and the author makes it clear that even in the ninth century there was the greatest difference of opinion concerning the subject. As regards the question of the tribal composition of the forces which either achieved the conquest or immigrated in the years immediately following it the record is particularly scanty.

A certain amount of disjointed information is however to be gleaned from various sources\(^1\), and of these the most fruitful is the treatise written at the beginning of the fifteenth century by el Makrízī on the subject of the Arab tribes settled in Egypt\(^2\).

By this time many of the tribes who had taken part in the conquest of 'Amr ibn el 'Āṣī had become merged in others who had arrived at subsequent periods, or had been borne westwards or southwards on the tide of conquest.

VI GUDHĀM. One notable exception appears to have been the great Kaḥtānīte tribe of Gudhām, of whom a large portion had in 1400 A.D. been occupying the Eastern Delta ['el Ḥaľf'] for some 750 years\(^3\). They and the Beni Lakhm were the chief rivals of the Kaysite tribes in that locality\(^4\).

They were originally a branch of the Beni Ṭai from the Yemen, but they had so completely broken away from the parent stem that they may be considered as entirely separate. In the era preceding Islam they were settled with some Beni Lakhm and branches of Kūpā'ā in the northern Ḥegāz from the Red Sea inland to the territory of the Beni Kelb\(^5\).

The tribe was originally divided into two great branches, the Beni Ḥishm and the Beni Ḥaram\(^6\), each with numerous subdivisions. Few of the former, but practically all the latter, seem to have been settled in Egypt\(^7\).

Those in the Ḥaľf in the fifteenth century fell under two main

---

1 See the chapter which follows.
2 This treatise, which was found at the time of Napoleon's expedition and taken away from Egypt, has been summarized by Quatremère in his Mémoires Geographiques..., and supplemented from other MSS. He calls his précis Mémoire sur les tribus Arabes établies en Égypte. Wüstenfeld has also made considerable use of it in his Register zu den genealogischen Tabellen der Arabischen Stämme und Familien: he refers to it as Abhandlung über die in Aegypten eingewanderten arabischen Stämme.
3 Makrizī’s Mémoire..., ap. Quatremère (II, 195).
4 E.g. in 813 A.D.; see following chapter.
5 Caussin de Perceval, II, 232.
6 Wüstenfeld, 5 (for which see Tree 1 at the end of this Part, p. 191). In Quatremère’s Mémoires, “Ḥaram” (حراة) appears as “Garam” (جرة).
7 I.e. nearly all the sub-tribes of Beni Ḥaram mentioned by Wüstenfeld are included in Makrizī’s list of tribes in Egypt.
denominations, the ŽUBAYB\(^1\) and the BENI KUMAYL\(^2\), and held many towns in fief\(^3\).

It appears from el Maḵrīzī’s treatise that the subsections known collectively as the ŽUBAYB were the BENI KURRA\(^4\), the BENI ZAYD, the BENI BU’GA\(^5\), and the BENI SUWAYD\(^6\). Among the BENI KUMAYL Maḵrīzī includes, firstly, the “BENI SA’AD,” the descendants, that is, of the five Sa’ads mentioned in Wüstenfeld’s tree\(^7\); secondly, the BENI RĀŠID\(^8\); thirdly, the HALABA\(^9\); fourthly, the BENI ’UḴBA\(^10\); fifthly, the AIDH\(^11\); sixthly, the BENI ZAYD MENĀT.

Of the BENI ’UḴBA some were in Syria, round about Damascus\(^12\) and others round Āila\(^13\). The rest were in the Hauf.

It seems that some of these latter at some time or another joined the BENI HILĀL\(^14\), and others, we shall see, eventually found their way to northern Kordofān and became the nucleus of the KABĀBĪSH tribe.

Another section of GUDHĀM, closely related to the HALABA and the BENI ’UḴBA and represented in Egypt, were the BENI RUDAYNI\(^15\).

Now the term BENI KUMAYL, it seems, properly applied only to all

---

\(^1\) Wüstenfeld, “Dhobeib”; Quatremère, “Dabib.” Wüstenfeld follows a definite system of orthography, which Quatremère does not. In quoting the former I alter the spelling to suit the orthography I have followed throughout.

\(^2\) Quatremère, “Kermit.”

\(^3\) See Maḵrīzī, ap. Quatremère (11, 193, 194).

\(^4\) We shall meet with Beni Kurra again as a branch of Beni Hilāl settled at Barḵa among the Ketāma Berbers prior to the Beni Hilāl invasion of N. Africa. It is useless to speculate as to whether there is any connection between the two or not. Probably there was. (See later, sub Beni Hilāl, and compare the case of the Beni ’Uḵba.)

\(^5\) Quatremère, “Badjah.”

\(^6\) Quatremère, “Souid.”

\(^7\) Maḵrīzī obviously means that the term Beni Sa’ad had five different connotations according to the particular Sa’ad referred to. It is clear from the tree that some of the five included others. There are some slight discrepancies between Wüstenfeld and Maḵrīzi here: e.g. the latter (ap. Quatremère) speaks of Sa’ad ibn Afsā instead of Sa’ad ibn Mālik ibn Afsā, and Sa’ad ibn Mālik ibn Mālik instead of Sa’ad ibn Mālik ibn Zayd Menāt.

\(^8\) There were three descendants of Suwayd called Rāshid, and “Beni Rāshid” was probably used in the same way as was “Beni Sa’ad.”

\(^9\) These Maḵrīzī divides into Halabā ibn Suwayd and Halabā ibn Bug’a. If Wüstenfeld is correct the former should be Halabā ibn Mālik ibn Suwayd.

\(^10\) Quatremère, “Akabah.”

\(^11\) They lived between Cairo and Āila (Maḵrīzī, ap. Quatremère, 11, 194). Aidh (‘Aids) does not occur in Wüstenfeld as a section of Gudhām.

\(^12\) So, too, Ibn Khaldūn, 9–11. They reached as far south as Medina. There are still a few families of them round Muwayla.

\(^13\) Āila, or ‘Akarab Āila, was the mediaeval name. It is the Elath of ancient times, the ‘Akaba of the present. (See Muir, Life of Mahomet, p. lxviii., and Burton, Land of Midian, 1, 231.)

\(^14\) Maḵrīzi (ap. Quatremère, 11, 201) speaks of the Beni ’Uḵba among the Beni Hilāl sections and as living at ’Asfūn and Esna. By Leo’s time the Beni ’Uḵba had become a main section of Beni Hilāl (q.v. later).

\(^15\) Quatremère, “Benou Radiny.”
or some of the Beni Kurra section\(^1\), and neither the Beni Sa’ad nor the other five subsections were really descended from Kumayl at all.

It is therefore probable that the Beni Kumayl had obtained the headship over a large number of closely related sections of Gudhám, and that these were generally known as Beni Kumayl for that reason. It is clear also that among the Beni Kumayl were numbers of alien tribesmen, for Makrizi speaks of the Zayd Menát subsection as including Kenána, Beni ’Urwa\(^2\) and Beni Kelb, and certainly none of these were Gudhám.

In addition to the Zubayb and Beni Kumayl there were other branches of Gudhám near Alexandria\(^3\).

In the time of Saladin (Saláh el Dín), when, that is, the Kurdish dynasty of ’Ayyúbites had supplanted the Fátimites in Egypt in 1171 a.d., the tribe of Gudhám, who had been very powerful under the previous dynasty, suffered something of a reverse, and their place was to some extent taken by the Beni Tai proper\(^4\), and in particular by the Tha’aliba branch of that tribe.

\textit{VII Tai.} These Beni Tai had entered Egypt at a later date than the Beni Gudhám. When Makrízi wrote they had been largely represented in Egypt only for a period of rather more than three centuries. The Awlát Sinbis branch had increased in numbers in southern Palestine to an alarming extent and caused considerable trouble to the local government. So in 1050 a.d. the vizier Muhammad el Yazúri turned them out\(^5\) and they moved to the Bábíra province in the north of Egypt and settled there among the Gudhámite Beni Kurra. These Awlát Sinbis consisted of Awlát Labíd (including Awlát Hazm\(^6\) and Awlát Mahzab), Awlát ’Amr, Awlát ’Adi (including Awlát Abán), and Awlát Fataḥ\(^7\).

The power of the Tai increased under the Fátimites, and when the ’Ayyúbites conquered Egypt a fresh posse of the tribe came in with them. These were the Garm and the Tha’aliba sections, who had previously been settled in Syria\(^8\).

Throughout the ’Ayyúbite period (1171–1249) these Beni Tai maintained their power but the feud with the Gudhám did not die

---

\(^1\) The only Kumayl mentioned by Wüstenfeld was son of Kurra.
\(^2\) Quatremère, “Arwah.”
\(^3\) Makrízi, \textit{ap. Quatremère} (\textit{II,} 197).
\(^4\) It will be remembered that the Gudhám were themselves originally a branch of Tai.
\(^5\) Quatremère, \textit{II,} 191.
\(^6\) Wüstenfeld, “Hızmir.”
\(^7\) Quatremère, \textit{II,} 191; Wüstenfeld, \textit{I,} 422 and \textit{II,} 6.
\(^8\) Quatremère, \textit{loc. cit.}; Wüstenfeld, \textit{I,} 183. The name Garm was a surname applied to a certain Tha’aliba ibn ’Amr on account of a woman whom he brought up, but there was another separate branch of Tai also called Tha’aliba, viz. that referred to in Part \textit{iv} (D \textit{I,} \textit{vii}), in speaking of the Messíría.
out, for we read of a sanguinary encounter between the Tha‘aliba and the Gudhám in Sharkía Province about 1237 A.D., and this battle was only the culminating point of a long series of attacks and counter-attacks which had been taking place for years. The Gudhám, it appears, were partisans of the Governor of Syria and in league with the Mezátá and Zenátá Berbers of Bāhíra Province, while the Tha‘aliba supported the Sultan of Egypt. After the fight in 1237 a treaty of peace was arranged.

An attempt by Saladin in the first year of his reign to reduce the number of Tai horsemens caused such resentment that it was abandoned. The cavalry of Gudhám, however, were reduced from 7000 to 300.

When Mu‘izz ‘Izz el Dín, the first of the Bahrite Mamlûks, supplanted the 'Ayyûbites, many of the Arabs at once rose in resentment against the rule of a barbarian “slave,” and in 1251 formed a league of rebellion.

The Beni Tai took a prominent part in this revolt, and they were joined by someawlád 'Udhra, who were also Kahtánites, and many Kenána, including such branches of that great tribe as the Awlád Mudlag and the descendants of 'Adi ibn Ka‘ab. The rebels were, however, signally defeated, and compelled to scatter into Gharbía Province.

The Tha‘aliba branch appear to have been powerful in Morocco in 1360. Several tribes in the Sudan are descended, according to tradition, from them; and some of the Bâkará may claim a certain degree of probability for the pretension.

Two other large Kahtánite tribes represented at the conquest of Egypt are the Beli and the Guhayna. Both were main branches of Kudá‘a, that is descended from Himyar, whereas the Tai were descended from Kahlán the brother of Himyar.

1 Makrízí, Selúk..., p. 443.
2 Makrízí, loc. cit. p. 106. Blochet reads “Djoudamis,” but I assume this to be a misreading of the Arabic text, viz. جدميس, or جدميس, instead of جدميس.
3 Muir’s “Emir Eibek,” “Ai-beg,” etc.
4 Quatemère, ii, 192
5 Quatemère, “Adhrab” ; Wüstenfeld, “'Odsra.” Wüstenfeld gives four “'Odras,” all Kahtánite tribes.
6 From Makrízí (q.v. ap. Quatemère) one might suppose the Awlád 'Adi and the Kenána and the Mudlag were separate tribes. Reference to Wüstenfeld (q.v. N and P) shows they were all of the same great Isma‘ílitic family, though no doubt, as often happens, a certain portion of it had the right par excellence to the use of the name Kenána.
7 Makrízí, Khetýt, i, 210.
8 Ibn Khalídún, i, 147.
9 The Kudá‘a succeeded the ancient Gurhumite dynasty in the Hegáz and were the guardians of the Ka‘aba until they were replaced about 406 A.D. by the Kušái section of Kuraysh (Van Dyck, pp. 34, 35).
VIII BELI. The BELI in the Days of Ignorance had been settled in Syria\(^1\), but at the time of the conquest 'Omar ibn el Khattâb transferred a large number of them to Egypt, and one of the quarters of Fostât was set aside for them\(^2\). That they were one of the most numerous of the tribes that immigrated at this period is shewn by the fact that they were included with the GHÁFIK\(^3\) and one other tribe\(^4\) as "the three tribes of Egypt." 'Amr himself is said to have used this phrase, and of the BELI he added "They have mostly been Companions of the Prophet and their principal quality is that they are excellent cavaliers\(^5\)."

Disputes soon arose in Egypt between them and their kinsfolk the GUHAYNA, but an agreement was finally reached whereby the BELI settled in the country lying between Egypt and the port of 'Aidhâb\(^6\) on the Red Sea, that is in the northern part of the BÉGA country which was later inhabited by the 'ABÁBA\(^7\). In Makrîzi's day there were numerous branches of them in Egypt\(^8\) and with them were commingled certain smaller communities drawn from the Ismá'îlîtic tribes of BENI OMMAYYA, THAKÍF (a branch of KAYS 'AYLÁN), and HUDHAYL. Other BELI were further south in the Akhmím district with the GUHAYNA\(^9\).

At present they are a large tribe on the Arabian coast round Wegh, neighbours of the GUHAYNA, and there are others settled in Egypt round Girga\(^10\).

\(^1\) They had previously been in southern Arabia. See Burton, Land of Midian, I, 296, and II, 141, etc.
\(^2\) Ibn Dukmak, ap. Butler, p. 279; el Kindi, ap. Evetts (Abu Sâlih...), p. 109. Other quarters were occupied by Beni Bahr, Beni Saládmát, Yashkur (a section of Lakhm), Beni Ḥudhayl ibn Mudraka, Beni Naid, Beni el Azařâk, etc.
\(^3\) See p. 156, note.
\(^4\) Bouriant gives "Maharrah" (مَحْرَة) as its name. There was no such tribe. It is probable that "Muḍrîr" (مُدْرْيِر) is meant.
\(^5\) Makrîzi, Khetâţ, II, 469. Burton gives an account of them in Land of Midian, II, 141 et seq.
\(^6\) 'Aidhâb lay practically due east from Aswán, near the ancient Berenice: see Makrîzi, Khetâţ, I, pp. 41 and 43, and Wüstenfeld, sub Bâli ben 'Amr.
\(^7\) See Makrîzi, ap. Quatremère (II, 202), and Wüstenfeld, 1, 106. The boundaries of the Beli were on the north the bridge of Shuhâi and on the south the neighbourhood of Kamûla (q.v. in Makrîzi, Khetâţ, I, 209).
\(^8\) E.g. B. Hanî, B. Harm, B. Sowâd, B. Nâb, etc. (Makrîzi, ap. Quatremère, II, 203; Wüstenfeld, loc. cit.).
\(^9\) Both are included by Makrîzi among the most powerful tribes of Upper Egypt (see Part II, Chap. 2).
\(^10\) The ruling section in both cases is the Ma'âkla. It is possible the name survives in the Na'âkla of Kordofân and Dârîf (q.v. in Part III). The Sheikh of this branch at Girga gave me the name of ten sections of Beli known to him and living round Wegh and Girga: they were Ma'âkla Mowâhib Sahâma Wahashsha Hilbân Rumûth Ḥomrân Beraykât Fercî'ât Rubidda

Burton (loc. cit. II, 141) specifies twenty-three principal sections.
IX GUHAYNA. The GUHAYNA, prior to their immigration into Africa, had been settled in the Ḥegáz from south of Yanbu’ to north of el Ḥaurá, and their chief neighbours were BELI, GUDHÁM, and KENANA. Many never left these parts, and at the present day the headquarters of the GUHAYNA are still at Yanbu’, and the BELI are still their neighbours to the north.

They were among the first of the Beduins to accept Islam. Some 600 of those who crossed to Africa took part in 647 A.D. in the first Libyan expedition; and in 869 numbers of them joined the BENI RABÍ’A in their invasion of the BEGA country.

About 1400 A.D. Makrizí speaks of them as the most numerous tribe in Upper Egypt. They had been in Ashmninayn district, but were ejected thence by the KURAYSH in the Fátimite era and had settled round el Siút and Manfalút.

It is, however, more important for our purpose to note that by the end of the fourteenth century they had penetrated far into Núbia. Ibn Khalðún (1332-1406) tells us:

In Upper Egypt from Aswán and beyond it as far as the land of the Núba and that of Abyssinia are numerous tribes and scattered sections, all of them belonging to GUHAYNA, one of the branches of KUḌÁ’A. They filled those parts and conquered the lands of the NÚBA and swarmed over those of Abyssinia and shared their countries with them.

Elsewhere the same author, speaking of events that occurred only a decade or two before his own birth and therefore within common recollection, says:

And with the conversion of the Núbians the payment of tribute ceased. Then the tribes of the GUHAYNA Arabs spread over their country and settled in it and ruled it and filled it with rapine and disorder. At first the kings of the NÚBA attempted to repulse them but they failed: then they won them over by giving them their daughters in marriage. Thus was their kingdom disintegrated, and it passed to certain of the sons of GUHAYNA on account of their mothers [s.c. being NÚBA of the blood-royal],

---

1 See Wüstenfeld, I, 186-7, sub “Goheina ben Zeid.”
2 The boundary between the two is nearly 50 miles north of Ḥaurá (Burton, loc. cit. II, 133).
3 Caussin de Perceval, III, 217.
5 Makrizí, Khêtút, II, 569.
6 Ibid. II, 710. Sir C. Wilson mentions them among the semi-nomadic tribes north of Aswán (loc. cit. p. 4).
7 Ed. de Slane, pp. 9-10; ed. ar. vol. 6, p. 5.
8 Ed. ar. vol. 5, p. 429. This passage, not having been translated by de Slane, has generally escaped notice.
9 See Part II, Chap. 2. The Arabic isفحنیت الجزءة بابا لاباسلامهم. ثم ساروا ابن مصانعهم بالصرى.
according to the custom of the infidels as to the succession of the sister or the sister's son. So their kingdom fell to pieces and the Ā’rāb of Guhayna took possession of it. But their rule shewed none of the marks of statesmanship because of the inherent weakness of a system which is opposed to discipline and the subordination of one to another. Consequently they are still divided up into parties and there is no vestige of authority in their land, but they remain nomads following the rainfall like the Ā’rāb of Arabia. There is no vestige of authority in their land since the result of the commingling and blending that has taken place has merely been to exchange the old ways for the ways of the Bedouin Arab.

The most important mention of the Guhayna in the Sudanese nisbas is to the effect that they reached a total of "fifty-two tribes in the land of Sōba on the Blue Nile under the rule of the Fung, but most [of them] are in the west, [namely in] Tūnis and Bornūh." Of the movement of the Guhayna south-westwards into Kordofān and Dārfūr more will be said in the chapters that follow.

X LAKHM. The tribes of Lakhm were kinsfolk of the Beni Gudhām, and, like them, strictly speaking, a branch of Ṭā'i.

We have seen how they came originally from Yemen and settled on the confines of Persia. They founded a dynasty there in 268 A.D. and its records are chiefly of warfare against the tribes to the west of them in Syria, Ghassān, Beni Bukr, Beni Tamīm and others.

In old days they and Gudhām had both been worshippers of the planet Jupiter, but by the end of the fifth century, if not earlier, Christianity had made considerable strides to the east of Syria and many of the Arab tribes, including Lakhm, had been converted to it.

The rule of the Lakhm at Hīrah ended with the rise of Islam.

1 The Arabic of this passage is as follows:

فاتها ملكهم وصار لبعض أبناء جماعة من أموارهم على عادة الإعاجر في

تيليك الاخت وابن الاخت.

See notes on pp. 92, 93 and 178, re matrilinear descent. Cp. Quatremère, 11, 38: "Chez les Nubiens, dit Abou-Selah, lorsqu'un roi vient à mourir et qu'il laisse un fils et un neveu du côté de la sœur, celui-ci monte sur le trône, de préférence à l'héritier naturel."

2 Ārāb, the word used exclusively for nomad Arabs.

3 The reference is evidently to the southern "kingdoms." The Beni Kanz, etc., were still all-powerful farther north.

4 See "BA" CXXI in Part IV.

5 See Van Dyck, pp. 24–28. Butler (p. 214 note) quotes Ibn Duḵmāk as denying their right to be called Arabs. This denial is unreasonable.

6 These wars took place between 473 and 576 A.D.

7 Caussin de Perceval, 1, 349.

8 The Lakhmite king el Na'amān Abu Kābūs (588–611 A.D.) was a great builder of churches (Van Dyck, loc. cit.).
At the conquest of Egypt the YASHKUR section of the tribe established themselves upon the hill called after them, the site of Ibn Tülün's mosque\(^1\). Many other sections of the tribe also entered Egypt in the seventh and eighth centuries and settled round Alexandria\(^2\). In 798 A.D. some 15,000 Andalusian refugees, who had been banished from Spain by the Ommayyad prince el Ḥakam and had landed at Alexandria, entered into a league with the BENI LAKHM; but the two parties soon quarrelled and in 815 the Andalusians succeeded in taking the town\(^3\).

During the same half-century the BENI LAKHM were involved in the civil war that followed the death of Hárūn el Rashid and evinced great turbulence at intervals\(^4\).

In Makrizi's time they were very numerous in Upper Egypt and some thirty of their sections are mentioned by name. There were also some of them still settled round Alexandria\(^5\).

Among other Kaḥtānīte tribes portions of which are known to have entered Egypt at the time of the conquest or soon after it we may note the BENI HAMDĀN, the large Ḥimyaritic family of DHU AŠBAḤ to which belonged Mālik ibn Anas the founder of the Mālikī sect\(^6\), and a section of AZD, all of whom settled at Gīza\(^7\).

Let us now take the best known of the Ismāʿīlīte or 'Adnānīte tribes who took part in the invasion of Egypt. The most famous are the KENĀNA and the KURAYSH.

**XI KENĀNA and KURAYSH.** The eponymous ancestor of the KENĀNA may have lived about 100 A.D.\(^8\) The home of his descendants for successive centuries had been in the Ḥegāz and Tihāma round Mekka\(^9\). The great sub-tribe of KURAYSH became separate from the parent stock some time before the rise of the Prophet and their most famous family, that of KUṢĀI, obtained the guardianship of the Ka'aba about 440 A.D.\(^10\)

---

1. Makrizi, Khetāt, i, 361. There was also a section of Rabī'a called Yashkur (Caussin de Perceval, ii, 279).
2. One of their number was Governor of Egypt in 750 A.D. (Lane-Poole, Hist. p. 49).
3. Makrizi, loc. cit. ii, 493, 494. Alexandria was retaken in 827 and the Andalusians were expelled to Crete: see Lane-Poole, loc. cit. p. 36, quoting Dozy, ii, 68–76, and Quatremère.
4. Lane-Poole, loc. cit. p. 38; Makrizi, loc. cit. i, 269.
8. Caussin de Perceval, loc. cit. Table VIII.
9. Ibid. 1, 193, and Wüstenfeld, 1, 268.
10. Caussin de Perceval, i, 233.
At the beginning of the seventh century both they and the bulk of Kenâna still worshipped the idol Uzza\(^1\), and the two tribes were accustomed to act in unison in time of war\(^2\).

When the Prophet proclaimed his mission he met with the most serious opposition from his own tribesmen of Kuraysh, and it was they and other Kenâna who signally defeated him in 625 at Ohod and attempted in the following year to besiege him at Medina\(^3\).

In 630 Muḥammad took Mekka and the idol of Uzza was broken to pieces by Khalîd ibn Walid\(^4\). The Kuraysh then submitted.

The date and extent of the Kenâna immigration into Egypt are both uncertain, but in the time of the Patriarch Shenûdi’s biographer, at the end of the seventh century, the Beni Mudlag section were strong enough to besiege Alexandria, sack monasteries, and refuse to pay taxes until an army was sent against them\(^5\).

In 818 A.D., and again thirteen years later, we find the Kenâna, and in particular the Beni Mudlag section, which appears to have been more or less independent of the main tribe, and to have been very prone to rebellion, taking part in the Coptic revolts\(^6\).

In 1249, when Louis IX of France besieged Damietta, the garrison consisted of Kenâna. They fled, however, on the first approach of the enemy, and in consequence the Sultan hung as many of them as he could catch\(^7\).

By the end of the fourteenth century the Kenâna proper in Egypt were divided into three main divisions, the Damra\(^8\), the Layth and the Firâs: their headquarters were round Sâkia Kolta.

The Kuraysh included the Awlâd ‘Adî ibn Ka’ab, the Beni Makhzûm, the Beni Ommayya, the Beni ‘Abbâs and many others, and may be assumed to have been well represented at the conquest of Egypt since both ‘Amr ibn el-‘Âsi and el Zubayr ibn el ‘Awwâm, who reinforced him, and several others of the more famous chieftains were tribesmen of Kuraysh\(^9\). Many more immigrated with successes-

---

\(^1\) Caussin de Perceval, i, 269. Other Kenâna worshipped the Moon and Aldébaran (ibid. i, 349, and cp. Van Dyck, p. 38).

\(^2\) E.g. in 580 A.D. broke out the famous “Holy Wars” between the Kuraysh and other branches of Kenâna on the one hand and the Beni Ḥawâzin on the other: these lasted for about ten years (Caussin de Perceval, loc. cit. i, 296 ff.).

\(^3\) Ibid. iii, 90.

\(^4\) Caussin de Perceval, iii, 241 ff.

\(^5\) MS. Arab 140, pp. 33 ff., ap. Quatremère, ii, 198. Shenûdi died in 451. His biography was written in 685 or 690 (Butler, Arab Conquest..., pp. 87 and 88).

\(^6\) E.g. see Makrîzi, Kheṭât, ii, 494, 495, 496.

\(^7\) Makrîzi, Selâk, p. 512; Lane-Poole, Hist. p. 232.

\(^8\) Wüstefeld (N), “Dhamra”; Quatremère, “Damrah.”

\(^9\) Ibn ‘Abd el Ḥakam, as quoted by Abu el Mahâsîn, gives a list of the “Aṣhâb” who accompanied ‘Amr. Nearly all of these were Kurayshites, and of them, again, the majority, including ‘Amr and Zubayr, belonged to the Beni Ka’ab section (see Butler, p. 229 note).
sive Ommayyad and 'Abbásid governors, and we shall see that at least one party of them crossed the Red Sea into the Sudan in the eighth century. Early in the tenth century the branch descended from Ga'afir ibn Abu 'Talib was expelled from Mekka by the Beni Ḥusayn and from the country north of it by the Beni Ḥarb, and took refuge in Egypt. In Ibn Khaldūn's time they were settled between Aswān and Kūṣ with the Beni Kanz, and were known as the Shuraфа el Ga'áfira: they were chiefly employed in trade. The Ga'áfira of the present day are their descendants.

In 1400 the Kuraysh were mostly settled round Ashmūnayn whence they had ousted the Guhayna; others lived side by side with the Guhayna in el Siūt and Manfalūt districts, or scattered throughout Upper Egypt.

Among their chief subdivisions Makrizī mentions the Beni Ga'afir, the Beni Ṭalḥa, the Beni Zubayr, the Beni Shayba, the Beni Makrizūm, the Beni Ommayya, the Beni Zahra, and the Beni Sahm (the family of 'Amr ibn el 'Āsi).

XII Kays 'Aylān. About 727 A.D. a portion of the great tribe of Kays 'Aylān was brought from the Upper Negd of Arabia by the treasurer 'Obaydulla ibn el Ḥabḥāb and settled in the eastern Ḥauf. In that year a Kaysite, el Walīd ibn Rifa'a al Fahmi, was Governor of Egypt.

According to Makrizī only a few individuals of the Fahm and Adwān sections of the tribe had previously been in Egypt, but this statement seems inaccurate, for we know that between 709 and 727, not counting el Walīd, there had been no less than three Kaysite Governors of Egypt, two of the Fahm and one of the 'Abs section, and these would not have come unattended by numbers of their own tribesmen. El Kindi, too, mentions that at the time of the conquest a part of el Fostāt was laid out by the tribe of “Kināna ibn 'Amr ibn el Ḥibr ibn Fahm,” i.e. by a section of Kays. We shall also see that other sections of Kays were well represented before 727 A.D.

1 For the number of these see following chapter, para. VIII.
2 See following chapter, para. XI.
3 Ibn Khaldūn, ed. de Slane, I, 9–11; ed. ar. vol. 6, pp. 5, 6, Bk. II; cp. Makrizī, Kheṭūt, II, 710.
4 Makrizī, Kheṭūt, II, 710.
5 Quatremère, II, 17.
6 See Makrizī, Kheṭūt, I, 229. Lane-Poole (Hist. p. 28) gives the date as “about 732.” Caussin de Perceval puts the date of the tribe’s eponymous ancestor Kays at about 68 A.D. There were only four generations between him and 'Adnān (Caussin de Perceval, Vol. I, “Table VIII”).
7 Lane-Poole, Hist. p. 48.
8 El Kheṭūt..., ap. Abu Ṣāliḥ, p. 110.
9 See Wüstenfeld, D, where “el Qeīn” is read for “el Kibr.”
Ibn el Ḥabḥāb at first collected one hundred families of Kays: these were given lands near Balbays on the south-east side of the Delta and bought camels and horses and engaged in the transport trade between the sea-coast and the interior so successfully that the news of their prosperity led five hundred more families of Kays to immigrate and join them. This process continued, and within a year of the original immigration there were fifteen hundred families of the tribe, chiefly members of the great Beni Sulaym branch, settled round Balbays. By 750 A.D. the number had been doubled.

They soon turned their hand to brigandage and in 779 had to be severely repressed by the governor Ibn Mamdūd.1

In the first half of the next century they revolted every few years2. Makrīzī speaks of a rebellion in the Ἠαυφ in 802 caused by the oppressive land tax, and the identity of the rebels is indicated by the fact that "twenty-four heads of Kaysite chiefs" were sent to el Fostát by the government representative.

In 807 a similar rising took place and was suppressed by the treacherous seizure of the chief sheikhs in the Ἠαυφ, who, it is specified, were originally Yemenites and Beni Kays.

Twenty-two years later the same causes led again to the same result, and all the Ἠαυφ and most of the rest of the Delta rose in arms: it was only after a year of fighting, in which the rebels had distinctly the advantage, that some sort of order was restored. Even so, in 831, the whole of Lower Egypt, and not merely the Beni Kays and their neighbours, was in revolt.

The result of these insurrections was certainly not to weaken the power of the Beni Kays, for they remained sufficiently powerful to be recognized as the protagonists of the Ismāʿīlītic tribes against the rival Yemenites or Kaḥṭānites in Egypt3, and when Harūn el Rashīd died in 808 and both of his sons claimed the Khalifate, one of them astutely nominated the chief of the Beni Kays to be Governor of Egypt and owed his success entirely to this manoeuvre. The opponents of the Beni Kays on this occasion were chiefly Lakhm and Gudhām4.

In Makrīzī's day the term Kays was used practically to denote not only the descendants of Kays 'Aylān but also those of his grandfather Muḥr and of the latter's father Nizār5.

1 Lane-Poole, Hist. p. 33.
2 Makrīzī, Khetāt, 1, 230–232.
3 Makrīzī, ap. Quatremère, 11, 497.
4 Makrīzī, Khetāt, 11, 508, 509. Cp. Lane-Poole, Hist. p. 35.
5 The badge of "Kays" was a red flag: that of "Yemen" a white one (see Quatremère, loc. cit.).
They must, too, have largely intermarried with the Berbers in Egypt, for we have the great Luṭa branch of the latter about 1400 A.D. actually calling themselves descendants of Kays 'Aylán¹.

Now some of the main branches of Kays had at an early date become sufficiently independent to be no longer spoken of under that denomination in common parlance.

About 563 A.D., for instance, the bloody “War of el Dāhis” broke out between the Beni Fezāra and the Beni 'Abs, both independent sections of the Ghatafān branch².

XIII Fezāra. These Fezāra in the Prophet’s day were to all intents an independent tribe and lived near Mekka. They and the Beni 'Abs submitted to Islam in 629, but revolted for a time against Abu Bukr in 632³.

From el Nuwayry⁴ we learn that some Ghatafān and Fezāra took part with Guhayna and others in the expedition which 'Abdulla ibn Sa’ad made to the west of Egypt in 647.

This would not be compatible with the statement of Maḵrīzi that there were no Kays in Egypt till 727 A.D. were it not assumed that the Fezāra had become so independent that their Kaysite origin had been forgotten⁵.

Subsequently, other Fezāra accompanied the Beni Hilāl when the latter entered Egypt in the eleventh century, and the remarks of Idrīsi in 1154 and of Ibn Sa'id a century later lead one to think that this or an earlier group of Fezāra coalesced with the Berbers to such a degree as hardly to be distinguishable from them⁶.

Other Fezāra remained in Egypt. Maḵrīzi speaks of them as settled in Upper Egypt, Kaliūb Province and Cairo⁷. Even more of

¹ Quatremère, II, 207.
² It lasted till 608 A.D.; see Caussin de Perceval, II, 429 and 499; Abu el Fidā, pp. 140 et seq.; Van Dyck, p. 38; and Wüstenfeld, II. The war arose out of a horse-race in which foul play took place.
³ Caussin de Perceval, III, 218, 345, 362.
⁴ Ap. Ibn Khaldūn, 1, 313–447. See also MSS. A 11, LIV, and D 6, XIII in Part IV, from which it seems some of them may have accompanied 'Abdulla ibn Sa'ad’s expedition of 641–642.
⁵ Caussin de Perceval (Table X 8) puts the date of the eponymous ancestor of the Fezāra at about 300 A.D. There are three generations between him and Ghatafān the grandson of Kays.
⁶ Ibn Sa'id (q.v. ap. Ibn Khaldūn, pp. 9–11) says: “Among the descendants of Ghatafān there are at Barka the Ḥayb, the Ruḥa, and the Fezāra.” Idrīsi (p. 290, ap. Carette, Recherches..., pp. 126 ff.) speaks of the territories of Old Ptolemais as inhabited by Zenāta and Fezāra, and alludes to them as Berber tribes arabized. The Zenāta were of course Berbers. Some Fezāra remained in North Africa and preserved their tribal integrity: M. Carette (loc. cit. p. 445) mentions them in 1853 as among the tribes in the province of Constantine.
⁷ Quatremère, II, 207. There are still Fezāra in Egypt (Klippel, p. 9; and cp. Sir C. Wilson, p. 4).
them must have found their way to the Sudan, for, though the name is now seldom heard, it was used previous to the Mahdīa almost generically to denote the camel-owning tribes of Kordofān and Dārfūr\(^1\), and a perusal of the \textit{nisbas} that follow will make it clear that a great number of the Sudanese Arabs who do not aspire to call themselves Benī 'Abbās claim descent from the Fezāra, Ghatafān, Benī Dhubūn and other tribes of Kays 'Aylān.

\textbf{XIV Benī Hīlāl and Benī Sulaym.} Another great sub-tribe of Kays was the Benī Hīlāl. These were, genealogically considered, a section of the Ḥawāzin who, like the Benī Sulaym, were a branch of that main division of Kays called the 'Ikrima\(^2\).

That portion of the 'Ikrima which was generally known by the name will be met with later in speaking of the Āwlād Kanz at Aswān\(^3\). The Benī Hīlāl at some fairly early period\(^4\) had become separated from the main tribe in the same way as had the Fezāra, and their home at the beginning of the seventh century was with their relatives the Benī Sulaym near Ṭayf in the plains which lie east of the mountains that separate Tihāma from Negd\(^5\). When the Islamic movement began a number of them moved permanently to Syria.

Now in the tenth century the Fāṭimītes having become supreme

\(^{1}\) Cp., too, el Tūnisī.

\(^{2}\) \textit{See} Wüstenfeld, F, and Caussin de Perceval, Table X A.)

\(^{3}\) See \textit{p. 187}.

\(^{4}\) Caussin de Perceval estimates that Hīlāl ibn 'Āmir himself lived about 414 A.D. (Table X A.)

\(^{5}\) Ibn Khaldūn, I, 25; Caussin de Perceval, II, 410. Cp. Quatremère, II, 212-215. The Sherārāt of Arabia are said to be descended from them: see Doughty, \textit{Arabia Deserta}, I, 125. Doughty speaks of the B. Hīlāl as the “fabled ancient heroic Aarab of Nejd”: almost any antiquarian remains of unknown origin were locally attributed to them: see \textit{Ar. Des. loc. cit.} and I, 387, and \textit{Wanderings...}, I, 36, 38, 138; II, 211, 259.

\textbf{M. S. I.}\(^{10}\)
along the North African coast-line pushed their conquests eastwards over Egypt and Syria, and by 991 A.D. they had brought under their rule all the country lying between the eastern border of Morocco and the Syrian desert and the Orontes.\(^1\)

Almost immediately after the conquest of Syria the Khalīfa el 'Azīz Abu Maṣūr (975–996 A.D.) moved the Beni Sulaym and Beni Hilāl to Upper Egypt and settled them there.\(^2\) The chief divisions of the latter were the Athbēg, the Rīāḥ, the Zogḥba, the Maʿākīl, the Gīshm and the Kurra.\(^3\)

About fifty years later, in 1045, when the power of the Fatimites was beginning to decline, Muʿizz the chief of the Shānḥāga Berbers at Kairuān became disaffected, and the Khalīfa el Muṣṭaṣīr Abu Tamīm (1036–1094) eventually sent word, in 1049, to the Beni Hilāl saying “I make you a gift of Maghrab and the kingdom of Muʿizz son of Balkīn the Shānḥāg, the runaway slave.”\(^4\)

Thus was ushered in the period of permanent Arab domination in that part of North Africa which lies west of Egypt.\(^5\) The tribes of Beni Hilāl, accompanied by other Beni Kāys, chiefly Beni Sulaym and Fezārā,\(^6\) under their leadership,\(^7\) swarmed “like locusts” to the north-west in 1051, joined forces with their kinsfolk the Beni Kurra who with the aid of the Ketāmā Berbers had already established themselves about forty-six years before at Barka, and overran the provinces of Tunis and Tripoli.\(^8\) Muʿizz enlisted the aid of the Zenāṭa Berbers, but his resistance was weak, disaffection was rife, such of his troops as accepted battle were defeated, and the country passed under the denomination of the Beni Hilāl. This great expedition and the desultory warfare with the Zenāṭa that followed it gave rise to the famous cycle of legends in honour of the hero “Abu Zayd el Hilālī” which was rife, at least until lately, all over

---

1 Van Dyck, p. 150.
2 Some of the Beni Sulaym appear also to have entered Egypt some seventy years earlier (109 A.H.) and to have been settled round Balbuys, where subsequently they were joined by others of their tribe. These all, at a later date, moved with the main tribe to the Berber country in the west. (Quatremère, ii, 212–215.)
3 Ibn Khaldūn, i, 28 (ed. de Slane), and ed. ar. Vol. 6, p. 15, Bk. ii.
5 Previous to this of course the Arabs had made numerous expeditions westwards from Egypt and no doubt some permanent settlements had been made, but there had been no general arabicization.
6 The bulk of the Fezārā were still in Arabia at this time (see Ibn Khaldūn, ed. de Slane, i, 118 note).
7 Several of the sub-tribes mentioned by Ibn Khaldūn were, as he particularly points out, and as Wüstenfeld’s table shews, not Beni Hilāl proper, though they were of kindred origin in nearly every case, e.g. Ghatafān (including Fezārā) and other branches of Kāys ’Aylān. (See Ibn Khaldūn, ed. ar. Vol. 6, pp. 5, 16, 17.)
8 Cp. Lane-Poole, Hist. p. 128.
Egypt, and which in various more or less garbled forms is still frequently met with in the Sudan.

The Beni Hilal thus transplanted to Tunis and Tripoli very soon took to intermarriage with the Libyo-Berber tribes who had previously occupied the country, and the process of alternate fighting and miscegenation continued persistently under the various dynasties that rose and fell in northern Africa during the ages that followed. The alleged Yemenite origin of the powerful Ṣanḥāga and Ketāma branches of Berber is probably authentic, and if so largely explains the readiness with which Arab and Berber fused their stocks into the race known now as Moors.

Within a hundred years of their arrival in Libya the Ṣanḥāga and most of the Beni Hilal were leagued together in revolt against the Almohades (El Muwaḥhidín). Numbers of them also pushed westwards to Spain.

From now until the time of Ibn Khaldūn (1332–1405) and Leo (d. 1552) one loses sight of the Beni Hilal in the west; but in the south one hears of some of them among the troops sent by Kalāūn in 1287 to invade Dongola. Ibn Khaldūn mentions them in Upper Egypt in his day, and Makrīzī speaks of them about 1400 A.D. as very numerous in the district of Aswān in the eastern desert as far as Ḍirdhāb, and in fact all over the Sai’d.

It also appears that some of the Ga‘afirā settled between Esna and Aswān may be Beni Hilal by origin.

There is evidence that some of the Beni Hilal also settled in the Sudan: it would be a remarkable thing if they did not.

As regards the Beni Sulaym, though most of them left Egypt for the west at the time of the great migration of 1051, by no means all of them did so. At the close of the thirteenth century they were very powerful in Bahira Province, and very many of them were also settled in the Fayūm and Upper Egypt.

---

1 See Lane-Poole, Manners and Customs..., Ch. 21; Huart, p. 405, etc.
2 See above, p. 8.
3 Moghrabi, pl. Moghārba (or Moghrabīn). Doughty writes in 1888: "Moorish Arabs are well accepted by the Arabians who repute them 'an old Hegāz folk and nephews of the Beni Hilal'" (Wanderings..., i, 36 ff.).
4 Ibn Khaldūn, i, 25 and 118. The Zoghba section threw in their lot with the Almohades. (Ibid. ii, 90.)
5 Quatremère, ii, 101 ff.
6 Ibn Khaldūn, ed. de Slane, i, 9–11.
7 Quatremère, ii, 201.
8 Lane, Manners and Customs..., p. 405, mentions "Ga‘afirēh" as a sub-tribe of Beni Hilal. See also remarks under Gawāma’a in Part III.
9 See pp. 68, 69 and 79.
10 At the same period the Howāra in Libya were subject to the Beni Sulaym, and the two tribes pastured their herds together. (See Ibn Khaldūn, i, 197, and cp. Makrīzī, ap. Quatremère, ii, 207, 212–215.)
Leo Africanus (c. 1495–1552) gives us details concerning the Beni Hilál in the north. "The Arabians which inhabit Africa," he says, "are diuided into three parts, one part whereof are called Cachin, the second Hilell, and the third Machili." Of the "Hilell," or Beni Hilál, he tells us that they were a rich powerful tribe with 6000 horsemen dwelling "upon the frontiers of the kingdom of Tremizen and Oran."

The Awlad Uke section lived on the borders of Meliána in Algeria and were in receipt of allowances from the ruler of Tunis: "they are a rude and wild people, and in very deade estranged from all humanitie: they have (as it is reported) about 1500 horsemen."

XV Rabi'a and Beni Kanz. One of the largest divisions of the Ismá'ilitic stock in Arabia was that of Rabi'a, who included the great Bukr and Taghilib sections, known together as Wáfil, the 'Abd El Kays and many others.

The early home of the Rabi'a was in the Hegáz, the Negd highlands and Tiháma, but towards the end of the fifth century A.D. violent internal dissensions broke out and in the sixth most of the tribe migrated, the Taghilib from Negd north-westwards to Mesopotamia and the 'Abd El Kays from Tiháma eastwards with the Bukr to Bahrayn. Early in the seventh century a great part of the Rabi'a accepted Christianity.

In 854 A.D. occurred an extensive migration of Rabi'a to Egypt. They dispersed into the various cantons, but chiefly, it would appear, to the Aswán district and northern Núbia. Thence, in 869, in company with many Kahtánite Guhayna and others the Rabi'a poured

1 Meaning the country west of Egypt and the Nile.
2 "Cachin," or "Schachin" (Leo, p. 150), are called "Esqueuin" by Marmol (p. 76). They were of Ismá'ilitic origin according to both authors.
3 Leo, trans. Pory, pp. 142 and 150. Both Leo and Marmol Caravajal (c. 1520) derive their information as to the past history of the tribe of Beni Hilál from Ibn el Rağîk (see Leo, ed. Brown, p. 211 note). By "Machill" are probably intended the Ma'ākil (or Ma'ākla) mentioned (see p. 146) by Ibn Khal'dûn as a branch of Beni Hilál and represented at the present among the Beli sub-tribes (see p. 137, note). Marmol (p. 76) calls them Mahequin. Both and Leo attribute to them a Himyaritic origin.
4 Leo, p. 144.
5 "The kingdom of Hucban are next neighbours unto the region of Melian" (ibid. loc. cit.).
6 Including the 'Anaza, the great tribe which lived at first in Teháma and now between the Euphrates and the Syrian mountains (Causin de Perceval, 1, 191). There was also a section of Kays called Rabi'a.
7 C. de Perceval, loc. cit. The settlements of Bukr were known as Diár Bukr, the modern "Diärbekir." Cp. Wüstenfeld, 1, 378, sub "Rabi'a ben Nizâr," quoting Yâlicht and el Bekri.
8 Ibíd. 1, 348; 11, 392-3.
9 Quatremére, 11, 84-85, quoting Maqrizi's treatise on the Arab tribes settled in Egypt. Cp. Lane-Poo1e, Hist. p. 29.
into the Bega country to the east. The lures to them were the emerald and gold mines: the spur was the oppression of the tax-gatherer on the Nile. In these early days the chief of the Rabī‘a was Ishāk ibn Beshr, but before long a split occurred and the Beni Yūnis section who had taken up their abode at ’Aidhāb embroiled themselves with the Beni Beshr section and were forced to retire to the Ḥegāz. Then the Beni Beshr quarrelled among themselves, Ishāk was killed and his cousin Abu ’Abdulla Muhammad “Abu Zayd” succeeded him. “Abu Zayd” had lived at Balbays, but, on being chosen to lead the tribe, he took up his headquarters at Aswān.

Meanwhile considerable cordiality had arisen between the Rabī‘a and the Bega in the east and also between the other Rabī‘a and the Nūbians on the Nile.

The Bega chieftains gave their daughters to the Rabī‘a in marriage and helped them to eject from the islands of the Red Sea the other Arabs who had settled there earlier. As a result the Bega, who had been distinctly inferior in strength to the Nūbians, became in alliance with the Rabī‘a more than a match for both the riverain tribes and such Kahtānīte Arabs as had succeeded in establishing themselves in the eastern deserts. By 943–4, Mas‘ūdi relates, Bashir ibn Marwān ibn Ishāk (or “Abu Marwān Bishr”) of the Beni Rabī‘a had under his sheikhship 3000 tribesmen of Rabī‘a and Muḍr and the Yemen and 30,000 Bega warriors. These latter were all Ḥadāreb, dwellers on the coast and converts to Islam.

The Rabī‘a who had remained round Aswān and never moved eastwards similarly imposed their influence on the natives and founded a modified Arab aristocracy ruling by consent over a less virile, though still unsubjected, population of autochthons. About 1020, or rather earlier, their chief, Abu Mukarram, son and successor of Abu ’Abdulla Muḥammad “Abu Zayd,” was invested by the Fatimite Khalīfa Ḥākim with the hereditary title of Kanz el Dowla as a reward for having defeated and taken prisoner (in 1006) the rebel Abu Raḵwa. By the end of the next century, and probably sooner, the

---

1 See Maḵrızī, Khetṭāt, ii, 569 and 575. The Rabī‘a had been employed in Nūbia on a punitive expedition (see p. 166).

2 Possibly the name Bishārīn is connected with the name of this section.

3 See Masʿūdi, iii, 33. Bouriant (ii, 570) hopelessly mistranslates the last part of Maḵrızī’s quotation from Masʿūdi. The Arabic is as follows:

ابو مروان بشر بن اسحق و هو من ربيعة يركب في ثلاثة الف من ربيعة وخلافها من مضر والبيمن

etc.

4 See Masʿūdi (ap. Maḵrızī, Khetṭāt, ii, 572); Abu Śāliḥ, p. 276; Quatremère, ii, 84, etc.

holder of this title was also called "Amír of Aswán," chief, that is, of the Arabs in that vicinity.\(^1\)

After the granting of the title Kanz el Dowla these western Rabí’A and such alien elements as they had assimilated came to be known as Bení Kanz.\(^2\)

About 1171–1175 they were in rebellion against Saladin.\(^3\)

In 1287 we find them taking part in the Nubian expedition of Kaláūn.\(^4\) By this time they were virtually supreme from Kūṣ to south of Aswán on both sides of the river, and, having allied themselves by marriage with the kings of Núbia, were in the position of being recognized on either side of the frontier of Egypt as forming an almost independent state.\(^5\) Succeeding centuries failed to oust them from their position and they are represented at the present day by the Kențz who live from Aswán to Korosko.\(^6\)

In the thirteenth, fourteenth and fifteenth centuries they were a perpetual thorn in the side of Egypt, being generally allied with the 'Ikrima, the branch of Kay’s Aylán to which the Bení Hilál also belonged,\(^7\) and employing themselves largely in raiding from 'Aidháb on the one side to the oases on the other.

In 1366 they openly defied the government and actually pillaged the military post of Aswán. For this exploit they paid dearly, and in 1378 the heads of eleven of their chiefs were sent to Cairo by the Amír of Aswán. Their repression was, however, carried out with too heavy a hand and in consequence they were reduced to desperation and revolted en masse in 1385 and captured Aswán itself. They then resumed their career of brigandage and terrorism, and for

---

\(^1\) See following chapter. The term "Governor of Aswán" which is sometimes used is misleading. Kanz el Dowla's position is made clear by Ibn Khaldūn's description of him as "amír of the Arabs in the neighbourhood of Aswán":

> حُنَان أمير العرب بنواحي أسوان يلقب وكنز الدولة

(Ibn Khaldūn, ed. ar. Vol. 5, p. 288, Bk. 11). His position was analogous to that of the 'Abdullá "Mángil" in Fung days.

\(^2\) Ibn Khaldūn (ed. ar. Vol. 6, p. 5) says: "The people living next to Aswán are known as the Awlád Kanz: their ancestor was Kanz el Dowla." Unless there is any pre-Fájmíte mention of Awlád Kanz, which, so far as I know there is not, though Mas‘údi and Ibn Selím both wrote in the tenth century, it seems certain that the tribe did in fact only take the name of Awlád Kanz after the granting of the title of Kanz el Dowla (Treasure of the State). Mas‘údi (q.v. in Khedézt, II, 572 ff.) could hardly have omitted to mention the name otherwise.

\(^3\) See following chapter.\(^4\) See following chapter.

\(^5\) Ibn Khaldūn, ed. de Sláne, pp. 9–11; Lane-Poole, Hist. pp. 29 and 308.

\(^6\) See Beckett. The singular of Kenţz is Kanzi. Alternative derivations that have been suggested for the term Kanz are Kenes (the hieroglyphic name of an island of the first cataract) and Ṭa Kenz ("land of the bow"), the old Egyptian name for the country (Beckett, p. 196).

\(^7\) Wüstenfeld, F.; Caussin de Perceval, Table X A.
the rest of the fourteenth century continued in intermittent possession of Aswán, flouting the authority of the Sultan of Egypt.

Though they lost Aswán in 1412 A.D. to the HOWÁRA Berbers1, who destroyed it and laid waste its confines, they remained the most powerful tribe on the Sudan-Egyptian border until the Turks under Selim I conquered the country in 15172.

APPENDIX

On the penetration of the Sudan by Berber Tribes.

We have seen that innumerable Arabs pushed westwards and coalesced with the Berber tribes, of whom the best known were the ŞANHÁGA, the KETÁMA, the LUÁTA, the MAŞMÚDA, the HOWÁRA, the LÁNTA, the ZENÁTA, the MUGHILA, the NAFZA and the GHOMÁRA3. But it will also have been noted that, though the main body of the Berber race remained in occupation of the country lying between Egypt and the Atlantic, founding a series of powerful dynasties4, and sending offshoots southwards towards the Niger5, many of them continued to settle as their ancestors had done in Egyptian territory, or to raid it, as seemed most convenient6. It will be noted in particular that the so-called Fáṭimite conquest of Egypt in 969 A.D. was effected almost entirely by Berber, KETÁMA for the most part, and undoubtedly marked the beginning of a period of increased Berber immigration7.

1 Maḵrízí, Khetáf, II, 575, and Burckhardt, Nubia, p. 517. In 1394 the Howára had been in league with the Awlíd Kanz in one of the latter’s periodical attacks on Aswán and had joined them in pillaging it.

2 For their distribution in the Sudan at the present day see Appendix to ABC in Part IV.


4 The “Almohades” (El Muwabhidín) were chiefly Mašmúda and Lamtuña, the “Almoravides” (El Merābitín) Şanhága.

5 By el Bekri’s day (1067 A.D.) Audaghast on the southern border of the Great Desert, on the boundary of Ghana, was peopled chiefly by Zenáta (Cooley, pp. 1-29), and the “Almoravides” converted Ghana to Islam in 1076 (Cooley, pp. 42-86). Barth agrees with Sultan Bello and Maḵrízí as to the close connection between the Berber and Bornu (Barth, II, 269-272), and we have had occasion in dealing with the tribes of Dárfur to note some Berber survivals in that country.

6 After they had ruined and burnt fifty flourishing Christian monasteries near Gíza, Abu Sáliḥ (c. 1208) speaks of them with feeling (ed. Evetts, p. 192) as a people “who do not know the truth or obey the law or distinguish between right and wrong.”

7 Maḵrízí, Khetáf, I, 269.
Having once settled in Egypt the Berber intermarried with the Arabs and native Egyptians to such an extent that their Berber origin was almost forgotten. The case of the Luáta who named themselves Čays has been already cited, and that of the Howára will follow.

In the fourteenth and fifteenth centuries we hear of large numbers of nomad Mezáta, Howára and Zenára (a section of Luáta) between Alexandria and Old Cairo, and many sections of Luáta, the tribe which had been in occupation of Barka at the time of Amr's conquest, are similarly mentioned by Makrízi (1365-1441) in Gíza, Bañasa, Manúf and Upper Egypt generally, and with them Mezáta, Howára and others. The two sections last mentioned had also settled to the north in Bahíra and Gharbia provinces and between Alexandria and A'kába. About 1382 a colony of Howára was transplanted to Girga province by Barkük, the first of the Circassian dynasty, and by assiduous cultivation they reclaimed it from the desert. These partly arabicized Howára, generally in company with the Zenára, were largely represented in the middle ages in Algeria, Tripoli and the Fezzán, warring, intermarrying, making treaties and quarrelling with the Arabs, but more than any other tribe of Berber origin the Howára succeeded in establishing themselves firmly in the Nile valley. Their settlement in Girga by Barkük marked the beginning of this process, and about the end of the century, as we have seen, they first, in company with the Aвлád Kanz, attacked and pillaged Aswán, and then some years later seized it from the Aвлád Kanz and put it to the sword.

These Howára made Upper Egypt their final habitation. Pococke, visiting the Nile in 1737, speaks of Akhmím as under a Berber amir; and Norden, who travelled up the Nile in 1737-8, says:

A little above the town of Síut they begin the habitations of the Arabs, known under the name of Havarra. They possess likewise lands on the other side of the Nile. They call them natives of the kind	

Burckhardt, early in the next century, found the Howára settled in villages from el Síut to Fárshiút on the west bank and to near Kena on the east. He regarded them as Arabs, and they had posed as such since the fourteenth century at the latest. He relates in full how in the eighteenth century they had controlled Upper Egypt and the northern Sudan as far south as Mañass and had compelled the Mamluks to cede these parts to them by

1 Ibn Khaldûn, ed. de Slane, 1, 9-11; ed. ar. Vol. 6, p. 5. Quatremère wrongly reads "Mezána" throughout for "Mezáta."
2 Butler, p. 430. They submitted to 'Amr in 642 A.D. In el Mas'idi's day they occupied the oasis of Kharga (see Makrízi, Kheštát, 11, 697).
3 Quatremère, 11, 201 and 207-208.
4 In Bañasa the bulk of the population were Luáta.
5 Quatremère, loc. cit.
6 Ibid. 11, 209.
7 Their strain is also believed to survive very strongly in the Shawia Arabs of West Africa. See Carette, pp. 126 ff.
8 Quatremère, 11, 200.
9 Travels in Egypt and Nubia, 11, 24.
10 Nubia, App. 1.
11 Ibn Khaldûn (ed. de Slane), 1, 273.
12 For further details see Part III, Chap. 8.
treaty. In spite of subsequent reverses at the hands of the Mamlûks their power was not broken finally till 1813, when Ibrâhîm Pasha inflicted upon them a crushing defeat.

These Howâra are represented in the Sudan by two quite distinct groups, namely, the nomad Hawâwîr of Dongola and the Howâra, or Gellâba Howâra, who have colonies in Kordofân and Dârfûr.

Of the other Berber tribes there are fewer representatives in the Sudan, but we shall see that on the Blue Nile there is a tribe of "Moghârba" who are of distinct Berber-Arab ancestry, and that in northern Dârfûr and farther west the ancient Berber strain is strongly marked. If Ibn Khaldûn is to be believed, as Barth thinks he is, it was the branch of Howâra who returned westwards that gave their name in the perverted form of Hogâr to the all-powerful Tuwârek tribe generally known as Azkâr, the owners of all the country round Ghat.

2 For these see Chapter 8 in Part III.
3 Note, too, the "Zenâra" who occur among the Bedayrîa and the Hawázma (q.v. Part III), and the occurrence of such names as Gebel el Zenàîî and Khor Nakhnukha (a Berber name) in central Kordofán, north of Bâra, in the Khayrán.
4 Ed. de Slane, Vol. i, 275, ap. Barth, Vol. i, Ch. x, p. 228.
NOTE TO GENEALOGICAL TREES 1, 2, 3

To illustrate Part II, Chapter 1, three genealogical trees are given\(^1\). They also serve to some extent to illustrate Part IV. The first shews the Kaḥtānîte tribes, the second the Ismāʿīlītic tribes (and their connection with the descendants of Kaḥtān), and the third the ʿAbbāsid and Omayyad families and that of the Prophet.

The following points require to be noted with regard to these trees:

1. They are (with the exception of the inset to Tree 1) entirely compiled from Wüstenfeld’s *Register zu den genealogischen Tabellen*.... Other authorities of course give many of the details differently.

2. Tree 1 is compiled from Tables I-XXIII in Wüstenfeld. Trees 2 and 3 are compiled from Tables A-Z in Wüstenfeld.

(Throughout Wüstenfeld’s work figures refer to Kaḥtānîte tribes and letters to Ismāʿīlītic tribes.)

3. I have altered Wüstenfeld’s German orthography to that used throughout this book.

4. A vast number of names given by Wüstenfeld, which are either quite unimportant in themselves or which are irrelevant to my subject, have been omitted. My object has been to provide reasonably compact skeleton trees for ready reference, as Wüstenfeld’s work, though frequently quoted in this book, is not easily accessible.

At the same time many apparently unimportant names are inserted (still on Wüstenfeld’s authority) since they bear suggestive resemblances to proper names found among the Arab tribes of the Sudan—whether there is actually any connection or not.

5. The dates given are generally only approximate and, unless the contrary is stated, refer to the year in which the man named was born. These dates (excepting the later historical ones) are adopted from Caussin de Perceval’s *Essai sur l’histoire des Arabes*..., Vol. 1.

6. The names of the eponymous ancestors of the most famous tribes are shewn in capitals. Names of tribes are shewn in italics.

\(^1\) See end of Part, after p. 190.
CHAPTER 2

The General Progress of the Arabs through Egypt and their Invasions of Dongola

1. We have now taken certain of the more notable of the Arab tribes and followed the early fortunes of each in turn, beginning, where possible, from the time of their immigration to Africa and breaking off, as a rule, with the temporary disappearance of some of their branches into Libya or the Sudan, and the merging of others into the permanent population of Egypt.

Before turning to the more recent history of the Arab tribes now represented in the Sudan and endeavouring to trace precisely the links that connect each with the more famous immigrants of the earlier age let us briefly record the general progress of the latter in Africa considered as a racial whole. This historical summary will also afford an opportunity for the passing mention of such contemporary events in Nūbia as have not been completely lost in obscurity.

II. 'Amr ibn el 'Āṣī1 invaded Egypt in December 639 A.D. with no more than 3500 to 4000 men, chiefly cavalry; but he was reinforced almost at once by 4000 others, and in June, 640, Zubayr ibn el 'Awwám also arrived with an army of some 12,000 men2. Alexandria fell in November, 641, and the conquest was complete3.

Such losses as were suffered during these few years were continually being made good by fresh contingents of Beduins4. All these troops were probably drawn more or less indiscriminately from the various tribes of Arabia, for they are generally spoken of by the historians by such general terms as “the Muslims” and are grouped

1 'Amr was a Kurayshite on his father’s side; his mother was an 'Anazīa (see Butler, p. 202). For the exact date of the invasion, see Butler, p. xxvii et seq. For the general course of events, see Lane-Poole, Hist. pp. 1–3.
2 See Butler, pp. 225 and 226. Lane-Poole thinks Zubayr’s contingent only brought up the total to 12,000. Butler speaks of Zubayr as bringing 4000 men and being followed very shortly by two other contingents of 4000 each. Ibn el Ḥakam says 4000, el Balādhuri 10,000 or 12,000, and Yākūt and el Siūṭī 12,000: Maqrīzí quotes from el Kindi a statement of Yezid that 'Amr's force was 15,500, i.e. an original 3500 plus 12,000 reinforcements: Abū Ṣāliḥ (p. 74), on the authority of The Book of el Gandih, says 'Amr came to Fostat with 3005 [3500?] men, and was afterwards joined by Zubayr with 12,000.
3 Lane-Poole, Hist. p. 13; Butler, p. xxviii.
4 Butler, pp. 213 and 427.
merely according to their various leaders and not tribe by tribe. There is no doubt, however, that the leaders would naturally be followed by more of their own respective tribes than others, for instance, 'Amr and Zubayr by Kuraysh, and we also know that when 'Amr laid out a town he apportioned separate streets and quarters to separate tribes. A very remarkable lack of information exists as to the names of the tribes that conquered Egypt, though there is an enormous mass of literature dealing with this era, and the explanation lies partly in the heterogeneous nature of the force.

Two tribes which were certainly represented to a large degree were those of Lakim and Gudham.

Considerable toleration was at first displayed towards the Copts, to whom 'Amr guaranteed, in return for their paying the taxation imposed, "their religion, their goods, their churches and crosses, their lands and waters"; and no doubt it was partly in consequence of this policy, and not merely on account of the weakness of the Roman garrisons, that Egypt was so rapidly subdued.

Before the end of 641 the whole country from the Red Sea to Barka and from the Mediterranean to Aswán had become a province of the Muslim Khalifate.

III In this same year or the next 20,000 men were sent under the command of 'Abdulla ibn Sa'ad ibn Abu Sarf to invade Christian Nubia. This constitutes the first Muhammadan invasion into the Sudan. Details of it are lacking, but the result was apparently not

2 Butler states (p. 198): "Most of 'Amr's following belonged to the tribe of Akk, although Al Kindi says that one-third were of the tribe of Ghafik. This does not help us much. In the first place, though this is not certain, there were, it seems, two quite separate Akks. One was a son of Adnan, and as such would be an ancestor of half the whole Isma'ilitic stock; the other was son of Othman, and hence the confusion, i.e. a Khaṭâni. In the second place, Ghafik was grandson of Akk the son of Adnan, i.e. the Beni Ghafik were a section of Beni Akk. (See Wüstenfeld, T., 55; 11, Table A, and Kay, p. 3.) 'Amr himself apparently thought little of them, as he summed up their achievements in the words 'The Ghafik [Bouriant reads 'Afeq'] are smitten and smite not' (Makrizi, Khedîf, ii, 469). The sort of confusion mentioned is very rife and prevents our making full use of even the scanty mentions of definite tribes which are extant. To make things worse we know that the Arabs very commonly took advantage of coincidences of nomenclature among their ancestors to claim identity with tribes with whom they had no real connection at all (so el Hamdani, q.v. in Kay, p. 214).
3 Lane-Poole, Hist. pp. 5, 6, quoting el Tabari (i, 2588).
4 Lane-Poole, p. 14.
5 Lane-Poole, p. 15; Makrizi, Khedîf, ii, 581.
6 A Kurayshite; see Wüstenfeld, O.
7 The Arabs are said, however, to have come into contact with the Nubians and Bega already at Bahnasa (Oxyrinchus)—(see Budge, ii, 11, and Burckhardt, p. 528)—but the story is of doubtful worth.
altogether unsuccessful, for the Nūbiyans paid the tribute (baḳt) of slaves imposed upon them for some years.

IV Meanwhile in Egypt ʿAmr was busying himself with problems of administration, his general policy being to accept the pre-existing Roman system as a whole and slightly modify it to suit the change of circumstances. Among the cardinal tenets of Muhammadan policy in these early days was the prohibiting of the acquisition of land by the Arabs. This is an important point, and must have largely affected the emigration question. "The idea was that they should remain soldiers, and not engage in agriculture as settlers."

Later, however, this restriction became practically inoperative. The Khalīfa ʿOmar, who being no financier regarded Egypt exactly as Muḥammad ʿAli Pasha at a subsequent epoch regarded the Sudan, soon became dissatisfied with the revenue which was sent him from Egypt and, thinking to augment it, first divided the province into two halves, giving ʿAmr control of the Delta and ʿAbdulla ibn Saʿad of the long riverain stretch running thence to the first cataract, and later appointed ʿAbdulla ruler of the whole and recalled ʿAmr.

V In 651–2 ʿAbdulla ibn Saʿad, as governor of the whole country, made his second expedition against Nūbiya, in consequence of the frequent raids made into Egypt. The account of this which is extant we owe to the description of Nūbiya written between 975 and 996 A.D. by ʿAbdulla ibn ʿAbd al-Muḥammad ibn ʿAbd al-Qayyūm el Ṭaramis (or Sulaym) el Aswānī and partly preserved in extracts by el Maḳrizī.

ʿAbdulla pushed as far south as Dongola, the capital of the kingdom, and, bombarded the town with catapults and destroyed the church. The Nūbiyans then sued for peace, and the terms granted them are sufficiently interesting to be given in full:

In the name of God, etc....This is a treaty granted by the amīr ʿAbdulla ibn Saʿad ibn Abu Sarḥ to the chief of the Nūbiyans and to all the people of his dominions, a treaty binding on great and small among them, from the frontier of Aswān to the frontier of ʿAlwa. ʿAbdulla ibn Saʿad ordains security and peace between them and the Muslims, their neighbours in the Saʿd, as well as all other Muslims and their tributaries. Ye people of Nūbiya, ye shall dwell in safety under the safeguard of God and his apostle,

1 Lane-Poole (Hist. p. 23). Butler, following Ibn el Athir, thinks that the expedition was a failure.
2 Lane-Poole, Hist. p. 18.
3 Butler, p. 461.
4 Lane-Poole, Hist. p. 20.
5 975–996 are the dates of Al Ṭāhir biʿllah the Fāṭimīte Khalīfa for whom el Aswānī wrote his work (see Quatremère, 11, 3).
6 See Makrizi (Kheetdjī), 1, vi; 11, 549, 580 et seq. Cp. Lane-Poole, Hist. pp. 21–23.
7 The king's name is translated as ʿĀlidūrūth by Bouriant and as "Koleydozo" by Burckhardt.
8 As translated by Lane-Poole (Hist. p. 21 et seq.).
Muḥammad the prophet, whom God bless and save. We will not attack you, nor wage war on you, nor make incursions against you, so long as ye abide by the terms settled between us and you. When ye enter our country, it shall be but as travellers, not as settlers, and when we enter your country it shall be but as travellers not settlers. Ye shall protect those Muslims or their allies who come into your land and travel there, until they quit it. Ye shall give us the slaves of Muslims who seek refuge among you, and send them back to the country of Islam; and likewise the Muslim fugitive who is at war with the Muslims, him ye shall expel from your country to the realm of Islam; ye shall not espouse his cause nor prevent his capture. Ye shall put no obstacle in the way of a Muslim, but render him aid till he quit your territory. Ye shall take care of the mosque which the Muslims have built in the outskirts of your city, and hinder none from praying there; ye shall clean it, and light it, and honour it. Every year ye shall pay 360\(^1\) head of slaves to the leader of the Muslims [i.e. the Khalīfah], of the middle class of slaves of your country, without bodily defects, males and females, but no old men nor old women nor young children. Ye shall deliver them to the Governor of Aswān. No Muslim shall be bound to repulse an enemy from you or to attack him, or hinder him, from 'Alwa to Aswān. If ye harbour a Muslim slave, or kill a Muslim or an ally, or attempt to destroy the mosque which the Muslims have built in the outskirts of your city, or withhold any of the 360 head of slaves, then this promised peace and security will be withdrawn from you, and we shall revert to hostility, until God decide between us, and He is the best of umpires. For our performance of these conditions we pledge our word, in the name of God, and our compact and faith, and belief in the name of His apostle, Muḥammad, God bless and save him. And for your performance of the same ye pledge yourselves by all that ye hold most sacred in your religion, by the Messiah and by the apostles and by all whom ye revere in your creed and religion. And God is witness of these things between us and you. Written by 'Amr ibn Shurahbīl in Ramaḍān in the year 31." [May–June, 652 A.D.]

VI This treaty continued in force for over 600 years. The tribute was paid over yearly to the officer in charge of the frontier post of el Ḫaṣr, five miles south of Aswān. At the same time a present of forty slaves was handed over by the Nūbiyyans and a large gift of wheat, barley, lentils, cloth and horses by the Arabs. The gift of one party was in theory no doubt the equivalent of that of the other, but unless the only detailed list of amounts that survives is entirely inaccurate—which is of course possible—the Arabs would probably in practice have had more difficulty in getting their tribute of 360 slaves had not their gift to the Nūbiyyans exceeded in value that of the Nūbiyyans to them by something very like the amount of the tribute\(^2\).

\(^1\) Masūdī (III, 39), "365."

\(^2\) Cp. Butler, p. 432, and note in this connection the terms in which Makrīzī alludes to the "baḵt" *apropos* of its renewal in the year 1276 (see later). The origin of the custom of exchange was as follows: When the Nūbiyyans paid their tribute
As regards the clause in the treaty prohibiting Arab settlement in Núbia, no doubt it was merely intended as a concessionary make-weight against the corresponding prohibition of Núbian settlement in Egypt, and it evidently fell into desuetude at an early date. Although the treaty as a whole seems to have remained in force for so long, the payment of the requisite tribute must have been regarded as the only really important clause, and even this was judiciously rendered more palatable to the Núbians by the subsequent exchange of gifts so advantageous to themselves.

VII 1In 656 the Khalifa 'Othmán was murdered and the civil war which followed did not leave Egypt unaffected. There had already been a rising against the oppressive rule of 'Abdulla ibn Sa'ad, and of two successive governors sent out by the Imám 'Ali one had to be removed and the other was poisoned. At Kharibta in the Ḥauf were 10,000 men determined to avenge 'Othmán, and when 'Amr ibn el 'Āṣi reappeared in 658 with a considerable body of troops as the nominee of Mu'ávia, the rival of 'Ali, he had no difficulty in establishing himself for a second period as Governor of Egypt. Two expeditions were sent between 658 and 664 against the Berbers of Libya, but otherwise no outstanding event occurred.

VIII 'Amr died in 664, and between that date and the rise of the Ṭūlūnid dynasty in 868 ninety-eight Arab governors 2 ruled Egypt, and the Arab population increased steadily.

The chief occasions of the immigration were the arrivals of new governors: each one came escorted by an army of anything up to 20,000 men, many of whom never returned to Syria or Arabia 3. A proportion of these hordes were Persian, Turkish and other tribes, but the majority were Arabs and would normally be members of the governor's own tribe. It is interesting, therefore, to analyse the tribal names of the eighty-three different governors who followed 'Amr. We find that in the Ommayyad period, i.e. up to 750 A.D., seven out

---

1 Lane-Poole, Hist. p. 24.
2 Several of these had two or three terms of office. There were only 83 different governors. See the list given by Lane-Poole (Hist. pp. 45-57).
3 Lane-Poole, Hist. p. 29.
of a total of twenty-two were from Ḳuraysh\(^1\), the same number from Ḳays 'Aylān\(^2\), one from Guhayna, two from Azd\(^3\), three from Ḥimyar\(^4\), one from Lakhm, and one whose tribe is unrecorded\(^5\).

Of the sixty-one different governors of Egypt who served an 'Abbāsid Khalifa between 750 and 856 the tribe to which at least thirty-three belonged is known. Of these thirty-three as many as fifteen were themselves members of the Beni 'Abbās, three were Beni Tamīm\(^6\), five from Azd\(^7\), two from Ṭai, one from Lakhm, two from Mudhhig, two from Bagīla\(^8\), two from Ḥimyar\(^9\), and one apparently an Armenian.

IX It would of course be those of the tribesmen who settled in the large towns or took to cultivation of the river banks who chiefly intermarried with the older Coptic population and remained in Egypt: the more nomadic tribes would naturally be more exclusive, and incidentally less eligible, in the matter of intermarriage, and such of them as penetrated in later years into the Sudan were probably still as purely Arab as when they entered Africa.

Half a century after the death of 'Amr (722) occurred the first of a long series of Coptic revolts. Few of these people had been converted to Islam and by now there were some 5,000,000 of them living in Egypt\(^10\).

As a set off to their power, the tribe of Ḳays 'Aylān was induced to immigrate to Egypt and settle round Balbays, and we have seen that by about 750 A.D. there were some 3000 of them in that locality and that, so far from strengthening the hand of the ruler of Egypt, they formed a hot-bed of revolt.

X Of the state of the countries south of Aswān at this period we have little news. Such as there is comes to us from Christian sources and consists of the following. About 737 A.D.\(^11\) the governor of Egypt

---

\(^{1}\) Mostly Beni Ommayya, related to the reigning Khalifa.

\(^{2}\) Fahm, 'Abs, Fazāra and Bāhila sections: all these in the first half of the eighth century.

\(^{3}\) Including one of the Khazrag.

\(^{4}\) One Aṣḥābi, one Kelbi, and one Hadrami. The Beni Kelb are a section of the Kudā’a branch.

\(^{5}\) El Ḥurr ibn Yusuf (724–727).

\(^{6}\) A section of Mudr.

\(^{7}\) Two belonged to the Khuzā’a division and two to the Muhallāb.

\(^{8}\) The Beni Tamīm, Azd, Ṭai, Lakhm, Mudhhig and Bagīla were all related to one another, being descendants of Kahlān (see Wüstenfeld, p. 4).

\(^{9}\) “El Ruayni” and “El Kelbi.”

\(^{10}\) Lane-Poole, *Hist.* pp. 27, 28.

\(^{11}\) See Abu Sāliḥ, pp. 267–268; cp. also Lane-Poole, p. 27. Abu Sāliḥ places the incident “in the Khalifate of Marvān el Ga’adī, the last of the Ommayyad Khalifas” (i.e. between 744 and 750), but he is unreliable. The whole story is probably largely exaggerated. Abu Sāliḥ's account “is borrowed from the biography of the patriarch Khālīf in the compilation of Severus of El Ashmūnayn; see Anc. Fonds Arabe, 139, p. 162 f.” (Evetts).
extorted money so blatantly from the Coptic Patriarch of Alexandria, Anbâ Khâ’il, that the latter went up-country to ask for assistance. The king of Abyssinia\(^1\), Cyriacus, was so indignant at the humiliation thus inflicted on his spiritual chief that he marched on Egypt with

100,000 horsemen and 100,000 camels....When the Nûbians \(\text{i.e. Abyssinians}\) entered Egypt, they plundered and slew, and took many prisoners, and laid waste many inhabited places in Upper Egypt as they marched towards Mîshr. Now when the ruler of Egypt heard what was the cause of their coming, and was told as follows: “when the patriarch of Egypt went up to ask assistance of the Christians in Upper Egypt, news of this reached the king of Nûbìa and the king of Abyssìnìa and [another] king subject to the jurisdiction of the patriarch of Egypt, and [the first named] was indignant at the news”; then [the Governor of Egypt] released the patriarch from his obligations and ceased to extort money from him, and begged him to write to the king of Nûbìa and bid him return [to his own country]. So the patriarch wrote to the king as he was requested and the king returned, and no longer acted as he had done, but departed to his own country.

XI In 750 A.D. the 'Abbâsid dynasty supplanted that of the Om-mayyâds, and Marwân, the last ruler of the defeated party, met his death a fugitive in Egypt. The first 'Abbâsid Khalîfa was 'Abdulla

\(^1\) Abu Sâliḥ calls Cyriacus “King of Nûbìa,” but he is vague on this point. Later (p. 272), speaking apparently of contemporary affairs (c. 1298 A.D.), he says: “The number of kings in Nûbìa is thirteen and all these rule the land under the supremacy of Cyriacus, the Great King; and all of them are priests and celebrate the liturgy within the sanctuary, as long as they reign without killing a man with their own hands; but if a king kills a man, he may no longer celebrate the liturgy ...etc.” But if we continue we find in the account of Abyssinia (p. 286): “All the kings of Abyssinia are priests, and celebrate the liturgy within the sanctuary, as long as they reign without slaying any man with their own hand; but after slaying a man they can no longer celebrate the liturgy; and the conditions by which they are bound after they have killed a man have already been spoken of in this book.” It seems that, as Evets says, “this proves the confusion in the mind of our author of Nûbìa with Abyssinia.” Abu Sâliḥ, also under the heading of “Abyssinia” (which, as we have seen earlier, he includes in the term “India”: see p. 49, above) says: “The King of El Mukurra, who is an Abyssinian and is an orthodox king, is the great king among the kings of his country, because he has an extensive kingdom, including distant regions in the north of the country, and has many troops; and he is the fourth of the kings of the earth, and no king on earth is strong enough to resist him; and at a certain place in his country he possesses the Ark of Noah” (p. 286, and cp. p. 296). Here, too, he seems to have involved himself in the same confusion, for the description given would apply to Abyssinia but never to Nûbìa or the district of Mukurra. Thus there can be little doubt, I think, that it was the Abyssinians who were chiefly responsible for the attack on Egypt about 737. A minor point supporting the theory is Abu Sâliḥ’s remark ṣâḥib ānqûṣûs of the 100,000 horsemen. “Now Nûbian horses are small, like the largest of the Egyptian asses, but have a great power of enduring fatigue” (p. 268). No one who has been in the Sudan for long can fail to recognize in this description the “habashi” \(\text{i.e. Abyssinian}\) pony, and it is not indigenous to any other part of Africa but Abyssinia. At the same time it is clear from the sentences which follow in the text that the Nûbians assisted the Abyssinians, and the mention of camelmen at once suggests the Bega tribes.
Abu el 'Abbás el Saffáh, "The Shedder of Blood." His policy was one of ruthless repression and the extermination by wholesale massacre of all possible rivals among the Ommayyads and the partisans of the Imám 'Ali.

Such of the Beni Ommayya as escaped from the slaughter fled to the more distant parts of the Islamic world. Some found a home in Spain¹, some in Egypt, and some within the borders of India.

Other parties are said to have fled direct to the Sudan, and it is from one of these that the Sudanese traditions derive the Arab element among the Fung dynasty who at the beginning of the sixteenth century founded the kingdom of Sennár in the Gezíra². Stripped of obvious inaccuracies and inconsistencies the tradition relates that one Sulaymán ibn 'Abd el Mālik ibn Marwān fled before el Saffáh to Abyssinia and thence to the Sudan, where he married the daughter of a local king. The congeries of tribes known at present as the Ga'āliyyūn ("Ga'āliún") are said in contradistinction to be of 'Abbásid origin. Again el Mas'ūdi³ speaks of 'Abdulla, the son of Marwān the last of the Ommayyads, as taking temporary refuge in the Sudan and leaving it by way of Báda' (i.e. "Airi," or el Rīḥ⁴) after losing his brother 'Obaydulla and many followers. Ibn Selim, as quoted by el Makrizi⁵, also refers to this event and there is no reason to doubt that it constitutes a historical fact⁶.

XII⁷ In Egypt and the neighbouring countries, meanwhile, a period of widespread revolt naturally followed the change of dynasties and the numerous religious controversies that had arisen.

The Khawārig sect of puritans caused serious trouble and bloodshed in Egypt in 754, and in Barka, in 759, in common with recalcitrant Ommayyads and Berbers, and in Abyssinia in 765. The Copts rose periodically during the same period, and the Beni Kays round Balbays took the chance offered by the general confusion of affairs to brigandize freely along the trade routes.

---

¹ E.g. 'Abd el Rahman ibn Mu'āwia in 756 A.D. founded there an Ommayyad dynasty.
² See BA ccxiii, A 2 xx, A 11 vii and lxxx, D 2 1, etc. in Part IV.
³ "Kitāb el Tanbiḥ," in Bibliotheca Geographorum Arabicorum, Part VIII, p. 330. The sons of Marwān entered the Sudan via Aswān with their families, dependents and Arab adherents and some Beni Ommayya from Khorásán.
⁴ So identified by Crowfoot: Red Sea Ports..., pp. 542 ff. Báda'—Airi lies near Akīk, just north of the eighteenth parallel. The variant Bāsa' ("Basē") in Makrizi (Khetdī, 11, 553) is clearly a misprint for Báda'.
⁵ Khetdī, 11, 553. Cp. Quatremère, 11, 16.
⁶ Tombs have actually been found on the old site of Báda' by Crowfoot which are dated about the end of the tenth century and prove that members of the Beni Ommayya settled there. See Crowfoot, Some Lacunae..., p. 3.
⁷ Lane-Poole, Hist. pp. 31-34.
In 782 an Ommayyad usurper in the Sa'id proclaimed himself Khalifa of Islam and met with repeated successes before he was caught and executed. His head was sent to the true Khalifa at Baghdad.

XIII Two years later began the most serious period of Kaysite rebellions. The beginning of the ninth century witnessed the most serious of these and was also a period of very acrimonious theological disputation. Apart from the main schism between the supporters of the different claimants to the Khalifate, Sunni and Shi'a, divergent schools of theology and law had arisen. At Baghdad the Hanifite doctrines were prevalent, but those of the Imam Malik ibn Anas held the field in Egypt and westwards during the latter part of the eighth and in the ninth centuries, and were especially patronized by the rival Ommayyad dynasty established in Spain. But at the beginning of the ninth century the Imam el Shafa'i came to Fostat and his teaching thenceforth began gradually to acquire the predominance which is assured to it in Egypt at the present day—a predominance which, it may be noted, has never extended to the Sudan nor seriously rivalled the hold which the doctrines of Malik obtain in that country and along the north coast of Africa outside Egypt.

XIV As regards the more secular disturbances, a culminating point was reached about 831 a.d.\(^2\), when practically all the Copts throughout Lower Egypt followed the lead of the Beni Kays and Beni Lakhm and other rebellious Arabs and broke into an insurrection which lasted for nearly a year and compelled the Khalifa to visit Egypt in person. He made a speedy end of the revolt and so crushed the Copts that henceforth they ceased to be of any great moment. Lane-Poole says\(^3\):

> From this date [832 a.d.] begins the numerical preponderance of the Muslims over the Christians in Egypt, and the settlement of the Arabs in the villages and on the land instead of, as heretofore, only in the great cities. Egypt now became, for the first time, an essentially Muhammadan country.

XV The Bega meanwhile\(^4\) had been giving trouble by their continual depredations, and the Khalifa had sent 'Abdulla ibn el Gahm against them. A treaty was finally concluded in 831 a.d., the year of the final Coptic revolt, at Aswan, between 'Abdulla and the Bega chief Kanun ibn 'Abd el 'Aziz\(^5\). The chief provision of the treaty was that the portion of the Bega country that lay between Aswan on

---

1. See Lane-Poole, loc. cit. p. 31, and Sir R. K. Wilson, Digest..., p. 19.
2. Makrīzī, Khēdīfī, i, 232; Lane-Poole, loc. cit. p. 37.
5. From his name evidently he was a convert to Islam.
the west and Dahlak and Báda' on the east should pay to the Khaliṣa a yearly tribute of a hundred camels or 300 dinārs. The continuance of Kanūn's rule under the Khaliṣa's overlordship was dependent on this payment. Other clauses of the treaty provided in the interests of Muhammadan subjects for due respect being paid to their religion, the protection of their persons and property, freedom of trade and travel in the Bega country, assistance in the recovery of escaped slaves or strayed animals, and an engagement to give no assistance to any enemy of Islam. The Bega were only allowed to visit Upper Egypt unarmed and on condition of their not entering any town or village. They also engaged not to damage the mosques erected at Sīḥa and Ḥagār or elsewhere throughout the Bega country, and agreed that Kanūn himself, who was to receive a free pardon for past offences, should reside in Egyptian territory as a hostage for the performance of all these provisions and the representative of his people.

In return for a strict compliance with these terms the Bega were placed under the protection of God, the Khaliṣa, and all Muhammadan subjects.

XVI No sooner had the affairs of the Bega been thus settled than it became necessary to take measures against the people of Nūbia, who had refused to pay their tribute. According to Ibn Selīm the Arabs in return adopted the policy of inciting against them the neighbouring tribes—the Bega no doubt—and of cutting off their food supplies. Zakariya ibn Bahnas, who was king of Nūbia at the time, before deciding on his course of action, sent his son George to appeal at Baghdaḍ. George was very well treated and succeeded in obtain-

---

1 I.e. the Bega were prohibited from Egyptian territory altogether unless they were merely passing through it or trading with the nomad Arabs. The region between el Kaṣr (the northern limit of Nūbia on the river, five miles from Aswān, see Maḳṣrīzī, loc. cit. II, 549) and el Ḫubbān (on the east bank, three days south of Aswān, opposite Dakka, see Burckhardt, p. 508) was barred altogether. In this connection see later, p. 182.

2 Burckhardt (p. 508), "Dhyber."

3 Quoted by el Maḳṣrīzī, Khetāt, II, 584–585. According to Abu Ṣāliḥ (q.v. pp. 268–270) the arrears of fourteen years were demanded. The fourteen years would date from about 833 A. D., for while Abu Ṣāliḥ speaks of Ibrahim, the brother of the Khalīfa Māmūn, as demanding these arrears, Ibn Selīm dates the trouble in the reign of el Muṭṣāṣim: the latter succeeded Māmūn in 833. From Ibn Selīm's account one would certainly not suppose the tribute had been overdue for more than perhaps a year. Abu Ṣāliḥ gives his account "according to the history of the church and the biography of Anbā Joseph, the 52d patriarch." He takes it from the biography of Yusāb (i.e. Joseph) in the compilation of Severus of el Ashmūnayn (Paris MS., Anc. Ponds Arabe, 139, pp. 250 f.). Joseph occupied the see from 831 to 850 (?). (See Renaudot, Hist. Patr. pp. 277–294.)

4 Maḳṣrīzī, ed. Bouriant, "Firqi"; Budge (II, 188), "Fērakī"; Burckhardt, "Feyrakey." Abu Ṣāliḥ gives "George."
ing a large order upon the treasury of Egypt payable as soon as the tribute was handed over. Arrangements were also made for the tribute in future to be paid every three years, but the amount of the gifts usually presented by the Muhammadans to the Nūbians on the occasion was cut down, and various demands made by Zakarīya were refused.

With this George had to be content—as well he might be—and the tribute was duly paid.

His father, it is said, founded a church in honour of his safe return.

XVII Still more serious trouble broke out in 854 during the rule of 'Anbasa, the last and best of the Arab governors of Egypt, for the Bega refused to pay tribute and raided the riverain towns of Edfū and Esna. A large army of Arabs was eventually mobilized on the Nile and marched inland from Kūş, while a smaller force was sent with supplies by way of the Red Sea. In the result the Bega were completely routed and their chief, 'Ali Bābā, surrendered to the Arab general. He was well treated and in 855–856 actually induced to visit the Khalīfa at Baghdād. Peace was then concluded and the matter of the tribute arranged. One of the chief clauses of the treaty laid stress on the facilities that were to be given to the Arabs to work the mines in the Bega countries. This done, 'Ali Bābā returned in safety to his own country.

XVIII A new class now begins to appear in Egypt.

From the time when the Arabs came in contact with the Turks on the Oxus and brought them under their rule, Turkish slaves had been highly prized in Muslim households. Their physical strength and beauty, their courage, and their fidelity had won the trust of the great emīrs, and especially of the caliphs who believed they could rely more safely upon the devotion of these purchased foreigners than upon their own jealous Arabs or the Persians among whom they dwelt and who had hitherto had a large share in the administration of the Empire. The young Turkish slave who

---

1 Abu Șāliḥ, loc. cit.
2 See Makrīzī, Khejāfī, 11, 568, and Lane-Poole, pp. 41, 42. Lane-Poole, who quotes Ibn Miskawayh on this subject, somewhat confuses the Bega with the riverain Nūbians. E.g. he speaks of 'Ali Bābā as king of the Sudan and of his men as "blacks." It is, however, quite clear from the description of incidents that the trouble was with the Hamitic camel-owning nomads of the Eastern desert. According to el Makrīzī (loc. cit.) the war ended in 856.
3 The Mamlūk period proper does not commence yet. In a sense it may be said to begin with Saladin in 1175: "Saladin the creator of the Egyptian Sultanate was also responsible for the introduction of the Mamluks" (Lane-Poole, Quart. Rev. April 1915); but "the real founder of the Mamluk Empire" was Baybars, who came to the throne in 1260.
4 Lane-Poole, Hist. p. 59. The quotation included by Lane-Poole is from E. T. Rogers, Coins of the Ṭūlānī dynasty (Numism. Orient. iv), p. 2.
served his master well usually acquired his freedom and received valuable court appointments. "The caliphs, who were often unable to appease the turbulent spirits of the native emirs, except by granting them special privileges and territorial rights, were gradually led into the opposite error of alienating the most powerful of their subjects, and in giving all their confidence to those foreign slaves, who thus acquired the entire control of the interior of the palace. These illiterate and barbarous white slaves (or mamluks), now incorporated into the society of the educated rulers of a great empire, soon became conversant with the law of the Korān. They adopted the language and religion of their masters. They studied science and politics; and when any of them became capable of undertaking the more difficult tasks or of occupying the more eminent posts in the court, they were emancipated, and appointed to the various government offices according to the talents they displayed.

Thus manumitted Turks were appointed not only to the chief offices in the palace, but to the governorships of some of the most important provinces in the Empire."

In the Sudan the Mamluks are generally known as the Ghuzz1. Egypt had naturally been affected by this revolution, and from about 836 the successive governors held it in fee for Turks at Baghdad.

XIX Till 856 they were Arabs, but in that year 'Anbasa was recalled, and in September, 868, after a series of Turkish governors had ruled for a space, a Mamlūk succeeded in founding a dynasty which was to direct the affairs of Egypt for fifty-seven years2. This man, Abu el 'Abbās ʿAḥmad ibn Ṭūlūn, was a remarkably capable administrator, but ruthless in his methods, and with the new order of things the Arabs, who had fallen into entire disfavour, became extremely discontented and began to emigrate south and west to the Sudan and the Berber countries, to escape the heavy hand of the alien.

XX Within three months of his accession Ibn Ṭūlūn was involved in a Nūbian expedition3. Its leader was Abu ʿAbd el Raḥman ibn 'Abdulla ibn 'Abd el Ḥamīd el 'Amri, and his force consisted chiefly of Rabīʿa and Guhayna. As soon as affairs in Nūbia had been dealt with el 'Amri turned eastwards towards the mines4, where since the

1 Cp. Burckhardt, Nubia, p. 138; el Tūnisi, Voy. ou Ouadāy, p. 319; and el Masʿūdī, Ch. xvii, who speaks of "the Nomadic Turks, who are the Ghuzz." Sprenger deals exhaustively with this word in his edition of el Masʿūdī (1 Vol.). They are properly the Seljuk Turks. The word Ghuzz is etymologically the same as "Scythian," and it occurs again in "Getes," "Massagetes," "Kirghiz," "Tunghiz" (Sprenger, loc. cit. pp. 238-240). The word is also used for the 'Ayyūbīte Kurds; see Bouriant (Makrizi), pp. 75 and 107.

2 Lane-Poole, Hist. pp. 42, 61.

3 Makrizi, Kheṭāf, II, 569, 575.

4 It is said that 60,000 camels were employed transporting his provisions from Aswān.
treaties of 831 and 855–856 ever-increasing numbers of Arabs had been settling among the Bega. Most of the Rabī‘a and Guhayna, instead of returning to Egypt, now took up their permanent abode in the eastern deserts and on the Red Sea coast and married Bega women. The chief result to Egypt was a cessation of the raids on her southern border, and to the Bega the acquisition of all tribal control by an Arab aristocracy.

XXI We need not follow the victorious career of Ibn Tulun in Syria nor the amazing dissipations of his successor in Egypt except to note that golden palaces and lakes of quicksilver must have spelt oppression to the taxpayer and proved an added incentive to emigration. It is enough to say that after Egypt had weltered in blood for a further nine years an army sent by el Muktafi in 905 A.D. recovered the province for the Khalifate for some thirty years and removed the survivors of the house of Ibn Tulun to Baghdád.

XXII In 914 the Fātimite sectarians from the west, chiefly Ketáma Berbers by race, began a series of attacks on Egypt. They were beaten back to Barbary in 920. Then followed fifteen years of utter anarchy, until in 935 Muhammad ibn Tulgh was appointed by the Khalifá to restore order in Egypt. This he did, and for eleven years there is no record of any disturbance.

XXIII It was during Ibn Tulgh’s reign that el Mas‘udi visited Egypt. Incidentally he gives us some valuable information as to the Arabs and the Sudan. Núbia—he uses the word in a broad sense—was divided into two main districts, that of Múkurra to the north and that of ‘Aloa to the south. Dongola (“Donkola,” دونقلا) was the capital of the former and Sóba of the latter. The most northerly portion of Múkurra was known as Maris.

The hereditary king of Dongola was Kubra ibn Surūr, and the southern district, ‘Aloa, was also under his suzerainty. He was responsible for the payment of the ancient tribute which was still in force, namely 365 slaves, together with a present of forty for the Governor and twenty for his representative at Aswán and five for the Grand Kádi at Aswán and one for each of the twelve notaries assisting him.

1 Compare the following, written of Southern Arabia:—“The supreme head of a tribal confederation is the Sultan. He is never a tribesman himself, but comes of an alien aristocracy imported by the senior confederate chiefs...” (W. Bury, Land of Uz, p. 293).
2 Lane-Poole, Hist. pp. 74–77.
3 Lane-Poole, Hist. pp. 81, 82.
4 Mas‘udi, III, 31–34, 39–43.
5 The emendation of “Sóba” (سودة) for “Sariáh” (سارية) or “Souiah” (سودية, see Quatremère) is an obvious one.
To the east, as far as the Red Sea, were the marauding Begā and the Arab tribes who had settled among them. The latter were chiefly Rabī‘a, Muṣr and various Kaḥṭānites from the Yemen, and numbered about 3000. They had intermarried with the Begā and all alike owed ultimate allegiance to the great sheikh Abu Marwān Bishr (Bashir ibn Marwan) of the Rabī‘a.

The Begā themselves were still pagans, excepting, that is, the Ḥadāreb, a warrior clan among them, who, it is stated, could put into the field 30,000 men mounted on camels.

The Nūbian chieftains, by now, it is interesting to note, claimed a Ḥimyarite descent, just as did the rulers of Kanem and Bornu at a later date. To some extent this may have been a result of intermarriage with the Arab tribes who had settled round Aswān, for el Masʿūdī especially notes that the population of that town—still a great trading emporium—was largely mixed with Nūbian, and that numerous Arab families, Kaḥṭānite and Ismā‘īlītic, had bought lands from the Nūbians and established themselves there; but the claim may equally have rested on an intimate association of long standing with Abyssinia and its half-Yemenite population.

Beyond ʿAlōa, it was reported, was “a great tribe of blacks called Kunna [Kenna (?), Kinna (?)]. They are naked like the Zing and their land produces gold. In the kingdom of these people the Nile divides.”

XXIV In 951 a successful raid was made by the Nūbians on the oasis of Kharga which at this period was under the domination of the Luʿāta Berber.

About five years later they attacked Aswān but a punitive


2 Masʿūdī, iii, 41, 50. The tribes of Kaḥṭān, Muṣr, Nizār, Rabī‘a and Kuraysh are mentioned. Burckhardt (App. iii, p. 529) remarks of this passage (which is quoted by Makrīzī in Khṭāṭī, 11, 572): “The notice of these Arab tribes is interesting because it shews how this part of Africa came to be peopled by them, and explains why we find on the Niles, in Kordofān, Darfur and Borhah, pure Arabian blood.”

3 Masʿūdī, ii, 383 (Ch. 31). Here again the old Copt's story to Ibn Tulun is being quoted. The Arabic is as follows:

ورزة علماء أمة جزء من السودان تدعى بكمه، وهي عزة قنانزج وارضهم

تبث الذهب ونملكة هذه الأمة يحترق النيل

These “Kunna” are no doubt Ibn Selmī’s “Kersa” or “Kernina,” for whom see p. 471. De Meynard and de Courteille wrongly translate “qu'on nomme Bekneh.”

4 Masʿūdī, iii, 51; Makrīzī, Khṭāṭī, 11, 697, 698.

5 Makrīzī, loc. cit. p. 574; Abu ʿṢālīḥ, p. 267.
expedition was despatched under Muḥammad ibn 'Abdulla el Kházin who captured Ibrim, executed a number of Núbians, and led back others to Egypt as slaves.

XXV A gap now follows in our knowledge of affairs in Núbia. But to the north of it important events were taking place.

The Fāṭimites, supporters of the theory that the divine right of succession to the Khalifate was inherent in the descendants of the Imám 'Ali, the husband of the Prophet’s daughter Fāṭima, had consolidated their power in Barbary, and with the decline of the Ikhshids came the obvious chance of realizing the persistent ambitions which had already led them half a century before to invade Egypt. The chance was not wasted. In 969 the heretical Fāṭimite Khalīfa, Abu Tamūm Ma‘add el Mu’izz, with an army of Shi‘ites entered Fostát in triumph, and in the same year Cairo was founded.

The new dynasty was phlegmatically accepted by the people of Egypt, and the Sherifs of the Holy Places and the ruler of northern Syria recognized it.

An attempt was also made to convert George, the king of Núbia, but it was unsuccessful.

XXVI Between 975 and 996 A.D. was written Ibn Selīm’s account of "Núbia, Mukurra, 'Aloa, the Bega and the Nile." Such information as he gives us concerning events that happened before his time has been already quoted. We may now summarize what he tells us of the state of affairs in the last decades of the tenth century.

In the extreme north of Núbia Muhammadan settlers from Egypt had acquired lands and were practically independent. A number of the Núbians to the south of them, but north of the second cataract, had also been converted.

The chief towns in this northern section were Begrásh or Negrásh, i.e. Faras, Ibrim and el Derr (?). It was under the control of a powerful official known as the "Lord of the Mountain," the repre-

1 The Shi’a doctrine had been introduced into Africa in 893 by 'Abdulla el Shi‘i, and had been at once adopted by the great Berber tribe of Ketāma. From them it spread rapidly among the other Berber-Arab tribes who formed the population of north Africa west of Egypt (Lane-Poole, Hist. Ch. iv).

2 Lane-Poole, loc. cit. pp. 90, 98. His force consisted largely of Ketāma and other Berber tribes. It also contained Greeks, Slavs, etc. (Mākrizi, loc. cit. 1, 269).

3 Lane-Poole, loc. cit. p. 104.

4 Ibid. p. 105.

5 Quatremère, II, 3.

6 His geography is not very clear and I omit detailed discussion of the many points that arise.


8 This seems a fairly certain emendation for the "Adwa" (أدوا) of some texts.
sentative of the king of Nūbia\(^1\), and it was a part of his business to see that no one passed the barrier of the second cataract, \textit{i.e.} that near Ḥalfa, without due authorization. His authority seems to have extended nearly as far south as Sāi. Beyond the southern limit of his dominions money was unknown and all trade was conducted by bartering slaves, cattle, camels, iron and corn for the products of the north. The "\textit{marīsī}" language was spoken as far south as "Yastu\(^2\)," a village lying about thirty-six miles south of the third cataract and, says Ibn Selīm, marking the boundary between the provinces of Marīs, or Nūbia proper, and Mukurra (\textit{Mukurra proper})\(^3\). Beyond this point lay two districts known respectively as Bakūn and Safād Bakl [Safākal (?)\(^4\), Sandīkal (?)\(^4\), Safādbkal (?)\(^4\)]. The latter extended as far south as Dongola, and Dongola was the capital of the whole country from the Egyptian frontier to the borders of 'Aloa.

Ibn Selīm comments on the fertility and prosperity of the country on either side of Dongola.

Of the ordinary inhabitants he says practically nothing, but he mentions an immigrant sub-tribe of Bega called the \textit{Zenafeg} who retained their own language and kept aloof from the Nūbios: they led a pastoral life somewhere between the present sites of Abu Ḥammad and Berber. We shall see that farther north and east other \textit{Zenafeg} were subject to the Ḥadāreb.

The territory of Dongola extended no further south than el Abwāb (Kabushīa), which was the northernmost district of the kingdom of 'Aloa\(^5\) and was ruled by a vassal \textit{mek} known by the title of

\(^{1}\) That is, apparently, the king of Mukurra, of which district Dongola was the capital. Yākūt mentions (see Abu Šālih, p. 261, \textit{note}) that the king of Nūbia called himself "King of Mukurra and Nūba" (ṣīc).

\(^{2}\) So Bouriant (يَسُوْم). Burckhardt notes (p. 523): "I find this word written Yonso, Benso, Nosor. Perhaps Mosho, the frontier town of Dongola, is meant." The "36 miles" are in the Arabic "3 barīd." Burckhardt says a "barīd" is 12 miles.

\(^{3}\) Mukurra is generally spoken of in a wider sense (\textit{e.g.} by Ibn Selīm himself three pages later) as stretching much farther north, and on p. 549 Ibn Selīm speaks of Negrāsh (Faras) as capital of Marīs and on p. 554 as capital of Mukurra. Marīs and Nūbia proper are identified as distinct from Mukurra (pp. 551, 554). Presumably Marīs was merely a name sometimes applied to that northern portion of Mukurra which was inhabited by a distinct type of Egyptianized Ethiopian. The title of Ibn Selīm's work (\textit{q.v.} above) supports this. The word Marīs is Coptic and means "the South," \textit{i.e.} the most northerly part of Nūbia, looked at from the standpoint of Egypt. (See Abu Šālih, p. 260, and Makrīzī, \textit{Khetāf}, 11, 372). Amēlineau, on what grounds I do not know, says Mukurra extended from Korosko to the ancient Napata (Abu Šālih, p. 261, \textit{note}): see note 5, below.

\(^{4}\) See Burckhardt, pp. 496, 523.

\(^{5}\) If so the southern boundary of Mukurra was presumably just north of Kabushīa, \textit{i.e.} within a few miles of the ancient Meroe. It is not absolutely certain from Makrīzī's text whether this fact is stated on his own authority or that of Ibn Selīm. Burckhardt assumes the latter.
"rahrâh." On the banks of the Atbara, we are told, lived a tribe called the Dâgiûn connected on the one hand with the people of 'Aloa and on the other with the Begâ. Beyond them and bordering on Abyssinia were the Bâza, among whom "all the women bear the same name, and likewise the men."

The hereditary kingship of 'Aloa was held in Ibn Selîm's day by one Simeon whose capital was at Sôba near the junction of the Niles.

4 On voit dans cette ville des constructions fort belles, de vastes couvents, des églises où l'or abonde et des jardins; l'un de ses faubourgs est peuplé de Musulmans. Le roi de 'Alouah est plus riche que le roi de Maqorrah; il a plus de guerriers et plus de chevaux; son pays est plus fertile et plus vaste?; les palmiers et les vignes cependant y sont rares; la récolte la plus abondante est celle du dourrah blanc qui ressemble au riz; on en fait du pain et de la bière....Ces peuples sont de la religion chrétienne jacobite; comme chez les Nubiens, leurs évêques leur sont envoyés par le patriarche d'Alexandrie. Ils se servent des livres grecs qu'ils traduisent dans leur langue. Ils sont moins intelligents que les Nubiens. Leur roi est maître absolu...8.

In the Gezîra, some distance south of 'Aloa, lived a certain people called the Kersa9. Of them it is related:

à l'époque des semaines, chaque individu vient avec ce qu'il possède de semences et forme un enclos en rapport avec la quantité de graines qu'il a apportées; puis il sème aux quatre angles de l'enclos une petite quantité de ce grain et dépose le reste, avec un peu de bière, au milieu de l'enclos

1 Burckhardt, "rahrâh" (p. 497). Possibly the term is connected with the "Rehrehsa" of the stele of Hêru-sa-atêf. This king (sixth century B.C.) reigned at Meroe and records an expedition he made against a people of that name and two attacks by them on Meroe. (See Budge, 11, 80, 81.)

2 May these be the Dâgew, not yet gone to Dâfûr? It is unlikely; and Burckhardt reads "Deyhyoun" (i.e. ديجيون) and Quatremère "Rinhoun" (11, 17).

3 Burckhardt, "Nara." Two of his MSS. spelt it so, and one "Zonâra."


5 Burckhardt (p. 500) translates "handsome edifices and extensive dwellings."

6 The Arabic is جرث, the plural of ريث: Burckhardt (loc. cit.) translates "inns where Moslims live." He explains that the word means "public buildings destined originally for the accommodation of students; many of them still exist in the Hedîjaz and at Cairo where they have declined into mere lodging-houses." I think "hostels" would be the best translation. See note to "D 7," 1, in Part IV.

7 Mas'ûdî (111, 32) had heard that 'Aloa was subject to the king of Dongola: he was probably misinformed.

8 This passage cannot fail to recall the opening paragraph of MS. "D 7" (q.v. in Part IV).

9 Bouriant gives "les Kernînî" (الكرنينة), Burckhardt (p. 501), "A nation of the name of Koroma or Kersa": the latter notes: "This I find written Korsa, Kortyna, and Koroma (كرنينا كورما کرسا)." The people are presumably the same as Mas'ûdî's "Kunna" (see p. 168). We shall later in this chapter find the Kersa grouped with the 'Anag and others in the thirteenth century.
et s'en va. Le lendemain matin tout l'enclos est ensemencé et la bière a été bue; au temps de la moisson, on coupe quelques tiges que l'on dépose dans un endroit avec de la bière, et l'on se retire; quand on revient on trouve la moisson faite et mise en gerbes. On fait de même quand il s'agit de battre le grain ou de le vanner. Mais si quelqu'un, voulant sarceler son champ, arrache par hasard le moindre épé, il trouve le lendemain tout le champ arraché....Les gens du pays attribuent cela aux génies; ils croient que certaines personnes peuvent obliger, au moyen de certaines pierres, les génies à les servir...les nuages même leur obéirent.

Concerning the religion of the tribes of 'Aloa we are told that

La plupart reconnaissent le Créateur; ils lui font des sacrifices sous la forme du soleil, de la lune ou des astres. Certains d'entre eux ne connaissent pas le Créateur et adorent le soleil et le feu. D'autres adorent tout ce que leur plaît: arbre ou animal.

A description of the nomad BEGA and their country follows. This is too well known to need much quotation. The main points to be noticed are that a matrilineal system still survived among them, that they were by now divided into a number of independent tribes and no longer acknowledged the rule of a single supreme sheikh as in the time of 'Abdulla ibn Gahm. Among their customs was that of removing the right testicle from their male children: female excision was also practised. For the rest, most of what is said of the BEGA and their ways might well have been written at the present day of their descendants.

The ḤAḌĀREB division of the tribe, who lived on the Red Sea coast and the Egyptian frontier, were the first BEGA to be converted to Islam. The rest of the BEGA were still practically all pagan, worshipping demons and living under the influence of their holy men. To these latter the BEGA applied for guidance in their ventures and the holy man would in a frenzy of inspiration foretell success or failure.

XXVII But to return to affairs in the north. The Fāṭimītes remained in power in Egypt for some 200 years and the earlier period of their rule was one of sumptuous magnificence, art, and material prosperity. The western provinces, however, soon began to break away from their dependence: "Abu Raḵwa," with a force of KETĀMA and BENI ḴURRA, a section of GUDHAM, seized Barka in 1005 in the reign of the fanatic Ḥākim. After routing the Khalifa's troops he proceeded to occupy Upper Egypt. Here he was unsuccessful and suffered a

2 Ibid. pp. 561-571.
3 For the ancient prevalence of the matrilineal system see note to para. xxxiii of this chapter.
4 Lane-Poole, p. 128. Cp. p. 149, above.
severe defeat. In consequence he fled to Núbia, where the king then was Raphael, but was captured at the monastery of St Sinuthius in 1006, taken to Cairo, and impaled.

Fresh risings, chiefly engineered by the Ketáma, supervened, and in 1021 Hákim was murdered.

Hákim's mad rule had been a reign of terror: that of his successor el Záhir was equally so.

XXVIII From 1036 to 1094 el Mustanšir, the grandson of Hákim, ruled Egypt, but Syria was no longer subservient, and the Şanhnága and Ketáma Berbers to the west offered no more than a nominal allegiance: they frequently gave less.

About 1044 the Şanhnága governor of North Africa, Mu'izz, renounced Shi'ism, and two years later proclaimed his independence. We have already seen how the Beni Hilál and Beni Sulaym, with other parties of Arabs, were dispatched from the Sa'íd to bring him to reason, how they laid waste his country, and how they then amalgamated with the Berbers and remained in practically independent possession.

In spite of the loss of its provinces and the exactions of its rulers Egypt appears to have been fairly tranquil and prosperous. A Persian traveller has left a record of his visit between 1046 and 1049 and has described the luxury of the capital. The composition of el Mustanšir's forces at this time is worth noting: there were "20,000 mounted Ketáma Berbers, 10,000 Bátílis, 20,000 blacks, 10,000 'Orientals' [Turks and Persians], 30,000 purchased slaves, 15,000 Bedawis of the Hegáz, 30,000 black and white slave attendants and chamberlains (ustád), 10,000 palace servants (seráyi), and 30,000 negro swordsmen."

With such a heterogeneous army it is not surprising that in 1062 a serious internal crisis arose between the Turks and the Berbers on the one hand and the blacks on the other. Neither side was animated by any loyalty to the Egyptian Khalifate and while 50,000 of the blacks were driven into Upper Egypt, whence they continued for some years to harry the more northerly provinces, the Berbers...

1 According to Abu Šálih (p. 265) Raphael introduced into Núbia a new style of architecture. "The King's house [at Dongola] is lofty, with several domes built of red brick, and resembles the buildings in Al 'Irák; and this novelty was introduced by Raphael who was King of Núbia in the year 392 of the Arabs."

2 See Abu Šálih, pp. 262, 265: also Abu el Fídá, Annales, 11, 616, there quoted.

3 Lane-Poole, p. 134. 4 Lane-Poole, Hist. pp. 136, 137.

5 Lane-Poole, p. 138. 6 See p. 146.

7 See Lane-Poole, pp. 139-142. 8 Lane-Poole, Hist. pp. 145-149.

9 It is obviously most unlikely that Núbia paid any tribute during this period. About now we hear of Christodulus the 66th patriarch (1047-1100, see Evetts, ap. Abu Šálih, p. 121) at Alexandria requesting and obtaining monetary assistance
proceeded to overrun the Delta, and the Turks looted everything of value that could be found\(^1\). A seven years’ famine followed and unheard of atrocities were perpetrated. However, in 1074, with the aid of Arab and Armenian troops from Syria the Khalif\(\bar{\text{a}}\) managed to restore order, subdued the rebellious Berbers in the Delta and re-conquered the country as far as Aswán, so that a period of twenty years of prosperity followed.

XXIX The latter half of the eleventh century saw the subjugation of Syria by the Selgûk Turkmâns and the first Crusade. The Fâtîmite power now began to decline and it was supplanted in 1171 by the Sultanate of the 'Ayyûbite Kurds under "Saladin" [Ṣalâh el Dîn\(^2\)].

XXX The histories of the Fâtîmite period are so concerned with foreign wars, court intrigues, murders, revolts, and extravagances of successive governors that there is little to be gleaned as to the nomad Arabs. In the large towns the population must have gradually become more and more mixed with Turkish and negro elements, and a certain number of the Arabs joined this heterogeneous medley and adopted a sedentary life. These, of course, would tend to lose very quickly all racial purity and even tribal distinctions: they were merged into the Egyptians and do not concern us here.

The nomads, on the other hand, remained practically unaffected. It is a striking, but not in the least surprising, fact that the tendency of each successive dynasty that ruled Egypt was increasingly to regard the Arabs, that is the nomads, not so much as forming an integral part of the state as an element of danger and unrest hovering on the borders of the country, to be made use of when convenient but never entitled to more consideration than they had the power to extort. The place they occupied, for instance, in Saladin’s regard is not inaptly illustrated by the following quotation from Maţrîzi\(^3\):

The Sultan [Saladin] proceeded to Alexandria for the following reason: there was a surplus population at Alexandria and at the same time money

from the king of Nûbia "on account of the exactions from which he suffered at the hands of the Government and of the Luáta" (Abu Sâlîh, p. 270).

In the patriarchate of Cyril (1078–1092 (sic), see Evetts, *ap. Abu Sâlîh*, p. 137), we are told, died Solomon, a king of Nûbia who abdicated in order to lead a life of asceticism and was brought to Cairo and received there with honour and finally buried at the monastery of el Khandak in the suburbs of Cairo (Abu Sâlîh, pp. 270, 271).

\(^1\) The most serious loss of this reign of terror was the destruction or dispersal of the Khalif\(\bar{\text{a}}\)'s priceless library of 100,000 books (see Lane-Poole, p. 149).

\(^2\) Saladin was the first man to be styled Sultan in Egypt. However, both he and his sons and collaterals who succeeded him styled themselves "Malik" on their coinage, though calling themselves "Sultan" in their building inscription and being commonly known as such. (Lane-Poole, *Quart. Rev. April*, 1915.)

\(^3\) *Kitâb el Selâk* (ed. Blochet), pp. 105, 106 (translated from French).
was so very scarce there that he did not know what to do. He was told that there were ample resources in Barka and that there were only Arabs living there, who could not offer any serious resistance. So he went to Alexandria and there held a council...and it was decided to send an expedition to the country of the Arabs and to hasten the gathering of the corn crop before it was harvested....Letters were also sent to the Arabs demanding the payment of their tithes and bidding them cease intercepting the roads by which the slave merchants passed.

Again we read\(^1\) that in \(^{1181}\) for no particular reason "orders were sent to seize the crops of the nomads ('Arabān') in the eastern provinces and to send them to Baḥira. Intercepting caravans and raiding other tribes seem to have been the main occupations of the nomads, as it still is in Arabia. Numbers of them were also employed as auxiliary troops in the various expeditions sent to Syria, Barbary, and the Sudan, but these were distinctly untrustworthy.

There is no doubt that each year various sections, presumably those who had suffered most from oppression or famine, migrated further afield. Large numbers evidently took up their abode in Upper Egypt, others returned to Syria\(^2\), and others probably pushed further south into Nūbian territory.

At the same time there was a considerable body of immigration from Syria: we have seen, for instance, how the Awlād Sinbis section of Ta'i entered Egypt in \(^{1050}\), and how other branches of the same tribe supplanted the Beni Gudhām at the beginning of the 'Ayyūbite period\(^3\).

XXXI Saladīn ruled till \(^{1193}\) and this period was "the most glorious in the history of Muslim domination in Egypt\(^4\)." Sixteen years of his reign were taken up with campaigns in the East. He also found time, within two years of his accession, to conquer the Mediterranean littoral as far west as Gabes and to send a couple of expeditions into the Sudan\(^5\). These latter were rendered necessary by a movement which had begun in Nūbia in favour of the Fāṭimītes and had

---

\(^1\) Ibid. p. \(^{140}\).

\(^2\) E.g. see Makrizī, Kitāb el Selūk (Blochet), p. \(^{269}\).

\(^3\) In \(^{1154}\) numbers of nomads accompanied Ta'lā'ī' ibn Ruzzīk, the Governor of el Ashmūnayn when he moved north and seized Cairo (Lane-Poole, p. \(^{173}\)). The usurper Shawār (\(^{1160}-\(^{1169}\)) who had been Governor of Upper Egypt, was an Arab (Lane-Poole, pp. \(^{176}, \(^{186}\)) and his rival Dirghām, in Cairo, was one of the Beni Lākhm (Lane-Poole, p. \(^{176}\)). The nomad armed with a spear and hovering on the outskirts of the battle is easily recognizable in the European accounts of the Crusades, as distinct from the heavy troops in mail, who would be almost entirely negro and Turkish mamlūkūs. On the other hand, in \(^{1249}\) we find the Kānīn compiled as garrison of Damietta (Lane-Poole, p. \(^{232}\)).

\(^4\) Lane-Poole, p. \(^{190}\).

\(^5\) See Makrizī, Kitāb el Selūk, p. \(^{110}\); Lane-Poole, Hist. p. \(^{197}\); Abu Šālih, pp. \(^{266}\) et seq.; and Ibn Khuldūn (ed. ar. Vol. 5, p. \(^{287}\), Bk. 11) for what follows.
culminated in an attack upon Aswán. The first army was sent under command of Shagá’a el Dín el Ba’albeki. The rebels fled at its approach, were pursued by Shagá’a el Dín and Kanz el Dowla, the chief of the half-Núbianized Bení Kanz and amír of the Arabs of Aswán1, and were heavily defeated.

The second expedition2 took place during the same year (1172-3), and was led by Saladin’s elder brother Túrán Sháh3. Ibrím4 was taken, the Christian church pillaged, many captives taken—according to Abu Šáliḥ 700,000—the bishop tortured, and 700 pigs slaughtered5.

Túrán Sháh went no further than Ibrím. On his return journey6 he gave Aswán in fief to a certain Ibráhím the Kurd who turned it into a robber fortress whence he plundered the Núbians. When Túrán Sháh reached Kūš he was overtaken by a letter and presents from the king of Núbia. He treated the envoy well and gave him a robe of honour and two arrows saying “Tell the King I have no other reply than that.” He also sent to enquire into the resources of Núbia an ambassador who went as far as Dongola and then returned and reported the country as

“a poor one, where scarcely anything is grown except a little dura and some small date-palms on the fruit of which the inhabitants live. The king came out of his palace naked and mounted a horse without saddle or caparisons: he had wrapped round him a robe of silk, and he had not a hair on his head. I advanced towards him,” said the ambassador, “and when I would have saluted him he burst out laughing. He appeared to understand no word of what I said, and he ordered one of his men to mark on my hand the figure of a cross. He gave me about fifty rolls of corn. There were no buildings at Dongola excepting the palace of the king. The rest were all huts of straw.”

1 Some account of this chief was given in the last chapter. The name Kanz el Dowla was evidently a hereditary title: see p. 187. Ibn Baṭṭûṭa (Vol. iv, p. 396) speaks of “Ibn Kanz el Dín,” i.e. Kanz el Dowla, as becoming a Muhammadan in the reign of el Násir, though the Núbians were still Christians. He probably failed to realize that Kanz el Dowla was not a pure Núbian himself.
2 See note 5 on previous page.
3 I.e. ElMelik el Mu’aẓẓam Shams el Dowla Túrán Sháh, surnamed Fakhr el Dín.
4 Of Ibrím at this time Abu Šáliḥ says (p. 266): “In the land of Núbia is the city of Ibrím, the residence of the Lord of the Mountain, all the inhabitants of which are of the province of Maris; it is enclosed within a wall. Here there is a large and beautiful church, finely planned, and named after Our Lady, the Pure Virgin Mary. Above it there is a high dome, upon which rises a high cross.” Ibrím, it will be remembered, was the ancient Primis. For the “Lord of the Mountain” see p. 169.
5 Abu Šáliḥ (p. 267) also mentions the capture of a large quantity of cotton at Ibrím; it was taken to Kūš and sold there. According to Ibn Khaldūn, Túrán Sháh got practically nothing except slaves from the expedition, there being even a shortage of corn.
6 Maqrizi, Kitáb el Selūk, pp. 111, 112.
XXXII In 1174 Kanz el Dowla revolted with a following of Arabs and blacks and invaded Egypt in the Fātimite interest. Saladin sent his brother Melik el 'Adil against him, a battle was fought near Ṭūd, and the Nūbians were completely defeated. Kanz el Dowla himself was captured and put to death¹.

With the death of Saladin in 1193 the main centre of power and of interest moves from Egypt to Syria² and the continued record of wars with the Crusaders seldom touches our main thesis³.

XXXIII We gather some valuable information concerning Nūbia in the early years of the thirteenth century from Abu Ṣāliḥ the Armenian⁴, though his value is greatly decreased by his obvious confusion between Abyssinia and Nūbia and by his credulity.

The best-known place-names in Nūbia were still, as in el Masūdī's day, Maris, Mukurra, Dongola, and 'Aloa.

Maris was the name of the most northerly province, which stretched southwards from the Egyptian border by Aswán to Korosko, that is to about 60 miles north of Wadi Halfa. Its capital was Bujaras "which is a well-populated city: there is the dwelling place of Jausār, who wore the turban and the two horns and the golden bracelet." This description is extremely interesting. The two horns at once suggest the takia⁵, or two-horned cap, of the Fung king and his Mangils; and the golden bracelet has surely survived in the name of the great Sowār el Dhabah ("Bracelet of Gold") family who still reside in Dongola and claim to be Bедayría of the Dahmashia section. Mukurra was the district stretching from Korosko southwards. It probably contained seven episcopal sees, namely Korti, Ibrim, Bucaras (Bujaras), Dongola, Sāí, Termus and Suenkur⁶, and certainly numerous monasteries and churches.

'Aloa lay near the junction of the Niles. The name generally refers to the district of which Sōba was the capital, but is also used, by Abu Ḣalīḥ, for instance, for Sōba itself.

The description given by Abu Ḣalīḥ of this district with its garrisons and 400 churches of the Jacobite Christians will be quoted

¹ Makrīzī, Kit. el Selāk, pp. 118, 119; Khetāt, ii, 574; Burckhardt, Nubia, p. 518; and Ibn Khaldūn (ed. ar. Vol. 5, p. 289, Bk. 11). I have followed Makrīzī's version. Ibn Khaldūn attributes the revolt to Kanz el Dowla's annoyance at certain lands near Aswān being allotted by Saladin to one of his amirs. He also differs as to the name of the leader of the punitive expedition.

² Lane-Poole, p. 212.

³ Makrīzī (Kit. el Selāk, p. 464) mentions a revolt of Arabs in the Sa'īd in 1240-1.

⁴ See Abu Ḣalīḥ pp. 260 et seq. and notes by Eyvets and Butler. Abu Ḣalīḥ's work was composed about 1208 A.D.; see p. x (Eyvets).

⁵ See Part III, sub "'Abdullāb." See also Part I, Chap. 1, xvii, for what may be a Ḥimyarite parallel.

⁶ So Vansleb.

M. S. I.
later\(^1\) when we came to record the destruction of Sóba by the Funk 300 years after Abu Şálih’s time.

Dongola was the royal residence. “It is a large city on the banks of the blessed Nile, and it contains many churches and wide streets\(^2\).”

Trade was all by exchange, and the chief medium seems to have been slaves who were handed over to the Arabs and Mamlúks in return for cloths and suchlike.

A matrilinear system still held good, for

It is said to be the custom among the Núbins when a king dies and leaves a son and also a nephew, the son of his sister, that the latter reigns after his uncle instead of the son; but if there is no sister’s son, then the king’s own son succeeds.

“Nephews” figure very largely in the records of this and the following century and we have already seen how the Arabs accepted and used for their own purposes this system of succession among the Núbins\(^3\).

---

1 See D 7, 1, note.
2 Yakút calls it “Dümkula” (ردقلة).
3 See the case of the Guhayna in the last chapter. The matrilinear system was quite understandable to the Arabs. Robertson Smith speaks of the “early and universal prevalence of mother-kinship” in Arabia as being “only gradually superseded by paternal kinship,” and thinks “the old Arab groups of female kinship were originally totem tribes” (Kinship and Marriage, pp. 27–33 and 212). The practice is extremely ancient. See Breasted, Hist. p. 84, re the Old Kingdom in Egypt: “the natural line of inheritance was through the eldest daughter ...the closest ties of blood were through the mother...”; and ibid. p. 141, re the Middle Kingdom. Compare, too, the case of Thuthmos (Thothmes) I of the eighteenth dynasty and of Osorkon I of the twenty-second (Libyan) dynasty in Egypt (Breasted, Hist. pp. 268, 264). The same system applied among the Bega (Ma‘azi, Khefát, ii. 561; Burckhardt, p. 593). It is also recorded about 1353 by Ibn Baṭṭaṭa as existing among the Berber princes of the country round Asben (Airy); see Barth, i. 338, 349, 349, and Cooley (p. 40) for the same in Ghana, Waláte and Máli. The Berber tribes when they reached these parts pursued the same obvious course as did the Arabs when they entered Núbia. Barth says, “with respect to the custom that the hereditary power does not descend from the father to the son, but to the sister’s son,—a custom well known to be very prevalent not only in many parts of Negroland, but also in India, at least in Malabar,—it may be supposed to have belonged originally to the Berber race...they might also have adopted it from those tribes (now their subjects—the Imghrd) who conquered the country from the black natives...” Cp. also Barth, ii, p. 275 (quoted on p. 92, note). We see an instance of the same custom among the Kabáshish seven generations ago. Kerádím, who was the first of the Núrib family to rule the whole tribe, was the sister’s son and successor of Kurbán of the Ribaykát section, which had previously held the sheikhship (see MacMichael, Tribes..., p. 185).

The custom is still in vogue at Gebel Mídób (N.E. Dárfür)—see Part I, Chap. 4, iv—and in the hills of Abu Ḥadíd and Um Durrág and el Ḥarázá in northern Kordofüän. For instance, Abu Shenko the late “mek” of Abu Ḥadíd told me in 1910 that his father was a Zagháwi from Kagar and his mother the daughter of a local “mek,” that the mother of Tibayn, the “mek” of Um Durrág, was the daughter of a previous mek but his father only one of the Asadáb (non-royal) section at the same hill, and that the well-known ‘Abd el Hádí who, though a Dóstáb (Dósálíb) on his father’s side, ruled the “Núba” of el Ḥarázá, did so by virtue of the fact that his mother was a Nubáwía of the royal stock.
XXXIV The geographer Yáḥūt lived about the same time as Abu Ṣāliḥ and supplements the latter's information in some details: he tells us, e.g. that Suákin was peopled by blacks of Bega race, who were Christians. Ibn Saʿīd (1214–1287) calls them "partly Christian and partly Muḥammadan."

XXXV In 1250 the rule of the 'Ayyūbites ended and that of the Bahrite Mamluks began. The political isolation of the Arabs was if anything increased by the change. Military power was the only standard of influence and the Arab levies had proved themselves in war after war to be quite inefficient as compared with the standing army of trained Turks and negroes which formed a military oligarchy of foreigners among a subject population.

The Arabs were not disposed to accept this state of affairs without a struggle. About 1253 those in Upper Egypt broke into revolt and mustered some 12,000 horse as well as a large force of infantry. The movement spread to the Delta, but the Mamluks, in spite of inferior numbers, speedily repressed it, and henceforward the Arabs were a negligible factor of opposition in Egypt, and it is only in the extreme south and in Nūbia that their fortunes can be followed.

XXXVI In 1260 Baybars, the great organizer of the Mamluks system, succeeded to the Sultanate. In his time and that of his successors the Mamluk chiefs were "granted more and larger fiefs in the spoliated land of Egypt, and also drew great revenues from the exorbitant transit dues on the European trade with India, which necessarily passed through Alexandria"; and it was probably these revenues alone which stood between the Egyptian taxpayer and utter ruin.

Baybars, too, by a masterstroke of policy, revived at Cairo the old 'Abbásid Khalifate overthrown at Baghda by Hulāgū two years before, and so made Egypt the premier state of Islam.

XXXVII In 1275–6 the Governor of Kūṣ invaded Nūbia as far south as Dongola because the king, Dāūd, had failed to pay his tribute.

1 Vol. iii, p. 182.
3 Cp. Lane-Poole, Hist. p. 253.
5 His diploma from the Khalifa appointed him "Sovran of Egypt, Syria, the Ḥegāz, the Yemen, and the banks of the Euphrates and all lands plains or mountains which you may henceforth subdue." With his accession the title of Sultan appears on the coinage (Lane-Poole, Quart. Rev. April 1915).
6 Lane-Poole, loc. cit. p. 536.
7 Ibid. p. 540. The Khalif at Cairo was restricted to spiritual functions, and though technically he remained the head of Islam he was really no more than a puppet until the 'Othmanli Sultans assumed the office in 1538 A.D. (Ibid. p. 530, and Hist. p. 265, note).
and repeatedly raided Egyptian territory in the neighbourhood of 
Aswán and 'Aidhâb. Dáùd wisely evaded an engagement and 
retreated southwards, so that the troops sent against him had to be 
content with capturing numbers of Nübi ans who had remained in 
their villages and taking them to Egypt, where they were put to 
death.

XXXVIII In 1276 Baybars dispatched a much larger army, composed 
of regulars, provincials, and Beduins, under the command of Shams 
el Dîn el Farakâni and 'Izz el Dîn Aibek el Afram. They were also 
accompanied by Shekenda, the son of Dáùd’s sister, who had been 
to complain to Baybars against his uncle.

The armies met somewhere between Aswán and Derr (?) and a 
battle was fought in which the Nübi ans were defeated and put to 
flight.

El Afram then marched rapidly on Derr (?) and put it to the 
sword, while el Farakâni pushed on beyond the second cataract by 
land and river looting and slaughtering.

Kumr el Dowla, who was apparently the “Lord of the Mountain” 
at the time, tendered his submission and swore allegiance to Shekenda.

El Afram then proceeded southwards taking large numbers of 
prisoners, including Dáùd’s wife, sister and brother: the king him- 
self, however, evaded capture. Shekenda was crowned king on

1 See Maḏrī, Khêdâf, 11, 586, and Burckhardt, p. 514. Bouriant writes “694” 
(a.h.) by error for “674,” but correctly converts the date to June, 1275–June, 1276. 
Lane-Poole (Hist. p. 271) gives the date of this affair as 1272–3. If Dáùd pillaged 
the country round 'Aidhâb, he must have been in alliance with the Bega tribes 
who interposed between the Nile and the Red Sea coast.

2 Bouriant calls him “Skandah”; the MS. Hist. Kalâûn gives “Meschkedet” 
(Quatremère, 11, 111, etc.); Burckhardt gives “Shekendy” and notes “I find this 
name written in my MSS. Shekende, Sekebde, Teneke, Sekende (شندکه سکیدة 
gives “Martashkîn” (مر تشكین) four times, and “Min Tashkil” (من تشكیل) 
one—probably by misprint. Ibn Khaldûn’s account also makes “Martashkîn” 
the uncle instead of nephew of Dáùd: it is less detailed than that of Maḏrî, and 
the main discrepancies will be mentioned.

3 Burckhardt, “Kallet Addo” (قلعة الدو, i.e. الدو for الدو). See p. 169, note.

4 Maḏrî’s statement that these “Nûbi ans” were mounted on camels and clothed 
in long black tunics (Burckhardt, “black dekadék”) suggests that they were largely 
Nûbianized Arabs (Beni Kanz?) or semi-arabicized Bega allies of the same. It 
will be remembered that the Beni Kanz, who had amalgamated with the Nûbi ans, 
were originally a branch of Rabî’a, the tribe which had amalgamated with the 
Bega; and the mention of 'Aidhâb is significant. Black was the 'Abbasid colour, 
born originally as a sign of mourning for el Hasan. A black robe is worn at the 
present day by the men throughout Upper Egypt and by the Barâbra, 'Abálda, etc., 
in Lower Nûbia. Its use declines further south.

5 Ibn Khaldûn (loc. cit.) says Dáùd fled to el Abwâb (i.e. Kabûshâfâ), but was 
seized by the Mek of that district and sent a prisoner to Baybars, who threw him 
to a dungeon and left him to die there.
consideration of his solemnly engaging to pay the ancient bakṭī, and also to deliver yearly three giraffes, three elephants, five she-leopards, a hundred russet camels and four hundred head of cattle. He also promised to hand over to the Sultan all monies and cattle that belonged to Dáūd and to the Núbians killed or captured by the expedition.

By the same treaty Núbia was divided into two parts, and under this division the cataract district lying immediately south of Aswán became a fief of the Sultan, to whose person was payable the customary proportion of the dates, cotton and other produce. Such of the people as remained Christian were also to pay a yearly poll tax of one dinár for each adult male. The two amīrs then destroyed the churches of the Núbians and carried off the contents. They also insisted on twenty Núbian chiefs being handed over—as hostages, one supposes—and the release of such Muḥammadans of Aswán and Aidhāb as the Núbians had imprisoned.

1 The “bakṭī” is spoken of as “400 slaves and a giraffe, of which 360 slaves were for the Khalīfa and 40 for the lieutenant of the Khalīfa [i.e. the Sultan] in exchange for 1000 ardebs of wheat for the king and 300 ardebs for the royal delegates.” Compare the terms quoted on p. 158.
2 Burckhardt (p. 514), “camels of good race.”
3 It is spoken of as “nearly one quarter of Nūbia,” “Nūbia” being apparently used in the sense of Marīs or Nūbia proper.
4 So I interpret the clause. Lane-Poole speaks of (1) the “baḵt” of slaves, (2) the “tribute” of elephants, giraffes, etc., and (3) of an engagement “to pay half the revenue of the kingdom” in addition. Similarly, Burckhardt (p. 515), in his translation, rightly distinguishes between the “bakṭī” and the “annual personal tribute” of animals, and continues “and that the soil of Noubah should thenceforward be divided into two parts; one half for the Sultan, and the other to be appropriated to the fertilizing and guarding of the country; excepting the territory of the cataracts, which was to belong entirely to the Sultan, on account of its vicinity to Assouan: this alone was about one-fourth of Noubah. Farther, that the dates and the cotton of this part, as well as the ancient customary duties, should be carried off, and that as long as they should remain Christians, they should pay the Djeyze, or annual Om Dinar in cash, for every grown-up person.”

Bouriant alters the effect considerably by translating “Il fut établi que le territoire de la Nubie serait partagé en deux parts, l’une destinée au Sultan et l’autre réservée pour l’entretien et la garde du pays; le district des cataractes, voisin immédiat d’Assouan et formant à peu près le quart de la Nubie, serait tout entier la propriété du Sultan qui recevrait les dattes, le coton et les autres redevances que payait le district depuis le temps le plus ancien. Les habitants restant chrétiens furent soumis à la capititation; chaque homme adulte devait payer par année un dinar d’argent comptant.” It seems to me inconceivable that the king of Nūbia should have been expected to pay (1) “bakṭī” and (2) tribute and (3) half his revenues and also (4) to give up a quarter of the country, and I venture to suggest the interpretation offered. Ibn Khaldūn (Vol. v, p. 400, ed. ar. Bk. 11) speaks of “an allotted tribute and certain definite gifts payable yearly, and the strongholds (حصنون) near Aswān to pass to the Sultan (تكون خالصة للسلطان).” He says nothing of half the revenue of Nūbia being taken by Egypt.

5 There is no indication in Maqrīzī’s narrative that the Sultan’s troops went more than three or four days’ march south of Ḥalfa. Lane-Poole (Hist. p. 271) says “the forts of Dāw [Derr?], Sūs and Dongola were taken.”
XXXIX One point in this treaty is of particular interest: the district lying immediately south of Aswán was recognized as a perquisite of the Sultan of Egypt. This was no new idea. King Zoser of the third dynasty conveyed it to the God Khnum, and about seventeen hundred years later Rameses III confirmed the gift for all time and made the inhabitants and the land itself and its produce free from taxation by the crown: its wealth was to be entirely for the service of the god.

The extent of this reserved area was from Aswán to Takompo, which latter was at least as far south as Muḥarraka, the classical Hierasycominos; and in the Ptolemaic and Roman periods it was known as the Dodekaschoinos ("the field of twelve Schoinoi"), and considered a dependency of Egypt. There is no trace there of typically Meroitic or Ethiopian settlements.

Its people were largely Egyptianized and it is evident that it was traditionally regarded as an annex of Egypt rather than an integral portion of the dominions of the king of Dongola. It is noticeable, too, that it formed, roughly speaking, "the boundary of the population that wrote in Meroitic" and corresponded approximately to the Dár Kanūz of the present.

When 'Abdulla ibn Saʿad invaded the Sudan in 651-2 and formulated terms of peace nothing was said about this region to differentiate it from the rest of Nūbia, but as the Arabs were new-comers and still unaware of the traditional history of the countries they were subduing, this is only natural.

In the course of years they evidently learnt more, and we see the fruits of their knowledge in the attitude adopted in 831 after the Bega war: the only territory in Egypt or Nūbia which was absolutely prohibited to the Bega was that lying between el ʿAṣyr (near Aswán) and el ʿAṣyr (near Muḥarraka), i.e. the old Dodekaschoinos.

Now, in 1276 A.D., we have Baybars practically usurping that which had once been the right of the great god Khnum. It is not of course suggested that he was aware of the full import of his action from a historical point of view; but merely that he knew a particular region to be traditionally regarded as a special reserve attached by certain ties to Egypt and seized the opportunity to monopolize it for his own benefit.

1 Breasted, A. R. 1, 24.
2 Ibid. iv, 146-150.
3 Ibid. loc. cit., and Milne, p. 23, and Abu ʿĀlāʾ, p. 260.
4 Other than the X-group (Nobadae?). Arch. Surv. Nubia Bull. 7, 1911.
5 See Griffith, Nubian Texts..., p. 58. See also Cailliaud, i, 394, where ʿAṣyr (which is close to Muḥarraka) is mentioned in 1821 as the southern boundary of the Maḥass.
XL Baybars died in 1277, and two years later el Melik el Mansur Sayf el Dín Khalūn, a Turk of the Burg Oghlu tribe of Kipchak, who had been one of the most competent of the generals of Baybars, usurped the throne. Shekenda meanwhile was murdered, and a certain Berek elected in his place. The Mamlūk governor put the latter to death and Shamamūn succeeded.

XLI In 1286 A.D. ambassadors arrived in Egypt from Ador, the "mek" of the district round Kabūshīyā, to complain against the king of Dongola for detaining and ill-using an envoy sent from Egypt to Ador. Ambassadors came also from Dongola. Khalūn in return sent one amīr to visit the courts of Ador and the meks of the 'Anag and of Bāsa Kassala Kadaru and other districts, and another to interview Shamamūn. The southern princelets apparently made out the better case, for in the following year Khalūn dispatched an army against Dongola and sent orders to the Governor of Kūš to reinforce it from the Arabs of his province. These were mainly Beni Abu Bukr, Beni Omar, Beni Sherīf, Beni Shaybān, Beni Kanz, Beni Rais and Beni Hilāl. The first three were probably Kuraysh claiming descent from the first and second Khalīfas of Islam and from the Prophet respectively, the Beni Shaybān were a branch of Rabī'ā, and the Beni Rais were a branch of Beli.

1 Lane-Poole, loc. cit. p. 278.
3 Of Aswan and the cataract district presumably.
5 He is called "King of the Gates," i.e. of el Abwāb: the district of Kabūshīa was so called until quite lately: cp. MS. D 3, and see Crowfoot, Some Lacunae..., p. 6.
6 The passage in the History of Khalūn, as translated by Quatremère, runs as follows: "Le Sultan envoya l'émir Alem-ed-din-Sandjar-al-Moaddamy, en qualité d'ambassadeur, auprès du roi de Nubie, Ador, roi des Portes, et des princes de Barah (Bazah), Al-Takeh, Kedrou, Denfou, Ary, Befal, Anedj et Kersah" (Quatremère, hist. ii, 101). Crowfoot wrongly gives "Densou" and "Besal." The name Bāsa for a district east of Kabūshīa still survives, and "Kedrou" is probably Kaderu, a site eleven miles north of Khartoum: the village of the same name near Sennār may however be intended. "Tāka" was the name of the district round Gebel Kassala until lately (see D 7, passim). Crowfoot (loc. cit.), presumably identifying "Kersah" with the name of the tribe mentioned by Ibn Selim as living in the Gezira (see para. xxvi above), says that Kersah "lay between the White and Blue Niles." Denfou, Befal and Ary are not identified. The centre of the 'Anag country may have been at el Ḥarāza (see p. 185). One is surprised to see no mention of Sōba or 'Ala; but possibly the name Barah (Bazah), i.e. بُرَّة (?), may be a corruption of Sōba (سوبة).
7 So el Nuwayry and Makrīzī, ap. Quatremère (ii, 102), and Ibn Khalīfūn (loc. cit.).
8 Ibn Khalīfūn, "Awlād Kanz el Dowla."
9 Wüstenfeld, A and B.
The Beni Hilál and Beni Kanz have been sufficiently described already.

The army was divided into two portions, one of which followed the west bank of the river and the other the east. Shamamūn made no attempt to withstand its advance, but wrote to Gurays, the “Lord of the Mountain”, and “Governor of the isles of Mikhál and the province of Daw” [Derr (?)], ordering him to follow the policy of retreating gradually until he joined forces with him.

The Muhammadans overtook the Nūbians at Dongola and defeated them with great slaughter. Shamamūn fled and Gurays was captured.

Shamamūn’s nephew was then appointed by the victors to the throne of Dongola, and Gurays was reinstated as his vassal and ordered to pay tribute.

This done, the Arabs retired, but Shamamūn at once reappeared and reconquered his kingdom and ejected his nephew and Gurays.

XLII In 1289 a larger force, accompanied by the two deposed rulers, was sent from Egypt. During their advance Shamamūn’s nephew died at Aswán and was replaced by a nephew of the old king Dāūd.

The Arab advance was in the main the same as on the occasion of the previous expedition, but Gurays and the Awlád Kanz went ahead of the main army to try and effect by peaceful means what the troops would otherwise achieve by force of arms. Resistance was only met with when the territories of Gurays had been left behind, but when Dongola was reached it was found that Shamamūn had fled to an island fifteen days to the south, and within three days’ journey of Kabushía.

The Arabs lost no time in pursuit, and Shamamūn, deserted by his adherents, retired to the capital of Ador.

The country at once submitted peaceably, the necessary formalities were arranged at Dongola, and by 1290 the Muhammadans were back in Cairo with their booty. It is almost unnecessary to say that Shamamūn immediately reappeared in Dongola, and without any

---

1 From Abu Šáliḥ (p. 266, quoted above) we gather that the Lord of the Mountain lived at Ibrím and that the people there belonged to the province of Marís. Speaking, however, of Muḵurra (p. 262) Abu Šáliḥ mentions “A city called the city of Bausákā. This is a large and handsome city, full of people and of all commodities, and possessing many churches. Here dwelt the Lord of the Mountain, whose eyes were put out by George, son of Zacharias Israel. Here is the monastery of Saint Sinuthius...near the town there is a gold mine.”

2 Presumably his sister’s son; see p. 178.

3 Ibn Khaldūn instead of “nephew of Dāūd” gives “Dāūd the son of Martashkīn’s brother” (loc. cit.).
trouble re-established himself in his old position. He also put to death Dáūd’s nephew and Gurays, and wrote to Kalāūn offering to pay the tribute that had been assessed and to give no trouble. Kalāūn, having other and more important matters to deal with, was in no position to refuse, and the same year he died.

Shamamūn was consequently left undisturbed for a time. One gathers, however, that he soon began to give trouble again, and that a certain lesser mek called Any also revolted. Whether a separate expedition was sent against each or whether the same one dealt with both is not clear owing to the fragmentary state of the only manuscript. The latter is far more probable. In any case Any escaped, two days before the arrival of the troops, to the stronghold of the ’Anag, which was very likely Gebel el Ḥaráza, and Shamamūn was replaced by a king called Boudemma, who had previously been in prison in Egypt.

The latter of these two events seems to have occurred in the reign of Kalāūn’s immediate successor, that is, between 1290 and 1293, and the veteran ’Izz el Dīn el Afram, the leader of the expedition of 1276, was the amir sent to carry out the investiture.

’Izz el Dīn also pushed southwards a distance of 33 marches beyond Dongola, evidently with the intention of meeting the mek of Kabūshia district [Quatremère’s “roi des Portes”], who was probably Any’s overlord. But the mek failed to put in an appearance and wrote later to ’Izz el Dīn pleading as his excuse that he had been away pursuing Any. He also mentioned that the ’Anag country had been lately invaded by some alien tribe, but that he proposed trying every means to eject the intruders, and that if he succeeded all the country of the blacks would be subject to the Sultan.

On his return from Kabūshia ’Izz el Dīn received the oath of allegiance from Boudemma and the priests at Dongola and returned to Egypt. He left behind him a guard of infantry for the new king and a large supply of corn.

1 Ibn Khalūn, “Dáūd” (loc. cit.).
3 See MacMichael, Tribes of N. and C. Kordofan, pp. 87 ff. The difficulties of water transport which prevented the troops pursuing him shew that he fled inland from the river. The prevalence of local traditions to the effect that el Ḥaráza was a stronghold of the ’Anag support the theory that it was there Any took refuge.
4 See Hist. Kaldān, loc. cit. ’Izz el Dīn, on his return, reported results to “El Melik el Ashraf.” The latter, whose full name was El Melik el Ashraf Šalāḥ el Dīn Khalīf, was Kalāūn’s son and successor (Lane-Poole, p. 284).
5 Assuming he travelled fairly hard this would bring him to Kabūshia, which was normally, as we have lately seen, 18 days’ journey (i.e. 36 marches) from Dongola.
6 The History of Kaldān carries us no further.
XLIII In 1290\(^1\) the Mamlûks suffered a severe defeat at the hands of the Mongol hordes at Himş, and one of the after-effects of this and of the oppressive taxation necessitated by a depleted war-chest was the serious Beduin revolt which broke out in Upper Egypt in 1302. The trouble was quelled with promptitude and thoroughness. From Giza and Atfîh southwards thousands were put to the sword and their possessions confiscated. A nomad wherever found was at once executed\(^2\). It is said that 8000 oxen, 6000 sheep and goats, 4000 horses, and 32,000 camels formed the spoil.

XLIV Meanwhile, the settlement of Nûbia seems to have been distinctly successful, for in 1304–1305 we have the king Amâ\(^3\) bringing presents to Cairo and seeking aid from the Sultan el Nâşir Muḥammad ibn Ḫalaûn, and obtaining it. Taktôba the Governor of Kûs was sent to help Amâ with an army of regulars and Arab auxiliaries\(^4\).

In 1311 the tribute was paid by Kerenbes\(^5\), the last Christian king of Dongola, but he was evidently less docile than his predecessors, for both in 1315 and 1316 troops had to be sent to Dongola. The second of these two expeditions was accompanied by 'Abdulla ibn Sanbu, nephew of Dâdî, and resulted in the capture of Kerenbes and his brother Abraam and their removal to Cairo\(^6\).

'Abdulla ibn Sanbu—a Muḥammadan—was then made king.

XLV A new favourite now appears for the Nûbian throne, Kanz el Dowla the chief of the Be'n Kanz settled round Aswân. He attacked 'Abdulla, put him to death, and made himself king. Whether he had allied himself by marriage with the royal house, in the usual manner, or whether he had no other right than might, we do not know.

---

\(^1\) Lane-Poole, Hist. p. 300.

\(^2\) If he claimed not to be a nomad he was told to pronounce the shibboleth "dabîḥ," the ḳ of which the Egyptian would pronounce as an 'āin and the Beduin as a hard g.

\(^3\) Ibn Khaldûn (ed. ar. Vol. 5, p. 429, Bk. 11) calls him "Åy" (أيّ) and says he does not know if he was "Semamûn's" successor or whether any other ruler interposed between the reigns of the two. He dates his death in 1316, but is probably in error.

\(^4\) El Maκrîzî, Kit. el Selâk, ap. Quatremère (11, 114): cp. Lane-Poole, p. 299.

\(^5\) Maκrîzî, loc. cit. Ibn Khaldûn calls him (loc. cit.) "Kerbays" and makes him brother as well as successor of Amâ ("Åy"). Maκrîzî's account is adopted in the following paragraphs.

\(^6\) Ibn Khaldûn (loc. cit.) gives "'Abdulla Nashli." He says he was one of the Nûbian royal family who had lately settled in Egypt and been converted to Islam. Ibn Khaldûn's account of what follows is that "Kerbays" fled to the Mek of el Abwâb and that the Sultan requested the Mek to hand him over and that the Mek complied. Kerenbes must have been sent to Egypt by way of the Red Sea, e.g. via 'Aidhâb, for after 'Abdulla's murder, according to Ibn Khaldûn, the rebels sent to el Abwâb for Kerenbes and only then learnt that he was in Egypt. When the Sultan heard of the episode he sent Kerenbes to them and he became their king.
The Sultan sent Abraam to Nūbia with the promise of the succession if he could oust Kanz el Dowla. The latter submitted quietly, but Abraam only lived a short time and Kanz el Dowla was then reappointed by the Nūbians.

In 1323¹ the Sultan again sent an army against Kanz el Dowla, this time with Kerenbes attached to it as prospective king. Kanz el Dowla fled and Kerenbes entered on his second reign. As invariably happened, however, the retreat of the Arab or Mamlūk troops was the signal for the reappearance of the pretender, and Kanz el Dowla was soon installed again.

**XLVI** But the kingdom of Nūbia had now to all intents and purposes ceased to exist and such “kings” as reigned in name were puppets of the Arab tribes. The tribute had been abolished when the paramount king was no longer a Christian and great hordes of Arabs, mainly Guhayna, were pouring into the Sudan and rapidly overrunning it as far as Abyssinia and Dārfūr.

It is from this period, the early years of the fourteenth century, that the immigration of most of the camel-owning nomads of the Sudan dates. Generally speaking, it seems, the Guhayna and their allies, most of whom we may be sure were Fezāra, loosed their hordes southwards and westwards, leaving the Beni Kanz and 'Ikrima in northern Nūbia and Upper Egypt. From the Arabic historians we hear no more of these southern migrants of the Guhayna congeries, for they passed beyond their ken, but the native manuscripts of the Sudan, as will be seen, take up their tale.

**XLVII** Of affairs in Nūbia, too, we hear no more till 1366. In that year the country round Aswān, from 'Aidhab on the east to the oases on the west, was ravaged by the Beni Kanz and the 'Ikrima², the former of whom in particular were now extremely powerful, and envoys were sent to the Sultan at Cairo to report that the King of Nūbia had been murdered by his nephew and some Beni Ga'ad, a section of the 'Ikrima. The loyalists had elected the late king's brother to succeed him and were holding the fortified post of Daw [Derr (?)]. The rebels had taken Dongola but had then quarrelled among themselves with the result that the pretender had succeeded in treacherously murdering most of the Beni Ga'ad. He had then collected a force of other Arabs and started to attack Daw [Derr (?)]. The Sultan granted the embassy's request for aid, and dispatched an expedition to Nūbia, partly, it seems, to reinstate the legitimate king and partly to repress the Beni Kanz and 'Ikrima.

The result was on the whole satisfactory, but the fact that the

¹ Makrīzi (*loc. cit.*) is still the authority.  
² Makrīzi, *loc. cit.*
murdered king's brother was installed at Daw [Derr (?)] and not at Dongola suggests that success was only partial. The Beni Kanz so far from offering any resistance, gave every facility to the troops; and such of the 'Ikrima as resisted were killed.

XLVIII What happened eventually to the new king is not known. In 1397–8 there is record\(^1\) of a king called Naṣr el Dín who was ousted by one of his relatives and fled to Cairo for help—which the Governor of Aswán was told to give. From his name this king must have been a Muhammadan, and for all we know he may have been one of the Beni Kanz. At this period the Beni Kanz and other Arabs and the Howāra and other Berber tribes were amalgamating rapidly with the riverain Nūbians, northwards from Dongola, and Islam was supplanting Christianity in a corresponding ratio.

The power of the Mamlūk government so far up the river was almost negligible\(^2\), and the state of affairs under their rule in Egypt was such as to offer every inducement to the nomad tribes to depart to districts where they were not subjected to any alien power. If an expedition was sent to Nūbia it was easy for the tribes to give way for the time being and to resume their old status as soon as the troops had gone.

Thus the settlement of Nūbia by the Arabs proceeded to all intents undisturbed, and by the fifteenth century the racial characteristics of the population in the neighbourhood of the first two cataracts, and perhaps as far south as Dongola, had become substantially what they are to-day.

XLIX In the east, we learn from the traveller Ibn Baṭūta (1302–1377), the Sultan of Suákin, which belonged to the Begā, was a Sherīf, whose father had been amīr of Mekka but who was connected on his mother's side with the Begā. Between 'Aidhāb and Suákin he records an encampment of some Arab Awlād Kāhil (أولاد قاهيل), "mingled with the Begā and understanding their language"\(^3\). Others of these Awlād Kāhil and some Guhayna, together with Begā, composed the Sultan's military force. Probably the Awlād Kāhil here mentioned represent the same people who appear as Kawāhla (sing. "Kāhli") or Awlād Kāhil at the present day and who contain a section of the 'Abālda\(^4\).

---

1 Quatremère, II, 124.
2 About 1493 Aswān ceased for a time to be under Egypt (Makrizi, Khetāt (ap. Quatremère, loc. cit.), and cp. Lane-Poole, Hist. p. 308). In that year the Sa'īd was prey to a dire famine and it is said that 17,000 deaths occurred at Kuṣ, 11,000 at el Siūt, and 15,000 at Hou. (Makrizi, Khetāt, II, 548.)
3 Ibn Baṭūta (II, 161): مختصطين بالبيجة عارفين بلسانهم.
4 See Part III, Chap. 5.
II. 2. LII. AND THE INVASION OF DONGOLA

I. We have seen in the preceding chapter what was the approximate distribution of the chief Arab tribes in Egypt when el Ma'krizi wrote his treatise, a century later than Ibn Batuta. He tells us that the greater part of Upper Egypt belonged\(^1\) to six tribes, the Beni Hilal, the Beli, the Guhayna, the Kuraysh, the Luata (Howara ?)\(^2\) and the Beni Kelab.

Besides these tribes many of the Ansar had settled there and numbers of the Muzayna, Beni Darag, Beni Kelb, Tha'aliba and Guzam (Gudham)\(^3\).

II. From 1382 to 1517 the Circassian Mamluks held Egypt. They ruled entirely by the aid of alien mercenaries, Circassians, Turks, Greeks and Mongols, and the country passed through an era of cruelty, debauchery, corruption and injustice which even in its own stormy annals were unprecedented. During this period revolts of nomads and cultivators alike were frequent, but uniformly shortlived\(^4\).

III. In 1504, in the far south, the Fung and Arabs combined to form a native Sudanese kingdom in the Gezira of Sennar.

In 1517 Selim I, Sultan of Turkey, defeated the Mamluks, and Egypt, from being an independent Sultanate, became a province of the 'Othmanli empire.

But Selim's control did not end at the first cataract. The country south of it was already peopled by a race who were more nearly akin to their northern than to their southern neighbours, and he extended his rule over them to the neighbourhood of the third cataract and placed them under a number of Kashiifs\(^5\). These Kashiifs were officials of Turkish or Bosnian descent and had under their orders a number of mercenaries, mostly Bosnians, to act as garrisons: in fact, the system of Selim was almost exactly the same as that of Psammethicus I. The term Ghusz as used in the Sudan applies indifferently to these Bosnian mercenaries and to the Mamluks, and there is no doubt that they settled in Nubia in sufficient number to modify distinctly the racial type in certain of the northern riverain districts\(^6\).

---

1 The past tense is used.
2 Bouriant gives "Laouatah," Burckhardt (p. 529) "Howata." Either may be correct.
3 Mākrīzī, Khefītī, 11, 547, also translated by Burckhardt, p. 529. The latter speaks of the Muzayna as "a strong tribe of Beni Harb."
4 See Lane-Poole, Hist. p. 327. Most of these Circassians apparently did not know how to speak Arabic, which was merely the language of the common people (Lane-Poole, Quart. Rev. April 1915, p. 542).
5 See Budge, 11, 291, 207, and Norden, 1, 58–62.
6 Cp. J. A. St John, 1, 433. "The inhabitants of Derr are supposed to be the descendants of a number of Bosnian soldiers, established in Nubia by Sultan Selym." They preserved their fair complexion though often intermarrying with blacks. See the account of the Shāfīkā in Part III.
LIII It was a few years before Selím’s conquest of Egypt that Leo Africanus travelled through the negro kingdoms of West Africa, and immediately after it that he went on a journey up the Nile valley. The bulk of his work deals with the half-arabicized Berbers living between the Mediterranean and the Niger. These “Affricani bianchi,” as he calls them, he divides into the five tribes of Șanhâğa, Zênâta, Howâra, Mâšmûda and Gumeri (Ghomârā). They were nomads, and the majority of them spoke the Berber tongue, but most of the Howâra and Gumeri spoke Arabic, though corruptly.

Branches of them had by Leo’s time been pushed farther south “to inhabit those deserts which border upon the land of the negroes,” though the main portion of the race remained in the north where they had blended their stock with that of the Arabs.

Of Nûbia Leo tells us very little. He says that on the south it was bordered by the “Desert of Goran,” i.e. the steppes of northern Kordofán, and, as has been mentioned, that the Nûbiâns were much harried both by the Tîbbu tribes (“Zingani”) who inhabited this region and by the desert dwellers to the east of the Nile.

Of Aswán he merely tells us that the inhabitants were mingled with the people of Nubia and Ethiopia.” Beyond it were villages of blacks subject to the nomad “Bugiha” (Bega).

LIV Marmol Caravajal, who wrote about 1520 and plagiarized freely from Leo, states that “Dangala” the capital of Nûbia contained ten thousand houses of mud and was a rich trading centre.

---

1 He is an accurate and not over-credulous writer but unfortunately one is often not sure whether he speaks of what he actually saw or whether he speaks at second hand, and he follows no system in transliterating Arabic words into Italian. He was first translated from the Italian into English by John Pory in 1600. Where Leo is at fault in the matter of transliteration Pory makes things worse by carelessness and random alterations. Leo uses Ibn el Rakîk, el Masûdi, and el Bekri freely and undisguisedly. He died in Tunis, after a long sojourn in Italy, in 1552. (See ed. Brown, note to Bk. vii and p. 211.)

2 In this Leo follows Ibn el Rakîk (q.v. ap. Carette, pp. 49, 433, etc.). Pory writes “Zanhagi,” “Zeneta,” “Haoari.” For “Howâra” Leo wrote “Aoara.”

3 Leo, Bk. 1, p. 151.


5 See Part I, Chap. 2, xli, and Leo, Vol. iii, Bk. v, p. 836.

6 Ibid. p. 903.

7 Vol. iii, pp. 71 ff. Marmol’s remarks concerning the wars of the Prince of Dongola with the nomads of the Bayûda and of the Eastern Desert have already been quoted (see Part I, Chap. 2, xli).
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PART III

THE ARAB TRIBES OF THE SUDAN
AT THE PRESENT DAY
INTRODUCTION

In the chapter that follows an account is given only of those Arabic-speaking tribes which are the best known in the Sudan at the present day and in which the Arab element either preponderates or is at least sufficiently strong to warrant the popular definition of them as Arabs.

Thus there is no account of the Bisháriín, Hadendoa, Ḥalanţa and Beni ʿÁmir of the Eastern Deserts—the Bega of the Middle Ages—who are predominantly Hamitic and do not have Arabic in general use; nor of the Nūbian Maḥass and Sukkót of Ḥalfa Province; nor of the "Nūba" of el Ḥaráza and Kága; nor of the Fung and Hamag of the southern Gezira, whose affinities are rather with the Shilluk and Burün than with the Arabs. Some description has already been given in Part I of their general ethnical characteristics and history, and from the text and notes contained in Part IV further items of information may be gleaned.

On the other hand, it is impossible to avoid devoting some space to the Danáglá, and to the various branches of the Maḥass who have taken up their abode south of the cataract regions, since it is beyond question that they have as much Arab blood in their veins as, for instance, the sedentary "Arabs" of Central Kordofán; and for the same general reason a short notice concerning the Ṭabábbá has been inserted, and the Hawáwír, though largely of Berber origin, have a section to themselves.

Some few of the names that are applied in the "nisbas" as though to distinct and separate tribes, and other names that are more or less familiar in the same sense to the natives of the Sudan, will not be found heading paragraphs in this chapter, but a reference to the index will generally shew that such are in fact included among the subdivisions of a larger tribe or dealt with incidentally elsewhere. Under this category in particular fall the family groups—the name tribe would be a misnomer—of the Medáníň, Ḥasúňáb, Farádíň, Delaykáb and others, who only derive a separate entity from the fact that their forebears were well-known holy men of the eighteenth century, or perhaps merely members of the entourage of such.

In two respects at least the Arabs of the Sudan form a single entity. They are all Muhammadans, though their Muhammadanism has been tainted by the customs and superstitions of the various
autochthonous inhabitants among whom they have settled; and they speak Arabic. In fact the colloquial Arabic of the Sudan contains many words and phrases that would be incomprehensible in Egypt or Syria but which have well-established classical authority: this is naturally most true of the nomad Arabs and applies less to the riverain populations. The words of Escayrac de Lauture remain substantially correct:

Leur langue altérée un peu par le temps, accrue de quelques mots empruntés aux vocabulaires des nègres, est cependant encore la langue du Hedjaz plus harmonieuse, plus concise, plus énergique, plus grammaticale, et plus arabe que les jargons parlés en Égypte et dans le Gharb.

It would, however, be difficult to give a detailed history of the Arab race in the Sudan in the form of a single narrative. To deal with it tribe by tribe is an easier method, and this I will now attempt briefly to do.

In the second Part mention was made of the largest or most important of those well-known Arabian tribes which sent branches to the Sudan, and the plan was adopted of following the fortunes of each in turn, as a single whole where feasible, or otherwise as a number of subdivisions which had become practically independent of one another, down to the point of their entry into the Sudan.

In this chapter, whenever occasion arises, it will be more convenient to reverse this process, and taking in turn the best-known Sudanese Arab tribes of the present day, to attempt to connect each of them with its respective parent stock.
CHAPTER 1

The Ga'aliin and Danáglã Group

I Of the main groups into which the Arabs of the Sudan are popularly divided, and in particular by the native genealogists, the largest and most widely distributed, and at the same time the most loosely knit, is the Ga'ALÍN.

The distinguishing feature of the congeries included under this name—it cannot be called a tribe—is the claim of its members to be descended from el 'Abbás, the uncle of the Prophet; so that in fact the word Ga'ali used in its wider sense has become practically synonymous with 'Abbási, and is borrowed by all the numerous families from Abyssinia to Lake Chad who regard, or make some show of regarding, el 'Abbás as their forefather. Not only is this pretension of the Ga'ALÍN unsupported by evidence, but the actual derivation of their name as accepted by its holders would sufficiently indicate both its hollowness and the popular appreciation of the same.

It is said1 that a certain Ibráhîm, a descendant of el 'Abbás, in a time of famine relieved the distress by his munificent charity, was surnamed “Ga'al” by the recipients, because he said “ga'alnákum” (“we have made you”), and thus obtained a considerable following. The members of the Ga'ALÍN group perfunctorily claim to be lineally descended from this Ibráhîm, but obviously, in so far as the tradition is anything but a pure invention, it only indicates the collection under the leadership of a single man, who claimed to belong to the Bení 'Abbás, of a more or less heterogeneous medley of tribesmen.

II The term Ga'ALÍN in its vague genealogical sense is still made applicable to most of the northern riverain tribes, such as the GawábÁRA and Bedayría, and also to the ShákÍA, the BátáhÍN, the GawáMA'Á and BedayríA of Kordofán, and many others. And the percolation of these “Ga’áli” stocks into the south and west has given an excuse to the Hamag of Sennár to say that their ancestors were Ga'ALÍN who took to wife blacks from the BurůN hills, and has resulted in allegations of close kinship between the Ga'ALÍN and the rulers of Tekáli, Dárfúr, Wadáí and Bornu.

Thus, in the case of Wadáí, according to local tradition, the

---

1 See BA, cxxxii, e.g.
Muhammadan Empire was founded by "'Abd el Kerím ibn Yamé" in 1020 A.H. (1611 A.D.) and

Yame était de la tribu des Djaliya's [GA'ALÍN, that is], au Chendi, au nord de Khartoum, dans la vallée du Nil. Son ancêtre était Saleh ibn Abdullah ibn Abbas, aussi Yame et sa famille se disaient-ils Abassides, comme le font encore les indigènes de Chendi, d'Abou Harras, d'Ourfa, de Neselmiya [Mesallamia (?)] and les habitants de la ville de Sennar. Avant de venir au Ouadai, Yame s'était arrêté assez longtemps au Darfour². This 'Abd el Kerím was a contemporary of Sulaymán Solong, the first Muhammadan ruler of Dārfūr, whose descendants have always claimed to be descended from the Beni 'ABBÁS through a certain "Idrís Ga’al³." The "Yame" or "Yamé" who appears as father of 'Abd el Kerím is undoubtedly "Gáma’í"—the singular of GAWÁMA’A: Barth indeed says⁴:

Wóda, the son of Yáme, belonging to the tribe of the Gémir, who at that time were settled in Shendi, and...had emigrated with his countrymen into the regions which afterwards, in honour of him it is said, were comprised under the name of Wádáy...; and here "Gémir" can hardly mean other than GAWÁMA’A.

When, in 1916, I visited Turra in Gebel Marra, the seat of the ancient Fūr kingdom and the burial-place of its Sultans since the time of Sulaymán Solong, I found established there a small colony of GAWÁMA’A "fiʔara" who claimed descent from an ancestor Idrís, who had been "brought by Sulaymán Solong from the river seven generations ago for the sake of religion." They had ever since been guardians of the royal tombs and "Imáms" of the local mosque. III Now as regards the GAWÁBA-BÉDAYRÍA group, it is fair, I think, to say that the only denomination under which they can all be classed with any accuracy is that of DANÁGLA, inhabitants, that is, of Dongola⁵; and it is doubtful whether they were ever called GA’ALÍN until el Samarkandi asserted that they were descended from el 'Abbás and linked them on that score with the GA’ALÍN proper who lived further upstream. This is not to deny for a moment that there is an essential similarity of race between the two groups: it is quite obvious that such exists, and an average Dongoláwí might pass for an average Ga’ali, or vice versa, at any time or place. Nor was el Samar-

---

¹ Helmolt (Hist. p. 584) gives his date as 1635-1655.
² Nachtigal, Voy. au Ouadai, p. 93.
³ See, e.g. Helmolt, Hist. p. 585; and p. 92, above.
⁴ Vol. III, p. 528. He notes, however, "The derivation of this royal family from the 'Abbasiyin is altogether imaginary."
⁵ All inhabitants of Dongola do not, however, care to be called Danáglà. The Rikáiba, for instance, regarding themselves as Shurafa, resent the application of the name to themselves as suggesting they are merely Núbians.
kandi likely to choose for identification two peoples whose traditions or physical characteristics must create a strong presumption against the accuracy of his diagnosis. El Samarkandi was by no means a fool; and it is particularly noticeable that though he classes all alike as Ga’aliín he pictures at the same time the approximate degree of racial closeness or distance existing between the several groups by a genealogical parable of surprising acumen.

While the real raison d’être of the traditional identification lies in the fact that the Arab elements, which permeate in widely varying degrees both the Danáгла and the Ga’aliín groups, and especially the families of the sheikhs as distinct from the rank and file, are substantially the same at root, it is also true that the non-Arab substratum on the river from Dongola to Khartoum is to some extent homogeneous and, though not directly admitted to the argument, lends to it a strength and colour that would otherwise be largely lacking.

IV In the first chapter some mention was made of the BarábRA and Danáгла and of the migratory activities which these Núbinian stocks directed southwards in the years which followed the downfall of the Christian kingdom of Dongola about the beginning of the fourteenth century. It was stated that many of them settled in southern Kordofán and that to this fact may be attributed the linguistic affinities between the population of the northern hills of Dár Nūba and the people of Dongola. These affinities do not extend beyond the northernly group of hills, but can hardly be sufficiently explained by the mercantile proclivities of more recent generations of BarábRA-Danáгла.

Such of the peoples, sprung from the blend of the two elements, Núbinian and Arab, as migrated farther afield to the south and west, for instance the Gawáma’a and the Bedayría of Kordofán, have by now become inevitably differentiated from the northern riverain stock, since they have incorporated or become themselves merged in quite distinct negroid races. In this manner the Dubáb have become to all intents and purposes Núba like those of Gebel Daier, the Gawáma’a are half Kungára of Dárfeb and the Ghodiat a

1 The autochthonous element among the Danáгла is admitted in D i, cxlvi.
2 See also introduction to Part IV as to the influx of Arab elements into Núbia about this time.
4 See Seligman, Journ. R. Anthr. Inst. Vol. xliii, 1913. As regards this modern settlement of Danáгла in southern Kordofán the evidence is ample: see in particular Pallme, pp. 117, 160, 171. Seligman also bases his argument to some extent upon certain specific mentions of “Danáгла” which I made in The Tribes of Northern and Central Kordofán, and he might have made more of this line if he had noticed that various tribes such as Dólib, Bedayría and Gawábra, whom I specified as being settled in large numbers in Kordofán, are all properly Danáгла.
mixture of Fung, Hamag, Nuba and Arab. All, however, regard themselves as, in a certain sense, Ga'aliín.

But the name of Ga'aliín as used at present in common parlance is more often limited to the large group which contains the Sa'adáb, Nifi'áb, Kitiáb and other sections—the group alluded to in this chapter as the Ga'aliín proper—and though its exact scope varies the wider use of the term is uncommon and practically confined to genealogical discussion.

Let us then deal in order, firstly with the tribes which, though claiming a Ga'ali origin, have for many generations been plainly distinguishable from the Ga'aliín proper as well as from the other tribes held popularly and vaguely to belong to the same group as themselves, and secondly with the Ga'aliín proper.

From the manuscripts it would appear that the following are the better known tribes and subtribes traditionally reckoned Ga'aliín in the widest sense of the term:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1. Bedayría</th>
<th>2. Ghodiát</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Shuwayhát</td>
<td>Batáhín</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Terayfiá</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1. Bedayría</th>
<th>2. Ghodiát</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Shuwayhát</td>
<td>Batáhín</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Terayfiá</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rubátáb</th>
<th>Kitiáb</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>'Awadíá</td>
<td>Mukábiráb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mágidía, or Mádíá</td>
<td>Zaydáb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kurtán</td>
<td>Sha'adínár</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hákimáb</td>
<td>Mesallamáb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gawábra</td>
<td>Gebeláb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gima'a</td>
<td>Káláb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gawámá'a</td>
<td>'Omaráb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manásra</td>
<td>Karbusháb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dubáb</td>
<td>Kandiráb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mekábda²</td>
<td>Hasabulláb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fadlíá</td>
<td>Godaláb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manšûrab</td>
<td>Karákísa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sandídáb</td>
<td>Náfa'áb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gámú'ía</td>
<td>Nifi'áb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sháákía</td>
<td>'Álíáb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fádláb</td>
<td>Sa'adáb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mirafáb</td>
<td>Muḥammadáb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serayháb</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Ga'aliín proper

---

1 Many of the less known or more doubtful sections are omitted. Their names and some details concerning them can be found in the texts or genealogical trees of the MSS. of the "A" group; and, in many cases, in the account of the Ga'aliín which follows. The brackets linking various tribes in the list here given denote a measure of connection according to the "nisbas."

2 See sub Ghodiát.
VI The Bedayría are at present more or less evenly divided between riverain Nūbia and Kordofán, while a few live further west in Darfur; but the true home of the race lies between the Gawábra and the Shájkía territories in Dongola province.

In the eighteenth century, and for an unknown period previous to it, the chief “mek” lived at Old Dongola, and subject “meks” at el Khandak, Tankási Island, Abkûr and Dufár, and at the present day it is still probably true to say that of the semi-Arab semi-Núbian Danáglia more are Bedayría than not.

Their chief branch is the Dahmashía.

At some early period, probably the beginning of the fourteenth century, a number of Bedayría and Shuwayḥát found their way to Kordofán, carried thither, it seems, by a general wave of “Ga’ali” movement to the south-west consequent upon the Arab subjugation of Dongola, and settled round the present site of el Obeid and took to cattle-breeding and cultivation.

Of the history of the Bedayría either in Dongola or Kordofán we know but little. In the former province during the period of Shájkía ascendancy the Bedayría were subject to their more war-like congeners, and the oppression they suffered induced many to

1 Cp. Nicholls, pp. 7, 8.
2 Sir C. Wilson spoke of them in 1887 as a Nuba [i.e. Núbian] people with an admixture of Arab blood still speaking a “rothána” among themselves.
3 For its subdivisions see Tree to “AB.” One of them, it may be noted, is the ‘Aidáb, a name which we shall again meet with among the Shájkía. It would seem that some of the descendants of ‘Aid are with one tribe and some with the other, while others again are attached to the Kabábîsh. To what tribe ‘Aid himself belonged is uncertain, but perhaps he is the ‘Aid father of Ghulámulla (q.v. genealogical tree to D 1), in which case his alleged Sherífí descent would explain his popularity as an ancestor. There is a tribe called ‘Aid near Balbays in the Sharkía Province of Egypt who are said to be Kahtánites descended from Guzám (Gudhám), and it is not impossible that some connection may be traceable between these and the ‘Aidáb of the Sudan. Compare the cases of the Rasháída (Rowáshda), Zíûd, Muzayna, Karrárísh (Kerársha), and Gubarát. For the ‘Aid see Na‘um Bey, Hist. Sinai, pp. 108–9.
4 Or perhaps the Shuwayḥát were only a branch of Bedayría. I have assumed the contrary because the MSS. make Shuwayḥ the brother and not the son of Bedayr.
5 A well and hill named Bir Serrár, lying a day’s journey north of Bárá, are named after Serrár the son of Kerdam who is said to have brought his family to Kordofán and settled there. Serrár is ancestor not only of the Bedayría but of almost the whole “Ga’ali” group. His date was about the end of the thirteenth century (see Introd. to Part IV).
6 Burckhardt, Nubia, p. 68, “Between the city of Dongola and Merawe is the Wady of the Arabs called Bedayr, whose chiefs have, till lately, been tributary to the Sheygga.”
emigrate to the south-west and join their kin in Kordofán or push further west into Dárfür.

About the middle of the eighteenth century a certain Balūl, one of the chieftains of the Bedayrí in Kordofán, moved northwards from Abu Ḥaráz, conquered Kága Surrūg on the Dárfür border, and made his headquarters Gebel Bishára Ţaib or “Kāb Balūl.” He was, however, ousted thence by the invading Musaba’āt from Dárfür and was compelled to take refuge with the remnants of his folk at Kága Sóderi and Katūl. Here the Bedayrí gradually became merged in the older population.

VII The Bedayrí in Kordofán now divide themselves into two main groups and a number of subdivisions, as follows:

A. Dahmashíá
   1. Awlád Hilayb
   2. Zenárá
   3. 'Ayáda
   4. Awlád Muḥammad
   5. Shuwayḥát
   6. Riāsh
   7. Kādūma
   8. Awlád 'Alī
   9. Awlád Shiḥáda
  10. Awlád Hilál
  11. Husaynát

B. Awlád Naʿamía
   1. Awlád Hamdulla
   2. Awlád Matī'ya
   3. Awlád Melki
   4. 'Aynáníá
   5. Awlád Mūsa

VIII In addition to the Bedayrí who preserve their name as such in Dongola, Kordofán and elsewhere, there are others for whom a Bedayri ancestry is commonly alleged. The most numerous of these are the Asirra who form a large section of the Ḥawázma, and who are also represented in Dárfür and Wadáí.

IX The Bedayrí of Dongola are of course entirely sedentary.

1 For instance, at el ʿHashába in the Zagháwa country (N. Dárfūr) there is a small colony of the Rīš branch, settled in villages.
2 Originally Berbers. The Zenára were a section of Luáta. See p. 152, above. Other Zenára are among the Ḥawázma (q.v. later).
3 It will be seen from the trees that Abu el Rīš (“Father of the Feather”), their ancestor, is traditionally a brother of Bedayr and Shuwayḥā.
4 Bedayrí by marriage only, i.e. their ancestress was a Bedayrí.
5 The name is mentioned (“Mateye”) by Burckhardt as being that of one of the tribes of Kordofán.
6 See Carbou, ii, 91; and Nachtigal, Voy. au Ouadät, p. 71. The site of el Fásher itself is said to have anciently belonged to the Asirra.
Those in Kordofán have intermarried so freely with their neighbours, the partly cognate Gawáma’á and the Ḥawázma, and above all with the Nūba, that they have little racial individuality remaining to them. They resemble the Nūba far more than the Dongoláwi, and it is from the former that the jeunesse dorée of the Bedayríá have adopted the fashion of wearing their hair in several thick sausage-like rolls laid longwise back from the forehead and falling at the back nearly to the shoulders\(^1\).

They have many villages near to the south and west of el Obeid and these again have sent out numerous scattered colonies into northern and western Kordofán. In the rains the cattle-owning Bedayríá, those to the south and east that is, lead a nomadic existence in company with the Ḥawázma Bākkára.

*The* Ṭerayfíá are close connections and neighbours of the Núbian Bedayríá. Korti and Ambukól were their ancient centres and a number remain thereabouts at the present day. Many Ṭerayfíá however have migrated elsewhere, and the majority of these are settled in Kordofán. They probably accompanied the earliest Bedayríá emigrants, but instead of remaining with them they took up their abode with the Gawáma’á group and at the present day form one of its larger subdivisions and have become assimilated to the semi-negroid type\(^2\). The Ṭerayfíá who were driven from Dongola at a later date, victims of Sháfkíá aggressiveness in the eighteenth century, mostly went to Dáfúr and took to trading at Kobbé and el Fásher, etc. They are still represented among the “*Gellába*\(^3\)” Danáglá at el Fásher. Others settled at Kæri near the Shabluka cataract\(^4\).

**(b) THE GHODIÁT**

*XI* The Ghodiát\(^5\) live south of el Obeid on the very fringe of the Núba country, and the connection between them and the rest of the so-called Ga’alíín group, though apparent from all the Ga’ali “nisbas,” is somewhat theoretical.

In tradition they are very closely connected with the ancient tribes of the Kūnán and the Kūšás who are now extinct in the Sudan. The former are said to have lived at Rera in Kassala Province and to have been extirpated by the Shukríá.

---

1. The Ḥawázma have adopted the same custom but not to quite the same extent.
2. For the subdivisions of the Gawáma’a-Ṭerayfía see *sub* Gawáma’a.
3. “*Gellába*” are small traders, generally pedlars.
5. *Sing.* “Ghadawi.” The MSS. almost universally spell “Kodiát” and “Kadawi,” but the confusion between ُ and ٍ is so common that no reliance can be placed on the correctness of that spelling.
THE GA’ALIÍN AND DANÁGLA GROUP III. 1. XI.

The only record of the Ḳuṣāṣ is, I think, in a passage of Burckhardt. Speaking of the Howāra of Upper Egypt in Mamlūk days he says:

On the south, the tribe of Kaszas (قصاب) [i.e. Ḳuṣāṣ] who people the country on the west banks from Thebes to near Esne, and to whom belong the inhabitants of Gourne, Orment, and Reheygat (all celebrated for their bold plundering enterprises) were their determined enemies; although both these and the Howara report that they have the same origin from Barbary.

Both tribes have left their names in some of the small hills east of the Blue Nile near Abu Delayk. With the Ghodiāt, Kunān and Ḳuṣāṣ the “nisbas” commonly include the Baṭāhīn whose ancient home was among these same hills.

XII On the other hand the Ghodiāt are universally allowed to be largely Fungi by race.

They are also obviously as much negroid as Arab. The inference then to be drawn would seem to be that certain members of the group which also formed the substratum of the “Ga’alīn” tribes of the present settled at some early period in the vicinity of Abu Delayk; and that certain of them took an active part in the Arab-Fung movement at the beginning of the sixteenth century, and in connection with that movement penetrated westwards into Kordofān and settled there among the Nūba and intermarried with them. Or, as an alternative, it is possible that the Ghodiāt may have formed a part of the racial wave that flowed into Kordofān from Dongola two centuries before the foundation of Sennār and have subsequently acquired the Fung connection in Kordofān itself.

XIII Their traditions relate that they took up their abode at first near Gebel Kurbāq and Melbis and after a time drove the Nūba from their stronghold on Gebel Kordofān and usurped their position.

The Bedayría-Gawāma’a group are said to have submitted to their overlordship¹. The story as Pallme heard it in 1838 is as follows:

The aborigines are negroes from Nubia, who, even at the present time, inhabit many parts of Kordofān. The word Kordofān itself is of Nubian derivation. Three tribes subsequently immigrated: the Hadejat, el Giomme, and Bederie². The period of this immigration, however, cannot be definitely determined. These three nomadic tribes distributed themselves over the country round about Mount Kordofān, occupied themselves with cattle-breeding, and each tribe had its sheikh, or magistrate; but from these

¹ Nubia, p. 532.
² Cp. the genealogical trees of the “A” group.
³ D 1, ccix says Hamag instead of Fung.
⁴ So tradition. Cp. Pallme, pp. 11-12, Prout and Petherick.
⁵ The names appear so in the original German also. The tribes meant are the Ghodiāt, the Gawāma’a and the Bedayría.
three tribes, collectively, a head was chosen, who acted as impartial judge in all questions of difficulty, and, in fact, as the last authority.

About the middle of the seventeenth century\(^1\) the Fung, having consolidated their power in the Gezira, proceeded to make raids over the White Nile in the direction of Gebels Tekali and Daier. In the following century they became paramount in those regions and annexed them to Sennar, but according to their usual policy they left in power the chiefs of the conquered districts, and thus the chief of the Ghodiät confederacy between el Obeid and Daier was given the title of "Mängil" and was expected to pay a yearly tribute of cattle and iron hoes\(^2\).

No doubt a number of Fung settled during the two centuries mentioned in the newly acquired province, and while it is possible that it is due merely to this that the Ghodiät are commonly considered as half Fung, it is far more probable that the connection was more ancient and dates from one or other of those periods of unrest and expansion, the beginning of the fourteenth century and the beginning of the sixteenth century.

The Fung control in south-central Kordofan was a very fluctuating quantity. It reached its zenith between 1748 and 1758 with the defeat of the Musaba'ät of Dárfur, and ended in 1788. The period in which the Ghodiät were most powerful was between 1755 and 1768\(^3\), a fact which confirms the tradition that they were the special protégés and allies of the Fung and dependent upon them for the maintenance of their position\(^4\). In 1768 central Kordofan, Kordofan proper that is\(^5\), passed to the Musaba'ät, but there was apparently no particular animosity between these and the Ghodiät, and the latter were left in possession of their lands south of the capital\(^6\), and

---

1. See D 7, xxix.
2. Called "Hashhásh Um Ḥenána." That these hoc-heads came to be used not merely as a useful medium of exchange but solely as coins I have argued in Tribes of Northern and Central Kordofan (p. 67). See also Rüppell, p. 139. This traveller, speaking of the years 1824–5 says: "In Obeid bedient man sich bei kleinen Auslagen einer eigenthümlichen Münze; es sind kleine, drei Zoll grosse Stücke Eisen in Gestalt von T; die vorige und jetzige Regierung setzte solche in Circulation. Man nennt diese Eisenstücke Haschasch." They were also used in Dárfur: see el Túnisí, Voy. au Darfour, p. 320. For "Mängil" see p. 246.
3. See D 1, ccix.
4. It is said el Obeid was built during the Ghodiät period of ascendency, but this is not certain.
5. To the present day the hillmen of Kāga and el Ḥaráza and the nomads in the north (Kabášsh, etc.), and also the Ḥamar of "Western Kordofan," speak of "going to Kordofan," meaning to the cultivable sandy districts now comprised in el Obeid, Bāra, Um Dam and Um Ruába districts. The extension of the name to the north and west (and for some years to the Nuba hills in the south) was a purely arbitrary administrative act.
6. For the above see also MacMichael, Tribes..., pp. 9–13, 62, 67, 68.
live there in their villages to the present time among a medley of equally debased Bedayría, Musabá'át, Birked, Tomám, Tumbáb and Dubáb.

XIV Among the subdivisions of the Ghodiát are the following:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Nafar el Marád} & \quad \text{Búrúh} \\
\quad \text{‘Omar} & \quad \text{Idayrát} \\
\quad \text{Sa’fí} & \quad \text{Ku’ük} \\
\quad \text{Abu Khádra} & \quad \text{Mékába (properly Bedayría)} \\
\text{Salámát (an offshoot of the Bākkára Salámát)} & \quad \text{Serárír}
\end{align*}
\]

The Mékába is, it is worth noting, appear in the "nisbas" as a Ga’li tribe closely cognate to the Manáṣra. Those who are among the Ghodiát are regarded locally as Bedayría affiliated for several generations to the Ghodiát.

(c) THE BATAHÍN²

XV The Batahín of the present day are a nomadic tribe with headquarters at Abu Delayk, halfway between Khartoum and the Atbara, and to a less degree at ‘Alwán. The more southerly members of the tribe, but for a few scattered individuals settled near Wad Medani and el Manákil, are certain of the ‘Abála section in Rusá’a district, and the most northerly the Butugáb, who have lately split away from the main tribe and live in Khartoum North district.

Eastwards they do not extend beyond the boundaries of the Blue Nile Province, except in the season of the rains when they roam the common grazing ground of the Buțána, and westwards their rights end fifteen miles or more from the river.

XVI Until about half a century ago the majority of the tribe, less powerful then than now and living round ‘Alwán, were dependent for water upon the "hafrs" until these dried up in the early spring, and then upon the river. But for a long time there had been also a few of them at Abu Delayk, and it seems that these were popularly regarded as having ancient rights in that vicinity, if not as aboriginals³.

However the Shukría under the great Abu Sin family had made themselves supreme in Funq days between the Blue Nile and the Atbara and maintained their supremacy throughout the Turkish period. The Batahín were a negligible factor under these conditions; and, in addition, the Delaykáb⁴, descendants of a certain Kahlí "feki"

¹ Q.v. Part I, Chap. 4. ² Sing. Bataháni. ³ Cp. D 3, No. 74. It is said they owned one well there. Burckhardt (p. 345) mentions Batahín among the Arabs of Shendi district in 1814, and no doubt he refers to the families whose headquarters were at Abu Delayk. ⁴ Q.v. in Chap. 5 (a) of this Part.
surnamed Abu Delayk, had obtained a hold on the particular site now known by his name and a large part of the Wádi Ḥawád. Some years before the Mahdía Sheikh 'Abd el Báki 'Abd el Khádir, the grandfather of the present "'omda" of the Bátáhín, succeeded in opening wells at 'Alwán, Tomáma, Um Sidaya and Khádir and thereby the unity and prosperity of the tribe were considerably advanced¹.

At Abu Delayk there must have been wells from very early times, for water is procurable in the "wádíi" so near the surface that a "sáikía" can be used, but it was only as a result of the upheavals and vicissitudes of the Mahdía that the Bátáhín found themselves sufficiently strong to assert their ancient claims in the face of the Shúkría and Delaykáb and to make Abu Delayk their tribal headquarters, open numerous wells there, and cultivate most of the surrounding "wádis²."

XVII In the Dervish days many of the Bátáhín were sent north by the Khalífa to Dongola and Berber and perished there. Those remaining near Abu Delayk fell into his displeasure, and it is still remembered how he put sixty-seven of them to death with the utmost brutality in one day at Omdurman³.

They now own fairly large herds of camels, cattle, sheep and goats, and cultivate a rain crop in the numerous shallow "wádis" of their "dáir." They are typical nomads in physical appearance⁴, lithe, sallow-red in complexion, furtive-eyed, and in character impatient of control, quarrelsome like the Shúkría, humorous, and more daring than the usual. They are also incorrigible and unblushing thieves; yet their thefts are not of the mean house-breaking order, but a survival from the happy inter-tribal looting days⁵. They profess

¹ Mrs Petherick (Centr. Africa, ii, 84) speaks of "some five hundred brood of camels with their young" seen by her in March, 1862, watering on the east bank of the White Nile near Gebel Auliá and belonging to the "Batacheen."

² The "'Omáda" has lately founded a village of mud houses close to the wells, an entirely new departure for the Bátáhín. The most permanent type of house in use among those who were not entirely nomadic had previously been a tookl of straw of which the wall was plastered with "zíbl" (dung) and lime. The mixture adheres to the corn-stalks and the wooden uprights alike, and windows are cut through it. This type of building is not found west of the Nile. Inside their huts the Bátáhín have one or two large "suaybas," cylindrical jars for storing grain, about three and a half feet in height. Their jars ("bata") are made from a compound of "seíd" gum ("keddá") and leather and rags. The black colour is obtained by mashing and burning corn and forming it into a paste which is used in manufacturing the "bata." The black conglomerate is about an eighth of an inch thick and overlies a groundwork of rag.

³ Slatin, Ch. xiii.

⁴ I except, of course, those in whom slave-blood is obvious.

⁵ Within the memory of the present generation a Bátáhí youth could not hope to gain a bride until he had proved his prowess by stealing a camel. This reminds
to be Ga’aliin by origin, a claim which is commonly denied them with a laugh and a sneer by the Shukria, Mesallamia and other tribes who live nearest to them and consequently have cause to throw the broadest aspersions upon their ancestry. As a matter of fact it would seem from the “nisbas” that they are one of the oldest offshoots of that early group of Arab immigrants to whose descendants the name Ga’aliin is applied. They are certainly less noticeably contaminated with negro blood than any other Ga’aliin, and in all probability represent more closely the original stock.

Their own traditions relate in effect that their name is derived from that of the Bathah (Lowlander-Kuraysh) who inhabited the neighbourhood of Mekka in the Prophet’s day, but a variant put forward by the cynical is that their ancestor was found abandoned (“mabtul”) in some “wadi” (“bat-ha”).

XVIII The subdivisions of the Bathin are as follows:

A. ’Ashama

1. Sahbab
   (a) Ninab
   (b) Belalab
   (c) Others

2. Hidayb
   (a) Sherahab
   (b) ’Atawia
   (c) Gudumab
   (d) Sowadiab

3. ’Arkashab
   (a) Belalab
   (b) Um ’Isa

4. ’Alamb
   (a) Buruk
   (b) Shulukhab

5. Difaylab
   (a) Kodelab
   (b) Rasidlab
   (c) Nurab

6. ’Asafab
   (a) Faragab
   (b) Others

one of Burton’s Beduin of the Hegiz among whom the name “harami” (“thief”) was still honourable, and of the saying once quoted of the Crow Indians “Trust to their honour and you are safe, to their honesty and they will steal the hair off your head” (Burton, Pilgrimage, II, 101, 112).

1 See note to A 11, xii. The father of the “’omda” of the Bathin assured me that their ancestors formed a part of the army of Khalid ibn Walid, the Prophet’s lieutenant, which invaded the eastern Sudan and converted the ’Anag!

2 They connect the word with تعشيم “to covet [i.e. the goods of others],” i.e. “to loot.”

3 The root عرض, they say, means “to sprout thick and fast,” i.e. “to thrive.”

4 From سلخ, i.e. incisions in the cheek.

5 I.e. “descendants of el Nuri.” There is no connection apparently between these and the Nurib sections of Kababish or Shukria.

6 These have attached themselves to the Shukria, and are commonly reckoned a part of that tribe.
B. Butugāb

1. Huwāb
2. Ḥarayrāb
3. Shabala
4. Zākiyāb
5. Derayyāb
6. Atāmra
7. Shuaynāb (living among the 'Ashāma)

C. 'Abādla

1. 'Awadāb
2. Others

(d) The Rubāṭāb, 'Awadīa, Manāšīr, Faḍliin, Mīrafāb, and Ḍubāb, etc.

XIX The country appropriated to the three riverain tribes of Rubāṭāb, Manāšīr and Mīrafāb lies roughly between the fourth cataract and the junction of the Atbara with the Nile, that is between the Shaikīa and the Ga’aliín proper, on either side of the great loop in the river.

The Manāšīr, having their headquarters at Berti on the boundary between Berber and Dongola Provinces, are neighbours of the Shaikīa, and Burckhardt spoke of them as practically a subtribe of the latter, though “not strictly belonging to” them.

Some two hundred years ago or less a large colony of Manāšīr and Faḍliin left the Nile and migrated westwards to Darfūr. There they settled round Śānī Karro, Tūlū and Gebel el Ḥella and called themselves Manāsra and Beni Faḍl respectively. Subsequently, when the Ḥamar moved eastwards from Um Shanga and opened up western Kordofān by hollowing the baobabs for water-storage, certain of these Manāsra and Beni Faḍl joined them. More came in the Turkish days; but the largest movement of all took place about 1904 when, tired of the oppression to which they were subjected by the Sultan of Darfūr, more than half of both tribes left Darfūr and settled in Dar Ḥamār, the Manāsra chiefly round el Odāya and the Beni

1 Butugāb ("butuga") is said to mean ṣāfīr, i.e. "to be plentiful."

2 From the root ṣāfīr ("dates").

3 Derived from "'Abdulla," a curious plural. Many of the 'Abādla are sedentary.

4 The name is simply a plural formed from "Manṣūr." Those on the river, in Berber district, comprise the following small sections:—Sulaymanfā, Salāmāt, Berti, Sherreri and Shiri. Inland are a few Kagūbāb, Khubarā and others. They number some 600 men in all.

5 Nubia, p. 69. Sir C. Wilson in 1887 estimates them at about 2500 men, and reports that they claim kinship with the 'Abābda.

6 They say they were in a rough proportion of two of the latter to one of the former.

M.S. I.
Faḍl in Zernakh and Kebsh and Um Bel districts. Since then these have been joined yearly by others of their kin until at the present day there are few of them left in Darfur.

XX The subdivisions of the Beni Faḍl of Kordofán are:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ḥadáarma²</th>
<th>Geraywát</th>
<th>'Ukbáb³</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ḥomrán</td>
<td>Muhammad</td>
<td>Debághna</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zúáda</td>
<td>'Amiría</td>
<td>Mukurna</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Those of the Manāšra are as follows:

- Hisámía: (a) Tibaykát
- Ḥammádíya: (a) Abu Ṣinábo
- Gimaylía: (a) Shabūl⁴
- Ẓurab: (a) Um Sowár
- Meráshísh: (a) Um Ṭāẓẓa
- (b) Gebárín

XXI The Rubáṭáb are upstream of the Manāšir as far as the fifth cataract and their country corresponds roughly to the Inspectorate of Abu Ḥammad. The 'Awapíja section, which appears in the "nisbas" under the heading of Rubáṭáb is, from all but the genealogical point of view, quite distinct. They are largely nomadic, and graze over Berber Province with various subtribes of the Ga'aliín proper. The closeness of the connection between the Rubáṭáb and the

---

1 Numbers of both are also scattered elsewhere, e.g. near to the S.E. of el Nahūd.
2 These deny any connection with the Ḥadáarma or Ḥadárbá or Ḥadáreb of the Red Sea coast, but the connection may none the less exist.
3 These claim to be the same as the Ya'aḳūbáb of Sennár, whom we shall meet as a branch of Shašqīja. The root of both words is of course the same, but whether the Ya'aḳūbáb are more properly Beni Faḍl or Shašqīja I cannot say. There are said to be now no other Beni Faḍl on the river.
4 This name also occurs as that of a section of Habbáníja and of a brand used by the Ḥamar Gharaysía.
5 An interesting account of the "Customs of the Rubáṭáb" will be found in No. 2 of Sudan Notes and Records (1918) from the pen of Mrs J. W. Crowfoot. These include remarks on their "General Traits," their "Cult of Holy men" and their "Customs and Ceremonies" (Marriage Preliminaries, Weddings, Duties of a man and his Parents-in-law, Naming of the child and shaving of the head, etc., Tribal Marks, Circumcision and Funerals). The account given was supplied by a Rubáṭábí sheikh, but, as is pointed out, it would apply almost equally to most of the tribes on Nile banks in Khartoum, Berber or Dongola Provinces, and consequently to many other districts whither these have emigrated.
6 Lepsius (Discoveries..., p. 238) speaks of them ("'Auadieh") as "far more considerable than the Ababde." For their subsections see sheet 3 of genealogical tree illustrating MS. "ABC."
7 Their camel-brand is a well-known one: it consists of a "Kildda" and an "أمث" on the right side of the neck, the latter being above and at right angles to the former.
GA’ALIİN proper is symbolized in the “nisbas” by the statement that the mother of Ghánim, ancestor of the latter, was a daughter of Rubát.

XXII Now, curiously enough, though the “nisbas” do not link the Rubátāb and the Manásîr as closely together as one might expect, the latter and the Ḯubāb appear as descended from brothers in spite of the fact that all of the Ḯubāb live in the immediate vicinity of Gebel Daier in southern Kordofán and belong to the same Nūba type, slightly arabicized, which is found among all the northern hills of Dár Nūba.

For the fact that the Sāḵārāng or kings of Teḵali and the royal families of Dārfūr and Wadâʒ are credited with a Ga’ali ancestry rank sycophancy is partly responsible, but it can hardly have caused the inclusion as Ga’aliin of the Ḯubâb or the equally negroid Tomâm and Tumbâb who are neighbours of the latter. The appearance of these in the “nisbas” is in fact additional evidence of that early movement from the river of Nūbian Arabs, of the type generally included under the vague genealogical term “Ga’aliin,” into the parts of Kordofán immediately north of the Nūba hills, and the fusion of these with the Nūba and others which has produced the present day tribes of Kordofán—Bedaṛría, Gawa’mâ’a, etc., and accounts for the linguistic similarities between the Barâbra and the Nūba.

XXIII The Mîrafāb were the original owners of Berber. Burckhardt says of them:“A free born Meyrefab never marries a slave, whether Abyssinian or black, but always an Arab girl of his own or some neighbouring tribe.”...“They are careful in maintaining the purity of their race.” He describes them as a tall strong people of dark red-brown complexion, with oval face, straight nose, and distincitively Arab rather than Negroid in appearance. Of their characters he formed the lowest opinion:

Cheating, thieving, and the blackest ingratitude, are found in almost every man’s character....In the pursuit of gain they know no bounds, forgetting every divine and human law....I have never met with so bad a people, excepting perhaps those of Suakin.

None the less they were “of a very merry facetious temper, con-

1 See, e. g., BA, člvi. Note, too, that “A 8” is counted a “Ga’ali” pedigree and its subject a Ga’ali, though the occurrence of Rubât among his ancestors shews he belongs strictly to the Rubâtâb. A similar line of argument applied to “A 5” denotes that the Mekâbda are commonly counted Ga’alîn.

2 This termination -ang is common in Dongolâwî place-names.

3 See pp. 92 and 196, and cp. genealogical trees of the “A” group.

4 Nubia, pp. 210, 211, 216, 217, 221, 224, 230. “The people Miyrifâb” and the “Rabôrâb” (Rubâtâb), Aliâb, and “Macabrâb” (Mukâbirâb) are all mentioned, before Burckhardt’s time, on Bruce’s map.
tinually joking, laughing, and singing.” They were “partly shepherds, and partly cultivators.”

They had a “Mek” of their own, nominated by the Fung of Sennár, and were said to be able to put 1000 freemen and 500 slaves into the field.

Sir C. Wilson reported that they were “sometimes classed as Já’alin, but the Já’alin repudiate them…it seems a question whether they are not of Bija origin.”

(o) THE ŃAKIMÁB

XXIV The Ńákímb, who are commonly grouped in the “nisbas” with the GAWÁBRA, are a small tribe who are nevertheless regarded as much more distinctively GA’ALÍN, in the limited sense of the term, than the GAWÁBRA. Their hereditary “meks” ruled Arko Island and for long were the paramount princes of the surrounding country.

The germ from which the Ńákímb are sprung was probably an immigrant Arab family who obtained the overlordship of the older inhabitants in like manner as did the Rabi’á in the east and the AMLáD KANZ round Aswán.

(f) THE GAWÁBRA

XXV The GAWÁBRA are the most northerly riverain tribe in the Sudan to whom the name Arab can be applied with any real legitimacy. Their headquarters are at Badín Island, near the frontier between Dongola Province and Mahass district, and they extend from the cataracts of Hannak to Tayti, including in their territories the islands of Arko and Makassír.

Burckhardt relates that “after the promulgation of the Mohammedan creed”—presumably the allusion is to the thirteenth or fourteenth century—the GAWÁBRA and the GHARBIÁ, a branch of the ZENÁTA Berbers, took possession of the country between the first and second cataracts and in time obtained some measure of ascendancy over the KANÚZ and other tribes who had preceded them.

In the reign of Selím I, very soon, that is, after the foundation in the south of the Fung kingdom, the Gharbiá, having fallen out with the GAWÁBRA and suffered heavily, sent an embassy to the Sultan and obtained from him a force of Bosnian auxiliaries. These ejected

1 Q. v. p. 19. A small section still lives with the group of Bisháqín who inhabit Berber Province.
2 E.g. the MS. A 1 is counted a “Ga’ali” pedigree and its subject a Ga’ali: the occurrence of Hákim as his ancestor shews that he belongs to the Hákimáb.
3 Nicholls, p. 7.
4 See pp. 149 and 150.
5 Sing. “Gábrí,” i.e. “descendant of Gábir.”
6 Nicholls, p. 6.
7 Nubia, pp. 133, 134.
the Gawai Bra from northern Nubia into what is now Dongola Province, "and to this day the most wealthy inhabitants of Dongola derive their origin from the tribe of Djowabere." "Some families of the Djowabere," however, Burckhardt adds, "remained peacefully behind, and their descendants who are found chiefly at Derr and Wady Halfa, are still known by the name of their ancestors."

At the present day Gawai Bra may be found in all the larger towns of the Sudan engaged in trade. There is also a colony of them in Bara district (central Kordofan) who for many generations have cultivated with "salkia" and "shaduf" the rich basin of Khór el Bashíri.

(g) THE SHÁIKÍA

XXVI The traditional relationship of the Sháikí to the Ga’alín is symbolized by the statement that Sháik was the brother of the Gháním from whom the Ga’alín proper are all descended; but unless appearances are vastly deceptive there has, in the case of the Shiáki, been engrafted upon the older stock common to themselves and the Ga’alín a quite distinct foreign element. The Shiáki stands apart from every other tribe in the Sudan in being more adventurous, more quarrelsome, and, in particular, more ready to take service as a mercenary fighter under any employer. The typical Shiáki is sallow complexioned, gaunt and alert, a hard drinker, fond of the dice, and a born liar. In appearance he is often hard to distinguish from a Turk "muwallad" (i.e. born in the Sudan, or half-bred).

Werne, who was an acute observer, and describes the Shiáki well, advances a bold hypothesis. The following are his words:

One can at first glance tell a Schaigie, and still one cannot easily tell how they are so completely distinct from the other Arabs. Their faces are good, and generally marked and thin; the higher among them...are distinguished by extremely fine features; foreheads rather lofty; eyes lively and sharp cut; nose arched, and pointed at the end (in this they are principally distinguished from the smaller-featured Barabra); lips common; beard thin; colour of skin brown, or brown-black; slight of form, but well built, and therefore, with great ease, they perform all kinds of bodily exercises....All are very fond of liquors. Although, from their face and features, they seem to more nearly approach the Arabs than the Nubians,

1 Burckhardt, loc. cit.
2 See, e.g., trees to MSS. BA and A 11.
3 Gordon (quoted by Sir C. Wilson, p. 15) said he would "back them to try a man's patience more sorely than any other people in the wide world, yea, and in the universe."
4 His resemblance to the Dongolawi is also marked, but this may be due to no more than the Shiáki occupation of Dongola Province to which reference will be made later. The Shiáki have never spoken a Nubian dialect ("rotána") as the Danásla have. Cp. Schweinfurth, II, 194, on this point.
5 Werne, pp. 203, 204.
still they unanimously, and with something like scorn, assert that they are no Arabs, and have no descent from such a race. But whence they come, or to what race they are allied, as they themselves equally deny a Nubian descent, their small kings, who have their pedigrees at their fingers, could not, or would not, tell us, much as we tried to get out of them their genealogy. They firmly maintain that they have been, from most distant times, the children of the soil, and have ever been the warriors of their race. One must not put any confidence, as other travellers have done, in what they have learnt from their priests, who are said to assert the contrary; though we have not heard it from them, for most of these...are of Arab families....Such pious fathers also fancy, although they may be of a totally different origin, that they are able, by means of Arabian descent, to claim a kind of relationship with the Prophet. Here starts up the interesting historical question, are these Schaigies, who perhaps really do owe their present name to some Arabian saint, a part of the emigrated warrior caste of Egypt, or the descendants of those discontented warriors who were hospitably received by the kings of Ethiopia? Their country, their proximity to old Meroë, which they perhaps protected against the barbarous south, and their own warlike spirit, agree with this tradition; as does also the fact, that amongst them has never existed any common superior chief, but all have ever lived free under their molusks; the present ruling families are perhaps the old Egyptian leader-race, who, holding the Ethiopian kings as their only lords, became, on the overthrow of that kingdom, independent princes, as the Macedonian generals did on the death of Alexander the Great. Their hair too is thinned, or kept cut short to the head, as cleanliness, so necessary in Egypt, may have demanded; and such a custom is contrary to Arab habits, and those of Nubia and Barabra also, although they have, in common with those races, incisions on the cheeks as marks of caste; among the Schaigies these are horizontal.

1 The same is not true of the present day.
2 The facial markings ("shulukh") of the Shǎiķia at once connect them with and dissociate them from the Ga'alin. The term "mushellakh Ga'ali" ("marked with the 'shulukh' of the Ga'alin") denotes the use of three parallel vertical slashes on both cheeks. "Mushellakh Shǎiķi" denotes the use of three parallel horizontal slashes on both cheeks. These two face-brands—(and perhaps the H used by the Sultan of Dārfūr to mark his slaves)—are the only ones which are universally known throughout the Sudan, although the Ga'ali and the Shǎiķi brands are not entirely confined to members of those tribes.

The origin of this custom of slashing the face is obscure. Burton (Pilgrimage..., 11, 233, 234), describing the people of Mecca, says: "In most families male children, when forty days old, are taken to the Ka'abah, prayed over, and carried home, where the barber draws with a razor three parallel gashes down the fleshy portion of each cheek, from the exterior angles of the eyes almost to the corners of the mouth. These Mashali, as they are called, may be of modern date: the citizens declare that the custom was unknown to their ancestors. I am tempted to assign to it a high antiquity, and cannot but attribute a pagan origin to a custom still prevailing, despite all the interdictions of the Oulema."

In a note on the above Burton adds: "The act is called 'tashrit,' or gashing.... The citizens told me that the custom arose from the necessity of preserving children from the kidnapping Persians, and that it is preserved as a mark of the Holy City. But its wide diffusion denotes an earlier origin. Mohammed expressly forbade his followers to mark the skin with scars. These 'beauty marks' are common to the
The custom mentioned by Cailliaud⁴ might also be cited in support of Werne's theory. Speaking of an expedition to the negro country in the south of the Gezira, he says:

Les Chaykyés avaient fait un mannequin figurant un homme, et censé représenter un des leurs: c'est une coutume établie parmi eux, d'enterter un pareil mannequin au lieu où est fixé le terme de leurs grandes expéditions. The giant statues hewn by the Pharaohs to mark the limits of their inruptions are obviously the prototypes of these manikins.

But the gap is too broad to be bridged with such facility: to allow an Egyptian origin for the Shāīkīā is an attractive suggestion, with points of some speciousness, but I should prefer to hazard a theory that the Shāīkīā are partly descended from the Bosnian, Albanian and Turkish mercenaries who since the conquest of Selim I (1517 A.D.) have done garrison duty and formed settlements in Nūbia just as Carian mercenaries did in the days of Psammetichus I, and to say that the obvious resemblance of type between them and the Turkish irregulars who lorded it over the Sudan till 1882 was in part a cause and in part an effect of the intermarriage that took place between the two².

XXVII The Shāīkīā country consists of the rich portion of the Nile valley which lies between Gebel Dayka in southern Dongola and the upstream end of the fourth cataract⁸. Within these limits in old days ruled four of their subordinate "meks," at Merowi⁴, Hannak, Kagebi and 'Amri respectively. Until the latter part of the seventeenth century they were, like the rest of the Arabs, subject to the 'Abdullābi "Māngil" of Kerri, but about 1690 they were en-
nations in the regions to the West of the Red Sea. The Barabarah of Upper Egypt adorn their faces with scars exactly like the Meccans...." Cp. Wellsted, 1, 389.

The fact that the Ga'ālīn and the people of Mekka use the same brand is of course intimately connected with the claim of the former to be Beni 'Abbās, and might at first sight seem to point to the greater antiquity of the custom in Arabia than in the Sudan; but one cannot assume that the ancient custom of the Mekkans had not an African origin in the first instance. For a Nigerian instance see Harvard Afr. Studies, 1, 87. Robertson Smith thinks these tribal markings may originally have been totem marks (Kinship..., pp. 214 ff.).

Professor Seligman (Journ. R. A. I. XLIII, 1913, pp. 646–8) thinks it "almost certain that the custom" [s.c. in the Sudan] "is derived from immigrant Arabs, and is not an ancient widely spread Hamitic custom." Obviously, however, the custom may be non-Hamitic and yet not derived originally from Mecca. For certain modern forms of cheek marking see Crowfoot, Customs of the Rubdtab, p. 131, and Jaussen, p. 376.

¹ III, 38.
² Cp. Sir C. Wilson (p. 14). "The military relationship was followed by a more intimate one, for the Turks took Shagieh wives, and the sons all entered the Bashi Bazūk force...": and again "The riverain population" [s.c. of Shāīkīā] "...has sadly deteriorated through close intercourse with the Turk and Albanian Bashi Bazūks in the Egyptian service."
³ Cp. Lepsius (Discoveries..., p. 259).
⁴ Merowi was their capital in Burchhardt's day (v. Nubia, p. 68).
couraged by the dissensions which had arisen between the 'Abdulláb and the Fung, and the mutiny of the troops\(^1\), to make a bid for independence. Their leader in the revolt was 'Othmán wad Ḥammad\(^2\), and the decisive action was fought opposite Dulga Island.

Henceforth the Sháfkía were under no other rule than that of their own "mek"; but their access to power merely increased their turbulence and afforded wider scope for their predatory habits.

Poncet, in 1699, found that it was no longer safe for caravans to follow the river beyond Korti owing to the brigandage of the Sháfkía, and that the desert route across the Bayūdá had perforce to be followed\(^3\).

During the eighteenth century the Sháfkía extended their system of terrorization over Dongola province and the districts of Mahass and Sukkót\(^4\), thereby causing many of the older inhabitants to migrate to the west\(^5\).

They seem to have met with little opposition and to have simply preyed without discrimination upon the less warlike tribes whose lands were sufficiently rich to excite their cupidity.

They also expanded into Kordofán, for in 1784–1785 we find "un nombre assez considérable de soldats de différents pays, tels que Dongoliens, Châydjien, Kabâbych, Arabes Rézaygât," in the army with which Sultan Ḥāshim attempted to invade Dárfūr\(^6\).

Burckhardt describes them in 1813 as "a perfectly independent people" having "great wealth in corn and cattle."

They are renowned for their hospitality; and the person of their guest, or companion, is sacred.... They all speak Arabic exclusively, and many of them write or read it. Their learned men are held in great respect by them; they have schools, wherein all the sciences are taught which form the course of Mohammedan study, mathematics and astronomy excepted\(^7\).

At the same time Burckhardt describes their career of conquest and rapacity. In Dongola, he says\(^8\),

The Arabs Sheygga, since they have been in possession of a share of the revenue, take from the ground irrigated by each wheel\(^9\), four Mhourys\(^10\) of Dhourra, two or three sheep, and a linen gown worth two dollars. The native kings take the same.

---

\(^1\) Q.v. in MSS. D 3, 153, and D 7, XLII.
\(^2\) For whom and various details see D 3, 236 and note thereto.
\(^3\) Poncet, p. 15, and cp. D 3, 236 note.
\(^4\) Burckhardt, Nubia, p. 43.
\(^5\) Browne, p. 241: "For many years their" [the merchants of Kobbé in Dárfūr]
\(^6\) "native countries Dongola, Mahass and all the borders of the Nile as far as Sennaar...have been the scene of devastation and bloodshed, having no settled government, but being continually torn by internal divisions, and harassed by the inroads of the Shaikic and other tribes of Arabs, who inhabit the region between the river and the Red Sea."
\(^7\) Nubia, p. 70.
\(^8\) Ibid. p. 66.
\(^9\) I.e. "sákia."
\(^10\) A "mhoury" equals about 8 bushels (Burckhardt, loc. cit.).
Nor were their relatives the Ga'aliín of Shendi in any way exempt from their ravages:

Before the arrival of the Mamelouks in Dongola¹ Mek Nimr had been for many years in continual warfare with the Arabs Sheygya, who had killed several of his relatives in battle, and, by making inroads into his dominions with large parties of horsemen, had repeatedly laid waste the whole western bank of the river².

Even the 'abdulláb to the south suffered from their raids:

Depuis le démembrement du royaume de Sennâr, dont ils étaient jadis tributaires, ils s'adonnerent avec ardeur au métier des armes, et ne tardèrent point à devenir redoutables aux provinces qui les voisinaient. Dongolah, Barbar, Alfaye [el Ḥalfāya], eurent souvent à gémir des entreprises de cette peuplade audacieuse...³.

And by 1821 the population of el Ḥalfāya had fallen in consequence from 8000 or 9000 to 3000 or 4000⁴.

The first check they received was caused by the flight of a large body of Mamlûks, who had survived the massacres of Muḥammad 'Ali, from Egypt to Nūbia in 1811. These were a people of more virile type than the tribes over whom the Sháik̇ía had so long tyrannized, and they began to apply to the riverain Shaik̇ía the methods which the latter were wont to use with impunity against others. Beginning at Arko Island they spread themselves over the country and plundered the property of the Shaik̇ía and seized the revenues⁵, and finally established themselves in Dongola with their capital at Merâgha and their southern border at Khandak.

The Shaik̇ía were not inclined to accept their discomfiture with tameness, and for some years each side alternately sent expeditions against the other with varying success. A state of hostility still existed between the two parties at the time of the Turkish conquest of the Sudan⁶. The most powerful “meks” of the Shaik̇ía⁷ at the time of Ismá'īl Pasha’s invasion were Sha’ūs of the 'Adlánāb section, whose capital was Merowi, and Sibayr of the Ḥannakâb, whose capital was Ḥannak⁸. There were also two minor “meks,” Medani at Kagebi and Ḥammad chief of the 'Amrâb; but on the approach of the Turks the whole tribe united under Sha’ūs and Sibayr.

---

¹ In 1811. ² Burckhardt, p. 278. ³ Cailliaud, II, 68. ⁴ Ibid. II, 194. ⁵ Burckhardt, Nubia, p. 72. Cp. Cailliaud, I, 403. ⁶ Waddington and Hanbury, p. 230. ⁷ Nicholls, p. 30. ⁸ Cailliaud mentions that in 1821 the Shaik̇ía chiefs lived in “grandes maisons fortifiées et crénelées, de forme pyramidale, en général bien construites en pierres de grès jointoyées avec un ciment terreux, et susceptibles de soutenir avec avantage les attaques des Arabes.” The point is worth noting in connection with the theory of Shaik̇ía origins outlined above. (See Cailliaud, II, 38 and 49.)
The Shā'īkīa now atoned for many past misdeeds by the heroic defence they made of their country.

They had lived the companions of their horses, with the lance in their hand: they were to resign the former to strangers, and exchange the latter for harrows and pruning knives; and were to drive an ox round a sakie, instead of chasing an enemy across the desert. They had many Nubians settled in the country, whom they obliged to all the labours of cultivating the ground, and whom they treated as greatly their inferiors. They were now called upon to perform these labours, which they had been brought up to consider as servile, and were to expect no better treatment than that which they had been accustomed to exercise; they were to fall at once to slavery, not from liberty merely, but from tyranny; and again, besides their prejudices against white men generally, they had particular religious ones against the Osmanlies, to whom, in common with the Christians, they applied the term Dog.

However they were completely defeated at Korti and again at Gebel Dayka and they, their women, and their children were subjected to unheard-of brutalities at the hands of the Turks.

Mek Sibayr submitted, and some months later Sha'ūs followed suit. But warlike and restless as ever the Shā'īkīa were not content to live as mere "Fellāhīn," and a number of them, under the command of Sha'ūs, enlisted as irregulars in the Turkish army and accompanied it on its campaign against the Fung in the Gezira. When Ismā'īl Pasha returned in 1822 the 'Adlānāb were granted the lands of the 'Abdullāb who had revolted round Halfāya; and others —'Adlānāb, Sowārāb and Kadenkāb—settled on either side of the Shablūka.

Throughout the Turkish régime the Shā'īkīa continued to be faithful allies of the Turks, and in every expedition that was made against recalcitrant tribes they, with the Moghārbā, formed the bulk of the irregular troops employed. They were similarly used for tax collecting, and their ruthless methods earned them an unenviable notoriety.

Even in the Dervish days they remained faithful to the Turks, but the identity of interests and similarity of methods existing between the two and the hatred which the Shā'īkīa had earned for themselves made any other course difficult for them. After the fall of Khartoum the general amnesty to natives granted by the Mahdi was especially framed to exclude the Shā'īkīa; and Slatin tells the

1 Waddington and Hanbury, p. 99.
2 Cailliaud, ii, 32 et seq., and Waddington and Hanbury, loc. cit.
3 Cailliaud, ii, 182.
5 It was they, for instance, who relieved Sennār in 1882 when it was attacked by Abu Rōf.
tale\(^1\) of a question asked in Omdurman—"What are the cheapest articles and the greatest drug in the market?"—The answer was "The yellow-skinned Egyptian, the Sháíki and the dog."

XXVIII At the present, true to type, many of them are to be found enlisted in camel-corps, mounted infantry, or police, maintaining their reputation as good fighters but truculent neighbours. Many others are to be found in the towns engaged in trade. As a tribe they are too disintegrated to have any considerable power, but they own broad lands in Dongola, Berber and Khartoum Provinces, they are still numerous throughout the Sudan, and are influential, whether for good or evil, by virtue of their superior individuality.

XXIX The subdivisions of the Sháíki are as follows\(^2\):

A. Kadenkáb

1. Ťannakáb
   (a) Mahmūdáb
      (b) Nāširáb
      (c) Kūtáb
      (d) Sheraysháb
      (e) Hasanáb
      (f) Shellālílé\(^3\)
   } Chiefly in Dongola and Berber Provinces

2. Šaláháb. Chiefly in Dongola and Berber Provinces

3. Assamáb. Chiefly in Khartoum Province

4. 'Adlánáb
   (a) Merawí
   (b) Kagebi
   (c) Awlād 'Ali
   (d) Manowwaráb
   } Chiefly in Khartoum Province

5. Hámdáb

6. Tulbunáb

7. Gūrumáb

8. Zumámáb

9. Kurúsáb

10. Marzūkáb

11. Shrenkáb

12. Gheráráb

13. 'Isayáb

14. Faragáb

15. Faragulláb, or Karákira


17. Kódáb. Chiefly in Khartoum Province

---

\(^1\) Slatin, Ch. x.

\(^2\) The following list is chiefly compiled from Nicholls, pp. 46-51.

\(^3\) I.e. "Men of the Cataract" ("Shellāl" meaning "Cataract"). A section of practically the same name occurs among the Gawāma'a who appear to contain several families of Sháíki origin.

\(^4\) Burckhardt mentions the 'Adlánáb as being the most powerful Shafkia tribe in 1813 (Nubia, p. 69). They are said to be Kenūz by origin (see Appendix to ABC) and also to be connected on the mother's side with the Fung (see D 5 (c)).

\(^5\) Said to be children of Kadenka by a slave woman.
| B. UM SÁLIM | 1. YA'AKÚBÁB | Chiefly in Dongola Province |
| | 2. BÁDLÁB | |
| | 3. KALÁSHÍM | |
| | 4. GÁDÁB | |
| C. NÁPA'ÁB | 1. GHÁSÍNÁB | Chiefly in Dongola Province |
| | 2. DAYFULLÁB | |
| D. SHELLÚFÁB | 1. MUHÁMMADÁB | Chiefly in Dongola Province |
| | 2. 'ALÍÁB | |
| | 3. BÁDLÁB | |
| E. ḤAWÁSHÁB | 1. MAGÁNÁB | Chiefly in Dongola Province |
| | 2. 'AKRÁBÁB | |
| F. 'ÓNIA | 1. HASÁNÁB | Chiefly in Berber Province |
| | 2. DAWÁNÁB | |
| G. SOWÁRÁB | 1. KÁFUNKÁ | Chiefly in Berber Province and the Bayyáda |
| | 2. ZULAYTÁB | |
| | 3. ZÁRÁGNA | |
| | 4. MISHÍNDIL. | In Dongola and Berber Provinces and the Bayyáda |
| | 5. HÁMDULLÁB. | In Dongola, Berber and Khartoum Provinces |
| | 6. TAMALAYK. | In Dongola and Berber Provinces |
| | 7. 'ÁIDÁB | In Dongola and Khartoum Provinces |
| | 8. ANÁYNÁB | |
| H. MARÍSÁB | 1. 'ALÍTÁB. | In Berber and Dongola Provinces |
| J. KÚRAYSHÁB | 1. ABÁDÍD | In Dongola and Berber Provinces |
| | 2. SÁLHÁB | |
| | 3. ABU NÁB | |
| K. 'ÁMIRÁB. | In Dongola Province |
| L. BAY'ÚDÁB | 1. 'AGĪBÁB | In Dongola Province |
| | 2. KOTÁTIA | |
| | 3. AMÁNÁB | |
| M. MARSÁB | 1. HASÁNÁB | In Dongola Province |
| | 2. RAHMÁB | |

1 A famous family of holy men. See D 3, 254, etc. The Beni Faḍl and Manáṣra of Kordofán claim the Ya'akūbáb to be Beni Faḍl by origin (see note on p. 108).
2 Some of these are nomadic and graze their sheep in the Bayyáda desert with the Ḥasáná. It is no doubt a branch of them that are known as Awlád 'On and now form a section of the Kabábísh further west. Other 'Ónía are nomadic on the east bank. For the legendary feud in the fifteenth century between the 'Ónía and the Ḥasánáb see D 5 (c).
3 These also are partly nomadic, and a number of them have gone to form a section of the Hawáwír in Dongola province.
4 Some 'Áidáb are probably incorporated in the 'Awáída section of Kabábísh: the two names are but different forms of the same word, meaning "descendants of 'Āíd." The 'Áidáb also appear as a section of the Bedayríya of Dongola (see note on p. 199).
5 A plural formed from Abu Dūd.
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Of these sub-tribes the Sowáráb and the K adenkáb are by far the most numerous and powerful. The former were for long at feud with the 'Onía.


XXX No less than five of the subsidiary groups who claim to be Ga'aliín have names formed from the root (g-m-) meaning to gather or collect, namely the GAWÁMA'A (sing. Gáma'i)2, the GIMA'A, the GAMÚ'ÍA, the GIMI'ÁB and the GEMA'ÁB: the fact is expressive of the heterogeneity of their component parts and corroborative of the interpretation put upon the story of Ibráhím Ga'al.

The GAMÚ'ÍA, the GIMI'ÁB and the GEMA'ÁB. The connection between these three tribes is represented in the tradition that they are descended from three brothers, and from the "nisbas" one would suppose that their eponymous ancestors lived about fifteen to seventeen generations ago. They may therefore have broken away from the parent stem of the Ga'aliín about two or three generations later3. The country they then occupied was practically that which they hold at present, viz. the west bank of the White Nile for some 30 or 40 miles south of Omdurman and as far north as Góz Nefísa4 near the Shablũka Cataract, and certain lands south of Kerri on the east bank of the Nile.

Of these three tribes the GAMÚ'ÍA have always been much the most powerful, and it is not uncommon to hear their name used to include also the GIMI'ÁB and the GEMA'ÁB.

The GEMA'ÁB are a small and unimportant group living north of Omdurman. They are divided into

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Dowáb} \\
\text{Dushaynáb} \\
\text{Hakamáb}
\end{align*}
\]

The first of these have a religious reputation as having produced numerous "fehis" and built several small mosques. The Dushaynáb are nomads.

The GIMI'ÁB are also semi-nomadic. Their divisions are named respectively:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Shahínáb (including Na'ámáb, etc.)} \\
\text{Gódáb} \\
\text{Shibráb}
\end{align*}
\]

1 See Nicholls.
2 In addition, one half of the Gawáma'a are called Gimá'í (see later).
3 See, e.g., trees to A 2, A 6, A 10 and AB.
4 Here they border on the Sháškía to the north.
To the Na’ámáb belonged Zubayr Pasha Rahma, the famous slave-dealer and conqueror of the Bahr el Ghazál and Dárfúr.

In the Fung period the whole Gamú’ía-Gema’Ab-Gimi’Ab group, as well as the Zenárkhha, who are of quite different stock, were subject to the “nahás” of the “Mek” of the Gamú’ía, and he again was nominally responsible for the tribute to the ’Abdullábi “Mángil” of el Halfáya.

The Surúráb section, however, were partially detached from the rest of the Gamú’ía and enjoyed a sufficient measure of favouritism from the Fung king to free them from all practical control by the Gamú’ía “Mek.” The headquarters of the latter were near J. el Hinayk, south of Omdurman.

The subdivisions of the Gamú’ía proper are as follows:

Náiláb
Hírayzáb
Náisiráb
Fitiḥáb
(a) Takárír
(b) Awlád Idrís
1. Gamráb
2. Bátiáb
(c) Ḥanátíra
(d) ’Agayláb
(e) Sayáyík
(f) Um ’Araykíb

Mukdáb
Awlád Ḥámíd
Nófaláb
Shaíkáb
Ṣândídáb
Manṣúráb
Khashúmáb
Rashádáb
Mukwáb
Hágáb
’Isáwíá

Nífí’áb
Sa’ádáb
Matábír
Ghomáráb
Ḥamaydánía
Karágíg
’Izerkáb
’Arafwáb
Dáníáb
Muḥammadáb
Bega

1 Browne in 1793 mentions them (“Gimmoyé”) hereabouts (p. 459).
2 The family of the present “Mek.” See genealogical tree in ABC.
3 The Fitiḥáb have almost ceased to be reckoned Gamú’ía though they are so strictly speaking. Their chief sheikh still calls himself a “Mek.”
4 The people of Aslang Island.
5 It may be noted that these appear in the “nisbas” as Ga’aliín and merely cognate to the Gamú’ía: they have now definitely attached themselves to the latter tribe.
6 Some of these have joined the Kabábish and become a recognized section of that tribe.
7 There are sections of Ga’aliín bearing the same name.
8 Possibly connected with the Berber sub-tribe, the Ghomára.
9 These are admittedly not true Gamú’ía but emigrants from the eastern desert. They are a very small community living south of Omdurman.
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In appearance the Gamū’iā are darker and more negroid than the average Sudanese Arab. They themselves attribute the fact to the enormous number of slaves they owned prior to the Dervish days and the miscegenation that resulted. That the Gamū’iā owned many slaves is an established fact, and no doubt, as they allege, much of the thieving for which as a tribe they are so notorious is due to the slave families whom they include, but all and sundry are uniformly dark and semi-negroid and it is probable that the fact is due as much to ancient inter-marriage between free aboriginals of Nūba stock and Arab immigrants as to the particular cause assigned.

XXXI The Gawāma’ā. The history of the Gawāma’ā, in so far as they are Arabs, is similar to that of the Bedayrīa, but they are even less homogeneous than the latter, and the fact that taken as a whole they are darker in colour and more debased1 in manners suggests that the original Arab nucleus of the tribe was small, and that in consequence it became more merged in the negro. There is no tribe of Gawāma’ā in Dongola, but since the Mek of the Mahass in Burckhardt’s2 time was “of the family of Djama” (جامع), i.e. “Gāma’i,” and the plural of “Gāma’i” is “Gawāma’ā,” and the chief section of Gawāma’ā is specifically known as Awlād Gāma’i, it is possible that the Gawāma’ā are related to the Mahass3.

The negro element in the Gawāma’ā would appear to be largely Dârfūrian. We have seen earlier in this chapter that there is evidence that one of the Gawāma’ā early in the seventeenth century was responsible for the foundation of the royal house of Wadāí, and that a colony of Gawāma’ā has been settled at Turra in Gebel Marra from much the same date. As to the numbers of them in Dârfūr from the seventeenth century until the nineteenth there is no information, but at the time of the Turkish conquest (1874) they were one of the chief tribes between el Fāsher and the Kordofán border, and at the present day, though few remain in those parts, the Gawāma’ā are represented by the Darōk, a soi-disant Arab tribe of Ga’ali extraction, who used to live round Kebkebia in the west and have lately moved to Showāi at the eastern foot of Gebel Marra, and by the Awlād Māna.

Cuny goes so far as to say4 that the “Djoama se disent descendants

---

1 Writing as I am of Arabs and not primarily of the older Sudanese stocks I use the phrase “more debased” as the equivalent of “less Arab.”
2 Nubia, p. 64.
3 Ibn Khaldūn mentions a small branch of Beni Hilāl, named Awlād Gāma’i who were for a time “amirs” of Kābis (“Gabes”) in North Africa, but there is nothing beyond the name to connect them with the Gawāma’ā of the Sudan. (See Ibn Khaldūn, ed. ar. Vol. 6, p. 166, Bk. III.)
4 P. 177.
Among the Gowameh (one of the old races) is found a still more singular practice. With them no girl has the right to marry until she shall have presented to her brother a child as his bondman. The father of this child she chooses when and where she will....

As a matter of fact Prout is not entirely accurate: the child used to go to the girl’s maternal uncle and the phrase غانت خالايا (‘she has assisted her mother’s brother’) is still occasionally used as a pleasant euphemism.

2. El Tunisí.

Plusieurs filles deviennent ainsi enceintes; en cela il n’y a ni honte ni déshonneur, même s’il y a en inceste. Les enfants, garçons ou filles, nés de ces relations sont mis sur le compte d’un oncle maternel. La fille qui en provient est mariée plus tard par cet oncle, qui profite alors du douaire que paye l’époux.

The connection of the Gawáma’a with Darfur is also evidenced by the fact that in Kordofán and Darfur alike many of them are believed to have the power of transmogrifying themselves into beasts of prey, a trait most commonly ascribed by native opinion to the Fûr tribes. It was mentioned in an earlier chapter that in Darfur these Gawáma’a—who turn themselves into hyaenas—are known as Awlâd Mána. The Darfur strain, too, must have been considerably reinforced in the eighteenth and early nineteenth centuries when the Musaba’át and Kungára in turn dominated northern and central Kordofán.

During the earlier years of their residence in Kordofán the Gawáma’a were under the Ghodîát, but as they increased in numbers and collected more and more scattered units into their

---

1 I.e. the Kungára branch of the Fûr.
2 I.e. the Musaba’át section of Kungára.
3 Prout, p. 34.
4 Voy. au Darfór, p. 213. He is speaking of the Fûr apparently, but he does not as a rule differentiate very carefully between the Fûr and the inhabitants of Darfur. It is therefore possible that he refers to Gawáma’a settled in Darfur. It is more likely, however, that the Gawáma’a and the Fûr both used the custom and that for both it had a common origin. Cuny speaks of the custom, in rather different terms, as existing “chez la plupart des peuplades du Kordofan.” The use of the particular phrase “‘ânât Khâlaha” (wrongly printed on p. 159, “ariatkal-hum” for “anat Khâllhum”) he attaches, like Prout, to the Gawáma’a (“Djoama”), but it was also used to a less extent among the Dár Hámîd. (Cuny, pp. 158, 159, 173, 174.)
5 Cp. Holroyd, p. 176. “The inhabitants of Kordofán belong to several tribes. The most numerous, called Günjárah” [i.e. Kungára], “consists of adherents of Sulân Faḍî; the second is called Meserbât” [i.e. Musaba’át].

---
confederacy they became entirely independent, left the country to the south and west to the Ghodiát and Bedayría and extended their own cultivation and grazing areas northwards so far as the then nomadic tribes of Dár Ḥāmid and the like would allow them. Westwards they pushed into Dārfūr in not inconsiderable numbers, and the Ga'afiría section, probably at a later date, formed the settlement of el Sa'ata in the intermediate country now generally known as Dár Ḥamār.

The Gawáma’a suffered very severely in the Mahdía. Slatin computes¹ that scarcely a sixth remained of their original numbers in Kordofán. But they have wonderfully recuperated and the development of the extensive gum forests round el Ṣaiāra has made them prosperous. They and the Ḥamar are now the two largest sedentary tribes in Kordofán.

There are small colonies of Gawáma’a, refugees by origin, living in the Gezíra and here and there along the White Nile, even as far south as Fama.

XXXII The following are the subdivisions of the tribe in Kordofán.

I. Ḥomrán

A. Awlád Gáma’³

1. Ashkār
2. ’Awag
3. Bakhít
4. Mulkāb
5. Kerámsha
6. Masíkh
7. Dushásh
8. Awlád Sherayki
9. ” Abu Sulaymán
10. ” Zídán
11. Khátráb
12. Ma’inab
13. Awlád Nilayt
14. Nakarmín
15. Turkāb
16. Masháikha²
17. Ferarín
18. Shibráwín
19. Belūh
20. Kárko
21. Ḥagu
22. Tuk

B. El Ṭerayfía³

1. Ḥarráníya

(a) Awlád Shāīk
(b) Ketátil
(c) Selimía
(d) Timu
(e) Awlád Zayd
(f) Ferágía
(g) Awlád Abu Mukhayra

2. Zarázír, or Awlád Zarzūr⁴
3. Awlád ʿImayr
4. ” ʿAbd el ʿAhad
5. Um Gurta
6. Naʿámánín
7. Awlád ʿĀbid
8. ” ʿAli
9. Shelláliún

¹ Chap. xvi.
² These Masháikha are probably an offshoot of the tribe of that name, for whom see D 3, xiii, etc. (index).
³ See p. 201 above.
⁴ Awlād Ašor (Dár Ḥamid) by origin.

M. S. I.
10. 'Udûsah
   (a) Um Báraq Ḥerayḥír
   (b) , Hammadowín
11. Ḥiádbah
   (a) Awlád 'Alwán
   (b) Shadwánía
   (c) Um Tilayg
   (d) , Haydóbi
12. Awlád Mága
13. , Siḥayl
14. Hilayga
15. 'Aráda
16. Kidil
17. Um Dóda
18. Um Wadíd
19. Awlád Sirayr

C. El Serayḥát
1. Deḵashma
2. Awlád Mûsá
3. , Abu Gindíá
4. , Abu Sunnud
5. , Abu Ghulmán
6. Kura'ān
7. Awlád Gímay'a
8. , Gám'a
9. Balûlín
10. Habaysía
11. Awlád Farag
12. , Baḵkári
13. , el Hurr
14. , el Shaykh
15. Kelálím
16. Awlád Ligám
17. Hamdání
18. Awlád 'Awáli
19. Shiβláwín
20. Busât

D. Awlád Murg³
1. Um Kelayb
2. Um Barakát
3. Um Dhiáb

---

¹ Ba'ashm is the plural of Ba'ashóm, a jackal.
² The same name occurs among the Terayfá.
³ The Awlád Murg (and the Gümra) were once subject to the Serayḥát or the Awlád Gímá'i. They live north of Bára on the confines of Dár Hámíd. It is noteworthy that of the five subsections four are named after animals, etc.: “Kelayb” is a puppy, “Dhiáb” are “wolves,” “Fáris” is a mare; “Nugára” is a small war-drum. This naming of subtribes after animals, etc., is no doubt of totemistic origin.
E. EL GAMRIA
1. Awlād Malik
2. Biday
3. Awlād Abu Timām
4. Ėbay'a
5. Awlād Hasan
6. 'Adlān
7. 'Abd el Gibār
8. Abu Ḥalīma
9. Awlād Sūk
10. Mūmin
11. Kerāfīt

F. EL GHANAYMIA
1. Awlād Šāliḥ
2. 'Īsa
3. Um Shīkil
4. Merāmra
5. Māgidā
6. Awlād Ḥamayd

G. EL FAḌAYLĪA
1. Ba'īgāb
2. Awlād Tūri
3. Faṭahāwī
4. 'Abīdā
5. Māhmadī
6. Tunuwi
7. Bedlāwī
8. Tibrāwī
9. Berākīt (Berāghīth?)
10. Magaylisāb
11. Ḥalīmāb
12. Izayriḳāb
13. 'Agākī, or 'Agāgīk

II. EL GIMĀ'IA

A. EL GA'AFIRIA
1. Awlād 'Ādi
2. Um Raḥmān
3. Nālīa
4. Bohrānīa
5. Hawāmda
6. Awlād Ḳādim
7. Awlād Zuayd
8. Gerārāb
9. Masīkhāb
10. Shikayt
11. Shibaylīa
12. Nuḳārīa
13. Ridaysāb
14. Zūrkāb
15. Awlād Rahūda
16. Merri'i
17. Hāshīm
18. Danaksi
19. Haysinna
20. Koṭākīt
21. Muftāh
22. Bishr
23. Awlād Ḥāshi
24. Ḥantūshi

B. EL GEMAMLA
1. Awlād Maṭlūt
   (a) Awlād Raḥayma
   (b) Mūsa
   (c) Ádam
   (d) Awlād Muḥammad
   (e) 'Timsāh
   (f) Subayḥ
   (g) Awlād 'Abd el Ḥamīd

and is common in the Sudan: cp. "Ba'āshīm" among the Serayḥāt on p. 226. Among the "Nūba" of northern Kordofān we find subtribes named respectively after cattle, rats, sheep, wood and horses: see MacMichael, Tribes..., p. 97. Cp., too, the case of certain Ḥūr subtribes (p. 94).
1 These have been in turn subject to the Faḍaylīa and the Ṭerayfīa. The name denotes "lamb(s)."
3 See later, p. 231.
4 These are singular, not plural forms.
5 Shrimps, or fleas (?).
2. Awlād el Fekī el Aṭrash
   (a) Awlād 'Abdulla
   (b) "  el Mulūk
   (c) Gaṭmīa
   (d) Ḥelaywīn
   (e) Awlād Shākhi
   (f) Adhūna
3. 'Abi
4. Shaʿālibi

C. Awlād Bīka
1. Tuaymat
   (a) Fatāha
   (b) Abu ʿAshay’
   (c) 'Aṭtūlla
   (d) Awlād Manna
2. Ghubayshāb
3. 'Anākār
4. Awlād Shayn
5. 'Aṭūr
6. Um Kūdi
7. Awlād Masakh
8. Um Shenab

XXXIII The word "Gawāma’a" being merely a plural formed from Gāma’i it seems probable that the first mentioned of all the above sections represents the true nucleus of the tribe. Its sheikh is commonly considered the theoretical head of the tribe, the holder of the "nahās."

The recurrence of uncommon tribal names is a useful guide if used with discretion, and from this source we obtain several clues as to the tribes from which the subsections of the Gawāma’a were drawn.

Among the Ḥomrān we note Mashāḥkha and Belūh; the latter are possibly connected with the Belū of the east, the former are akin to the Mesallamīa. Among the Šerayfīa, who as a whole are closely connected with the Bēdayrīa, are Awlād Shāfīk (i.e. Shāfīkā (?)), Shellālīn (in the form “Shellālīl” a section of Shāfīkā) and Marāzīk (a variant of “Marzūkāb,” also a section of Shāfīkā).

Among the Šerayḥāt are Kūrā’ān, Šiblāwīn (who are presumably connected with the “Šibla” of the “nishbas”) and Baʿāshīm; and the Šerayḥāt, taken as a whole, are properly a separate unit in the Ga’alīn congeries and closely connected with the Mīrafāb and Manāsīr on the river. They are said to have entered Kordofān only six generations ago.

Among the Ghanaymīa we find Merāmra, i.e. Dār Ḥāmid, and Máhidēa.

Among the Ga’afirīa are Gerārāb, perhaps Beni Gerār; and among the Gemāmla are Dushaynāb, of whom others are with the Gemā’āb.

The Tuaymat, it is said, are Kawāhla.
The Ga'afiría as a whole are no doubt connected with the Ga'afiría of Upper Egypt and Dongola, who appear in the "nisbas" as Ga'afira and are as a rule said to be descendants of Beni Tai. Makrízi¹ and Ibn Khalduñ² mention Beni Ga'afir (with the Awlād Kanz north of Aswán) who were Kuraysh by origin. These are the "large tribe of Djaafere" referred to in the same locality by Burckhardt, who says of them:

The large tribe of Djaafere occupied the shores of the Nile from Esne to Assouan; a few families of Sherifs settled in the Batn el Hadjar and a branch of the Koreish possessed themselves of Mahass. For several centuries Nubia was occupied by these Arabs, who were at continual war with each other, in the course of which the kings of Dongola had acquired so much influence over them as to be able at last to compel them to pay tribute³.

The Ga'afiría may also contain elements of Beni Hilál⁴.

XXXIV The camel brand most generally used by such of the Ga'ama'a in Kordofán as own camels is the "ruaykib" ("little rider"). It is placed on the right cheek and assumes in the case of the various sections one or other of the forms a, b, c, d, e. The Serayhát are an exception and use the || === === || "shabül," i.e. Λ, on the right cheek, a fact a b c d e which bears further witness to their connection with the Manāšir on the river⁵.

XXXV The Gima'a. The early history of the Gima'a, their separation from the main Ga'ali stock in Nūbia and their movement southwards to Kordofán, is much the same as that of the Ga'wāmā'a. But they lack the Dārfur element that characterizes the Ga'wāmā'a, and, having settled further east than the latter, mixed less with the autochthonous population of the northern Nūba mountains, and during their subsequent career acquired more of the customs and manners typical of the Bākkāra, such as their dances and their method of dressing the hair. Nor did they become completely sedentary in their mode of life.

Their number was assessed by Prout in 1876 at about 25,000⁶.

In 1885 their sheikh 'Asākir Abu Kalám was ordered by the Khalifa to bring them all to Omdurman. When they hesitated he sent Yūnis wad Dekaym to crush them. Yūnis confiscated most of

¹ O.v. ap. Quatremère, ii, 204. "Their territory commences north of Manfalut and stretches east and west as far as Samalout."
² Ed. de Slane, pp. 9–11.
³ Burckhardt, Nubia, pp. 133–134.
⁴ See p. 147.
⁵ See p. 209.
⁶ Report..., p. 7. Prout classes the Gima’a ("Menateh el Gimeh") as Bākkāra.
their herds and broke up the tribe. Some were sent to Omdurman and others settled in Sennar Province.

At the reoccupation such Gima'a as survived returned to the west bank of the White Nile and now, in spite of all, they are probably as numerous as they were before the Mahdia.

Some of the 'Abbaysáb branch own camels and have attached themselves to the Kawáhla. The rest are cattle and sheep owners.

They are subdivided as follows:

A. MANÁTA
   1. Ból Muhammad
   2. " Nasr
   3. Walad Hasan
   4. " Hasan

B. 'ASHAYSH 1. 'Abbaysáb
   (a) Amúmín
   (b) Um Fezári
   (c) Braysháb
   (d) Sayláb
   (e) Kambuíáb
   (f) Gúda
   (g) Um Gía (Gıır?)
   (h) Kenána

   2. GAHAKA
   (a) Meshamír
   (b) 'Aiál 'Ukła
   (c) " Kükü
   (d) Deránáb
   (e) Kanábít
   (f) 'Aiál Sárın
   (g) " Ádam
   (h) Siágh
   (j) Um Danúd
   (k) 'Aiál Muhammád

3. Mesadáb
4. Dár Awáb
5. Rowáshda
6. Huluf
7. Tína

The most powerful and numerous of these sections are the Ból Muhammad and the Ból Naṣr, and it is said the former is nearly twice the size of the latter.

The 'Ashaysh are not very numerous but are more homogeneous.

---

1 Slatin, Chaps. xi and xvi.
2 The spelling of these names may not in all cases be entirely accurate: they were obtained at second hand.
3 The word “ból” (بول) properly means “urine.” It is evidently used as the equivalent of “seed.”
(j) THE MÁGIDÍA AND KURTÁN

XXXVI The MÁGIDÍA or Máídía¹ are an almost extinct tribe, and the Kurtán, so far as I know, entirely so. The former are said to have occupied the hills near Kagmar in Kordofán about the close of the seventeenth century², and to have been driven thence eastwards by the immigrating Zaghawa.

There appear also to be remnants of them among the Núba of Gebel Abu Tubr, between Kagmar and the river³, and Cailliaud mentions them in 1821 on the west bank of the White Nile above Khartoum⁴.

From MS. D 3 it seems there was also one small colony of them at least on the Blue Nile⁵.

For the rest, the MÁGIDÍA only seem to survive as a branch of the GAWÁMA’Á-GHANAYMÍA.

(k) THE GA’ALÍN PROPER

XXXVII We now come to the GA’ALÍN proper, the people, that is, who are called GA’ALÍN and nothing else at the present.

Their riverain “dár” is between the mouth of the Atbara and the Shablūka cataract.

An examination of the “nisbas” shews that they are distinctly junior members of the great Ga’ali fraternity, in the sense that all the eponymous ancestors of their subsections lived within the last twelve generations or less, or within, say, 400 years of the present day⁶. Now a period of 400 years brings us back approximately to the time of el Samaríndi, the great provider of genealogies, i.e. to the date of the great Arab-Fung movement which resulted in the formation of the kingdom of Sennár.

It seems that at the beginning of the sixteenth century certain chieftains calling themselves pure Arabs, however freely their forebears had intermarried with the Núbias, were settled on the Nile with their families north of the Shablūka, and had established for themselves a position of authority and overlordship, as the Rabí’A and Guhayna had done some centuries before in the north-east, and that these chieftains were named ‘Armán and Abu Khamsín⁷, sons of

¹ See note to D 3, 60.
² See MacMichael, Tribes..., p. 109.
³ Ibid. p. 101.
⁴ Cailliaud, III, 94.
⁵ See MS. D 3, 108, 200 and 204.
⁶ A 1, A 2, A 6 and A 10 make Serrár, the “general ancestor,” live eighteen or nineteen generations ago. Between him and ‘Armán intervene five generations (see trees to BA and A 11); i.e. ‘Armán’s sons and grandsons, who are the eponymous ancestors of most of the Ga’alí tribes proper lived twelve generations ago, or less. See in particular A 10, the pedigree of the Grand Mufti, which confirms the above.
⁷ Or perhaps it was their sons or grandsons who were contemporaries of el Samaríndi. It is useless to be over-dogmatic, but a comparison of the “A” MSS. suggests that the generations subsequent to ‘Armán were added by a later hand.
Đūáb ibn Ghánim\textsuperscript{1}. El Samarkdandi included them in his genealogical treatise as descended from the Bení 'Abbás, and not only their own children—and apparently these were numerous—but probably all their dependants in subsequent ages claimed a like origin.

XXXVIII About the close of the sixteenth century\textsuperscript{2} the Ga'Alīn proper were under Sa'ad ibn Dabus, the eponymous ancestor of the Sa'ADĀB section, who appears in the "nisbas\textsuperscript{3}" as grandson or great-grandson of 'Adlán the son of 'Arman; and it is from this period that the real history of the Ga'Alīn begins.

Cailliaud\textsuperscript{4} gives a "chronology of the princes of Shendi" beginning with "Sadāb Dabbous" and ending with Nimr, the Mek who murdered Ismā'il Pasha in 1822, but if any reliance is to be placed on the "nisbas"—allowing plentiful inaccuracies of detail—this chronology is hopelessly incorrect in its earlier stages. For instance, A 11 gives the relationships as follows:

\begin{center}
\begin{tikzpicture}
  \node {Ghánim} child { Đūáb \node {Đūáb} \node {Bishára} child { 'Armán \node { 'Adlán \node { 'Abd el Ma'abūd \node { 'Abd el Salám \node { El Kanbaláwi \node { Sa'ad \node { Idrís

Sulaymán el Adhāb (?)\end{tikzpicture}
\end{center}

and ABC (tree 2) as follows:

\begin{center}
\begin{tikzpicture}
  \node { 'Armán \node { 'Adlán \node { 'Abd el Ma'abūd \node { 'Abd el Salám el Aşfar \node { Sa'ad Abu Dabūs \node { El Kanbaláwi \node { Idrís
\end{center}

1 This Đūáb in the MSS. has a brother Đūáb. The name Đūáb ibn Ghánim occurs in the Abu Zayd cycle of romances as that of one of the Bení Hilál notables (see Burton, Land of Midian, 11, 233, and Ibn Khaldūn, ed. ar. Vol. 6, pp. 14 and 16); but there is no evidence that this is more than a mere coincidence.

2 I arrive at this date by accepting as roughly correct the 235 years (i.e. about 228 solar years) said by Cailliaud (11, 106) to have elapsed in 1821 since the accession of Sa'ad el Dabus. It will be seen that this computation agrees fairly closely with that made already on other grounds for the date of 'Armán.

3 See trees to A 11 and ABC 2.

4 Loc. cit.
But Cailliaud’s list is as follows:

Sadāb Dabbous ... ... ... ... 20 ans
Soleymān el-Addār ... ... ... ... 7 "
Edryān, fils de Soleymān ... ... ... ... 35 "
Abd el-Salām ... ... ... ... 10 "

El-Fahl Mak, fils d’Abd el-Salām ... ... 15 "
Edryān II, fils d’Abd el-Salām, frère de Mak 6 "

Dyāb, son frère ... ... ... ... 12 "

Kanbalāouy, fils d’Abd el-Salām ... ... 3 "
Bechārah, fils d’Abd el-Salām ... ... 7 "
Soleymān, fils de Sālem ... ... ... ... 15 "
Saād, frère de Soleymān ... ... ... ... 2 "

Edryān III, fils de Fahl ... ... ... ... 20 "

Saād II Mak, fils d’Edryān ... ... ... ... 40 "
Meçāad, fils de Saād Mak² ... ... ... ... 13 "
Mohammed el-Mak ... ... ... ... 13 "
Nimir ou Nemr, fils de Mohammed ... ... ... ... 17 "

Années de règne ... ... 235

It is at least clear that from the end of the sixteenth till the end of the second decade of the nineteenth century the Sa’adāb were, nominally at least, the ruling section, and that among them the chieftainship was latterly held by the Awlād Nimr. One of these latter, Muḥammad wad Nimr, it seems, relying on aid from Sennār, rebelled against the legitimate line of sheikhs as represented by Musa’ad ibn Sa’ad and was betrayed; but his son Nimr succeeded better and in 1801 seized the sheikhship, established himself at Shendi and relegated Musa’ad to an inferior position as sheikh of Metemma.²

Both the Awlād Nimr and the other Sa’adāb they dispossessed probably based their claims on their connection with the ’Abdullāb; for, on the one hand, Nimr’s mother was an ’Abdullābīa—and his

1 The spelling is preserved as given: see Cailliaud, III, 106. He obtained his information from a certain feki, ‘Omar el Kassir, verbally (see Cailliaud, II, 318).
2 See note to D 7, cxliv, for details. Cailliaud in 1821 speaks of Metemma as “Chef-lieu de la province d’el Mecā’ād.”
family on this account was described by Burckhardt\(^1\) as “of the same tribe as” the 'ABDULLÁB—and, on the other, we know from Bruce that in his day—before the revolt of Muhammad wad Nimr, that is—Shendi was ruled by a woman, the sister of Wad 'Agib (the 'Abdullábi “mangil”) and mother of Idris wad el Fahl, who in 1772 was the heir-apparent to the sheikhship\(^2\).

In all probability the SA'ADÁB in their earlier stages were under the 'ABDULLÁB suzerainty; and that the rule of the SA'ADÁB may have been nominal rather than effective is suggested by Burckhardt’s remark\(^3\) concerning some villages between Dámer and Shendi in 1814:

They are inhabited by the Arabs Mekaberab, who were formerly tributary to the chiefs of Shendy, but who have long since asserted their freedom, and now live partly upon the produce of their fields, and partly by robbery; they are at war with all their neighbours, and having acquired a reputation for superior valour, are much dreaded by them\(^4\).

Later, it appears\(^5\), the NIFI'ÁB, the NÁFA'ÁB and the KARÁKISA entertained designs of seizing the headship from the AWLÁD NIMR, but an agreement was finally reached whereby the latter and the NÁFA'ÁB took the east bank and the remainder obtained the west bank of the river and called themselves the SA'ADÁB proper.

In Burckhardt’s day the GA’ALÍN were still a nomadic rather than a sedentary tribe\(^6\). They had cultivation on the river but their subtribes roamed up the Atbara and over the BUTÁNA\(^7\).

“The true Djaalein Bedouins,” says the traveller, “who come from the eastern desert are much fairer-skinned than the inhabitants of the banks of the Nile...I was much struck with the physiognomy of many of these Djaaleins, who had exactly the countenance and expression of features of the Bedouins of eastern Arabia\(^8\).”

XXXIX At present the GA’ALÍN are very widely distributed as small traders and colonists and employées, though the nucleus of the tribe remain cultivators and herdsmen between the Shablūka and the Atbara\(^9\).

They suffered enormous losses in the Dervish days: thousands

---

1. \(Níhiba\), p. 268.
2. Note the matrilinear system still in force.
4. He hazards that perhaps they are the Megaberi of Strabo.
5. See A 11, LXV.
9. Sheikh Ibrahim Muhammad Feraib of the wealthy Nifi'ab section calls himself “Sheikh of all the Ga'alín” and is accepted in theory by a certain number of the tribe, but his claim is only based on events dating from the Dervish days and is practically negligible. Such of the Ga'alín as are not settled outside their own “dār” under the sheikhs of the local tribes, or as independent traders, etc., are under their respective sectional ‘omdas.
fell, in particular at Toski and Tókar\(^1\), and whole villages of them perished of hunger in the terrible famine of 1889\(^2\). What was left of their power as a tribal unit was ended in 1897, when, on the approach of the British forces, they projected a rising against the Dervishes. The "\textit{amir}" Mahmūd learnt of their intention, attacked and sacked their headquarters at Metemma and slew over 2000 of them\(^3\).

In so far as the Ga'alín remain cultivators and herdsmen in their own "\textit{dár}," and have not taken to trade in the towns, they preserve much the same degree of tribal organization as do their neighbours the Bātāhīn, Shukrīā, etc. The sections (Nāfa'āb, 'Āli̇āb, etc.) which graze their herds and sow their crops in the dry watercourses of the ancient Island of Meroe are each independent of the other with no single head-sheikh. The families who cultivate the Nile banks live the ordinary life of the sedentary villager and send such flocks as they possess eastwards in charge of their semi-nomadic kinsfolk.

In addition, however, to these Ga'alín and the town-dwelling community there are numerous isolated colonies of Ga'alín settled at intervals along the Blue and White Niles as far south as Ṭeṭāref and Ka'awa, and others, though fewer, in the inland provinces. These are all sedentary, and unimportant.

\textbf{XL} To sum up, one may say that the word Ga'alín is used in two senses: in the first and widest sense it denotes all the loosely connected group of tribes on the river and inland, Danágla and others, who claim an 'Abbāsid descent: in the second it is limited to the riverain people whose ancestor was Duāb ibn Ghānim and whose chief habitat has been between the mouth of the Atbara and the Shablūka cataract since the beginning of the sixteenth century, if not for longer.

In so far as the Ga'alín congeries can be regarded as a single whole its homogeneity consists in the common Berberine or Nūbian strain that exists in a very varying proportion in all its component parts.

There is also a strong infusion of Arab blood—more particularly in the Ga'alín proper—but the error into which the native genealogists have wilfully slipped consists in ignoring the Nūbian element and finding the common race factor of the Ga'alín in the tribe of Kuraysh. The facts being as they are, it is impossible to specify any particular tribe of Arabia as being that to which the Arab element in the composition of the Ga'alín group can be attributed in any exclusive sense.

\(^1\) Slatin, Ch. xvi.  
\(^2\) Slatin, Ch. xiii.  
\(^3\) Anglo-Egypt. Sudan, 1, 45; Budge, ii, 271.
We have seen that numbers of Kuraysh entered the Sudan at various times, but Kuraysh were only one tribe among scores of others, and the comprehensive claim of the Ga'aliin to belong to one special branch of Kuraysh, the Beni 'Abbás, would be difficult indeed to substantiate. Being themselves in some doubt as to the facts of the matter they had the less hesitation in making a bold throw for distinction.
CHAPTER 2

The Guhayna Group

I The second great Arab group in the Sudan is known as the Guhayna.

As in the case of the Ga’aliin, the word has a wider and a narrower sense. In the latter it applies to certain nomads the bulk of whom inhabit Sennar Province in the southern Gezira. In the former sense the term “Guhayna” is used of all the vast group, Rufá’A₁, Kabábísh, Dár Hámid and other camel-owning nomads of Kordofán, as well as of the great Bakkara fraternity of Kordofán, Dárfür and the western states, all of whom are said to be descended from “’Abdulla el Guhani.”

The parallelism between the use of the terms Ga’ali and Guhani is, however, not complete, for, whereas any native is only too glad to imply a connection with the Prophet by calling himself a Ga’ali, there is not an equal enthusiasm for ’Abdulla el Guhani.

Thus a Bedayri, for instance, if asked his tribe would sometimes say “Ga’ali,” but a Rufá’i, a Kabbáshi, or one of the Bakkára would never think of saying “Guhani.” He would only say he belonged to the Guhayna if he were asked “granted you are a Rufá’i (Kabbáshi, Bakkári) from what main stock is your tribe sprung?”

A hypocritical tendency too has arisen among some of the tribes, e.g. the Rufá’A, to assert a descent from one of the sons of the Imám ’Ali², and to speak of the Guhayna connection as confined to the mother’s side. Again, whereas it is useless to try and determine with what particular Arab tribe the Ga’aliin are most closely connected, in the case of members of the Guhayna group there is often sufficient evidence to create a strong presumption, if not a certainty.

The reason for this lies in the fact that the Guhayna represent the nomad Arab immigrants who kept their tribal system unimpaired from generation to generation, whereas the Ga’aliin absorbed an older and more sedentary, and therefore more heterogeneous, population.

But a curious fact comes to light. The historical ’Abdulla el

₁ The Rufá’a are sometimes called “Guhayna el Shark” (“Eastern Guhayna”) to differentiate them from the nomads west of the Nile.

² The Fándna are another example.
Guhani was not of the tribe of GUHAYNA at all\(^1\), and hence one is tempted at first to say that the tribes claiming descent from him are unlikely to be GUHAYNA. The conclusion would be false however, for the claim to be GUHAYNA preceded the claim to be the children of 'Abdulla el Guhani and was based on rather surer foundations. The dragging in of 'Abdulla el Guhani was merely the ill-advised expedient of a later generation.

II Before dealing with the tribes that compose the GUHAYNA group it is as well to recall several facts: that the true GUHAYNA of Arabia have occupied the neighbourhood of Yanbu' for at least 1300 years; that there has been immigration of varying volume from this part of the Hegáz at every period known to history; that many GUHAYNA took part in the invasion of Egypt; that a large force of them in the ninth century invaded the Eastern Desert in company with the Rabí'a; that by the middle of the thirteenth century they were said to have "conquered the countries inhabited by the Núbians" and to be settled between Aswán, Núbia and Abyssinia; and that another large body of them at the beginning of the fifteenth century was still in Upper Egypt\(^2\).

There is therefore no reason to doubt that by the Fung period there was a very large number of GUHAYNA—"fifty-two tribes" say the "nisbas"\(^3\)—on the Blue Nile near Sóba, and even more in the west, and that the great majority of the tribes which claim to be or are alleged to be descended from 'Abdulla el Guhani are ultimately connected with the GUHAYNA.

The following are the chief of these at the present day\(^4\):

\begin{align*}
\text{Rufá'a (including } & \text{Kawásma, } '\text{Abdulláb, etc.)} \\
\text{Laháwín} & \\
\text{'Awámra, Khawál'da, etc.} & \\
\text{Shukría} & \\
\text{Dár Hamíd} & \\
\text{Zayádía} & \\
\text{Beni Gerár} \text{ } & \text{The "Fezára"} \\
\text{Baza'á} & \\
\text{Shenábla} & \\
\text{Ma'álía} & \\
\end{align*}

\(^{1}\) See note to BA, LVIII, for full details.
\(^{2}\) See Part I, Chaps. 2 and 3. The term no doubt included a proportion of neighbouring tribesmen who were joined to the Guhayna by the fortunes of war and community of aims.
\(^{3}\) BA, CXXIII.
\(^{4}\) Many other "Guhayna" tribes of less importance are omitted. They can be found by reference to the trees of, e.g., BA. One or two tribes, e.g. the Mogharba, are included because they appear as Guhayna in the "nisbas" and seem to be related to the rest of that group in spite of the fact that they do not call themselves Guhayna.
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THE GUHAYNA GROUP

DWAYH
MESALLAMÍA
The BAKKÁRA tribes
MAHÁMÍD, MAHRÍA, etc.
KABÁBÍSH (certain sections only)
MOGHÁRBA
HAMAR

The KABÁBÍSH, the HAMAR, the BAKKÁRA, the MAHÁMÍD group and the "FEZÁRA" group of the above are all in Kordofán or west of it; the remainder are nearly all in the Gezíra or east of it.


III THE RUFÁ'A. The RUFÁ'A, descendants of RÁfa'i, that is, generally appear in the "nisbas" among the GUHAYNA group and are said to have sojourned among the BÉGA and in Abyssinia before moving down to the valley of the Nile\(^1\). This tradition is corroborative of the statement quoted by Quatremére\(^2\) that in 680 A.H. (1281 A.D.) a battle was fought between the GUHAYNA and the RUFÁ'A in the desert of 'Aidháb. The two tribes mentioned have been close neighbours for many generations, not only in Africa but also in Arabia: Burckhardt, writing in 1814, says\(^3\):

While I was at Shendi an Arabian came from Souakin, who was of the tribe of Refay (رفاعى Rifa‘ay), which is related to the great tribe of Djeheyne (جبينة Djeheyne), near Yembo; he told me that he had heard that there were descendants of his own tribe of Refay settled to the south of Sennaar, and that he intended to visit them...as they had always manifested kindness to their relatives in the Hedjaz, especially to such as had undertaken the journey for the purpose of saluting them.

The RUFÁ'A of the Sudan themselves now claim to be distinct from the GUHAYNA in origin, though admitting that much intermarriage has been taking place for centuries, and perfunctorily claim descent from a line of Sayyids. This however may partly be due to the fact that the 'ARAKÍN, many of whom are "holy men," claim to be ASHRAF, and the RUFÁ'A are placed in the dilemma of having either to repudiate the claim of the 'ARAKÍN to be ASHRAF or deny the fact that the 'ARAKÍN are a branch of the RUFÁ'A. They have chosen the obvious course of saying that the RUFÁ'A are all ASHRAF\(^4\). The fact of the matter is that soi-disant ASHRAF have intermarried with them; but, generally speaking, they are a composite tribe containing more

---

1 See A 2, xxxv, A 11, lvii, D 6, xxxv.
3 Nubia, p. 323.
4 See later sub 'Arakiin.
of the Guhayna element than any other. When I asked one of their chief men, 'Agab Abu Gin, whether the Ruf'a were Guhayna or Ashraf his reply was "It is said we are Ashraf, but God knows: if we are not Ashraf we are certainly Guhayna."

Maqrizi calls the Ruf'a a branch of the Beni Hilal, and it will be noticed that one section of them in the Sudan is called the Hilalia. It is possible therefore that the legend of Abu Zayd el Hilali crossing the Blue Nile near the site of the village of Ruf'a is connected with the southern movement of the Ruf'a from the Eastern Desert to the Blue Nile.

IV In the Fung days the Ruf'a were almost entirely nomadic and their headquarters were Sennar, Arbagi, and el Talha. The village of Ruf'a, after which a district is now named, was not founded until the northern half of the tribe had begun to relinquish the purely pastoral life.

At present the habitat of the Ruf'a is along the Blue Nile from its embouchure to south of Singa. They fall into two main groups. Of these the northern group are settled in villages in the Blue Nile Province: here in a single village one sometimes finds a medley of Ruf'a, Mahass, Ga'alin, Danagla and others: in other villages the whole population is composed of a single section of Ruf'a. They and the Mahass are generally regarded as the ancestral tribal owners of the riverain land in the northern districts of the Blue Nile Province, and the claim of the Ruf'a at least is probably well founded, for it must be remembered that though the 'Abdullab, whose eponymous ancestor four centuries ago helped 'Omara DUNKAS to found the kingdom of Sennar, have since that time been an entirely independent tribe, they were properly Ruf'a of the KAWASMA section, and their sphere extended from north of the junction of the Niles southwards to Arbagi.

V The southern branch of the Ruf'a is more nomadic than sedentary and is often referred to by other tribes simply as the "Guhayna" or "Guhayna el 'Oli" ("reckless Guhayna"). These are divided into Ruf'a el Sharq (Eastern Ruf'a), or Nas Abu Gin, and Ruf'a el Huoi (Ruf'a of the Gezira, i.e. Western Ruf'a), or Nas Abu ROF. These alternative names, "Abu Gin's folk" and "Abu ROF's folk," are given them because for many generations they have been ruled

---

1 Sir C. Wilson speaks of them (loc. cit.) as a branch of Guhayna.
2 Quatremère, II, 201. The Beni Sulaym, who accompanied the Beni Hilal in their great migration, also contained a section called Ruf'a (Quatremère, II, 214).
3 The proverb says "Guhayna el 'Oli, el 'ashira fık zól," i.e. "reckless Guhayna, ten of them all at one man." They are supposed to be particularly excitable, irresponsible and hasty.
by the Abu Gin and the Abu Rôf families respectively. The former
are a family of Ḥammada, the latter of Beni Ḥasan, but the sections
subject to them have always been drawn from a medley of all the
Rufa’a of the south, not even all the Ḥammada being subject to Abu
Gin nor all the Beni Ḥasan to Abu Rôf. In fact the titles “Rufa’a
el Shark” and “Rufa’a el Huoi” refer to an administrative and not
a genealogical division of the southern branch of the tribe.

Generally speaking the Rufa’a el Shark spend the rainy season
in the Butâna and round Kala’a Arang, while the Rufa’a el Huoi
remain in the west, moving northwards to Gebel Moya and Manâkil.

Early in the Dervish revolt these southern Rufa’a or “Guhayna”
twice attacked Sennár in the Mahdist interest and suffered great
losses at the hands of ‘Abd el Kâdir Pasha.

In 1887 the Khalîfa ordered the “Abu Rôf” of the day to bring
his whole tribe to Omdurman. On his refusal a strong force was sent
against him and the flower of the “Guhayna” were slain and their
herds confiscated.

VI As in the south it is impossible to draw any but a purely ad-
dministrative and geographical line between the eastern and western
groups of “Guhayna,” so, too, one would find it very difficult to
specify any real difference in race between the sedentary Rufa’a of
the north and the semi-nomadic “Guhayna” of the south. The same
sections are common to both, though their proportional distribution
course varies. It will be simplest to give a list of the chief Rufa’a
subtribes in order and to specify incidentally where each has its
main habitat. It may be noted that the names of many of the smaller
sections are chiefly familiar as being applied to villages on the Blue
Nile which were originally built by Rufa’a but which are now in
part occupied by later immigrants.

The list is as follows:

A. Kawásma

1. ‘Abdullâb
2. Mahâmîd
3. Um Arôsa
4. ‘Itâybâb
5. ‘Azâzâb
etc.

1 It is said there have been over twenty successive Abu Rôfs and Abu Gins,
but this is doubtful. The earliest mention of either occurs in Bruce, who about
1772 speaks of “Wed Abroff and all the Jeheina Arabs.” Trémaux, II, 29, quoting
Lejean (1862) says: “Les Abou-Rof ont rebattu...les nègres Denka compris dans
le quadrilatère de l’angle formé par le Saubat et le Nil Blanc.”

2 Slatin, Chaps. iv and xi. 3 Ibid. Ch. xii.

4 These are dealt with later, separately.

M.S. I.
Most of the Kawásma proper are now west of Sennár and on the Dinder.

B. 'Arakiín

1. Feragiín

The 'Arakiín now claim to be of Sherífi descent through a series of holy men, biographies of whom will be found in the MS. "D 3." They cultivate in the south of the Blue Nile Province, in Sennár and in el Ma'áttuk district, and a halo of sanctity still surrounds them. Their headquarters are at Abu Ḥaráz.

The small subtribe of Feragiín live with the Gamú'í inel of Omdurman. In Fúng days they were under the "nahás" of Sheikh Ḥammad el Nil el 'Araki, and they consider themselves 'Arakiín on both sides.

C. 'Isaylát

1. Widi'áb
2. Sinhayráb
3. Ḥasanáb
4. Ma'álía
5. Gabráb

There is a group of villages on the east bank of the Blue Nile called 'Isaylát. By many the 'Isaylát are held to be a section of the Ḥammada.

D. Nóláb

E. Zenáfla. A colony of these is said to have lived at Kálkól near el Kámlín before the coming of the Mahass. But I have also heard them spoken of as "Ghuzz," i.e. Mamlük or Bosnian stock and not Rufá'a at all.

F. Ḥagá gàb

G. Beshákíra. There is a village on either side of the river in el Kámlín district called after them.

H. Shibaylát

J. Ḥaláwíln

These are chiefly in Rufá’a district and Sennár, and are a large and turbulent section, much feki-ridden. In a list of Arab tribes in Egypt, east of the Nile, Sir G. Wilkinson includes "Allowéen," who are probably a branch of the same people, between Egypt and Petraea and north of Sinai. (See Modern Egypt and Thebes, II, 380.)

K. Feraháb

L. Ma'ápíp. In Rufá’a district and Sennár.

M. Farádín

N. Farágáb. A few live in Káwa district on the White Nile.

1 Several others of the Rufá’a sections given below are often also included as Kawásma: in fact, half the tribe is popularly so considered: the reason is that they were for long under the 'Abdulláb chieftainship.

2 Cp. MS. C 9, but for the real facts see D 1, ci.

3 Corrupted into "Sirhaynáb."

4 Probably connected with the Ibráhím ibn 'Abúdí el Farádí whose biography is numbered 135 in D 3.
O. Ṭowál, or Ṭowálnín. Chiefly in el Ma‘atūk and Káwa districts. They are said to be a branch of the Ḥammáda.

P. Shabárka

As regards this section it is interesting to note that Shabárka also occur in the northern hills of Kordofán, where they are called Shaberko and are said to have immigrated from the Blue Nile in ancient days and to have spread even further westwards into Dárífur. They are also said, at el Ḥaráza, to be connected with the Ṭowál section of Kabábísh. As the Ṭowál also appear in the list of Rufá‘a tribes, and their name and that of the Shabárka were given me one after the other, it is a fair presumption that some of the Rufá‘a passed into Kordofán and mixed, some with the Núba of el Ḥaráza and some with the nomads north-west of them, while others reached Dárífur.

That there were also cases of movement in the opposite direction is evidenced by the existence of two villages on the Nile called “el Núba,” one near el Khámlín and one north of Khartoum, both of which are said to have been formed by colonies from el Ḥaráza. In the case of the former village it is said seven Núba from Kordofán were allotted land there by the Fung and their daughters were married by Arabs.

Q. Hilálía

R. ‘Aklíín. This subtribe was all but wiped out by the famine of 1889, but it has recovered and large numbers now live round Sennár and in Mesallamía district, with scattered villages in the Gezíra and on the White Nile.

S. Bení Ḥasán

1. Wad Balūla
2. ‘Atámla. Abu Róf’s own family.
3. Wad Abu Sirwál etc.

Their habitat is south of el Manáḳil and between Singa and Roşayreş.

T. Bení Húsayn. They live with the Bení Ḥasán and west of them to the White Nile.

U. Ḥammáda

1. Raháḥila
2. Ghuzz
3. Riba'yát

These are the “Nás Abu Gin” proper. In Fung days their chief had the rank of “Mángíl” and the right of wearing the two-horned “takía.” In 1889 they and the ‘Aklíín suffered equally. Their habitat is on the Rahad and the Dinder. The capital of the Abu Gin was at Deberki.

V. Uláṭín. Semi-nomadic. They have villages in the east and the west of the Gezíra.

W. Zamálta

1. Kamátír

1 See MacMichael, Tribes..., p. 97.
2 Slatin, Ch. xiii.
3 These sections are closely connected. Almost all are in Sennár Province, in the neighbourhood of Singa.
4 Slatin, loc. cit.

16—2
The Kamátir, or Awlád Kamtír, have now all but died out as a result of constant warfare with the Fung in the eighteenth century. Their chief, who was usually known by the tribal name of Kamtír, had the right of wearing the "takla." His domains stretched from Karkój to Roşayres.

X. Rázkiá. These, like the 'Arakiín, claim descent from Ashrâf. They are the only section of Rufá'a (if Rufá'a they be) who live north of the junction of the Niles.

VII The Guhayna Proper. Now although the term "Guhayna" is applied vaguely by other tribes to all the Rufá'a, and more especially those of the south, the Rufá'a el Sharq and Rufá'a el Huoi, there is living near these a group of small tribes to whom the term is more especially applicable and to whom the Rufá'a themselves apply the term Guhayna: these are the Ma'âshira, the Genâna, the Rukábîn², the Ga'afíra and the Rowâshda. They are largely nomadic in habit. A few of them are settled in villages, but most graze with the Rufá'a el Sharq round Kala'a Arang, el 'Idayd and Sūki. They are not numerous and they are now subject to the Shu-kria of Kassala Province.

VIII The Lahawiín³. These are related to the Rufá'a group and are practically all nomads. One portion of them have for many generations lived on the east bank of the White Nile between el Kawa and Gebelayn and inland⁴. These are often spoken of as "Nás Wad el Labayh." The other portion is more given to camel-breeding, and has its grazing-grounds farther east in the neighbourhood of el Fâsher on the Atbara. These latter were for several generations attached to the Kabábish and lived in northern Kordofán and were known as the Guhayna section; but they quarrelled with the "nazîr" of the Kabábish in 1910 and moved eastwards over the Nile. They are now under the Shukríâ. Their well-known camel brand is a "tubá'a" on the left side of the nose with a "kiláda" on the left side of the neck⁵.

¹ Jackson, p. 91 note. For Muḥammas Kamtír see MS. "D 7," passim.
² Cp. Rikábíā.
³ The name Laháwí is said to be derived from the "labáwîa" or great bag in which the nomads carry grain, gum, etc. The root is the same as that of "liîba" (bark-fibre) and occurs also in the name of the Luḥâywat Arabs who live in Sinai among the tribes of Tih. These Luḥhaywát are said to be a subdivision of the Mesá'il branch of the Bení 'Atîa and ancient companions of the Bení 'Ukba. (See Na'tûm Bey, Hist. Sinai..., p. 117.) There is also, to the north-east, a section of 'Anaza called Lahûwîn (Burckhardt, Notes..., 1, 4 and 90).
⁴ Cailliard (iii, 94) notes them on the west bank of the White Nile above Khartoum in 1821. He calls them "Elalahouyehs."
⁵ "Lahawwyy" is mentioned by Doughty (Arabia Des. 1, 125) and Zwemer (p. 279) as the name of an Arab tribe in Arabia at the present time. The brand of these is ḤY.
IX THE 'ABDULLÁB. The 'ABDULLÁB are now a small and scattered family living round Khartoum North, and here and there on the Blue Nile below Rufá'a, with a little riverain cultivation and a few cattle, sheep and goats. But, poor as they are, they take a legitimate pride in being the descendants of that famous 'Abdulla Gemá'a of Kerri, an Arab of the Kawásma branch of the Rufá'á1, who helped 'Omára Dúnkas, the first Fung king, to extirpate the Núba and 'Anag from the Gezíra and found the kingdom of Sennár, and who was himself the founder of a line of hereditary viceroys with their headquarters near the junction of the Niles. For several generations the successors of the great 'Abdulla, whose sphere extended from the Shablúka cataract to Arabígi, resided at Kerri, but—it is not known exactly when2—they moved their capital to Halfáyat el Mulk.

The official title that they bore was "Mángil," or "Mángilak," a non-Arabic term applied to several of the Fung viceroys in different parts3, but par excellence to the reigning 'Abdullábi.

A list of the successive 'Abdulláh sheikhs was compiled by Cailliaud in 1821, but the relationships are not made clear and there are errors of detail: certain names too have been included which probably belonged to well-known relatives of the "Mángils" rather than to the actual holders of office.

A second list made some seventeen years ago by Na'úm Bey Shukayr, and quoted by Budge, is even less accurate. A comparison of these with the MSS. "D 3" and "D 7" and a pedigree4 lent me by a direct descendant of the "Mángils" suggests the following genealogical table as being reasonably correct. It is not complete and it may contain inaccuracies, but it is at least more correct, as far as it goes, than the older lists quoted. A common source of confusion has been ignorance of the fact that every "Mángil" after the time of 'Agib I, the son of 'Abdulla, was known, sometimes by his own name, but more commonly by that of "Wad Agíb."

---

1 In the 'Abdullábi pedigree three generations interpose between 'Abdulla and Ráfíi (ancestor of the Rufá'á).
2 It was perhaps between 1779 and 1790 as Muhammad el Amín, who is specifically alluded to in D 7 as "Sheikh of Kerri," was their headman during that period.
3 See Appendix to this section. The 'Abdulláb appear also to have practised the Fung custom of slaying their king, for which see p. 50, and (Part IV) MS. D 5 (a).
4 It only gives a single line of names from son to father. These names are marked with an asterisk in the following tree. The figures mark the order of succession: the letters refer to the footnotes that follow.
1. *'Abdulla Gemá’a (a) (d. 1554–1562)
2. *Sheikh 'Agib I, “el Káfúta,” or “el Mángilak” (b) (d. 1604–1611)
3. *El 'Agayl (c)

*’Agib

? El Amin Arádib (d) (d. 1689–1715)
4. *Abdulla II (e) Shammám (f) (d. 1747)

El 'Agayl (g)

5. *Mismár (p)
6. 'Agib (h) (d. 1779)
7 and 9. 'Abdulla III (l) (d. 1799)
8. Bádi (k) (acc. 1784)
10. *Abdulla (i) (d. 1779)

*Muhammad el Amin I (i) (d. 1790)
11. Nasir (m) (acc. 1799)
12. El Amin II (n)
13. *'Omar

(a) See D 7, v and xv. Cailliaud wrongly calls him 'Agib.
(b) ,, D 7, v and xx. He is Cailliaud's "Mángalek el Kébyr."
(c) ,, D 7, xx. The Hammad el Samh and his son 'Othman mentioned in Cailliaud's list and in D 3 seem to have obtained the power after this man.

(d) ,, D 7, XLII.
(e) ,, D 7, LVIII. Cailliaud (iii, 96) wrongly calls him son of 'Agayl.
(f) ,, D 7, LVIII. (g) See D 7, LVIII.
(h) ,, D 7, LXXVI. (i) ,, D 7, LXXVII and note, and CXII.
(j) ,, Cailliaud, loc. cit. (k) ,, D 7, LXXXIX and xc.
(l) ,, D 7, CXXXVIII and CXXVIII.
(m) ,, D 7, CXL and CLXXXVI.
(n) ,, Cailliaud, loc. cit.
(p) ,, D 3, LVIII. He only ruled two months.

Now 'Abdulla Gemá’a and his successors were more than chiefs of the 'ABDULLÁB: they were set in authority over all the tribes of Arabs in the valley of the Nile, excepting those in the neighbourhood of Sennár itself where the "Mek" maintained some 12,000 Núba "to keep the Arab in subjection1": with the aid of these the "Mek" used to "levy the tax upon the Arabs as they went down, out of the limits of the rains, into the sandy countries below Atbara to protect their cattle from the fly2."

Bruce describes Wad 'Agib's position about 1770 as follows3:

This prince was nevertheless but the Shekh of all the Arabs, to whom they paid a tribute to enable him to maintain his dignity and a sufficient

1 Bruce, Bk. vii, Ch. 7.
2 Ibid. Ch. 8. Bruce computed that this system used to cost the Arabs yearly half their substance.
3 Ibid. Ch. 9.
strength to keep up order and enforce his decrees in public matters. As for
economical ones, each tribe was under the government of its own Shekh,
old men, fathers of families in each clan.

The residence of this Arab prince...was at Gerri, a town in the very
limit of the tropical rains, immediately upon the ferry which leads across
the Nile to the desert of Bahiouda, and the road to Dongola and Egypt,
joining the great desert of Selima. This was a very well chosen situation,
it being a toll-gate as it were to catch all the Arabs that had flocks, who,
living within the rains in the country which was all of fat earth, were
every year, about the month of May, obliged by the fly to pass, as it were
in review, to take up their abode in the sandy desert without the tropical
rains....The Arab chief with a large army of light unincumbered horse
stood in the way of their return to their pastures till they had paid the
uttermost farthing of tribute, including arrears if there were any. Such
was the state and government of the whole of this vast country from the
frontiers of Egypt to those of Abyssinia at the beginning of the 16th
century.

Clearly the Arabs had no enviable lot. Bruce may again be
quoted:

The Arabs who fed their flocks near the frontiers of the two countries,
were often plundered by the kings of Abyssinia making descents into the
Atbara; but this was never reckoned a violation of peace between the two
sovereigns. On the contrary as the motive of the Arabs for coming south
into the frontiers of Abyssinia was to keep themselves independent and
out of the reach of Senaar, when the king of Abyssinia fell upon them
there he was understood to do that monarch service, by driving them down
farther within his reach.

The attitude of the rulers of Abyssinia and Sennár towards the
Arabs was in fact exactly that of the Mamlûks in Egypt in the Middle
Ages and of the Sultans of Dârfûr in recent times.

By the time of the Turkish conquest of the Sudan the 'Abbûl láb
had been independent of the kingdom of Sennár for some fifty years,
but the northern districts of their country for the whole of that
period had been a prey to the marauding Shâïkîa2. In name, how-
ever, they still ruled the country as far south as the junction of the
Dinder and the Blue Nile.3

X. The Inkëriá. Connected by race with the 'Abbûl láb are the
Inkëriá of Berber Province.

A MS. in the possession of one of them (but not included in the
following collection4) gives 'Abdulla Gemâ'a, whom, by the way the

---

1 Vol. iv, Bk. iv, p. 4.
2 Cailliard, ii, 195.
3 Ibid. pp. 198 and 220. "Lodaguib" there is of course Wad 'Agîb.
4 An Arabic copy was kindly sent me by Mr F. C. C. Balfour of the Sudan Civil
Service. The author of the MS. says "I copied it from another who copied it from
its owner Sheik 'Omar ibn Muḥammad, who brought a copy from Medina...from
the noble Sayyids." Probably it is nearly all a fake.
author prefers to call a "Sayyid," descended on his father's side from the Imám 'Ali, nine sons, namely Dayūma, Shenda, Idrís Inkayr, Subba, 'Abūda, Adrafóg, Shāwar, 'Antár and 'Agib the Mángilak. Of these, it is said, 'Agib was the youngest and the only son of his mother, a daughter of Sherif Ḥammad Abu Denána. The mother of the others, it is thought, was "a girl given to him ['Abdulla] by the king of the Fung at the time of the advance of the Arabs to conquer the Sudan."

From Idrís Inkayr were descended the Inkerriáb, from 'Abūda the Kángáb, from Shāwar the Dûkaláb, and from Dayūma the Kalísáb, the 'Arála, the Ḥamaydáb, the Sháwaráb, the Ḥammádáb, the Zurrük, the Māṭayriḵáb, and the Shendiáb. From 'Agib, says the manuscript, were descended the Misámír, the 'Agibáb, the Shemámím, the 'Othámná, the Asídáb, the 'Araybáb and the Hammádáb, names obviously formed from those of famous historical 'Abdulláb.

Appendix on the use of the term "Mángil"

XI The term is said to be of Hamag origin: its derivation is uncertain. The rank of Mángil carried with it the right to wear the "takía," which was worn also by the Meks of Sennár (see D 7 ccxi note). This "takía" may be described as a close-fitting hat with two stuffed flaps or wings resembling horns. Werne describes it (p. 159), and says the Mek of Fázogli, the Sheikh of the Beni 'Amir, the Sheikh of the 'Abdulláb, and the Mek of the Ga'álín were entitled to wear it. To these may be added the Sheikh of the Ḥammáda and the Sheikh of the Kamátir of Khashm al Bahr district (q.v. above sub Rufá'ã). The Ghodiát of Kordofán say their chief in olden days also bore the title and are corroborated by others. I have myself seen Mek Zaybak of Rashád, a Núba hill south of Tekalí, as a subject of the eighteenth century to Fung influences, wearing a "takía." Jackson (p. 95) thus describes the investiture of an 'Abdullábi "Mángil": "The newly appointed Sheikh first received a 'Tagia,' which consisted of two horns filled with cotton; this he put upon his head before taking his seat on the throne called 'Kukur'; he was then addressed with the title of Mek, and saluted: 'may your reign be prosperous!' The Sultan then kissed his hand, and, after wishing him success, ordered the state

1 The author allows that on the mother's side 'Abdulla was a Rufá'ã, and pretends to think that genealogists have been led astray by this into thinking he was a Rufá'ã by race.

2 Hence, according to the author, the name of the town of Shendi. On the other hand, the Für say "Shendi," or "Sendi," is a Für word meaning the womb and that it was so named in Kungára days because all mankind went to or came from it.

3 Vide Index sub "Abu Denána."

4 The name "Inkerriáb" is more likely to be derived from Kerri.

5 All these are small families scattered over the Sudan. The first five sections mentioned as descended from Dayūma were by a Rufá'ã mother, the next by a Fungáwía, the next by an 'Awadía (i.e. Ga'álía).
drum to be beaten in order to announce that the king had been crowned. ...The newly-crowned king then returned to his people with the ‘tagia’ and ‘kukur,’ for which reason the Abdelab were called ‘the people of the “tagia” and “kukur.”’

Α “Mángil” was invested not only with a “takia” turban but with a (’emma), a sword, a robe and, perhaps, a “heikali,” or gold chain (see Jackson, pp. 92 and 95); and it is impossible not to connect these insignia with those of “Jausár who wore the turban and the two horns and the golden bracelet” in the thirteenth century at Bujaras, the capital of the district which lay between Aswán and Korosko (see p. 177).

Dehérain (p. 59, quoting Junker, Reisen, 1, 101 and 108) says: “Le roi [s.c. of Sennár] remet à celui qu’il agréé le signe du commandement, le Taquie el Qarne, bonnet de velours ou de soie bariolée orné de deux appendices en forme de cornes.” The Fung king was allowed by the Turks after the conquest to retain the right to wear the “takia.”

At the conquest of Dárfur, hats of the same description were found in the Sultan’s camp. They were worn on gala days by his chief bugler and the “Khaskhangia” (blunderbuss-men) of the royal guard.

The title of “Mángil” always carried with it a considerable tract of land.

(b) THE BENI ’OMRÁN

XII The Beni ’Omrán are a tribe of indeterminate origin. They claim to be Ashráf but the “nisbas” class them as Guhayna. A few of them are scattered in the central Kordofán villages among the Bedayría and others live in eastern Dárfur, more especially near the Kordofán frontier. These latter divide themselves into:

\[
\begin{align*}
&\text{Aвлád el Mansúr} \\
&\text{Shaflák} \\
&\text{Shellálín} \\
&\text{Aвлád Málík} \\
&\text{Beni ’Átíf, or ’Awátifa}^1 \text{ (near Wada’a)} \\
&\text{Tergamía} \\
&’Ájál Muhammad \\
&’Muḥáfir \\
&’Ibráhím \\
&’Hasan
\end{align*}
\]

and state they came from Diráw in Upper Egypt, some seven generations ago, as traders and “fukara.” In type they resemble the Bedayría. They may be connected with the ’Omrán noticed by Burckhardt^2 near ’Akaba.

(c) THE AWÁMRA, THE KHÁWÁLDA, THE ’AMÁRNA AND THE FÁDNIA

XIII The first three of these tribes are unimportant semi-sedentary folk, each with some score of villages and herds of sheep and goats in the Gezíra.

^1 Cp. “’Aṭayfát.”  
^2 Notes..., 11, 9.
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Many of the Khawálda are in the south with the rest of the members of the Libawi-Kawásma group, and others are further north in the neighbourhood of Wad Medani.

The ‘Awámra are in the northern Gezíra and have a few settlements on the banks of the Blue and White Niles above Khartoum.

The ‘Amárna, the least numerous of the three, have villages near Gebel Moya.

The FÁDNíA are partly nomadic and partly sedentary. The nomadic branch graze in the valley of the Hawád and all over the northern part of the Island of Meroé. Their neighbours are the Ga’ALIÍN proper, the KAWÁHLA, and the ‘ALÍAB. They include ḤALATWA, AḥAYMERÁB, NAFÁFÍ’A, HELAYWÁB and other sections.

The sedentary division of the FÁDNíA cultivate on the river banks in Berber Province and claim to be ASHRÁF.

(d) THE SHUKRÍA AND THE DUBÁSÍN

XIV THE SHUKRÍA. From the generality of “nisbas” it would seem that the ShukríA belong properly to the Guhayna group, although they have pretensions to be Kuraysh.

It is impossible to say at what period their ancestors first came to the territories now occupied by the tribe in the Blue Nile and Kassala Provinces. For some centuries the ShukríA were of no particular importance, though we hear vague rumours of fights with the ancient inhabitants of Gebel Kayli for the possession of wells there, and acts of defiance to the FÚNG and HAMÁG of the Gezíra.

The foundations of the eminence to which they attained in the

---

1 Close to the ruins of Bása is the tomb of the “feki” Báfáni, a well-known sanctuary. (See Crowfoot in Arch. Surv. Nubia, xix, p. 13, and cp. D 6.)

2 The ‘ALÍAB include sections called Yezíd, Idírqa and Kimayláb.

3 See A 2, A 11, and especially D 6. BA classes the Fádnía as Guhayna.

4 See C 5 (a) and (b). See also D 7, xi and ABC, xxviii, and notes thereto, from which it will appear that there may be a connection between the ShukríA and the Arabian tribe of Yashkur, a branch of Kays ‘Aylán.

5 In these traditions the Kayli folk are spoken of as ‘Anag.

6 The following anecdote was told me by a Mesallami: “In the days when the ShukríA were under the Hamag, the latter in their haughtiness bade the former not to foul the ‘Buštána’ by leaving their she-camels’ afterbirths (‘silla’) in it but to take them away and throw them into the river. The ShukríA had perforce to obey, but one youth dared to disobey and the Hamag king Torunga put him to death. A year later, on the anniversary, Ḥhammad, the brother of the murdered man, appeared before Torunga in armour and demanded his revenge. Torunga in wrath replied, ‘Perform the marriage ceremony here and now to celebrate my marriage with this fellow’s wife’—he reckoned Ḥhammad, that is, as good as dead and his wife taken as loot. The ceremony was then performed (in the lady’s absence of course), and Torunga made ready to slay Ḥammad; but Ḥammad slew Torunga with one blow, and Ḥammad’s six companions slew the whole Hamag army.”
nineteenth century were laid by the famous Abu Sin family. Its ancestor was Náil, son of the Shá’á el Dín wad el Tuaym, whose tomb, with that of his wife Bayáki bint el Mek¹, is still to be seen at the south-east foot of Gebel Kayli, on the edge of the Buţána; and from Náil and his brother el Núr are descended most of the Shukría sections of the present day.

Náil lived nine generations ago, that is, probably, early in the seventeenth century, and the table of his male descendants, or such of them as are known, is as follows:

```
Náil
  'Adlán
  Muḥammad
  Abu 'Ali
  'Ali
  'Awaḍ el Kerím
```

Ahmad Bey¹  Muḥammad  Ḥasan  'Adlán  'Omára  Hammād²  'Ali

```
Hammād³  Muḥammad  'Ali el Ḥadd  'Abdulla⁴  (four other sons)
            (three sons)  (three sons)  (four sons)  (six sons)
```

¹ His sons, as shewn above, are in no exact order.
² See D 7 cxviii.
³ The name denotes a hard rider. "Hardellu" used to guide the tribe when changing their pasture, riding fabulous distances to find out where was the best grazing and where the rain-water lay most plentifully.
⁴ Head of the Shukría of Kassala.
⁵ Head of the Shukría in the Blue Nile Province.

The earliest of these about whom we have any information is Abu 'Ali, who about 1779 was killed in a revolt of the Shukría against the Fung².

¹ According to some accounts the "Mek" was a Mek of Sennar, i.e. it was a Fung princess whom Shá’á el Dín wedded and brought to Gebel Kayli. According to another and much more likely account she was a daughter of the (pagan ?) Mek of Kayli. She is said to have lived on the top of the hill and her people at the foot of it. The graves mentioned consist of an inner and an outer ring of stones, the former being about the size of the corpse and the outer being made merely to prevent the floods washing away the inner.
² See D 7, lxxv.
His son 'Awaḍ el Kerím Abu Sin succeeded him and must have been the chief of the tribe at the time when they, in alliance with the 'ABDULLÁB, sacked Arbagi in 1784. He was killed in the war of 1802 against the BÁTÁHÍN.

But the greatest of all the SHUKRÍA sheikhs was the "grand old Arab patriarch" Ahmad Bey ibn 'Awaḍ el Kerím of whom Sir Samuel Baker has left so vivid a portrait. During the early years of his sheikhship the tribe was at mortal feud both with the BÁTÁHÍN and with the GA’ALÍN and AHÁMDA east and south of Shendi, and, like the rest of the nomads, at daggers drawn with the FUNG government.

But when the Turks conquered the Sudan they found it necessary to obtain the support of the influential sheikhs, and Ahmad Bey became one of their most trusted allies. In return, wide privileges were granted, and during the latter part of the Turkish régime the SHUKRÍA were lords of the Butana and held a general overlordship over all the nomads of the Blue Nile, the Gezira and the Atbara, and tithes were paid to the Abu Sin family on the crops of nearly every wádi in the ancient Island of Meroe.

The SHUKRÍA attempted to keep aloof from the Mahdi in the early Dervish days and their power diminished in consequence. Then came the famine of 1889 and almost annihilated the tribe. Now, though they are again a large and wealthy camel-owning tribe they can no longer claim any position of pre-eminence.

Part of them are now in Kassala province, and the majority are between the Blue Nile and the Atbara. The head of the Abu Sin family, 'Abdulla ibn 'Awaḍ el Kerím, still resides at Rufá’a and enjoys the esteem and respect of all, as did his grandfather Ahmad Bey, but his ancient authority is a thing of the past. His brother Hammad rules the SHUKRÍA of Kassala.

The main subdivisions of the SHUKRÍA are as follows:

NÁILÁB. Descendants of Náil wad Shá’a el Dín. They include the Abu Sin family.

NÚRÁB. Descended from el Núr, brother of Shá’a el Dín. Most of them are in Kassala Province, but there is a branch near Abu Delayk.
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Galáhi. Descended from Gilhayb, said to be great-grandfather of Shá’a el Din1.

Kádúráb. In Kamlín district and independent of the Abu Sin family.

‘Adlánáb

Hasánáb. Round Gebel Kayli2.

‘Aisháb

Shádárna

Mihaydát

Ritámát

Not descended from Shá’a el Din.

Ofása

Nizáwiín

Nowáíma

THE DUBÁSÍN. Racially connected with the Shukrí, though the details of the relationship are not clear, are the small tribe of Dubásín, who live in the northern Gezira.

They are divided as follows:

Saifáb

Hetaykáb

In Kamlín district.

Gifaynáb. In Kamlín, Mesallamía and Khartoum districts.

Ghadayfáb

Bilayláb

In Mesallamía district.

Gebeláb. In Ruf’a district.

Raydáb. In Khartoum district.

The Dubásín are said to have split away from the Shukrí some seven or eight generations ago. For the most part they remained nomadic until the present generation—nor are they yet entirely sedentary—but their wanderings in search of grazing never extended much more than seventy miles or so south of Khartoum3.

In Turkish days they were at feud with the Haláwiín branch of the Ruf’a.

(c) THE DUBÁNIA OR DUBÁNÁ4

XV The name of this tribe does not occur in the genealogies of the Sudan, a fact which in itself is good evidence that their present claim to be Arabs is of the slightest, and they would appear to form, from the racial point of view, a part of the Shangalla congeries peopling the fertile belt which bounds Abyssinia on the west.

1 Between Shá’a el Din and the eponymous ancestor Shukr or Shukur the names vary in different verbal accounts: e.g. one (that of Ahmad Kaylı) gives them from son to father thus: “Sha’a el Din, el Tuaym, Um Besha, Gilhayb, Waḥshi, Shakr”; another (from the Abu Sin family) gives them thus: “Sha’a el Din, el Tuaym, Ḥabashóm, Tāgir, Saʿūd, Waḥshi, Zaydán, Shukur.”

2 The curious adoption of the surname “Kaylı” by the successive hereditary sheikhs of this section is noted in A 7 (q.v.).

3 They are said to have had “gerf” (foreshore) cultivation at Khartoum before the site was taken by the Turks for building the present town.

4 They are called either indiscriminately.
Bruce\(^1\) places them in the Mazaga district near the junction of the Setit and the Atbara, and speaks of "the Dobenah, the most powerful of all the Shangalla, who have a species of supremacy, or command, over all the rest of the nations": he sees in them the descendants of Ptolemaeus's "elephantophagi." Again he calls\(^2\) "Dobenah" a "general name" for the tribes who shared with the Basa of the Atbara ("Tacazze") "the peninsula formed by that river and the Mareb" (the Kash\(^3\)). He recalls\(^4\) the attack made upon them by Yasus I of Abyssinia (1680–1704) and concludes\(^5\): "Thus ended the campaign of the Dobenah....And yet, notwithstanding the smallpox, which, in some places, exterminated whole tribes, the Dobenah have not lost an inch of territory, but seem rather to be gaining upon Sire." On the other hand, relating the expedition of Yasus II in 1736 into the territories of the Fung Bruce says\(^6\): "The King, in five days marching from Gidara, came to a station of the Daveina, which is a tribe of shepherds, by much the strongest of any in Atbara." These "Daveina," whom Bruce seems to have forgotten to connect with the "Dobenah," although he himself in different places\(^7\) tells us of each that it inhabited Mazaga district, are certainly the Ńubáına or Ŏubánía of the present day, and must surely represent a more arabicized branch of the Shangalla "Dubena\(^8\)" settled rather farther west than their kinsfolk\(^9\).

Werne in 1840 speaks of the Ŋubáına as a very large tribe in the neighbourhood of Keďaref and Ḑallábát, "second to neither the Beni-Amer nor Haddenda\(^10\)."

Baker met them and their sheikh 'Adlán wad Sa'id in 1861 with the Shukría on the Atbara round Tómáat. They were then still a considerable tribe owning many cattle and sheep, and at enmity with the Ga'álíín refugees of Mek Nimir's family, who were settled on the Abyssinian border\(^11\). In his map Baker shews them as occupying all

\(^1\) Vol. iv, Bk. iv, p. 30; and Vol. iii, p. 4; and map ("Dubeno").
\(^2\) Vol. iii, Ch. iv, p. 472.
\(^3\) In Vol. vi, p. 244, however, Bruce speaks of "The Baasa, or Dobena Shangalla."
\(^4\) Vol. iii, Ch. iv, p. 472.
\(^5\) Ibid. p. 479.
\(^6\) Ibid. as quoted, and Bk. vi, Ch. i, p. 44.
\(^7\) Bruce's editor (Vol. iii, Introd. p. 4) says: "To the north of Abyssinia they [the Shangalla] are mixed with Arabs, the Beja, and the Belowé [i.e. the Belú]; in which quarter they are called Dubena."
\(^8\) Mansfield Parkyns (map to Vol. i, and cp. Vol. ii, p. 404) places the "Daveina Arabs" between the Rahad and the Atbara, immediately south of the fifteenth parallel.
\(^9\) Werne, p. 187.
\(^10\) Baker, pp. 136, 279, 447. Cp. M. Parkyns (ii, 404), who speaks of "Abu Jin, great chief of the Daveinas." As Abu Gin was head-sheikh of the Ḩammada we must suppose the Ŋubáına were under the overlordship of that tribe.
the country between the Rahad and the Atbara to the south of the Shukriá.

The present habitat of the Đubánía is much what it was in the time of Bruce and Baker. There are some of them between Ḫeḏāref and Kallabát; but most of the tribe was extirpated by the Dervishes. The survivors are sedentary and poor. A few are at Ḫeḏāref with the Shukriá, and a few survive in the villages that border on the Blue Nile.

THE FEZÁRA GROUP

XVI The term Fezára is now no longer heard in the Sudan, but to the travellers of the eighteenth and nineteenth centuries, and perhaps even until the "Mahdīa," it was the usual denomination of the largest group of camel-owning nomads of Kordofán and Dārffūr. These are now much more distinctly divided and each tribe is known by its own name.

Before embarking on details of these tribes we may remark it as curious that the Fezára who emigrated from Arabia to Egypt were an Ismā‘īlitic tribe, a branch of Kays 'Aylán, whereas the Guhayna, from whom the Fezára group of the Sudan claim descent, were Kaḥtānites and therefore very distantly related indeed to the Fezára of Arabia. The apparent anomaly is, however, explained to some extent by the fact that the Fezára and the Guhayna have always been neighbours in the Ḥegáz, and probably for that reason took part in the same tribal migrations and intermarried with one another. Again, some confusion might naturally arise between the two groups of Fezára and Guhayna owing to the fact that while a section of Guhayna happened to be named Kays and to have one subsection called Ḥaṭafán and another in which the names Dhubián and 'Abs occur at a comparatively early date, the Fezára were the largest section of the Beni Dhubián, who again, with the Beni 'Abs, formed the two main branches of the great Ḥaṭafán subtribe of Kays 'Aylán. But although this similarity of nomenclature may have been due to no more than coincidence, it is more probable that it betokens the close intimacy of the two tribes and their interrelation by marriage.

Let us take the various Fezára tribes of the Sudan in turn:

1 El Tūnisi’s map, e.g., speaks of camel-owning nomads called Fezára comprising the Mahámíd, the Megánín, the Bení Gerár, the Beni 'Omrán and the Messíra Zurruk. Bruce's map places “Beni Faisara,” “Cubbabeesh,” and Beni Gerár in the Bayūda.
2 See Part II, Chaps. 1 and 2.
3 See Wüstenfeld, I and H. and Tree 1 in Part II, above.
XVII Until the latter part of the Turkish period this tribe was almost entirely nomadic and to a certain degree it is so still. For several generations past a portion of it has been with the Kababîsh of Dongola and a yet larger section with the western Kawâhla, who until the "Mahâlâ" were incorporated as a subtribe with the Kababîsh of Kordofân. Both of these outlying Dâr Hâmîd groups remain entirely nomadic.1

The remainder of the tribe has built many villages of straw "tukîs" in the well-wooded, fertile and undulating district which marches to the north with the high, rough country of the Kababîsh, and many of them reside there all the year round cultivating "dukhn" or grazing according to the season; but in the "Kharîf" a large proportion of the tribe take their coarse woollen tents and move some distance northwards and westwards like the true nomads until the rainwater has dried up and they have to return to the villages in and around the "Khayrân." The nomadic character of the tribe made it easy in the last century for various Danâgla and others to acquire possession of many of the basins of the "Khayrân" which are cultivable by "shadîf" and "sâkîa." The Dâr Hâmîd having no interest in or knowledge of artificial irrigation only used the wells in the "Khayrân" for watering their flocks, and were content to let others grow vegetables. In addition the Danâgla were special protégés of the Turks and always sure of support if any attempt was made to oust them.

It is hard to say at what period the Dâr Hâmîd took up their abode in central Kordofân. It may have been in the first half of the sixteenth century, or it may have been earlier, at the time of the great southern movement of the Guhayna tribes through Dongola.

Their ancestor Hâmîd "el Khuayn" lived, according to their "nishas," from eleven to thirteen generations ago. He and his brother Hammad, it is said, came from Egypt and pushed through to Darfur, and their descendants took up their abode partly in Darfur and partly in Kordofân.

XVIII The main divisions of the tribe are the Ferâîna, the Habâbîn, the Merâmra, the Nâwâhîa, the 'Arîfîa, the Awlîd Akoî, the Megânîn and the Gilaydât; and the parentage attributed to some of these by tradition throws some light on the early connections of the tribe. The mother of the first two is said to have been from Gebel

1 The commonest camel-brands of the Dâr Hâmîd Kawâhla are the same as those of certain of the Megânîn branch of Dâr Hâmîd.
Mídób in northern Dárfur; the mother of the NAWÁHIA a Persian from Baghdád whom Hamíd found astray: the child she was carrying when Hamíd found her came to be ancestor of the Baghadda, who have several villages among the Dár Hamíd. The AWLÁD AŋOI are said to be descended from Hamíd’s brother Hammad, the 'ARÍFÍA to have come for the most part from Borkü, and the GILAYDÁT to contain a large element of “slave” or negro.

It is likely that though the eight tribes of DÁR HÁMID may have been closely connected in antiquity, and are certainly so by inter-marriage at present, their being grouped together under a common designation was primarily due to their occupying a single tract of country under the leadership of a single chieftain: as Père Jaussen says:

Bien qu’admettant la descendance naturelle d’un seul homme qui représente la souche de toute la tribu, les Arabes n’excluent point l’accroissement de la tribu par adhésion, ni même une origine par simple agglomération d’entités indépendantes se réunissant autour d’un cheikh, qui donne son nom à tout ce groupe.

The FERÁHNA, HABÁBÍN, MERÁMRA, MEGÁNÍN and NAWÁHIA are probably of the same original stock, and the remainder, though cognate to them, may be later accretions. The story of the child who became ancestor of the BAGHADDÁ is no doubt a symbolical method of stating that the forefathers of the DÁR HÁMID on their way to Kordofán and Dárfur attached to themselves some of those BAGHADDÁ whom we know to have been settled among the KÁNÚZ between Aswán and Hálfá.

XIX Of the history of DÁR HÁMID until the eighteenth century we know nothing. It was perhaps during the first half of it that the MERÁMRA under one Kirialo were the ruling section of the tribe then camping partly in Kordofán and partly in Dárfur. Kirialo fell under the displeasure of the Sultan of Dárfur on account of his refusal to collect the whole tribe round the capital and was imprisoned, and his “nahás” passed to 'Abd el Ḥamayd the sheikh of the AWLÁD AŋOI. The latter set off with a force of ZAGHÁWA and KURÁ’ÁN, ostensibly to enforce the Sultan’s orders, but having once reached

1 An alternative story as regards the Feráhna is that their name is connected with Fara’ôn (Pharaoh) and that they are mainly descended from Egyptian traders.
2 The word “Nawáhia” is said to be formed from that of “Muḥammad Nábi,” son of Hamíd (by Um Kassawayn).
3 Sing. “Baghdádí.”
4 Cuny’s (p. 175) “Arabes Goi.”
5 See Burckhardt, p. 26. “Among these [the Kenüz] were also Bedouins of the neighbourhood of Baghdad, whose descendants are still known by the name of Bagdadí.”
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Kordofán he conciliated the Zagháwa and settled them at Kagmár, enslaved the Kura'án, and placed himself under the protection of the Fung. A general concentration of the Dár Hámid in Kordofán followed, and during the period of Fung ascendancy in that province the tribe seems to have paid them tribute.

The "nahás" remained with the Āwlád Aṣol for three generations after 'Abd el Ḥamayd, and then passed into the possession of the Hábbín, who had become the richest and most powerful section of the tribe, and whose sheikh Um Beda wad Simáwi was their leading warrior.

From Um Beda the "nahás" descended to his sons Tumsáḥ and 'Abd el Salám¹ and his grandson Simáwi "Giraygír²." It is on account of the chieftainship having been in the hands of the Hábbín throughout the Turkish period that travellers not uncommonly spoke of "the Hábbín" when they intended to denote the whole of Dár Hámid³.

XX At the present day each of the sections has its own sheikh and there is no single head of the tribe with a "nahás." The most nomadic of these sections, because the richest in herds, is the Megánín⁴, the bulk of whom are on the western confines of Dár Hámid and roam almost as far north-west in the rains as do the Kabábísh and Kawáhla. It is only lately that they have begun to clear parts of their country for cultivation and to form villages and to tap their forests for gum. Grazing their cattle and sheep and raiding those of their neighbours had long been their only occupations. They have now become entirely separate from the rest of the tribe⁵, and are still more or less unregenerate.

A section of the Megánín lives apart round el Ḥashába in eastern Kordofán and is completely cut off from the rest of the tribe. They were first noticed by Baron J. W. von Müller between 1847 and 1849⁶.

² Slatin's "Grieger."
³ E.g. M. Parkyns and Cuny (pp. 154, 161, 173).
⁴ Sing. "Magnūni" (i.e. "Madman"). A curious example of the cheerful and wholesale acceptence by a tribe of a depreciatory nickname (see Andrew Lang, The Secret of the Totem, Ch. vi).
⁵ They are mentioned by el Túnisí as a large tribe rich in herds and paying tribute to Darfur (Voyage au Darfour, p. 87). He includes them and the Mahámíd, the Bení 'Omrán, the Bení Gerár and some of the Messíríra Zurrúu under the term "Fezára" ("Ferára by misprint; see loc. cit. p. 129). Nachtigal (Ouadâr, p. 71) alludes to them in Wadái as "de la famille des Mahamid's." Cuny (p. 78) speaks of them as a separate tribe and says they, the Ma'ália, the Kabábish, the Bení Gerár, and the Zayádâ, meet at Um el Bahr in northern Kordofán. However, when in 1906 their sheikh bought a "nahás" for the Megánín, even his own tribe regarded his action as presumptuous and would not allow it to be beaten.
The following are the main sections of the Megánín1:

A. 'Ayádía
   1. Awlád Gímí‘á
   2. „ Gímá‘á
   3. „ Gáma‘í

B. Ḥamaydíá
   1. Tágūla
   2. Raywát
e tc.

C. Nás Tibo
D. Awlád Mádi
E. Awlád Rúmía
F. Hayádira
G. Ghadiánát
H. Awlád Sá‘id
I. Awlád Faḍálá
   1. Abu Rishayd
   2. Markūk

J. Mesa‘íd²

No particular camel brand is distinctive of the whole tribe. Each section uses its own, and the subsections add each its own variation³.

xxi. The Feráhna are the subtribe of Dár Ḥámíd richest in sheep and in land, but they are nearly all sedentary and are regarded as “nouveaux riches.” They have taken advantage of the fact that many of the best “Khayrán” fall within their boundaries to cultivate them by artificial means. Or, maybe, there is some truth in the story of their Egyptian connection and for that reason the cultivable basins originally fell to them.

Their subdivisions are as follows:

El Sherama
El ʿTursha⁴
El Akráib
El Ghubshán
Awlád Ḥizma
El Kerimía

El Beraykát
El Filíát
El Na‘ümía
El ‘Awámra
El Showál

xxii. The Habbání⁵ and the Merámra are, after the Megánín, the

1 Some of the smaller subdivisions of these are omitted, but they can be found in my Tribes..., p. 129.
2 The Mesá‘íd say they are not Megánín at all by origin. The name is merely a plural of Mas‘úd and not uncommon as a tribal appellation. The best known Mesá‘íd are those settled on the Arabian coast near Muwayla and Gián and thereabouts: these are a branch of the Ḥuwaytá (see Burton, Land of Midian, t, 87 ff.).
3 See MacMichael, Camel Brands..., p. 27.
4 The name ʿTurshan occurs in Klippel’s list of Egyptian Bedouins: he speaks of the ʿTurshán as “d’origine berbère.” Sir C. Wilson (p. 4) mentions “Tarshan” among the semi-nomadic Arabs north of Aswán. “Turshan” occurs also among the Awlád Ākoi.
5 Pallme and Werne confuse the Hábíbín with the Habbání (Baḵkára) between whom, though the singular of both names is “Habbání,” there is no traditional connection at all.
most nomadic sections of Dár Hámíd. Both have numerous villages, west and east of the Feráhna respectively, but neither own any of the “Khayrán.”

The subdivisions of the Hábábín are as follows:

- **Nás el Sheikh**
  - **Awlād Ånis**
  - **'Awāna**
  - **Sakírán**
  - **Zagháwa**
  - **Nakūr**
- **Um Sa'adūn**
- **Abu 'Amár**
- **Awlād Wasík**
- **El Kirán**
- **Awlād Bilál**

- **Awlād Hámíd**
  - **Selmán**
  - **El Fás**
  - **Nás Hamír**
  - **Felláta**
  - **Awlād Milayt**
  - **Nughúra**
  - **Kakko**
  - **Awlād Muḥammad**
  - **Dár**

Those of the Merámra are as follows:

- **A. Samnia**
  1. **Nás Hadihlūl**
  2. **Ma'āfa**
  3. **Nuşár**
  4. **Sellám**
  5. **Awlād Hátim**
  6. **Nás Bihayl**
  7. **Gezay'í**
  8. **Abu Tinaytīm**
  9. **Dowáshna**

- **B. Mesábihi**
  1. **Turku**

- **C. Dár el Ba'ag**
  1. **Ghubshán**
  2. **Nás Abu 'Ali**
  3. **Kurumúsía**

XXIII The Nawáhia have between thirty and forty villages north of Bára and others farther east near Um Dam: they also own one or two of the “Khayrán.” Their subdivisions are as follows:

- **A. Awlād Muḥammad**
  1. **Awlād 'Agayl**
  2. **Rushdána**
  3. **Awlād Sa'ad**
  4. **Kanáfíd**
  5. **Awlād Keraym**

---

1 The distinctive brand of this the ruling section is a “Ga'aba Khashm el Kelb” (“dog's mouth on the buttock”), *i.e.* to the left of the tail (MacMichael, *ibid.*).
2 Both names occur again in almost identical form among the Nawáhia.
3 Nachtigal gives the Kurumúsía as a separate division of the Fezára, together with the Zayádía and various Dár Hámíd tribes (see Helmolt, p. 585). Other Kurumúsía are with the Zayádía at the present day.
III. 2. XXV.

THE GUHAYNA GROUP

B. Bilálía  i. El Berábísh
C. Gamú‘ía
D. Mufettih
E. Ḥamána
F. Awlád Gima‘án  i. Abu ’Alwán
                2. Awlád Ferayha
G. Awlád ’Abd el Dáim
H. Um Burūr
I. Nušária
J. Awlád Ma‘áfa

XXIV The ’Arífía were for long in Dárfūr or west of it and have absorbed much of the blood of those parts. They are now settled in the southern part of Dár Ḥámid with the Gilaydát to the west of them.

Their subdivisions are:

A. ’Ámir  1. Awlád Ramadán
         2. Nás Um Birsh
         3. El Khansúr
B. Sanad  1. Abu Su‘úd
         2. Nás el Dow
         3. Nás Kiddu
         4. ’Abd el Sálím
         5. El Háq
         6. Abu Ḥammád
C. ’Atwa  1. Nás Belal
         2. „ Balūl
         3. „ Bilayl
         4. Abu Kusayra
         5. Abu el Róyyán

XXV The Awlád Akoí live to the north-east of the other Dár Ḥámid tribes, in Um Gurfa and eastwards. A portion of them are, in addition, permanently nomadic.

Their subdivisions are:

Awlád Ḥamayd  Awlád Gamú‘a
Faḍlíá       ’Utúk
Muglán       Awlád Ḥammúd
Hugag        Turshán
Awlád Gámá‘y  Awlád Rays

1 Barth mentions a small Arab tribe of this name living subject to the Hogár north of Timbuktu, and identifies them provisionally with the Perorsi of the ancient geographers (Barth, Vol. v, App. 1, pp. 464, 465, and map opp. p. 1).

2 The fourteen subsections given only date from four to six generations ago. The three main sections are older.

3 Cp. sub Feráhna.
XXVI The Gilaydát though classed as Dár Ḥāmīd are regarded askance by the rest of the tribe. It appears that they represent a blend of some of the earliest Arab immigrants to Kordofán with the autochthonous negroids. Rüppell, Pallme, Parkyns and others class them with the Ghōdiāt and Gawāma’ā.

Their present habitat is round Gebel Um “Shidera” (i.e. Shagera) on the south-west limits of Dár Ḥāmīd. Many Gilaydát were in Dārfūr, between el Fāsher and the Ḥamar country, in Turkish days\(^1\), but since the devastation of the “Mahdiā” there have been no more than a few of their villages left there, and nearly all that survived settled in Kordofán.

Their subdivisions are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rūdānā</th>
<th>Awlād Wālid</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Naṣīrāt</td>
<td>Awlād Defīn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arāshīa</td>
<td>Umbādirīa(^2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Awlād Erbūd</td>
<td>Ḥarbīa(^3)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(\xi\) THE ZAYĀDĪA

XXVII The Zayādīa also appear from the “nisbas” to be related closely to the Fezārā group.

They are frequently mentioned by travellers\(^4\) in the nineteenth century as one of the principal tribes of the northern steppes, generally in connection with forays on caravans or fights with the Kābābīsh, Beni Gerār and Ḥamar, on the Wādī el Melik and even as far east as the Debba-El Ḥarāza route\(^5\).

In 1883 the Zayādīa of Dārfūr were assessed for tribute at £E. 2,500 and those of Kordofān at £E. 55 only\(^6\); but the tribe was all but wiped out in the “Mahdiā," and now that it has recovered some small measure of prosperity the proportion in which it is distributed as between Dārfūr and Kordofān has been reversed on account of the persecution to which it was subjected by the Sultan ‘Ali Dīnār. A number of the Awlād Gābir and Awlād Mufaddal sections still remain round el Mellīṭ and el Sayāh, north of el Fāsher, but between 1904 and 1913 nearly all the Awlād Gerbu’a fled for refuge to Kordofān\(^7\). They are now settled at Um Gōzayn on the south-western confines of Dār Ḥāmīd, and such of them as have any wealth in herds remaining spend the “kharif” in the north-

\(^1\) Burckhardt also mentions them in Dārfūr in 1814 (Nubia, p. 481).
\(^2\) See note to BA, lxvii.
\(^3\) These were mostly nomadic until the last decade.
\(^4\) E.g. Burckhardt, p. 481.
\(^5\) See Cuny, p. 94.
\(^6\) See Stewart.
\(^7\) The emigrants of 1913 were accompanied by a few Gilaydát from Dārfūr.
west of Kordofán with their nomad cousins of the Dár Ḥāmid and the Shenábla.

The subdivisions of the Zayádíá are as follows:

A. Awlád Gerbú’a

1. Nás Hasan
2. „ Adrag
3. „ Shók
4. „ Sherri
5. „ Abu Ḥammám
6. ’Aiál Sulaym
7. ’Isáwía
8. Nás el Tóm
9. Náfá’ía
10. Nás Kirtúb
11. Um Deráwa
12. Awlád Fáris
13. ’Imayríá
14. Misámír
15. Getárña
16. Kurumúsíá

B. Awlád Mufádpal

1. Awlád ’Awanulla
2. „ Imáма
3. „ Baybúsh
4. „ Zayn
5. „ Wáfi
6. „ Shajáwín
7. „ ’Awáda
8. „ ’Awádíá
9. „ Um Gam’ún

C. Awlád Gábir

1. ’Aiál Sabt el Núr
2. „ Ríkay’á
3. „ Abu Mis’him
4. Awlád Tatún
5. „ Abu Ma’áli
6. „ Hammúd
7. „ Gubárát
8. „ Zayd
9. „ Berbúsh, or Berábísh
10. Nás Um Gema’a

The Zayádíá have no distinctive tribal brand common to all.

1 Gerbú’a = jerboa. The name “Gerábi’a” (BA, xcvi) appears to be a plural formed from Gerbú’a: cp. note in Part III, Chap. 5, para. v.
2 Others are with the Kabábísh and with the Gamú’ía (q.v.).
3 Cp. sub Merámra (above).
4 See MacMichael, Camel Brands, p. 35, for some of their brands.
XXVIII At one time, from the middle of the eighteenth to the middle of the nineteenth century, the Beni Gerár and the Hamár were the chief antagonists of the Kabábísh in the grazing grounds of northern Kordofán and northern Dárífür from the Wádi el Melik to Kagmár, and used to raid the caravan roads running from Debbá to el Haráza and over the Bayúda desert and down the banks of the White Nile1.

The name “Fezára” seems to have been more often applied to them than to any of the other nomads and it is not unlikely that they have some real connection with the Fezára who were in Upper Egypt in the fifteenth century2.

As the Beni Gerár were gradually ousted by the other nomads, by the Kabábísh in particular, from Kagmár and the northern steppes of Kordofán they tended to move farther south and to take to cultivation, near the White Nile round el Busáta and farther inland near Kadmül in central Kordofán, while they sent their herds to graze round el Tiús and to the east of Khorís.

At present they have numerous villages in the White Nile Province, and a few round Kadmül. The nomadic portion of the tribe remains in Kordofán and accompanies the Kawáhla in the rainy season. No Beni Gerár are left now in Dárífür.

The main divisions of the tribe are as follows:

A. Mahábíb
B. Awlád Rabí'á
   1. Nás el Ahaymer
   2. " el Sha'íba
   3. " Khaláfa
C. Gubárát3
   1. Nás Abu’á
   2. " Guayd
   3. Sinût
   4. Um Simayra
   5. Nás Sálim
   6. Awlád Giüt

(f) THE BAZA’A

XXIX The Baza’á are reputed to be very closely related to the Beni Gerár, but the connection between the two tribes appears purely adventitious.

1 See Bruce, Vol. vi, Ch. x; Browne (p. 325); Cuny (p. 43) and Mansfield Parkyns (R. G. S. xx, 254).
2 See Part II, Chap. 1.
3 The same name occurs frequently, e.g. among the Bakštára and the Zayádía.
The former are more sedentary, poorer and less numerous than the latter. They have several villages in the gum forests south of Gebel Um "Shidera," at Kadmüll, and in the well-less district south of Um Dam, where for several months in the year water-melons form the sole supply of water; and near Abu Zabad in western Kordofán. A portion of the last-named colony are almost entirely nomadic and are known as the Ga'adía. There are also a few villages of Baza'a in eastern Dárífür round Gebel Tisóma: a century ago the number was greater.

The subdivisions of the tribe in Kordofán are as follows:

A. Mahmúdía

1. Hammadilla
2. Awlád Násír
3. " El Ahaymer
4. Sa'ída
5. Awlád 'Abd el Mahmúd

B. Shafá'íá

C. 'Ayádíá, or Abu 'Ayád

D. Ga'adía

1. Awlád Ḥasan
2. " Husayn

E. Nowákía, or Nowákát

1. Farišía
2. Şubayhát
3. Awlád 'Abd el Rahmán
4. " El Bashír

F. Hušána
G. Awlád Dán
H. Keraymát
J. Razaka
K. Um Tímana
L. Fuayda

(k) THE SHENÁBLA

XXX The name SHENÁBLA ("Essheneble") was noticed by Burckhardt in 1810 as the name of an Arab tribe living in the hills near Damascos to the south-east and paying some deference to the Druses. Burton says they were notorious thieves and had always been so. There are also SHENÁBLA Beduin at the present day in Egypt to the east of the river.

It is therefore probable that the SHENÁBLA of the Sudan are an offshoot of the above.

They are primarily a nomad camel-owning tribe, who graze over

---

1 These may perhaps have some connection with the Beni Ga'ad section of Ikríma who were round Aswán in the fourteenth century. (See Part II, Chap. 2.)
2 S.S.W. of Lake Chad, near what is now the eastern border of northern Nigeria, Barth met "the Baza, a powerful and independent pagan tribe with a language, or probably dialect, of their own, and peculiar customs"; but beyond the similarity of the names there would seem nothing to connect these with the Baza'a. (See Barth, Vol. II, Ch. XXXIII, p. 499.)
3 The eponymous ancestor's son married a woman of the Awlád Hawál section of Kabábish.
4 Their camel brand is a "nūn" (the Arabic letter n) or "nāki" on the right side. Hence their name.
6 Ibid.
7 Klippel, p. 8 ("El Chenáblah").
the same country as the KAWÁHLA and DÁR HÁMID in Kordofán, but they have in addition numerous settlements near the White Nile.

According to the “nisbas” they are closely related to the DÁR HÁMID group. It is said that they severed their connection with these in the eighteenth century and some took up their abode near Shatt and Zerayḵa, west of the White Nile, and others joined the KÁBÁBÍSH congeries in the north.

A few SHENÁBLA also joined the ḤAMAR and are known as the GÍKHAYṢÁT. These are a rich camel-owning section of nomads and are to be found from el Oḏaya to Fóga and Um Bel, and in the rains farther north.

The main branch, that which joined the KÁBÁBÍSH, remained with that tribe until the “Mahdíā” and then broke away together with the KAWÁHLA, and have since been independent.

The subtribes of the SHENÁBLA are as follows:

A. Um Ḍraysh
   1. 'Amira
   2. Ga‘āba
B. Um 'Abdulla
   1. Göara
   2. Nás Gumā’
   3. Nás Um Gád el Kerím
C. Awlád Násir
   1. Nás Muqábil
   2. Nás Nukmusha
D. Awlád Dáni
E. Nás Ḥadád
   1. Nás Sallas
   2. Nás Fenayḥa
F. 'Awámrā
   1. Awlád Fáḍil Zowrāb
   2. Nás Wád 'Abdulla
   3. Nás Wád el Nūr
   4. Shuwayjáṭ
G. Awlád Hawál
   1. Nás Merra’i
   2. Nás Ma’ak
H. Ḥamdiā
J. Šubayḥát
   1. Awlád Amírā
   2. Khamísāb
   3. Náfa’āb
   4. Kuwiāb
   5. Nás Um Láota
K. Abu 'Imayr
   1. Nagágír
   2. Taibáṭ
   3. Nás Wád Zayn
L. Awlád Hashūn
   1. Nás Na’īm
   2. Abu Rūḍi
   3. Menán
   4. Nás Gharayra

The brand used by almost every section of SHENÁBLA on their camels is the “kurbāg.” It varies in form but is always placed on

1 In The Tribes of Northern and Central Kordofán (p. 206) I spoke of some of these as joining the Mesallamí. There are some Shenabla in the Mesallamí district in the Gezíra, but these took their name, it seems, from Shanbūl walad Medani (q.v. in D 7, 79, 80 and 167). These Shenáblá (the word is the plural of Shanbūl, and has a singular “Shanbálí,” or “Shambali”) are alleged locally to have some connection with the Ḥadáreb of the Red Sea coast, but evidence of this is otherwise lacking.

2 Cp. sub Rufá’ā.

3 Cp. sub Bedayríya.

4 Cp. sub Kabábísh.

5 Once a section of Abu 'Imayr.
the left leg round the upper joint. The following are its commonest forms:

\[ \begin{array}{c}
  a \\
  b \\
  c \\
  d \\
  e \\
\end{array} \]

(1) THE MA'ÁLIA AND THE MA'ÁKLA

XXXI THE MA'ÁLIA. The Ma'alia are related to the Dár Hámíd group, but have long been entirely independent of it, and at best were rather allies attracted by cousinship than brethren who seceded from a family league.

The tribe is divided between Dárfur and Kordofán. At the close of the Turkish epoch the Ma'alia of Dárfur, camel-owners in the north and cattle-owners in the south, were assessed for tribute at £E. 1450 as compared with £E. 149 charged against the branch in Kordofán. The tendency had long been for the latter to decamp westwards to evade the oppression of the Turks. But after the disappearance of the Turkish régime and the subsequent crushing of the Dervish revolt a like motive led to a steady infiltration of Ma'alia from Dárfur into Kordofán.

By 1916 there were no Ma'alia at all in northern Dárfur and only a few round their old headquarters at Shakka in the south-east or living as refugees among their powerful neighbours the Rizaykát. But with the fall of 'Ali Dínár in May, 1916, began yet another return movement from Kordofán to Dárfur and though the Ma'alia are still far more numerous in the former they are anxious to recolonize their ancient domains in Dárfur and will probably, before long, be fairly evenly distributed between the two provinces. In Kordofán their chief colony is round Gebel Gleit (Klayt), south of the Megánín and west of the other Dár Hámid; but there are many others settled in el Nahūd, el Oḍaya, Um Ruába, Dilling and el Obeid districts. All these are partly sedentary but primarily nomadic. In the rains they send their herds north-westwards: in the summer most stay in their villages, but the richer folk go southwards in the wake of the Bağkárā for the sake of the grazing.

1 A similar brand, viz. \( \text{\textbullet} \) is used by the Beshr section of 'Anaza of Arabia (Doughty, Arabia Des. 1, 125 and 331; Zwemer, p. 279).
2 Stewart.
3 It would be these of whom Burckhardt heard as living between el Obeid and the Shilluk country (Nubia, p. 482).
The divisions of the tribe in Kordofán are as follows:

A. Um Ḥammád

1. Mukraym (Um Keraym)
   (a) Akáriba
   (b) Nás Farag
   (c) Um ʼEgayli
   (d) Habrí
   (e) Dár el Khádim
   (f) Awlád Um Gíma’a
   (g) Khayara
   (h)ʼAtAalla
   (i) Um Hamda
   (j) Surúria
   (k) Rishaydát

B. Um el Ḥatáša

1. Khawábír
   (a) Um Felaḥ
   (b) Guayl
   (c) Awlád Rishdát
   (d) Hidayba
   (e) Khawábír el Ḥumr
   (f) Genábíla

XXXII The Ma’áška. The Ma’áška, a smaller and far more sedentary tribe, are counted by the Ma’álí as subject to them; but the two tribes are racially distinct, and in Kordofán the Ma’áška are now independent. Even in Dárfúr, where their numbers are almost negligible, they are attempting to become so.

The Ma’áška in Kordofán are subdivided as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Samá’ín</th>
<th>Dowra</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ʼArádí</td>
<td>Tarüm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amámír</td>
<td>Nás Sellám</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sheala</td>
<td>Awlád Dáhir</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Um Selmán</td>
<td>Shilaymát</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bilál</td>
<td>Bisharáía</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nás Lázim</td>
<td>ʼÁlál Shanbûl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Awlád Ḥasabulla</td>
<td>Bishára</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dár Wálid³</td>
<td>Kagábíl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shérâk³</td>
<td>Awlád Gíma’á</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rishaydát³</td>
<td>, Abu Ḥammád</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na’asna³</td>
<td>Um Zayáda</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kélabá³</td>
<td>ʼAbd el Ḥábíb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kenákíl³</td>
<td>ʼAlowna</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Awlád Ḥarayz⁴</td>
<td>Ghárayr</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Each of the above sections is theoretically classed as either Samá’ín or Bisháráía and there is an “’omda” of the Samá’ín group and an “’omda” of the Bisháráía. But the “’omda” of each group has many subjects from among the other and no exact dividing line can be drawn.

1 The Ma’álí would include among these the Ma’áška, who are not really Ma’álí at all.
2 There are none of these in Kordofán. At the end of the “Turkía” they were one of the most powerful tribes in Dárfúr (see Slatin, passim).
3 Closely connected.
4 In Dárfúr.
The names 'Abádía and Bisháriá are noteworthy as suggesting eastern connections, and there is actually a tradition among the Bisháriá that Khadra their ancestress was married by el Háq Bishári, "a fekí from the East," and that they are not Arabs at all but Bega and have many relatives in the eastern deserts.

There is, however, no reason to assume that the other branch of the Ma'áklà, the Šamá'ín, is in any way connected with the Bega or that the original Bisháriá were anything but foreigners from the racial point of view.

The tribe as a whole claims to be related to the Fezára group and appears so in the "nisbas." The only other people I have met with bearing the same name are the Ma'áklà section of the Belí. These are the ruling clan of that tribe both in Egypt and on the Arabian coast near Wegh, and it is possible that they may be connected with the great branch of Bení Hilál called the Ma'áklà1 (perverted in Leo Africanus into "Machill" and by Marmol into "Ma-hequil"2), who were by origin Yemenites and had joined the Bení Hilál congeries in their great invasion of Barbary.

Whether there is any connection between the Ma'áklà of Kordofán and these other Ma'áklà it is not possible at present to say.

(m) THE DWAYH, OR DWAYHIÁ

XXXIII The Dwayhiá are a small and unimportant tribe scattered from the Nile westwards.

At the time of the Turkish conquest a colony of them, "fekís" by calling, lived at Shibba in Dar el Sháíkíá and were held in great repute locally; but when the Sháíkíá, who had relied upon the charms and assurances of these holy men to defeat the invaders, found that they had been deceived, they massacred the whole body and destroyed their village3.

A few of the Dwayhiá are still to be found scattered among the Blue Nile villages, at el Mas'údíá for instance; but the main body of the tribe—and it is a very small one—is nomadic in habit and accompanies the Kawáhla in Kordofán throughout the year.

The chief division of the Dwayh of Kordofán is the Awlád Saláti. Their camel brand is a "hilál," or crescent moon, a sign also used by the Dár Hámid branch of Kabábish, on the right side of the neck.

---

2 Leo, pp. 142, 150; Marmol, p. 76.  
3 Nicholls, p. 39.
(n) THE MESALLAMÍA

XXXIV This tribe claims to be unconnected with the Ga'áliín or Guhayna group and to be descended from Abu Bukr el Šādīk, the first Khalífa of Islam. Hence they, like the Mashǎíka, call themselves "Bukría."

From the "nisbas," however, it would seem that they are of kindred origin to the Dár Ḥámíd and other "Guhayna."

They live in the Gezáira, where they have given their name to a district, and on either side of the White Nile, and on the east side of the lower reaches of the Blue Nile.

The Mesallamía in the Gezáira and on the White Nile are sedentary.

Among their subdivisions hereabouts are the 'Anáfla and Washkár, both on the west bank of the White Nile, and, on the east bank, Sibaykáb, Wanaysáb, Meghayráb, Ḥabákira, etc.

Those east of the Blue Nile now have a few villages, notably Um Dubbán¹, and cultivate in the Hasíb and other "wádis," but they are chiefly nomadic in their habits, and until about the middle of the nineteenth century were entirely so. Their range, however, is not great and does not extend beyond the western fringe of the Butána. They graze their herds and dig "hafís" and cultivate some miles inland from the river, but have never acquired proprietary rights to the river banks of the Blue Nile as have the Rufá'a and the immigrant Mňass.

Their main wealth is in sheep and goats but they have a fair number of cattle and camels also. The latter they brand with a "hashasha" (hoe) on the neck, thus:

This nomadic portion of the Mesallamíá is subdivided as follows:

\[
\begin{array}{ll}
\text{Ibráhímáb} & \text{Khalafuláb} \\
\text{Ḥamátiría} & \text{Shuwaymáb} \\
\text{Husaynáb} & \text{Ghuşaynáb} \\
\text{Saḥaláb} & \text{Ṣabráb} \\
\text{Bambúnáb} & \text{Rizkát} \\
\text{Haṣadil} &
\end{array}
\]

¹ Um Dubbán is built chiefly of mud. It was founded seventy years ago by the head of the Ibráhímáb section, father of Sheikh el 'Ebayd Muḥammad Badr. The latter was a well-known Dervish leader and Kádi of the Khalífa: he died in 1915. Um Dubbán possesses two imposing "kubbás" containing the remains of members of the family.
CHAPTER 3

The Guhayna Group (continued)

(1) THE BAKKÁRA

1 The word "BAKKÁRA" means no more than "cattlemen," and it is primarily applied to the large group of closely cognate nomadic or semi-nomadic Arab tribes inhabiting the rich belt of country which may be roughly described as lying south of the thirteenth parallel of latitude and stretching from the White Nile to Lake Chad.

Generally speaking the typical BAKKÁRA at their best are a dark lithe people with clearly cut handsome features, hawk-eyed, with sparse beards tilted forward and moustaches carefully combed to bristle. The young "bloods" roll their hair in tresses back from the forehead, but with middle age the habit is discarded. They carry a very long-shafted and full-bladed spear. The women and young girls ride on bulls and wear great lumps of amber round their necks and bosses of silver across the forehead. Their hair is brought straight forward in braids on the crown of the head and rolled back into a fringe across the forehead. Large earrings and nose-rings are also worn. They evince little shyness and do not affect the exaggerated modesty and secretiveness which has spread from Egypt along the banks of the Nile. On the contrary, the girls, though never exceeding the bounds of decency, and wearing a "rahad," or a long flap of cloth before and behind, habitually display breasts and thighs to all the world.

Among the men it is very frequent to note a cast of face that with

---

1 The term Bałkära is sometimes applied, quite legitimately, to various other cattle-owning tribes such as the Kenána, the Hasanía, the Bedayría of Kordofán, the Ma‘álía, etc.; but these, belonging to quite different groups, are only occasionally spoken of as Bałkära, and that with special reference to their cattle. The term Bałkära in the Sudan, when used in a general sense, is always taken to mean the tribes dealt with in this chapter—the Bałkära par excellence.

2 A small comb for the beard and moustache is worn hanging round the neck.

3 Browne (p. 466, App. ii) speaking of the Messfrija says they "comb their hair back, twist it, and fasten it in the form of a scorpion’s tail behind": a good description.

4 A fashion common also to the Kanembu. "Les femmes Kanembou aiment beaucoup l’ambre comme parure et, suivant leurs ressources, les morceaux d’ambre dont se composent leurs colliers sont plus ou moins gros" (Carbou, 1, 39, 49). Compare Denham, Clapperton and Oudney’s description of the Shawía Arabs of Bornu coming to market on their bulls (Narrative, p. 167).

5 For a good account of the Baḳḳāra mode of life see Fallme’s sixth chapter.
its high protruding forehead, wide mouth and weak chin at once suggests the Fellâta, and it is an established fact that large numbers of that race have become incorporated with the Bâkâra tribes since they first settled in Central Africa. This is of course more especially true of the Salâmât and Haymâd, the most westernly Bâkâra, who live among a population that is largely Fellâta\(^1\) and who appear to include sections of that people\(^2\); but it also applies to the more easterly Bâkâra as we shall see in dealing with the Hawâzma.

II As a whole the Bâkâra are, with the possible exception of the Shâïkâ, the most warlike Arabs in the Sudan: they are also the most inveterate slave traders and raiders, and living as they do on the northern confines of the negro country they have indulged their predatory propensities *ad libitum* for so long as they have not been repressed by the firm hand of the Government.

The same qualities that have made them bold fighters and hunters have at all times since their settlement in Africa brought them into collision with the rulers of the more sedentary people who inhabit the zone immediately north of them, with the Sultans and Meks, that is, of Bornu, Wadâi, Dârfûr and Kordofân.

In the dry season of the year the Bâkâra move with all their cattle to the rivers of the south and there hunt the elephant and raid the negroes, but when the rains render the southern Bâkâra country a swamp of cotton-soil infested by the fly they move northwards to the clean pastures of the higher ground and cultivate or graze their herds. It is then that they have been apt to become involved in quarrels with the sedentary people of the Sultanates.

They have not, however, been invariably successful, except in so far as a perennial evasion of the full tribute demanded may be counted success, and in consequence they have at different periods migrated eastwards or westwards along the line of least resistance and various sections have been transplanted from place to place and from tribe to tribe until it is impossible to say how they were originally grouped. In the account which follows I have taken as the units the tribes as they appear at present and specified the various sections subject to each, but it will be at once obvious from a comparison of the lists of these sections and from a study of the past history of the Bâkâra that no real racial dividing line can be drawn between any one tribe of them and any other.

In Kordofân, where, if one omit the brief orgy of the "Mahdia," there has been a settled Government for nearly a century, the

---

1 Carbou, 11, 51.
BAKKÁRA tribes have crystallised into more or less permanent shape, but in Darfūr, where the old conditions prevailed until the deposition of 'Ali Dīnār in 1916, the old process continued in a marked degree and many families of other tribes were continually seeking the protection of the powerful RIZAYKÁT, while others, such as the BENI ḤELBA, decamped into Wadái. The occupation of Darfūr was the signal for most of these BENI ḤELBA and other refugees to start returning to their previous pasturing grounds.

The BAkkÁRA are seen at their best in Kordofán, where the type has remained virile and independent. They are probably at their worst in Darfūr, the RIZAYKÁT excepted, for there they have been consistently oppressed and robbed and have become half sedentary, dirty, lazy and mentally inert.

III  The present distribution of the BAkkÁRA is as follows: on the extreme east, on the banks of the White Nile, are the BENI SELÍM. In Kordofán, from east to west, are the A沃尔ÁD ḤAMAYD and a branch of the Darfūr ḤABBÁNÍA, both living south of Um Ruāba and round Tekali. Then the ḤawázMA, between El Obeid Dilling and Talódi; then the MESSIRÍA, south of Abu Zabad; and, lastly, the ḤUMR between El Odāya and the Bahr el 'Arab.

In southern Darfūr are the RIZAYKÁT, comprising the MAḤÁMÍD MAHRIÁ and NAWÁĪBA, the ḤABBÁNÍA, the TAʾÁISHÁ, the BENI ḤELBA and a few BENI KHUZÁM; and farther north some MESSIRÍA, TAʾELBA, or THAʾALIBA, ḤÓṬÍA, SAʾĀDA, TERGAM, BENI ḤUSAYN and BASHÍR.

In Wadái, Bornu and Baḵirmi are BENI ḤELBA, BENI KHUZÁM, NAWÁĪBA, BENI RÁSHID (ROWÁSHDA) and ZIŪD, and the SALÁMÁT.

IV  The writers of the "nisbas" were riverain folk and evidently knew little of the distant BAkkÁRA: they either omit them or perfunctorily allot to them some more than usually shadowy ancestor; but the general impression one receives from the traditional genealogies is probably a correct one, namely, that the BAkkÁRA and the camel-owning FEZÁRA group to the north are both branches of the same great "GUHAYNA" group, and that, furthermore, the non-FEZÁRA portion of this group are not all BAkkÁRA but divided in the case of each tribe into cattle-owners in the south and camel-owners in the north. Thus it arises that, for instance, the MAḤÁMÍD and the MAHRIÁ are independent nomad tribes of camel-owners in northern Darfūr and Wadái, while other MAḤÁMÍD and MAHRIÁ compose two-thirds of the RIZAYKÁT in southern Darfūr. It is easy to see how this

1 There is also a small colony of them in the Fáma district of the Upper Nile Province (A. E. Sudan, 1, 196).

2 It will be seen that the term "Guhayna" is loosely used to include a number of connected Arabian tribes, particularly Ḥarb.
may have happened. When the Arabs entered the central states they came no doubt with their camels and sheep: cattle they presumably had none, or but few. As they would have been a nuisance to the sedentary population cultivating the central belt and would have had themselves no security for their herds, they naturally gravitated, some to the more barren spaces of the north, and some to the forests and bogs of the south. The camel of course cannot exist in the south because of the tsetse fly and the poisonous “gullum” creeper, and such Arabs as went there imitated the indigenous population and took to cattle-rearing. This is merely suggested as one way in which the tribes may have been divided, but there is no reason to suppose that other causes which are readily imaginable did not also operate to the same end. The southern group intermarried with the older negro inhabitants and became darker in complexion: the northern group mixed in the west to some extent with the TIBBU tribes but remain very much lighter.

V An interesting point may now be discussed. Did the BAKKARA reach their present habitat by way of the Nile or did they come due south or south-east to the Chad region and Bornu and WADÁI from North Africa, and thence spread eastwards to the Nile?

The fact that ’Abdulla el Guhani is generally regarded by themselves as their ancestor, and the fact that they consider the FEZÁRA group as their cousins, are obviously arguments in favour of the former view: so also is the evidence of the Sudanese “nisbas,” which make no suggestion of a south-easterly migration. On the other hand, the “’Abdulla el Guhani” tradition might simply have been appropriated from immigrants from the Nile, and some of the BAKKARA do state that their ancestors came direct from Tunis or FEZZÁN with their camels to the countries west of DÁRFÜR, and in giving their genealogy or history others say of some particular forebear: “It was he who brought the tribe from BORKU” [i.e. WADÁI: s.c. to KORDOFÁN], and these forebears are, as a rule, said to have lived from five to nine generations ago and to be the sons of the eponymous ancestors of the various sections.

But though there is no room for doubting that considerable

1 They seem to have established themselves by “peaceful penetration” rather than by force of arms.
2 The Ḥawázma, e.g., told me their ancestors originally “bought a bull and a cow from a Felláti pilgrim.”
3 See genealogical trees at the end of this chapter.
4 E.g. see MacMichael, Tribes..., pp. 146, 151.
5 I have never seen a manuscript BAKKARA pedigree, but have written down several from oral information and seen several others so compiled. No two ever agree in every respect, but the degree of coincidence is remarkable.
numbers of Arabs did push southwards from Tunis Algiers and Morocco to Central Africa in the centuries following the Hilāliān invasion of North Africa, and though one may admit that the prevalence of the Abu Zayd el Hilāli tradition among the Bākkāraa is a little suggestive, we have the definite statement of Ibn Khaldūn that in the first half of the fourteenth century the Guhayna swarmed over Nūbia and rapidly pushed farther afield "following the rainfall," and modern expert opinion has heavily preponderated in favour of the view that the Bākkāra came from the east. For instance, Barth says of the Shoa (Shawia)—the name given locally to the semi-sedentary Bākkāra Arabs of Bornu Bākirmi and Chad, and particularly to the Salāmāṭ:

Of the migration of these Arabs from the east there cannot be the least doubt. They have advanced gradually through the eastern part of Negroland.... Their dialect is quite different from the Māghrebi, while in many respects it still preserves the purity and eloquence of the language of Hijāz.... These Shūwa are divided into many distinct families or clans, and altogether may form in Bōrmu a population of from 200,000 to 250,000 souls. He adds that they appear to have immigrated gradually from the east from very early times, "although at present we have no direct historical proofs of the presence of these Arabs in Bornu before the time of Edrīs Alawόma" (1571–1603); and he mentions the systems of blood-money ("dhīa")—which by the way maintains among all the nomad Arabs of the Sudan) and infibulation of females as connecting the Salāmāṭ–Shoa with the east. Similarly M. Carbou, who divides the Shoa into two groups, one from the north and the other, the "Guhayna" group, from the east, also remarks that the use of the word "Nūba" for "all indigenous non-Arab Muhammadans" lends weight to the current tradition of an early sojourn in what is now the Anglo-Egyptian Sudan. In the same way the habit in use among the western Arabs of denoting the Kanembu as "Hamag" points as clearly to a connection with the "Tribes of

1 O.e. in Part II. The Arabs of Bornu, Bākirmi and Chad district—chiefly semi-sedentary Bākkāra—are called Shoa, or Shawa (Barth, "Shūwa" and "Shiwa") by the indigenous tribes.

2 See p. 139, above.

3 Vol. ii, Ch. xxxii, pp. 355–356.

4 Vol. iii, Ch. i1, p. 465.

5 Vol. ii, pp. 4, 8, 9, 20, 28, 48.

6 Carbou, ii, 47.

7 Ibid. i, 36. Carbou is apparently unaware of the existence of a tribe called Hamag in the eastern Sudan and therefore fails to explain the term. So, too, Nachtigal (Voy. au Ouaddat, p. 74) says "Les Hammedj's sont les derniers autochtones du Kanem. Ils sont de la famille des Boulala's"—also, it seems, in ignorance of the Hamag of Sennar. There is no evidence of a Hamag movement from east to west or vice versa at any time, and the term Hamag was probably no more than an Arab importation, used to denote any uncivilized people. An exactly similar use of the word occurs in MS. C 3 11.
THE GUHAYNA GROUP

Guhayna" who in the sixteenth century had "reached a total of fifty-two tribes in the land of Sōba on the Blue Nile under the rule of the Fung," though most of them were "in the west."

The main "Guhayna" group having come from the east as camel-owners and shepherds in the fourteenth and following centuries appear to have straightway pushed as far westwards as Bornu, but how long elapsed before branches of them moved farther south and became Bakkāra we do not know.

In Kordofān these latter groups had been anticipated by the Ga'ali group from Dongola, who had settled round el Rahad and el Birka and intermarried with the Nūba, and it may be that the 'Guhayna' Arabs first became cattle-breeders in the countries west of Kordofān. But at a later date, five to eight generations ago, there was a return movement eastwards caused by adverse political conditions in the west, and various Bakkāra groups migrated to join their kin in southern Kordofān.

The Bakkāra of the west, however, have been joined by arabicized Berbers from North Africa, and it may have been the presence of these latter that has given rise to the doubtful tradition that the Bakkāra came not from the Nile but from Tunis. At all events it was presumably the difficulty of embodying into their traditions both the Abu Zayd el Hilāli (Tunis) connection and also the real fact of their original migration from the Nile that gave birth to the apocryphal "Great Trek" of Abu Zayd from the east over the Blue and White Niles and Kordofān.

VI Let us now take the Bakkāra tribes separately, from east to west.

(a) Beni Selīm

Of the Beni Selīm of the White Nile the "nisbas" can tell us nothing of any interest. Their country at present extends nearly as far south as Kāka, and thus lies north of that of the Shilluk and the Dinka and south of that of the Aḥamda, but it is probably only within the last two centuries that they have been able to dominate the river banks at the expense of the two former tribes.

In the rains the fly drives them northwards, or eastwards over the river.

They mix largely with the Dinka, and not being cultivators themselves rely upon them and the Shilluk for their grain supply.

Though Bakkāra, they have taken, no doubt since their movement to the river, to breeding more sheep than cattle.

1 See p. 139.  
2 See MacMichael, Tribes..., Chap. II.  
3 Anglo-Eg. Sudan, 1, 130.  
4 Ibid. 1, 64.  
5 Ibid. 1, 196.
Their two main divisions are the Um Tarîf and the Awlâd Mahbûb\(^1\).

\((b)\) **Awlâd Hamayd**

VII The Awlâd Hamayd round Tekali claim to be descended through el Gunayd, the usual Bakkâra ancestor, from Bâbirk walad el 'Abbâs, a Ga'ali immigrant to Kordofân. The pedigree they produce in support of this claim is as follows\(^2\):

```
Abbâs
Bâbirk
Ahmad
El Gunayd
Hammâd

Hamayd
Habbân
Hamâd

(AWLâD HAMAYD)

Gadayf
'Ali
'Abdulla
Hilâl

Baiyui
Buiaia
El 'Ayyân

'Askar
Ahmad
'Abdulla
Ghonaym
Muhammad

(AHÂMDA)
```

El 'Ayyân, they say, was the first "nâzîr" of the tribe and he seems to have lived about the time of the Turkish conquest, i.e. 1821. His eighth successor, Dedân, was "nâzîr" at the time of the Dervish revolt (1881).

The generations as given previous to el Gunayd are presumably based on pure invention and the desire for relationship with Kuraysh, and those immediately following him are little better.

But "Baiyui" and "Buiaia" are not names that any Arab would invent: they have a strong Nûba ring and are probably authentic\(^3\).

The name of el Gunayd and the close connection of the Awlâd Hamayd with the Habbânîa are reminiscences of the usual Bakkâra

---

1 *Anglo-Eg. Sudan* I, 130. Of their history I know nothing. Petherick records an expedition sent in 1858 from Kordofân against them by the Turks on account of their non-payment of tribute. Several thousands of cattle were taken (*Upper Egypt...*, pp. 299, 320).

2 Supplied to me by Capt. M. J. Wheatley, in 1913 Inspector of Tekali district.

3 The name Buiaia occurs again as that of el 'Ayyân's great-grandson.
trees, which almost always group these two tribes and the Ta'áśha together.

Nachtigal gives some account of the Awlád Ḥamayd1 of Wadáí and Bornu. They were alleged to be closely connected with the Bulála.

Quand cette tribu, venant de l’Est, émigra au Soudan, une fraction demeura au Kordofán, une au Ouadaí, une se fixa au Bahr-el-Ghazal2, une enfin au Baguirmi et au Fitri. C’est cette dernière fraction qui fut le noyau du grand état qui réunit un jour les territoires des Kouka’s, du Fitri et du Kanem. Cette fraction des Oulad Hamed, devenue tout à fait sédentaire, s’était alliée aux Kouka’s et en avait adopté le langage (Tar lisi), ce qui n’empêchait la langue arabe d’être restée extrêmement répandue.

It seems, then, that the substratum of the Awlád Ḥamayd of Kordofán is in part Bakkará, akin to that of the Ta’áśha and Habbanía, and in part Núba of the Tekali type. Their Arab ancestors may have settled round Tekali at the time of the great Guhayna movement, and they have been reinforced by others of their kin who have returned from the western countries whither they had gone at the time that the Kordofán settlement first occurred. As in the case of the ruling family at Tekali3, these Awlád Ḥamayd may have absorbed a slight element of the Dongoláwi—sufficient at least to make them aspire to a Ga’ali pedigree—and they certainly intermarried with, or included into their particular group, various families of other Bakkará.

The Turkish period provides no more than traditions of grazing disputes and desultory fighting between the Awlád Ḥamayd the Habbanía and the Ḥalafa section of the Hawázma. The eventual result was unfavourable to the first-named tribe and by the date of the outbreak of the Dervish revolt they had lost many of their fighting men and a large proportion of their herds. They attempted to resist the Mahdi at first but were easily crushed, and what was left of the tribe joined the Dervishes.

It was not until the reoccupation of the Sudan that the scattered remnants of the tribe returned to their ancient haunts and were able to re-form.

(c) Habbanía

VIII The Habbanía who live between el Rahad and Sherkayla are a branch of the Darfúr tribe of the same name and immigrated from Kalaka, which is still the headquarters of the main tribe, some four or five generations ago.

1 “Oulad Hamed—ou Oulad Homeid, dans certaines regions—” (Voy. au Ouadaí, p. 13).
2 Not the Bahr el Ghazál of the Anglo-Egyptian Sudan of course.
3 See MS. “A 7.”
Both in Kordofán and Dárfūr they have numerous villages and are less nomadic than the average tribe of Bàkkará. In the tribal “nisbas” they are always connected closely with the Ta’álīsha. The Kordofán section, previously to the Mahdıa, were generally at loggerheads either with the Gawai’ā, the Gima’a, the Hāwázma and the Awlād Ḥamayd, or with the people of Tekali.

In 1876 their numbers were assessed at about 8000: in 1881, when the tribute was reassessed, £E. 215 were demanded from the Kordofán branch as against £E. 2640 from those in Dárfūr, but immigration in recent years, particularly by the Riafa section, has tended to equalise the numbers of the two branches.

The main tribe in Dárfūr border on the Rizaykát to the east, the Ta’alīsha to the west, the Masalāt to the north and the Dinka to the south. Their country resembles Dár Ḥumr and Dár Rizaykát in general, but, extending farther south, suffers more from fly and is more marshy. They cultivate less corn than the Bàkkará living east of them and rely largely on wild rice and “dhifra” (Pannicum Isachne). Elephant hunting is much in vogue among them.

As a tribe they are divided into Tāra and Sōt, but the subtribes of the latter seem to be known collectively as El Zādāt. There is no particular line of cleavage between the Dárfūr and Kordofán portions of the tribe and most, if not all, of the following sections are common to both.

A. Tāra

1. Shebba

(a) Awlād Ḥamayd
(b) Noala
(c) Ḥawai’a
(d) Mirayrāt
(e) Hilaylāt
(f) Selmānā
(g) Awlād Sa’ūd

2. Shaybūn

(a) Awlād Delōta
(b) Um ’Aḵāb
(c) Awlād Ma’āfa

5 (a) Awlād ’Āíd
(b) ” Zāid
(c) ” Abu ’Āmir
(d) ” Gargar
(e) El Derābīn
(f) Awlād Bello
(g) El Kamārsa
(h) Awlād Rḥayma
(j) Awlād Idrīs, or Um Idrīs
(k) El Kīgama
(l) El Mahāda
(m) El Hadayl

1 Cp. Carbou, ii, 51, 54, where the Habbānīa and Ta’alīsha are similarly referred to as being both subtribes of “Hénat” (i.e. Ḥaymād).

2 Stewart.

4 The tāra is properly a cymbal, the sōt a whip. Both occur as names of camel brands. The former is shaped £ and placed on the neck of a camel, the latter is a long perpendicular line branded on the quarters, from the backbone downwards, like a hanging whip. (See MacMichael, *Camel Brands...,* figs. 113, 114, 117.) The terms presumably date back to the time when the Habbānīa were camel-owners. Compare the case of the Ta’alīsha.

5 These twelve small sections, all occurring in Kordofán, all belong either to the Shebba or the Shaybūn branches.

6 See note on p. 83.
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B. SÔT

1. Ríafa

(a) Awlād Abu 'Ayád
(b) El Feraygát
(c) Awlād Abu Ṣágád
(d) " Sa'ādán
(e) Nās Kelbi
(f) El Mesā'íd

2. Shabul

(a) Kenát, or Kenayát
(b) Awlād Borkowi
(c) " Abu 'Ali
(d) El Bedárín

(d) Hawázma

IX. The Hawázma are perhaps more mixed with purely extraneous elements than any other Bakkāra tribe, as the "nisba"-writers knew, and this is due to the fact that during the greater part of the year they live among the villages of Bedayríá and other semi-Arab peoples in the country lying immediately north of the Nuba hills. Of the three main divisions of the tribe one, the Halafa, is little more than a league of families of Takárir from the west, Gellába Howarä and Zenára from the north, Gawa'ma’a and Nuba, who in the days of the Fung desired to pasture their cattle under the protection and the name of the Hawázma. The large and once powerful Asirra section are in Kordofán reckoned to be Bedayríá.

There would seem to be some connection between the true original Hawázma and the Beni Ḥarb of the Hegáz, who are neighbours of the Guhayna and the supposition may in that case apply equally to most of the Bakkāra. As evidence of this connection the following passage from Burton's Pilgrimage may be cited:

The Benu Harb is now the ruling clan in the Holy Land. It is divided by genealogists into two great bodies, first, the Banu Salim, and, secondly,

1 A section of the same name occurs among the Manúsir, and the best known brand of the Hamar Gharaysia and of the Towal Kabábsh is also called the "shabul."
2 These two small sections, both in Kordofán, belong either to the Ríafa or the Shabul.
3 Cp. in particular D i cxxxiv.
4 Hence their name. They sealed the alliance with an oath (i.e. جلف "halaf")
5 For precisely the same custom in Arabia see Robertson Smith, p. 45.
6 Many villages of Felláta and Takárir are scattered in the Bedayríá-Hawázma country south of el Obeid.
7 Both tribes are originally connected with the North African Berbers. The Zenára were a branch of the Luátá. For the Howará see Part II, Ch. 1, App.
8 11, 120; and cp. 11, 28, and 1, 231, where the "Howazim" are also mentioned as a turbulent section of Ḥarb near Medina. Doughty (Wanderings..., 11, 135) also mentions "Ḥazim, an ancient fendy of Harb...snibbed as Heteym."
the Masrūh, or "roaming tribes." The Banū Salīm, again, have eight sub-divisions, viz.:

1. Caḥāmad (Ahmādi)....It is said to contain about 3500 men. Its principal sub-clan is the Hadari.
2. Hawāzim (Hāzīmi), the rival tribe, 3000 in number: it is again divided into Muzayni and Zahīrī.
3. Mahmīd (Mahmādi), 8000.
4. Rahlīla (Rāḥīlī), 1000.
5. Timām (Tamīmī).

The mere occurrence of the name "Hawāzim," or Ḥawāzma (sing. Ḥāzmi), might be a mere coincidence, but when we find in conjunction with it Ḥāmāda1 and Mahāmīd2, both names of Ṣaḳkārā or semi-Ṣaḳkārā tribes, and "Rahlāh," which is evidently the same as Rowāhla (sing. Rāḥīlī), one of the sub-tribes of the Kabābīsh (among whom, as we shall see, the 'Aṭāwīa also are ancestrally connected with the Ṣaḳkārā), and realize that Ḥāmāda, Mahāmīd, Ḥawāzma and Rowāhla are all important groups in Kordofān, and that Kabābīsh and Sakkārā alike claim descent from 'Abdulla el Guhāni, not to mention the obvious similarity, if not the identity, between the names of Burton's "Banū Salīm" and the Benī Selīm Ṣaḳkārā of the White Nile, there seems small room for doubt that the Ṣaḳkārā tribes of the Sudan contain numerous elements that are also common to the Benī Ḥarb of the Ḥegāz3. The latter are an Ismā'īlīte tribe, originally a section of Ḥawāzin, who, again, are a branch of Kays 'Aylān4. In proof that some of them did come to Egypt one may quote Sir J. G. Wilkinson. In his list of Arab tribes east of the Nile the names of "Billé" (Belī), "Ge-hāyne" (Guhayna) and "Harb" occur in close proximity5.

The fact that the Prophet once said "Of a truth among the Arabs the worst names are the Benī Keleb and the Benī Ḥarb6" may explain

1 The singular of Ḥāmāda is the Sudan is Ḥammādi and not Ahmādi.
2 The singular of Mahāmīd in the Sudan is Mahmādi and not Mahmādi.
3 Maḵrīzī (ap. Quatremerē, 11, 191) mentions Awdād Ḥazam as a section of the Sinbis branch of Tai in Egypt, and it is conceivable that the original Ḥawāzma, or Hawāzim, were a branch of Tai, the tribe with which the Meṣrīra are also said to be connected. See Wüstfenfeld, Tab. 6, where "Ḥizmīr" refers to the same person as does Quatremerē's better reading of "Ḥazm" (i.e. حزم Ḥazm, Ḥazm), and where "el Maschr" (i.e. مشر al-Maṣcher, "el Maschr" (Mishir?)), the son of Tha'āliba and great-great-grandson of Nebhān, seems to correspond to "Messir" the traditional ancestor of the Meṣrīra, who appears also in the niṣbāt as son of Tha'āliba and great-great-grandson of "Nebhān, a section of Tai" (D 1. vii). Possibly, then, while certain Beni Tai detached themselves from the main tribe to wander southwards into the Sudan, others joined the Guhānya or the Ḥarb; or possibly the opposite occurred and the Hawāzma broke away from their parent stem to join the Tai: almost any similar permutation is indeed within the bounds of possibility.
4 Wüstfenfeld, D and F.
5 Modern Egypt..., 11, 380.
6 See Burton, Pilgrimage..., 1, 247.
why it is that the Bakkarra in general, and the Hawazma in particular, preserve no record or tradition of connection with the Beni Harb.

X The present divisions of the Hawazma, all of whom are in Kordofan, are as follows. It will be noticed that there are none of those coincidences of nomenclature between them and the Bakkarra living farther west which would show that overlapping and interpenetration had occurred among the two parties. Such elements in the Hawazma as are not original have been absorbed by them in eastern and southern Kordofan.

A. 'Abd el 'Ali

1. Dăr Gawăd
   (a) Dăr Bakhoti
   (b) Dăr Shalangologia

2. Awlăd Ghabūsh
   (a) Dăr Bat'ha
   (b) Awlăd Ba'ashom
   (c) Dăr Debl
   (d) El Ma'anat
   (e) Awlăd Gam'a

3. Dăr Bayti
   (a) Awlăd Abu Adam
   (b) El Kur'a'an

4. Dăr Na'ayli

B. Halafa

1. Dăr 'Ali
2. Dăr Făid
3. El Asirra
   (a) Um wad Gāza
   (b) Awlăd Gömēr
   (c) El Zurruk
   (d) Awlăd Mesheri
   (e) ,, Serrăr
   (f) ,, Ma'ada

4. Awlăd Ghonaym
   (a) Dăr Iga
   (b) Awlăd Tadu
   (c) Dăr Tangal

5. El Tōgia

C. Rowowgā

1. Dăr Gam'a't
   (a) El Towál
   (b) El Kuşār

2. Awlăd Nūba
   (a) Awlăd Rahma
   (b) Dăr Bilál
   (c) El Ḥukara

3. Delamía
   (a) Awlăd Tayna
   (b) Sulaymānā
   (c) Um Maginda
   (d) Dăr 'Agul
   (e) El Mūminīn

1 Ba'ashom = a jackal.  
2 "Towal" = long; "Kušār" = short.  
3 Nūba is said to have been son of Sanín son of Kashama.
The 'Abd el 'Ali section, like the Rizaykāt, Messirīa and Ḥumr, generally claim to be descended from 'Ati‘a and say they are the true original Ḥawāzma. This may well be so: a distinction is commonly drawn, as the trees show, between the descendants of Gunayd through 'Ati‘a on the one hand and through Ḥaymāḍ on the other, the Ta‘āfīsha, the Habbānīa and the Beni Ḥelba falling into the latter group and the Ḥumr, Rizaykāt, Messirīa and Ḥawāzma into the former; but the 'Abd el 'Ali of course contain many alien elements, e.g. “el Ḥura‘ān,” like every other subtribe of Bakkāra.

Of the Ḥalafa we have already spoken.

The Rowowga are alleged by the other Ḥawāzma for the most part not to belong to the tribe at all, by descent that is, but to be in part Beni Selīm and in part Kenāna, and to have come at some distant period from the east and joined the 'Abd el 'Ali. They probably contain more Nūba blood than most of the other sections. Not only is one of their main divisions called Awlād Nūba but their names are suggestive: for instance, the head sheikh of the Rowowga, Dāūd el Māmūn, gave the following pedigree in 1913 to a Government Inspector:

```
El Lieu
| Ḥāmid Abu Kitr
| Karongo | Dāūd | Gabrīl
| Kakidri | 'Ali | Koko
| Tawwar | Māmūn | Moḥanna
| Sōmi | Dāūd
```

"Karongo," "Kakidri" and "Koko" are obvious Nūba names, and "el Lieu" is certainly not Arabic.

We obtain a distinct indication of the approximate date at which the Ḥawāzma first broke away from the parent stem by examining the pedigrees of the present generation. The names of the eponymous ancestors of the main sections are quoted as those of sons, grandsons or great-grandsons of the original "Ḥāzim," and are generally remembered by all, though the exact relationship of each to other is not; but it is each man's own business to know how he is connected

1 M. Carbou (11, 51-74) speaks of the Ḥaymāḍ (“Ḥemat”) as the most important division of Bakkāra descended from Gunayd. He gives their subtribes as following: "Oulad Hemed [Hamayd?], Oulad ‘Amer, Noumourra, Djerarha, Selmaniye, Ta‘acha, Nedjmiye et Habbaniye," and adds "Aux Ḥemat se rattachent encore: les Dja‘ādne, les Salamat, qui constituent une des tribus arabes les plus nombreuses de l’Afrique Centrale et les Khouzam."

2 Capt. A. L. Hadow.
with this family of traditional ancestors. To take the case of four prominent Ḥawázma\(^1\): one in his pedigree gives eight generations as interposing between himself and “Delam” the eponymous ancestor of the Delamīa, one gives six and one varies between seven and eight. So among the Awlād Nūba seven generations are said to have lived between the present sheikh and “Nūba.” One may guess that until seven or eight generations, or 200 to 300 years ago, the Ḥawázma did not exist as a separate tribe, but that the ancestors of the non-alien element among them were counted (e.g.) Messirīa until they became numerous and powerful enough to break away and call themselves Ḥawázma.

\(1\) MESSĪRĪA, ḤUMR, TAʾELBA, ḤŌṬĪA, SAʿĀDA AND TERGAM

XI The Messirīa and Ḥumr were at one time a single tribe and known respectively as the Messirīa Zurrūk (“dark”) and the Messirīa Ḥumr (“red”\(^2\)).

One finds them as a rule so referred to in the works of travellers who met them in the nineteenth century in Darfur and west of it\(^3\).

In Kordofān, however, the two divisions have become so distinct that the Ḥumr no longer rate themselves Messirīa at all, and each tribe has its own “daily” and its own sheikhs.

XII ḤUMR. The Ḥumr are divided into the Agaira and the Felaita, and these two independent divisions are again subdivided as follows\(^4\):

The Agaira

A. ’AiÁl Kihayr

1. Awlād Kāmil (a) Dār Mūta
   (b) ”, Um Shayba
   (c) ”, Sālim
   (d) Awlād Kīmayl el Ḥamra
     [(1) Um Sallóg]
     [(2) Um Gaʾar]
   (e) El Fakārín
   (f) Awlād Tuba

\(^1\) Taken from Capt. A. L. Hadow’s notes.

\(^2\) This subdivision of Arabs into Ḥumr and Zurrūk is not confined to the Messirīa (see Barth, Vol. III, App. 7). It may have coincided originally with the division into northern and southern, camel-owners and cattle-owners, but there is no real evidence that that is so.

\(^3\) See, e.g., Nachtigal, Voy. au Ouadaī, p. 70; Barth, Vol. III, App. 7, p. 545; and El Tūnsi (Darfur), p. 129.

\(^4\) These lists will be seen to differ in certain details from those given in Chap. xi of my Tribes of Northern and Central Kordofān. For most of the corrections I am indebted to Mr C. A. Willis, who for some years was Inspector of Western Kordofān, and whose lists I have compared with those compiled by the late Capt. W. Lloyd, Mr J. W. Sagar and myself.
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2. El Kalábna
(a) Dár Nála
(b) Ghashim
(c) Dirdimma
(d) Dár Nut’ha
(e) Dár Mughaybil

3. El Muzághna
(a) Abu Timán
(b) ’Aria
(c) Dár Bakhít

4. El Fayárín
(a) Awlád ’Ukla
(b) , Um Hani
(c) , ’Awána
(d) Awlád Hamdún

B. Awlád ‘Omrán

1. El Manáma
(a) Awlád Um Gúd
(b) Dár Zabali
(c) , Habíbulla
(d) , Banát
(e) , Rahma
(f) Fadlía Bardán
(g) Fadlía Sábir

2. Awlád ’Adil
(a) Awlád Nígáya
(b) , Abu Ghadáya
(c) , Abu Hamayd
(d) , Abu Hammád
(e) , Abu Ismá’íl
(f) El Nawás’ha

1 These also appear as a tribe distinct from the Ḥumr or Messíria and have merely attached themselves to the Ḥumr. See, e.g., genealogical tree in The Anglo-Eg. Sudan..., 1, 334. The remainder of them are in Borçu.
The Felaita

A. Metaniín
1. Awlād Zīāda
2. El Shāmīa
3. Awlād Shabīb
4. " 'Arafa
5. " 'Arīf
6. El Zīūd

B. Awlād Surūr
1. Awlād Um Khamīs
2. " Gama’a
3. " Um ‘Alīyān
4. " Um Bōkata
5. " Gafīr
6. El Gerāfīn

C. El Gubārāt
1. El Shiba’
   (a) Awlād Abu Hilāl
   (b) " Abu Gakāk
   (c) " Abu ‘Id
   (d) " Mahṣim
   (e) " ‘Abd
2. El Gulada
   (a) Awlād Garfa
   (b) " Budrān
   (c) " Muḥammad

D. El Salāmāt
1. Awlād ‘Alī
2. " Sa’īdi
3. " Abu Idrīs
4. El Gebābira
5. Awlād Fādī
6. " Abu Kadaym

From an examination of some of the Felaita pedigrees on the lines followed above in the case of the Ḥawāzma it appears that they and the Agaira became more or less independent of the Messirīa as long as ten generations ago.

The Ḥumr country lies on the extreme west of southern Kordofān, from the neighbourhood of el Qāṣaya to the Bahr el ’Arab, or “Bahr el Ḥumr.” North of Muglad it consists of a great sandy plain, but to the south it is black cotton-soil covered with thick bush and crossed by sandy belts. In the rains the Ḥumr are between Muglad and the confines of the Hamar to the north, but in the dry season they and

1 Cp. El Zīādāt among the Habbānīa.
2 For these as a separate tribe west see later.
3 Most of these are in Borkū, it is said.
4 El Gubārāt are also a main division of the Ta’āisha. Cp. also the Beni Gerār sections. Until the beginning of the nineteenth century there had long been a tribe called Gubārāt in Sinai round el ‘Arish. They then moved to Gāza (see Na‘ūm Bey, Hist. Sinai, p. 168).
5 For these as a separate tribe farther west see later.
their cattle move southwards to the Baḥr el 'Arab, where they come into contact with the Dinka.

XIII MEṣṢĪRĪA and TaʾELBA. The MEṣṢĪRĪA are a large and powerful tribe in Kordofán, but now only sparsely represented in Dāfūr. In the former province they were by the middle of the eighteenth century the paramount tribe of Baḵḵārā as far east as Sherkayla, but the rise of the Ḥawāźma in league with the Bedayrīa and others led to the MEṣṢĪRĪA being pushed back into the strictly limited stretch of country they now occupy round el Sinūt, el MafĪna and the Wādí el Ghalla. In Dāfūr and Wadāi too they were, previously to the Maḥdīa, very numerous1, but the havoc of that era and the exactions of successive Sultans of Dāfūr drove many into south-eastern Wadāi (Dār Runga). As soon as the French were established in the north many of these moved into their sphere of influence to escape the clutches of the native dynasts, and now form a considerable proportion of the “Arabes réfugiés au Fitrī2.” These, it may be noted, are largely breeders of camels. They bear a bad reputation as raiders.

The MEṣṢĪRĪA remaining in Dāfūr live a semi-sedentary life in villages round Ḥammādī and Gebel Kirru to the east of Gebel Marra. They belong chiefly to the Zūrūḵ branch and are breeders of cattle and sheep. Among them are a few ḤUMR and a small colony of ʿARAKĪN from the Gezira.

The “nisbas” in general agree that the MEṣṢĪRĪA are closely akin to the Arabian Thaʾaliba, but it is doubtful whether the apparent corroboration as to this point by the Arabian genealogists (see note on p. 281) is in fact corroboration at all or whether the tradition of the “nisbas” is not merely derived from the Arabian genealogists. It is curious to find in Dāfūr, living with the MEṣṢĪRĪA, a small tribe of Thaʾaliba, or TaʾELBA as they are generally called, and to find Carbou saying of them3 “Les Taʾālima descendent de Taʾelb, fils de Missir”—a variation no doubt of the “Meskhir son of Thaʾaliba” noticed above. Most of these TaʾELBA live near the south-east corner of Gebel Marra as Baḵḵārā, but a few live as villagers in northern

1 See el Tunisî, Ouadîy..., p. 251, and Darfour..., pp. 129, 134, 297. Barth (loc. cit.) calls them “the third tribe amongst the Wādāy Arabs in respect to numbers.” Domboli were their headquarters.

2 This process began in 1903 and the largest and most recent movement of the Meṣṣīra, from S.E. Wadāi to Fitri district, took place in 1907. See Carbou, 11, 48, 49. Nachtigal (see Carbou, 11, 75, 76) regarded them as of practically the same stock as the Salāmāt.

3 Loc. cit. The same name occurs (“Taʾālima”) among the North African Arabs. They were in the desert of Numidia near Taḵděmt in the sixteenth century, and Marmol, who calls them a branch of “Maḥeqīl,” i.e. Maʾākla, assessed them at 44,000 armed men in Algeria. There are still some in the same locality (see Carette, PP. 433-445).
Dárfür with the Zagháwa round Ḥashába. They are usually considered a branch of Messíria.

Their subdivisions are as follows:

A. Awlád Kamúna
   1. Nasayráb
   2. Awlád Muhammad
   3. Awlád Ragab

B. Awlád Ziáda
   1. Awlád Núr
   2. Fákárña

C. Awlád Shuwayyḥ
D. Awlád 'Ebáyd
   1. Baybísh
   2. Awlád Burás

E. Beni 'Átif¹
F. Mahádí²
G. Rawáína
H. Na’ímát

The Messíria of Kordofán are subdivided as follows:

A. Awlád Um Sálím
   1. Awlád Sulaymán
   2. " Hammúda
   3. " Abu Zaydán
   4. " Mušbáḥ
   5. " Ebdó

B. El Ghazáya
   1. Awlád Um Raydán
   2. " Khayr
   3. " Bilál
   4. " Agmán
   5. " 'Awáda
   6. " Mismáár
   7. El Ku’úk
   8. Awlád Um Kerábíg³

C. El Diráwi
   1. Awlád Kudum
      (a) Awlád Fadla
      (b) " Delót
      (c) " Gháli
   2. Awlád Serír
      (a) Abu Khorays
      (b) Awlád Bokhát

D. El Enenát
   1. Awlád Heqlíga
   2. " Kidyba
   3. " Hilál
   4. El Kurún
   5. El Shukríá
   6. Awlád Núṣár
   7. " Um Fáris

¹ Cp. 'Awáṭifa and 'Āṭayfát.
² The sing. "kurbag" = a whip.
³ See note on p. 83.
III. 3. XIV.  THE GUHAYNA GROUP 289

E. AWLÁD ABU NA’AMÁN
1. AWLÁD MAHÁDI
2. UM ḤAYÚB
3. AWLÁD Dow

F. EL ZURUUḳ
1. AWLÁD GHÁNIM
2. ABU ‘ALWÁN
3. EL DIRAYMÁT
4. BENI SA‘ÍD
5. AWLÁD HINAYHI
6. AWLÁD KÁ‘ÍD
7. EL KURBÁG
8. EL GENAḤÁT

G. AWLÁD HAYBÁN
1. AWLÁD ‘ĪSÁ
2. ”, GÁBRÍL
3. ”, EL SHÁIB
4. ”, FÁṬR
5. ”, ÓDA

XIV Living beside the Messíríá in Dárfūr, in addition to the Ta’elba, are small colonies of Hóṭía and Sa’áda, both closely cognate to the rest of the Bakkárā family.

HÓṬÍA. The Hóṭía consider themselves an offshoot of the Messíríá.

Their main division is into the Báb and the Shibayláb, and these are again subdivided into the following nine groups:

AWLÁD SULAYMÁN
" Derays
" Nawár
" Nyamák
" Dafí‘a

AWLÁD GHÁNIM
" Baraka
" NÁṢIR
BEDAYRÁB

SA’ÁDA. The Sa’áda, who live north of Showáli, are divided into:

AWLÁD DAIÓK
" Ahmád
" HiláL
" Ragàb

AWLÁD ’Afísa
EL NuwayyáT
EL BedríA
EL Simayría

TERGÁM. The Tergám used to live in north-western Dárfūr and were moved by ‘Ali Dínár to the east of Gebel Marra. There they live with the Beni Ḥusayn, Hóṭía and Ta’elba Arabs and the sedentary Fúr as their neighbours, and breed cattle. They call themselves ‘‘Aṭawa” (descendants of ‘Aṭía) and so belong to the same tribal group as the Rizaykat. There are few of them in Dárfūr, still fewer in Dár Maṣalít and Wádá, and none elsewhere.

1 Many of them and of the Tergam were until about ten years ago round Kebkebia and Kulkul, but they were removed by ‘Ali Dínár.
2 Carbou, II, 84.
Their chief subdivisions are as follows:

A. DERÆISA

1. Awlâd Sa‘îd
2. Sayf el Dîn
3. Bashîrîa
4. Ḥasabôn
5. Awlâd Abu Fātimâ
6. Ḥammadîa
7. ’Aṭâwîa

B. ZUâîDA

1. Awlâd Abu Hilál
2. Yóga
3. Sirbál
4. el Kówal
5. Kângo
6. Khushmîâ
7. Ḥanashâ

(f) RIZAYKÂT

XV The Rizaykât are all in Dârfûr and are the richest and most powerful tribe in that country. They live in the extreme south-east, with the Ḥumr east of them, the Dînka to the south, the Habbânîa to the west, and the Ma‘álîa and sedentary Birked Baykî and Dâgû to the north. Owing to the natural advantages of their country, which in dry weather is bounded on the north by a broad waterless belt and in the rains is marshy, and to their naturally warlike disposition and abundance of horses, they were able to resist all aggression by the Sultan ’Ali Dînâr. But whereas a hundred and fifty years ago they roamed in the rainy season over a large part of central Dârfûr they were in his time unable to pass far north of the eleventh degree of latitude lest he should attack them and seize their cattle in settlement of ancient claims.

They cultivate south and west of Shakka at Abu Gabra, Um Maţârik, el Tuḥama, etc., and in the dry season go south with their cattle to the Baḥr el ’Arab, where raids and counter-raids between them and the Dînka have been of yearly occurrence.

Breeding from slave-women, Dînka, Mandala (or Bandala) and Shatt for the most part, has markedly affected the racial purity of the Rizaykât.

The first Sultan of Dârfûr known to have seriously attempted to deal with the Rizaykât was Tirâb, in the second half of the eighteenth century. The Rizaykât foiled him by retiring into the boggy country to the south and harrying his troops on all sides. Since then each successive Sultan was non-plussed in the same way whenever he tried to exact more than a nominal tribute, and in consequence large numbers of other Arabs who were less successful or more fearful took refuge with the Rizaykât. Most of these were Habbânîa, Beni Ḥelba, Ma‘álîa and Beni Khuzâm.

1 These hold the “nahdî.”
2 See el Tûnîsî, Voy., au Darfoure, pp. 129, 130.
3 For the Sultan Muḥammad Fāḍî’s dealings with them see Carbou, ii, 77 and 78. In October, 1913, the Rizaykât completely defeated the Sultan ’Ali Dînâr.
The name of each of the three main divisions is well known as belonging to a large camel-owning tribe in northern Darfur and Wadai; but though the Mahámid, Mahriá and Nawaiíba of the

1 I know no evidence of connection between these and the well-known Himyaritic tribe of southern Arabia (for whom see D i, viii; Zwemer, p. 85, etc.), but such connection is quite possible none the less.
north are essentially the same race as those composing the Rizaykât it will be more convenient to deal with them separately at the close of this chapter. It may be said here, however, in passing, that it is preferable to speak of these three tribes as having united in the south of Dârfûr to form the Rizaykât than to regard the three tribes as offshoots of the southern Balâkâra tribe.

(g) TA'ÂISHA

XVII The Ta'âisha, we have seen, are closely connected with the Habbânîa by race and, like them, claim descent from Ħaymâd. With the exceptions to be specified they are confined to Dârfûr.

Their name, too, is perhaps best known on account of the Khalîfâ 'Abdullâhi having been of their number. Many thousands of them were imported by him to Omdurman during his reign and used as a bodyguard and a means of enforcing his will upon the riverain tribes. Dongola Province was for a period entirely under their domination.

After the Khalîfâ’s overthrow many of the Ta’âisha returned to Dârfûr, but colonies of them settled in Šennâr and Kassala provinces, and a few elsewhere. Others enlisted in the Camel Corps and Mounted Infantry.

The Ta’âisha country in Dârfûr lies between that of the Habbânîa on the east, Dar Sula on the west, the Beni Ḥelba country to the north and the negro Fertît to the south. It is very sparsely populated at the present time.

The main divisions of the Ta’âisha are:

A. Kilâda

1. Awlâd 'Âmir
2. " Tâbit
3. " Zâid
4. " Sellama
5. El Showwasha
6. El Negmîa
7. El Diâbîa
8. Awlâd el Biḥayli
9. El Daḥâla
   (a) El Bedriâ
   (b) El 'Idî
   (c) El Ba'ashömi
10. El Barakâwi
11. El Shelûhi
12. El Ḥadrâmîa
13. Awlâd Abu Milka, or Abmilka
14. El Hâdâhîlîn

1 There is a fairly large colony of Maḥâmîd Awlâd Yasîn who fall between the two major groups of southern Rizaykât and northern camel-nomads. These Awlâd Yasîn live a day south-west of el Fâsher round Abu Zeraykâ under a sheikh of their own and are Balâkâra.
3 Slatin says “upwards of 24,000 warriors with their wives and families.”
4 Ibid. Ch. xiii.
5 “Kîlîda” and “errik” are both names of camel-brands, like “fâra” and “sût” in the case of the Habbânîa (q.v. supra).
B. 'Errik
   1. El Gubárát
      (a) Um Şurra
      (b) Awlād Gīd
      (c) " Hasabu
      (d) " Şerhān
      (e) " Ḥamdān
      (f) " Kād
   2. Um Rayda
      (a) El Bellal
      (b) El Bellūlī
      (c) El 'Imayrāt
      (d) El Manṣūrī

(iii) Beni Helba

XVIII The Beni Ḥelba were until recent years a large and rich tribe with their "dār" proper situated in the 'Id el Ghanam district, south-west of Gebel Marra, and with a smaller branch, the 'Alowni and other Awlād Gābir, living east of Marra and south of Gebel Ḥarayz. An independent tribe of Beni Ḥelba also lived in Wadāī.

In the early years of the nineteenth century the Sultan Muḥammad Fadl (1799–1839) decimated the numbers and seized most of the herds of the Dārfūr tribe. They recovered their wealth and prosperity only to be again decimated during the Mahdia. After the overthrow of the Khalifa they again recuperated, but the fiscal exactions of 'Ali Dinār and his continual demands for levies and horses and cattle, beginning in 1900 and culminating in 1909, drove the bulk of the Beni Ḥelba into Dār Rizaykāt, Dār Sula and Dār Ḥumr.

On the defeat of 'Ali Dinār by the Government in May, 1916, the Beni Ḥelba saw their opportunity for revenge, collected their scattered forces and set to work to raid the cattle of 'Ali Dinār and of the sedentary Für and others living on the confines of the country, hoping no doubt to lay the foundations of a fresh tribal fortune in place of those lost in preceding generations. The Beni Ḥelba refugees in Dār Sula at the same time seized the opportunity to stream back into Dārfūr and assist in the good work.

The Beni Ḥelba are divided into Awlād Gābir and Awlād

---

1 See note 5, p. 292.
2 Cp. among the Ḥumr Felaita and the Beni Gerār.
3 See note on p. 283, above.
5 Barth, Vol. III, App. 7, p. 545, classes them as one of the chief tribes of Wadāī.
6 Cp. Nachtigal, Voy. au Ouadal, pp. 70, 72, who classes them among the camel-owning nomads. El Tūnisi mentions them in Dārfūr (Voyage..., p. 129).
7 Carbou, II, 89, 90.
Gubára, and the chief subdivisions of these two branches are as follows:

A. Awlád Gábír
   1. El 'Alowni
   2. El Zanádíf
   3. El Hazázíri
   4. El Hadhálil
   5. El Misáíia

B. Awlád Gubára
   1. Awlád Gema‘án
      (a) Dár Nimr
      (b) Awlád Wádí
      (c) „ Ḥabíb
      (d) „ Sufra
      (e) „ Músa
      (f) El 'Asharí‘a
      (g) El 'Ámiríá
   2. Awlád 'Ali
      (a) Awlád Dhiíra
      (b) 'Ushbúr
      (el Shabúl?)
      (1) Awlád Ni‘ama
      (2) „ Manúna
      (3) „ Ahmad
      (4) „ Bilála
      (5) „ el Sheikh
      (6) Dár Kibaydi
   3. Awlád Ghayád
      (a) Awlád Dow
      (b) „ Farág
      (c) „ Maragulla
      (d) „ el Ruays
      (e) El Selímía
   4. Beni Mandúl
      (a) Awlád Sálím
      (b) Kurbiá
      (c) Awlád Híggá
      (d) Awlád Záíd
   5. Beni Lábíd
      (a) Awlád Sa‘íd
      (b) Awlád Díkayn
      (c) El Arárma
      (d) Awlád Um Serág
      (e) Awlád Musayíd
   6. Awlád Ghánim
      (a) Humr
      (b) Zurrúk

1 Cp. the 'Alowna among the Kabábísh and others among the Kenána. The same name occurs in Sinai (Tor) as that of a branch of Muzayna (see Na‘um Bey, *Hist. Sinai...*, p. 112).
The Beni Ḥelba of Dārfūr are a particularly low type of Arab, poor in spirit and physique, incurably lazy and with none of the finer qualities that distinguish the nomad Arabs of Kordofán.

(j) BENI KHUZĀM

XIX The Beni Khuzām are for the most part in Wadāi and Dār Sula. A few of them are in Dārfūr and these are at the present moment, and since 1914, refugees living among the Rizayḵāt.

The tribe belongs to the Ḥaymad group of Bakkāra and through it claims descent from the Beni Makhzūm of Arabia.¹

In Wadāi a portion in the south are Bakkāra and a portion in the north owners of camels². Since 1904 many of them have entered the ranks of the “Arabes réfugiés au Fitri.” Others, again, are in Bakirmi³ with the Salāmāt, and in Bornu⁴.

M. Carbou subdivides those west of Dārfūr as follows⁵:

A. BAHARIYE

1. OULĀD ‘ALI
2. OULĀD AFAN
3. AM ZIHEFÉ
4. OULĀD ABOU FAHIL
5. OULĀD ZAĪT
6. KĀNABKÉ (“OU EL MEHMID”)
7. OULĀD MAKRAM
8. OULĀD HÉBÉ etc.

Mostly in Bakirmi

B. ALALING (“OU ALALIK⁶”).

He also mentions as subdivisions of the Beni Khuzām the “OULĀD ABOU ASSAF, OMEĪRAT et QEBESAT.” These latter and some of the Kanābka are in Bornu.

The Khuzām in Dārfūr speak of themselves as closely connected with the Beni Ḥusayn and divided into Bahāriya and ‘Alalīk. The former consist, they say, of Ḥammūdā and Gemā’a, the latter of ‘Imayrāt (i.e. the “Omeīrat” mentioned), Asheddād and Sayf.

¹ Carbou, II, 71–74. Barth (Vol. III, App. 7, p. 545) makes them the fourth largest tribe of Arabs in Wadāi. For the Beni Makhzūm see Wüstenfeld, R.
² It would be these of whom Nachtigal (Ouadat, p. 71) says: “Physiquement ils ressemblent aux Djaadina’s, mais sont alliés avec les Zoghaoua’s (Amm Kimmelte).” The “Djaadina” he describes as of a colour “légèrement grisâtre et rougeâtre: ils sont à peu près pur sang arabes” (loc. cit.).
³ Cp. map in El Tunisi’s Ouaday..., and Carbou, II. 8.
⁴ Ibid. Those in Bornu are said to have only settled there about 1830.
⁵ Ibid. I preserve M. Carbou’s spelling.
⁶ Some of these also are in Bakirmi. Ibid.
XX The Beni Ḥusayn are divided between Wadai and Dārfūr. They are only a small tribe, and those in Dārfūr camp in the rainy season west-south-west of el Fāsher between Gebel Kussa and Marra, and in the summer farther south. Until moved by ’Ali Dīnār some ten years ago they were mostly north of Kulkul.

The sections in Dārfūr are:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Awlād Bellūl} & \quad \text{Awlād 'Alayān} \\
\quad, & \quad \quad, \\
\quad, & \quad \quad, \\
\quad, & \quad \quad, \\
\text{Haytān} & \quad \text{El Noārna} \\
\text{Awlād Sellama} & \quad \text{Awlād Ziāda} \\
\quad, & \quad \quad, \\
\quad, & \quad \quad, \\
\quad, & \quad \quad, \\
\text{Bakhīt} & \quad \text{El 'Alāmāt} \\
\end{align*}
\]

(l) BASHĪR

XXI This small tribe of semi-nomadic Baḵkāra living immediately south of el Fāsher belongs to the Ḥaymād group. Its subdivisions are:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Awlād Sulṭān} & \quad \text{Awlād Zayd} \\
\quad, & \quad \quad, \\
\quad, & \quad \quad, \\
\quad, & \quad \quad, \\
\text{El Asad} & \quad \text{Shalōli} \\
\text{Hammadia} & \quad \quad \\
\end{align*}
\]

There is a section of Kabābīsh in northern Kordofān who are also called Bāshīr and are probably by origin a branch of the Dārfūr tribe.

(m) SALĀMĀT, BENI RĀSHID AND ZIUDENT

XXII Of the Salāmāt, Beni Rāshid (or Rowāshda) and Ziūd little will be said since they do not inhabit Kordofān or Dārfūr except in negligible numbers, and are then incorporated in other tribes.¹

Salāmāt. The Salāmāt² are one of the largest tribes in Africa and inhabit Bornu, the Chad district, Bakirmi and southern Wadāi. They were also at one time fairly numerous in Dārfūr, but were dispersed and driven westwards. The western branch of the tribe is darker than the eastern and is included in the general term "Shoa." All alike are Baḵkāra, though they also own a certain number of sheep.

Their two main divisions are the 'Īsīa and the Awlād Mūsa, but

¹ Cp. the subdivisions of the Humr Felaita.
² See Carbou, II, pp. 56-71; Barth, III (Ch. 42), 136, 137, and III (Ch. 51), 454 and 465, etc.
³ The former are chiefly in Bornu and Bakirmi, the latter in Wadāi and the Chad district as well.
each is subdivided into very numerous subsections, which again contain many alien elements, such as Felláta and Bulála.

**Beni Ráshid** and Ziūd. The Beni Ráshid and Ziūd are very closely connected and the latter should really be reckoned a branch of the former. In practically every Bakkára “nîsha” the ancestor of the Ziūd appears as a descendant of Ráshid.

At the present day the two tribes live together in Bornu and Wadá. A few are camel-owning nomads in the north: these (Ziūd) were referred to by Nachtigal as “de race arabe légèrem ent mêlée de sang noir.” But the great majority are Bakkára.

Now one of the three main divisions of the Beni Ráshid in Wadá is the Zebáda, a term used to include within its scope the Ziūd, and el Tünisi, who met these Zebáda in western Wadá at the beginning of the nineteenth century, was assured by their “’akíd” that they were of Yemenite origin and “derived their name from Zebid, a town of el Yemen, and that they were descended from the Himyarites.”

This and the closeness of the bond existing between the Ziūd and the Beni Ráshid (or Rowáshda, as they are often called) at once connect the whole group with the Zebáydiá-Rasháída community of the eastern Sudan. The word “Rasháída” is simply a variant plural of Ráshid and, like “Rowáshda,” is the exact equivalent of “Beni Ráshid.” But whereas the Beni Ráshid and Ziūd have been for centuries in the western Sudan and are mostly Bakkará, the Rasháída and Zebáydiá in the east are recent immigrants and entirely concerned with camels.

The same group occurs again in Sinai, where in 1915, among the seven sections of Sowárka inhabiting the north-east extremity of

---

2. Ibid. ii, 86–89.
3. A fraction of the Awlád Ráshid are also incorporated among the Mahámíd Um Gellúl in Dárñür (see later).
5. Carbou, loc. cit. The other two main divisions in Wadá are given as “Hamída” [Ahámda?] and “Azid.” In Bornu they are divided into “Hémediya” and “Sawa-rima.” Nachtigal (Voy. au Ouaddi, p. 72) treats the Zebáda as a distinct tribe and says: “Ils rassemblent beaucoup aux Oulad Rachid, sont à peine cuivrés, bien bâtis mais fort peu civilisés et très pillards.”
6. The title “’Akíd el Zebáda” survived in Wadá until the French occupation as that of one of the important functionaries of the Sultanate.
7. El Tünisi, Voy. ou Ouadry, p. 250. He gives the Arabic spelling as ٥٥٥. Zebid is “a large trading port nearly opposite to Masuah” [Massowa] (Bruce, Vol. iii, Bk. iii, p. 184).
8. See Chap. 13 in this Part.
9. Cp. the case of the “Beni Manṣûr,” called “Manâšir,” on the river and “Manâṣra” in Dárñür and Kordofán (see Chap. 1 (d) above).
the peninsula, I found two who were named respectively Ziūd and Rowāshda.

There are also a few Ziūd incorporated among the Ḥumr Felaita\(^1\) in Kordofān.

In dealing with the Ḥawázma attention was drawn to the connection that existed between that tribe and the Beni Ḥarb of Arabia. The same connection appears to exist in the case of some at least of the Beni Rāshīd, for the Zebaydīa (corresponding to the Zebada of the west) are properly a section of the Beni 'Of branch of the Beni Ḥarb\(^2\).

(2) THE NAWĀĪBA, MAHRĪA, MAḤĀMĪD, 'ERAYḴĀT AND 'AṬAYFĀT

XXIII There remain to be considered the five camel-owning tribes of northern Dārfūr and Wadāī who are of the same stock as the Baḵkāra. Three of these, the Nawāība, the Mahriā and the Mahāmid, have been already mentioned as composing in the south of Dārfūr the great tribe of the Rizaykāt: the fourth is the 'Erayḵāt, and the fifth the 'Aṭayfāt.

All alike claim the Guhayna connection and either entered Dārfūr and Wadāī in the fourteenth century or rather later\(^3\).

XXIV The Mahāmid are spoken of by el Tūnisi, who passed through their country\(^4\), as a powerful tribe containing many subdivisions and owning great herds of camels, horses and other wealth in northern Wadāī\(^5\). He says, too, that they have “presque la nuance claire des ʿEgyptiens”\(^6\). In his work on Dārfūr he also mentions them among the Fezārā [“Fararah”] group in the north\(^7\).

Nachtigal includes the Mahriā, the Nawāība, the 'Eraykāt and the 'Aṭayfāt in the term Mahāmid. He says of them\(^8\):

Les Mahamíd's peuvent fournir au moins quatre mille cavaliers. Ils sont rougètères et ont bon caractère; on les dit pieux, bienfaisants et

---

\(^1\) See list of subsections on p. 286, above.
\(^2\) Burton, Pilgrimage..., II, 120. He calls them “Zubayd...near Mecca, a numerous clan of fighting thieves.”
\(^3\) M. Carbou speaks (II, 77) on the authority of Slatin (Bk. I, Ch. 2) of the genesis of the Nawāība, Mahriā and Mahāmid as being due to the policy of the Sultan Muhammad Faḍl who, having subdued the Rizaykāt, transplanted many of them to northern Dārfūr where they “eventually developed into” the three tribes mentioned. This is misleading. Muḥammad Faḍl may have transplanted Rizaykāt to the north, but they were only rejoining their kinsfolk there and were absorbed into them afresh.
\(^4\) Voyage au Ouaday, p. 512.
\(^6\) Voyage au Ouaday, p. 400. Contrast Carbou, II, 80, “un type noir aux traits réguliers.”
\(^7\) Voyage au Darfour, p. 129.
\(^8\) Voyage au Ouadāt, p. 72.
hospitaliers; ils parlent l'arabe le plus pur. Ils habitent au Nord-Ouest du Dar Mimi\(^1\). Les fractions de cette tribu sont nombreuses, j'ai pu reconnaître les suivantes: les Oulad Djellou\(^2\), les Oulad Cheik\(^3\), les Oulad Yassin\(^3\), les Oulad Zed\(^3\), les Nedja's, les Seif ed din\(^3\) (ou Seifan), les Naouiba's, les Erekat's, les Mahariye's (Mehriya?), les Oulad Djenab, les Hamdiya's, les Et teiyifat's\(^4\).

These Nachtigal classes among the camel-owning nomads. His estimate of their character was not accurate. They are and always have been inveterate raiders; "intelligents, astucieux, menteurs\(^5\); lax in their religion and resentful of all control. Among them is a smattering of Ḫura'ān\(^6\).

The number of camel-owning Maḥāmīd in northern Dārfūr since the "Mahdia" has been inconsiderable in comparison with those living farther west, but about 1908 a number of Maḥāmīd (Awlād Shāīḵ) from Wadāi, commonly known as "Um Gallūl," migrated into Dārfūr and settled with the Shōṭfā and Awlād Shāīḵ sections north of el Fāsher—where they say they had been some three or four generations previously, before they went to Wadāi. In 1914 some of these migrated still farther east and went to Kordofān. In 1916, on the death of 'Ali Dīnār, they returned to Dārfūr.

The subdivisions of the northern Maḥāmīd in Dārfūr correspond fairly closely to those of the Bakkāra branch who form a third of the Rizaykāt: they are as follows:

A. Awlād Shāīḵ

1. Um Sayf el Dīn
2. Um Gallūl (a) Awlād Gilāl
   (i) Awlād 'Īd
   (b) Awlād Mablūl
   (c) " Bilayli
   (d) " el Rifayik
   (e) " Tāko
   (f) " Rashid\(^7\)

B. Awlād Yasin. Already mentioned as being cattle-owners and living in an intermediate position between the southern Bakkāra and the camel-owners.

C. Shōṭfā

D. Awlād Zayt. Partly camel- and partly cattle-owning. They live round Ṭīna, between el Fāsher and Gebel Marra.

---

\(^1\) *Le* in Wurāda district: see Carbou, II, 79.

\(^2\) Presumably the "Um Gallūl" mentioned later.

\(^3\) Cp. subsections of Maḥāmīd among the Rizaykāt as given above, and Carbou II, 83.

\(^4\) *Le* the 'Atayfāt, for whom see later.

\(^5\) Carbou, II, 80, quoting Lieut. Lucien.

\(^6\) Carbou, II, 83.

\(^7\) Awlād Rashid from Wadāi who have attached themselves to the Um Gallūl.
XXV The NAwÀľba of the north are the same in type as the MAhàmâd but fewer, and live among them. In addition to these and the NAwÀľba among the RIZAYKAT in the south, there is an independent BÂKÇÁRA tribe of NAwÀľba in south-eastern Wadáí1.

XXVI The MAHàRÌA fall under the same classification as the MAhàmâd and NAwÀľba, and are usually mentioned in company with them2. Those in Dàrfûr live with the MAhàmâd between Kuttum and Gebel Marra at the present day and are not numerous. Their sections are:

- HÀMDÀNÌA
- UM ÀHMAD
- AWLÀD HÀNÀNÌ
  " 'ÁLI
  " BISHÀRA
- AWLÀD KÀÁD
  " BÀZÌ
  " SA'ÌD
  " DIRÀI

XXVII Akin to them and generally claimed to be MAHàRÌA are the camel-owning 'Àtayfât3 who live round Mellît and in Anka district to the north. There they are subdivided into AWLÀD BÀRAKA, AWLÀD 'AGÀYL and AWLÀD GOWNA. They say they have also two sections, HÀGAÌA and AWLÀD NÙSR in Wadáí, and one, the 'AKÀKÌZ, in southern Dàrfûr with the RIZAYKAT.

XXVIII The 'ERAYKAT also belong to the same group4. They were chiefly in north-western Dàrfûr until the time of the Sultan Muḥàmmâd Faḍl, but that ruler attacked them and decimated their numbers and delivered their grazing-grounds over to the MAhàmâd and others. The survivors fled northwards. At present most of the 'ERAYKAT are camel-owners round el Fàsher and in the north-west. Some are further afield in the Ennedi district with the BEDAYAT and in Dàr Tàmà5. El Tùnisi6 also mentions them as a rich BÂKÇÁRA tribe in south-western Wadáí, but his story to the effect that their name was derived from el 'Iràk, i.e. Mesopotamia, and that they were connected with the BÉNÌ LÀKHÌM and GÛDÀHÌM ('Djouzàmîdes') was probably pure invention. The 'ERAYKAT of Dàrfûr are divided into ZEBELÀT on the one hand and a group consisting of DIMAYÀT, NAshàRÌA, AWLÀD KÈRRU and MINÀWÌÀ on the other.

1 Nachtigal mentions them as camel-owners in Wadáí: see Voy. au Ouâdaï, pp. 40, 50, 72, 169; and cp. map to el Tùnisi's Ouâdây and Carbou, loc. cit.
2 E.g. see Nachtigal, Ouâdaï, pp. 65, 70, 72, 93; Tùnisi's map, etc. See also Carbou, II, 78-79.
3 Their name is no doubt formed from a diminutive of "'ufa" (see note to MS. D 3, 132). It also occurs as that of a section of 'Anàza in northern Arabia, (Burckhardt, Notes..., 1, 4).
5 Carbou, II, pp. 74, 75
6 Ouâday, p. 250 and map.
APPENDIX

The genealogical trees of the Bakkára

The genealogical trees of the Bakkára which follow are taken from various sources.

Tree I. Was compiled about 1906 from oral information by Mr J. W. Sagar, Inspector in the Nuba Mountains Province, on the authority of the Hawázma. The spelling of the names has been adapted.

Tree II. Was compiled subsequently from oral information by Capt. A. L. Hadow, Inspector in the Nuba Mountains Province, on the authority of the Hawázma and Humr Felaita. The spelling of the names has in this case also been adapted.

Tree III. Quoted from The Anglo-Egyptian Sudan (1, 334), on the authority of "Kubr Abdel Rahman, Sheikh of the Guberat section of the Taaisha." Spelling of names left unaltered.

Tree IV. Quoted from Dr Helmolt in The History of the World, p. 585, chiefly on the authority of Nachtigal. Spelling of names left unaltered.

Tree V. Compiled by myself from oral information given by the "názir" of the Humr Felaita. For further details see my Tribes..., 145-148.
TREE I.

'Abdulla el Guhani
Dhubián
Ahmad el Agdhum (the Leper)

Rashid
(Rovedehda, viz.
'lAwala
Ziaa
Avelad Fasfi
Hamida)

Gunayd

Haymad

Shurra
(Avelad Shurra
in Borku)

Mouhammad
Sharara
(Avelad Mouhammad
in Borku)

Hamayd
(Avelad Hamayd)

Nil

Gaber
(Avelad Gabir)

Gubara
(Avelad Gubara)

Messir
(Messiria
Hawazma
Hamr)

Rizayk
(Rizaykati)

Talish
(Talishia)

Ga'tan
(Ga'tna in Borku)

Habban
(Habbania)

Mualim
(Beni Selim)

Mahmud
(Mahamid)

Naib
(Nawabba, including
Avelad Ka'id)

Mahhir
(Mahria)

Shaiik
(Avelad Tako
Avelad 'Ajaari
Avelad Mirmi)

Sayf el Din

Um Ahmar
(Um Sirayra
Avelad Baraka)

Um Dahia
(Avelad Hasan
Avelad Um Sellama)

Baraka
(Avelad Serir
Shigayarat
Avelad Bashir
Avelad Rahma
El Shamina)

Mubarak
(Haradim
Hanatis
Avelad Mouhammad
Avelad Giyafa
Avelad Mitalayk)

1 Burckhardt (Nubia) mentions the "Ghyatene" as a "strong tribe" in S.E. Kordofan. Escayrac de Lauture (Bull. Soc. Geog. Aug.-Sept. 1855, p. 48) speaks of "Djeateneh" as in Wadai and Bakrmi only.
TREE II.

'Abdulla el Guhani

Aḥmad el Agdhum

Gunayd

Rāshid

'Askari (Ḥomar)

'Aṭā

Ḥaymād

'Te'āisha

'Avlād Ḥamayd

'Hobbāni

'Ali

Maʿāli

'Awzam (Ḥawazma)

Felait

(Ḥumr Felaita)

Hāmīd

'Abd el Hum

(Gabrīn

(Ḥubārīt)

(Hasabulla Salām

(Salāmāt)

(Kortal

(Avlād Tayma)

'Abd el Mūla

Mango

Gamāʾi

(Dār Gomāʾi)

Delam

(Delamia)

Ferhān

(Avlād Mūmin)

Serhān

(Dār 'Agūl)

'Is Immigrated from Arabia to Borku (Wadāi). Is the tenth generation from the present.

2 Is the eleventh generation from the present.

3 Twins.

4 Is the ninth generation from the present.
TREE III.

Shaker (descended from BENI ABBAS tribe)

Ahmed el Ajdam (the leper)

Salama (stepson) (Salamat)

Jeneid

Helba (slave) (Beni Helba)

Hamed el Afzar (the hollow-backed)

Hamid (Dar Hamid)

Hamar (Hamar)

Zeiad (Zeridia)

Maalla (Maalla)

Camel-owning tribes. Not Baggara

Rashid

Heimad

Zebada (Zebadia)

Ziut

Hamda

Azid

Hasabulla (Habbania)

Firan (Feirin)

Hameid (Aslal Hameid)

Rizeig (Rizeigat)

Messir (Messiria)

Havazma

Talab (Taelba)

Reyan (Rowaina)

AWLAD RASHID

Amr

Ahmed "Taaish" (Taaisha)
TREE IV.

Mohammed el Hauri

'Abdalla el Dja'anis, ancestor of the Djôheina……

Hammed el Afzer, ancestor of the Fezâra… (Ziâdiya, Kurumsiya, Qâsârâna; Mâliya, Aulâd 'Abdûn, Ma'aqila; Habhânûn, Djelledât, Mejânûn, Aulâd Igoi, Beni Umm Rân, and Beni Djerrâr)

Hammed el Ajzem (ancestor of the group of El Djuzm)

Djunêd

Râschid, ancestor of the Aulâd Râshid in Wadât and Bornû

Heimat, ancestor of the Heimat (Heymât or Hêymât); Ta'aisha and Habaniya

Rakal, ancestor of the Erégât ('Erégât)……

'Atilâ

Messir, ancestor of the Messiriya

Rizq, ancestor of the Rezâtâ……

Ta'aleb, ancestor of the Ta'âliba

Mahar, ancestor of the Mahâriya

Mahmûd, ancestor of the Mahâmîd

Naîb, ancestor of the Navâîbe

Scheiq

Barek

Yâsin, ancestor of the Aulâd Yâsin

daughter (wife of one Turrudj) ancestress of the Hamr (Homr) ¹

Bedr, ancestor of the Bedriya

¹ The Hamr are meant. (H.A.M.)
The Kababish perhaps present a more interesting study in racial composition than any other tribe in the Sudan.

At the present they are outwardly a homogeneous whole under the control of a supreme sheikh ("nâzîr") to whose authority the sheikhs of the subtribes and the individuals alike bow. They are also the largest and most wealthy tribe of camel-owning nomads in the country. The term "tribe" is therefore quite applicable to them; but none the less they are really a congeries of heterogeneous Arab elements, modified to some extent by Hamitic (Bega and Berber) and negro (slave) admixture, but more essentially Arab than the majority of the nomadic tribes and, a fortiori, than any of the sedentary population.

II The growth of the tribe to its present state is the result of a series of accretions which have been taking place for several centuries, and the particular cause responsible for this process has been the geographical advantages offered by the country inhabited by the Kababish. This comprises the whole of the high land of which the line Um Bádr-Katül-Kagmär-Um Inderába is, approximately speaking, the southern boundary.

On the north the Kababish are only limited by the deserts of the Sahara. Westwards they wander beyond the Wádi el Melik to the Dârфûr border, and on the east, in the dry season, they water their flocks in the Wádi Muğaddam. There is also a large section of the tribe in Dongola Province, chiefly nomadic but having some cultivation in the Nile valley.

In northern Kordofán they have certain patches of cultivation in the vicinity of their chief watering places, but the cultivators are only dependants left behind for the purpose while the tribe as a whole is grazing further afield, or, occasionally, poor men who have only a few sheep and goats.

1 See also MacMichael, Tribes..., Chap. xv, and in Vol. xl, 1910, of Journ. Anthrop. Instit.
2 Their heterogeneity is indicated by the diversity of their camel-brands. There is no single brand peculiar to the tribe nor any trace of such. Each main division, however, has a brand common to all its members. These are specified in my brochure on Camel Brands used in Kordofán, and certain of them are mentioned in the course of this chapter.
The natural features of the country they inhabit are eminently suitable for the breeding of camels and sheep, and in its southern portion for cattle rearing. To one familiar with these level or gently undulating stony tracts, intersected by numerous more fertile shallow valleys and dotted with rocky outcrops, a description of the highlands of Nejd in Arabia, taken apart from its context, reads as though it must refer to the Kababish country.

When the obstacle of the Christian kingdom of Dongola had been swept away at the beginning of the fourteenth century by the Arabs, and the tribes of Guhayna and their allies poured into the Sudan, many of these, finding the eastern desert sufficiently occupied by other Arab and Bega tribes, betook themselves to the hardly less congenial tracts lying west of the river. It has already been explained¹ that these parts were not previously unoccupied. The Arabs found there bands of negro-Hamitic Tibbu and, in the hills, colonies of "Nuba," and it may have taken them several centuries to establish a complete ascendancy over the plains. The fringe of hills from el Haráza to Kága they never attempted to conquer, and it was not until five or six generations ago that they had entirely extirpated the Nuba from the far less formidable and now uninhabited hills lying farther north and well within the present "dár" el Kababish.

III The name of Kababish (sing. Kabbáshi) is popularly derived in the usual manner from a purely fictitious ancestor called Kabsh², but is more properly to be connected with the word "kabsh," a ram³. At what period the name was adopted there is no evidence⁴. The names of certain of the subtribes and what little can be learnt of their past history confirm the conclusion, to which one would arrive in any case on historical grounds, that they came originally from the northern portion of the Hegaz.

Let us take examples:

IV The section which lives round Um Inderába and Um Sidr and is essentially a sheep-breeding community is the Awlád 'Ukba. Tradition tells us that they were "the original Kabábísh⁵" and held

¹ See Part I, Ch. 2.
² He generally appears as "son of Afzar"—who again is descended from "'Abdulla el Guhani," the intent being to connect the Kababish suitably with the Fezira and Guhayna groups.
³ Cp. the formation of Ma'áza from "ma'as" (he-goats), and of "'Anaza" from "'anaz" (she-goats), and perhaps of "Shoa" from "shá" (sheep). Cp. Carbou, II, 20, and Burton, Land of Midian, 1, 336.
⁴ The Kababish use the word "takabbasha" to describe the collecting together of the various component parts into a single tribal whole.
⁵ The first of the present sections to join them are said to have been the Rowálya (sing. Ráylí) and the Awlád 'On. These, according to very vague tradition, were followed by the Serágáb, Awlád Hawal and Núráb.
the sheikhship some ten generations ago, until the Ribaykāt supplanted them. It is also said that of the Awlād 'Ukba who crossed into Egypt from Arabia a part passed through Tripoli and eventually drifted into the ranks of the Fellāta in West Africa, that others are incorporated in the great Awlād 'Ali tribe of the Libyan desert, and that a third portion settled in the Syrian desert.

This is quite enough to identify the Awlād 'Ukba of Kordofān with the Beni 'Ukba who still live among the Huwayṭāt on the Arabian coast round Maḥna, Muwayla and Zība, and in company with the Muzayna in Sinai.

In an earlier chapter we have already met these Awlād 'Ukba as a branch of the Beni Gudhām.

Dr Wallin, who made their acquaintance near 'Akbāba in 1848, quotes various Arabic authorities as to their ancient history.

Ibn Fadlulla el 'Omari (1301–1348) says they are responsible for conveying pilgrim caravans part of the way between 'Akbāba and el Medīna. Ibn Khalīdān corroborates this, and adds: “In Afrikā, in the west, there are some of them, as well as in the neighbourhood of Ṭerābulūs” (Tripoli). He also speaks of the Beni Wāsil of Egypt as “a branch of the Beni 'Ukba son of Moghraba8 son of Gudham of the Kahtānā9.”

The Beni 'Ukba told Wallin10 that in old days their territories used to be more extensive, and that they had been divided at the commencement of Islam into Musālīma and Beni 'Amr, and they described how they had been gradually ousted from their more northerly territories by the Huwayṭāt.

Burton tells us11 at length how after years of struggle against odds the Beni 'Ukba were compelled to conclude peace with the Huwayṭāt on terms so disadvantageous as to be dishonourable12, and to

---

1 For the Awlād 'Ali see, e.g., Junker, p. 33 ff.; Klippel, pp. 10, etc.
2 Cp. Burton, Land of Midian, 1, 161 ff., Wallin, p. 299, etc.
3 Wallin, p. 298. I found the Beni 'Ukba still in the localities mentioned when I visited the Arabian coast with the Red Sea Patrol in 1915.
4 Journ. Roy. Geogr. Soc. Vol. xx, 1851, p. 301. Burton was familiar with Wallin’s work and also cites the Arabic authorities and gives a long account of the traditional history of the tribe (Land of Midian, 1, 161 ff.).
5 Referred to by Wallin as “the author of Al-Mesaliku-l-Abšār.” See Huart, p. 326. He is also known as Abu el 'Abbās Shihāb el Din Ahmad (Wallin, p. 343).
6 Wallin speaks of him as “the author of Al-‘Ibar.” The reference to Ibn Khalīdān is Vol. 1 (ed. de Slane), pp. 9–11.
8 “Moghraba” should perhaps be “Mahriya”: see Wüstenfeld, Tab. 5.
9 Other passages quoted are merely genealogical in tenour.
10 Q.v. p. 300.
11 Loc. cit.
12 Burton says “these hard conditions were actually renewed some twenty-five years ago” (i.e. about 1850).
give up their privilege of escorting the pilgrims. He also relates the tale of their wars with the Ma'āzā in the early sixteenth century, and with the Beli who lived south of them.

Most of the Beni 'Ukba who went to Egypt joined the Beni Hilál and appear among the subtribes of that great congeries as enumerated by Ibn Khalidūn and el Maṣrīzi.

Leo Africanus (c. 1495–1552) refers to them as “Hucban”: “The kingdom of Hucban are next neighbours unto the region of Melian, who receive certain pay from the King of Tunis. They are a rude and wilde people, and in very deade estranged from al humanitie: they have (as it is reported) about 1500 horsemen.” At what period, or by what route, they came to northern Kordofan there is no direct evidence.

Another large branch of the Kabābīši, a rich camel-owning folk, are the 'Atāwī (sing. 'Atawi). The form “'Atawīa” is the equivalent of “Beni 'Atīa,” and I have little hesitation in connecting these people through the 'Atiāt (or 'Atawāni) Beduin of the Thebaid with the Beni 'Atīa of Arabia, whose name so frequently occurs in conjunction with that of the Guhayna, Fezāra and Beni Hilál.

The fact that the 'Atawīa in Kordofan all use the brand of and that the Beni 'Atīa of Arabia use λ merely strengthens the conviction.

At the time of the Hilalian invasion, in which, it will be remembered, the Fezāra also took part, the Beni 'Atīa were reckoned a section of the Athbīg, the largest branch of the Beni Hilál, and settled in the Algerian province of Constantine. Ibn Khalidūn says they there became enfeeled and disappeared; and if a large number of them detached themselves from the Beni Hilál to migrate to the Sudan this would account for the fact.

However in the middle of the nineteenth century there were some 3000 of them in Constantine and some 500 in the Sahara. They are regarded there as Berbers.

1 Leo, 1, 144. Marmol (c. 1520 a.d., Bk. 1, Vol. 1, p. 80) borrows this account without acknowledgment from Leo and adds that they had 10,000 infantry as well.
2 Klippel, pp. 5 and 8. He speaks of them as “d’origine berbère” and groups them with the Beni Wāsil.
3 There are still many Beni 'Atīa in Arabia. Palgrave in 1862 computed their numbers at about 6000. He places them in the northern Ijīgāz between el Jowf and Muwayla and says they and the Ḥarb infest the pilgrim road to Medina (Vol. 11, pp. 86 and 208, and map in Vol. 1). Doughty (Wanderings..., pp. 164, 175, 278) mentions them as subject to Háïl and living with the Guhayna near Taima. Wallin (q.v. p. 310) met them with the Maʿāza in the Ḥiṣmā east of Muwayla and at Tebūk. For their brand see Doughty, Travels, 1, 125.
4 See Ibn Khalidūn, ed. de Slane, pp. 28 ff.
5 Carette, p. 445. The Fezāra are mentioned in company with them.
The 'Āṭawiya of Kordofan are generally considered to be Ka-
wālha by origin, but this may mean no more than that when the bulk
of the Kawālha joined the Kabābīsh the 'Āṭawiya came with them.
There are also cattle-owning 'Āṭawiya farther south among the
Rizzaykāt Baqkāra, and we have already seen that "'Āṭi'a" is one of
the most generally accepted and best known of the traditional Bāk-
kāra ancestors.

VI The Nūrāb, the richest and also the ruling section of the Kabā-
bīsh, claim to be properly Rikābīa from el 'Afāt in Dongola. The
Serāgāb are said to be Kenāna. The Berāra are Ga'aliün. The
Awlad Sulaymān say they are an offshoot of the great tribe of the
same name which was once settled between the Great Syrtes and
Fezzān and which terrorized Borku Bornu and Kānem in the nine-
teenth century.

The Awlad 'On, a sheep-owning section round Gabra, were
probably identical, some generations ago, with the 'Oni'a branch of
the Shākīa.

The 'Awāida say their eponymous ancestor 'Āid was a famous
"feki" from Aden. As they do not appear under their present name
in the manuscripts one might be apt to suppose that they were new-
comers to Africa, who since their arrival had absorbed the families
of Bega Kanūz and Shākīa which are to be found among them.
But it is much more likely that the true 'Awāida, who have absorbed
these foreign families, are of common stock with the 'Āidāb of Don-
gola, whom we have met previously both among the Shākīa and the
Bedayría.

For a time the 'Awāida were with the Rufā'a in the East between
the Rahad the Dinder and the Atbara rivers, and a certain number
of them remain there still. But the greater number crossed the river
and joined the Kabābīsh. This probably occurred about the beginning
of the nineteenth century.

1 Q.v. sub Kawāhla (Chap. 5 to follow).
2 See D 1 cxxiii.
3 Cp. sub Kenāna (Chap. 6).
4 They probably joined the Kabābīsh comparatively recently. They alone of
all the sections brand their camels on the left side.
5 See note to Tūnisî's Voy. au Ouadèy, p. 660; also for a full account of them
see Carbour, 1, 85-103, and 31-35, and Barth, Vol. III, Chap. xl, pp. 6 r ff. Those
now settled in the Chad region are of the Tripolitan Arab type.
6 Sing. 'Āidi.
7 Viz. the 'Adlānāb section.
8 The only sections I have met have been some Kanzāb and Músīb at 'Id
el 'Awāída in el Kámeln district.
9 Their division into Zurrūk and Bayyid (i.e. dark and light) has reference to
the colour of their camels and not to themselves. Dark camels are the rule among
the "south and middle tribes, Harb, Metayr, and Ateybān" in Arabia (Doughty,
Wanderings..., 11, 125).
The subdivisions of the Kabábish are as follows: ¹

A. Nūrāb²
1. Riba'yān
   (a) Ayāyīd
   (b) Dāraywāb
   (c) Ferūhāb
   (d) Ahaymerāb
   (e) Bātāb
   (f) Um Sirayh
2. Dār Kebīr
3. Dār Um Bakhīt
4. Awlād el Kīr
5. Nēkāda
6. Dār Saʿīd
7. Kibbayshāb
   (a) Nās Wād Yuṣef
   (b) Mesāʿīd
   (c) Nās Wād Shet'hān
   (d) Nās Wād Dūkushayn
8. Awlād 'Awad el Sīd
9. Awlād Nūāl
10. Howārāb³
    (a) Awlād Dābo
    (b) ʿAlī
    (c) Rahūda
B. Awlād Ḥawāl⁴
1. Dār Ḥāmid
2. Dār Maḥmūd

C. Awlād 'Ōn⁵
1. Labābīs
2. Berāsha
3. Kūrūnāb
4. Dār el Hāg
5. Tamāsīḥ⁶
6. Likayritāb

D. Awlād Ṭerayf⁷
1. Meraykāt
2. ʿĪshāb
3. 'Alowna⁸
4. Gerāmda

E. Ghilayān⁷

F. Ţowāl⁹

G. 'Awāīda¹⁰
1. El 'Awāīda el Zurruk
   (a) Nās Walād Rahma
   (b) ʿ, ʿ, ʿ, Mākbūl
   (c) ʿ, ʿ, ʿ, el Hilālī
   (d) ʿ, ʿ, ʿ, Rābih
   (e) ʿ, ʿ, ʿ, el Beshīr
   (f) ʿ, ʿ, ʿ, el Niʿāma
2. El 'Awāīda el Bayyīd
   (a) Bishārāb
   (b) ʿAdlānāb
   (c) Sunūnāb

¹ The list given by Parkyns includes also Ahāmda ("Lahamdy"), Guhayna, Kawāba, Batāīn, Shenābā, Ṣerīqāt and Ghazāyā, all of which have now broken away. In each case it was only a section of these tribes which was living under the aegis of the Kabābīsh at the time.
² Their distinctive camel-brand is the "baʿag" ("rip in the belly"), a long horizontal line on the right side of the stomach. Nearly all add one or two short "dhiraʿas" on the foreleg. For details re these and other Kabābīsh camel-brands see my Camel Brands..., pp. 16 et seq.
³ These include an element of Dālīb (Rikābīya or Danāgla).
⁴ Their distinctive brand is a "Kuʿ" (a mark on the upper joint of the foreleg). "Kuʿ" = a joint.
⁵ Probably of Shābkā origin. See p. 220, and p. 99 for the Labābīs among the Für. There are "Awlād 'Ona" Beduin in Egypt (see Klippel, p. 6).
⁶ I.e. "Crocodiles."
⁷ Both sections use an "ʿamūd" as brand on the right side of the camel's neck.
⁸ Cp. list of subsections of Beni Ḥelba and of Kenāna.
⁹ Connected on the one hand with the Rufāʿ (q.v.) and on the other with the Shābakā (q.v. sub Rufāʿ). Their brand is the "shabūl," or "shaiba" (q.v. on pp. 210 and 280).
¹⁰ Their brand is a very long "shābīt" on the right shoulder.
THE GUHAYNA GROUP

H. 'ATÁWÍA
1. FÁRISÁB
2. BAKARÁB
3. DÁR 'ALI
   (a) DÁR SULAYMÁN
4. MANÓPALÁB
5. KUFÁR
6. SHIGAYÁB

J. AWLÁD 'UḪBA
1. DARÁB
2. DÁR 'ALI
3. SHILÁWÁB
4. HÁMIDÁB
5. DÁR 'OMAR
6. DÁR AṽU NISAY'A
7. KARÁSÓB
8. SHENÁSHÍM
9. DÁR MUHAMMAD
10. SA'ADULLÁB, or SA'ADÍA

K. BERÁRA
1. UM GHAYBISH
2. NÁS ATAYRINNA
3. 'ÂSAYFÍR
4. NÁS WAD MATÁR
5. DÁR 'ALI
6. ZERÁGNI

L. SERÁGÁB
1. DÁR SA'AD
2. GANÁDBA
3. DERIMÁ
4. MAHÁLÁB
5. NÁS WAD EL FEZÁRI
6. GHEGÁYRÁ
7. SHUKHÚNÁB

M. ROWÁHLA
1. DÁR ABU GINNA
2. DÁR GAMI'A
3. NISHABA
4. MESÁRÁB
5. GEGÁDÍL
6. 'AWÁDÁB
7. RÁHÚDÁB
8. TÉRAYKÁT
9. BISHÁRA

O. AWLÁD SULAYMÁN
1. GHANÁWÁB
2. DÁR MUSA'AD
3. ABBÁTÍN
4. AWLÁD ĤAMDULLÁ
5. BASHÍR
6. 'ISÁWÍA

VIII The above sections of KABÁBISH are all in Kordófán. The following, of whom the UM MATÚ are the largest and the ruling clan, are in Dongola Province. A certain number of them are sedentary, but the majority are nomadic and occupy the Káb valley west of the river. They contain many elements of MAHÁSS and other DANÁGLA.

A. UM MATÚ
   (a) GHODAYRÁB
   (b) BELULÁB

(c) 'AZÓZÁB
   (d) DÁR AHMAD
   (e) UM KELBA

1 For their brand see above.
2 Probably MAHÁSS, see ABC VIII.
3 Ga'álíni: see above. Their brand is a long "'amúd" on the left side of the neck.
4 I.e. "Sparrows."
5 Kenálá: see above. Their brand is a "'hadd" on the right side of the throat, resembling that of the Awlád 'Uḫba.
6 Singh. "Ráhlí." Their brand is a "shûra" across the throat.
7 There is a small independent tribe of BÁSHÍR, semi-nomadic, in Dárfúr. These latter are cattle-owners and live immediately south of el Fásher (see p. 296).
8 Properly Gamúšá (q.v.). Few in number and attached to the eastern sections of Kabábísh.
9 Cognate to the SERÁGÁB. Their brand is an "'ašaba" ("sinew") on the right foreleg. The Gungónáb also use the "'ašaba."
IX It has been mentioned that the Nūrāb are at present the ruling section. They have held that position for eight generations, that is to say since Kurbān of the Rībaykāt surrendered the chieftainship to his sister's son Kerādim of the Nūrāb\(^3\), whose descendants have since inherited it in succession to one another though not always from father to son\(^1\).

X The Kābābīsh are first mentioned by Bruce in 1768–1773, but so few travellers had visited the Sudan before him, and the records of such as did so are so scanty that one certainly cannot assume that the tribe was not called Kābābīsh for scores of years previously.

Speaking of Wad 'Agīb's collecting tribute, as the representative of the King of Sennār, from the nomads of the Bayūda desert, Bruce says\(^5\): "though lately the Beni Gerar, Beni Faisara [i.e. Fēzārā] and Cubbabeesh have expelled the ancient Arabs\(^6\) of Bahiouda [i.e. the Bayūda], who pretend now only to be the subjects of Kordofan." Again, he says\(^7\) that the road across the Bayūda is impassable because of the "Beni Faisara, Beni Gerar and Cubbabeesh...which come from the westward near Kordofan from fear of the black horse\(^8\) there," and have taken all the wells. The Kābābīsh are "very numerous and extend far north into the great desert Selima and to the frontiers of Egypt\(^9\)."

Browne\(^10\) says of them that they infested the vicinity of Bir el Mālḥa (i.e. Bir Naṭrūn) in his day and lived by plundering the caravans from Egypt.

El Tūnisī\(^11\) says they assisted Hāshim, the Sultan of the Musa-ba'āt of Kordofān, in his wars against Tīrāb, Sultan of Dārfūr, towards the close of the eighteenth century.

Burckhardt\(^12\) in 1813, referring to Dongola, says: "The Bedouin tribe of Kōbābih reside in the country and are continually making incursions into Darfour, from whence they carry off slaves."

---

\(^1\) Use a "hadd" as brand.
\(^2\) Use a "kild" ("crescent moon") on the neck.
\(^3\) Note this evidence of the ancient custom of Dongola whereby the sister's son inherits.
\(^4\) See MacMichael, *Tribes...*, p. 194, and genealogical tree opposite.
\(^5\) Vol. vi, Chap. x.
\(^6\) Probably such as the Kerriāt are meant.
\(^7\) *Loc. cit.*
\(^8\) Probably the cavalry of the Fūr, then paramount in northern Kordofān.
\(^9\) Bruce, *loc. cit.*
\(^10\) Pp. 188 and 247.
\(^11\) *Voy. au Darfour*, p. 67.
\(^12\) *Nubia*, p. 67.
Cailliéaud (1821) mentions them as exporting salt from northern Kordofán and, when the Turks conquered the Sudan, pretending to submit but paying none of the tribute demanded of them.

In the Turkish days they were largely engaged in the transport trade, but were fleeced and swindled unmercifully by the Turks who had always the advantage of being able to seize the Kabábísh herds when the hot weather drove them to the river and the well-known watering-places.

In 1883 the Mahdi seized el Tóm, the head sheikh, and beheaded him. Many of the Kabábísh sections then joined the Dervishes, but the Núráb and some others retired into the deserts and defied them under Sálih Bey Faḍlulla.

Sálih Bey was killed near Gebel el 'Ain in 1887, and until the reoccupation the Kabábísh practically ceased to exist as a corporate entity. Then, however, they collected in their deserts and took advantage of the unsettled state of affairs to raid the Ḥamār and Zayádía, their quondam foes, who had all been Dervishes, and so enormously increased their wealth in stock.

The present chief of the tribe, which is now richer than at any previous period, is 'Ali the son of the el Tóm beheaded in 1883.

XI To sum up: the Kabábísh, for so long as anything is known about them at all, have been a widely distributed but coherent camel-owning congeries roaming the steppes between Dongola and Dárfür. The chief difference between the tribe of the present day and that of the nineteenth and previous centuries is that it is less vexed by the competition of other tribes in its spacious grazing grounds.

The Beni Gerār have been forced southwards and have become semi-sedentary. The Dár Ḥamīd too have built villages in their own country to the south and only send a small proportion of their population to the grazing grounds of the Kabábísh. The Zayádía nomads are decimated in number: a few graze with the Dár Ḥamīd in Kordofán; the rest are either sedentary or live in Dárfür. The Hawáwar are on fairly amicable terms with the Kabábísh and graze almost where they will with them. Such raiding as is done is at the expense of the wild Bedayáṭ, Kura'án and Midóbis of northern Dárfür, and takes

---

1 See Pallme and Parkyns. The latter says the Kabábísh of Kordofán were "taxed 2000 camels, which impost is now changed into the carriage of 4000 loads of gum from Al Obeid to Dongola," and also 100 horses, and 2000 dollars of 15pr. (collected not in cash but in smooth-paced riding camels assessed by the Turks at about a quarter of their real value), and a certain number of sheep and the price of fifty slaves. Sálim Faḍlulla, the head of the tribe, had met Muhammad 'Ali Pasha in Khartoum in 1838–9 and obtained certain concessions, but they were of little real value. In 1858, according to Petherick (Upper Egypt, p. 328), the tribe's annual tribute to Egypt was 5000 camels.
place far to the north of the Wádí el Melik, in the cold winter months. So far from appearing to tend towards a more sedentary existence, the Kabábísh, as their herds have increased under the Pax Britannica, have, if anything, become more universally nomadic.

(b) THE MOGHÁRBA OR MOGHRABÍN

XII From the word “Moghrabi,” the singular of Moghárba or Mograbin, through the Latin Maurus, has arisen the anglicized “Moor,” and from “Moghrab el Aḳsá,” “the extreme west,” the name “Morocco.” It must not, however, be assumed that all the Moghárba in Egypt or the Sudan came from Morocco: it is unlikely that any of them, a certain number of individuals of the merchant class excepted, did so.

The term was loosely applied during the time of the later Mamlúks and of Muhammad 'Ali Pasha to all the Beduin tribesmen who lived west of Egypt. Take, for instance, the following from Burckhardt:

The temple of Ebsambal serves as a place of refuge to the inhabitants of Ballyane, and the neighbouring Arabs, against a Moggrebyn tribe of Bedouins, who regularly, every year, make incursions into these parts. They belong to the tribes which are settled between the Great Oasis and Siout. When they set out, they repair first to Argo, where they commence their predatory course, plundering all the villages on the western bank of the river; they next visit Mahass, Sukkot, Batn el Hadjar, Wadi Halfa, the villages opposite Derr, and lastly Dakke; near the latter place, they ascend the mountain, and return through the desert towards Siout. The party usually consists of about one hundred and fifty horsemen, and as many camel-riders: no one dares oppose them in Nubia; on the contrary the governors pay them a visit, when they arrive opposite to Derr, and make them some presents. The incursions of this tribe are one of the principal reasons why the greater part of the western bank of the Nile is deserted.

XIII It was from such Moghárba that the armies of Ismá'il Pasha and of the Defterdár were largely recruited preparatory to the conquest of the Sudan. That the Berber element was perhaps as strong as the Arab in these Moghárba will be clear from preceding chapters, but there is no reason on that account to consider the term “Arab”

---

1 For a discussion of this point by a comparison of present conditions with those described by Parkyns in 1850, see my Tribes..., pp. 189 ff.
2 Nubia, p. 92.
3 I.e. Abu Simbel.
4 Burckhardt notes elsewhere (p. lxxvii) “The Arabs who inhabit Thebes and the adjacent country, are originally Moggrebays.” See also Volney, Travels..., 1, 76, 77.
5 See Cailliaud, ii, 50, 51. He defines them thus: “Les Arabes Mogrebins sont ceux qui habitent la côte de Barbarie la plus rapprochée de l’Égypte.”
as less applicable to them than to the generality of the nomad tribes of the northern Sudan.

During the Turkish period a steady stream of these Moghárba was poured into the Sudan and nearly all of these remained there as irregular cavalry and police, being employed in slave-hunting forays, tax-collcting, etc.¹ A certain number also settled in the towns and villages as traders or cultivators.

XIV At the same time it is clear that even more Moghárba were, previous to the Turkish conquest, already established on the Blue Nile and elsewhere, and these may, to some small extent, have acted as the decoy which led a few of the later arrivals to take up their abode in the same district.

Cailliaud, who accompanied Ismá’il Pasha’s expedition, found these Sudanese Moghárba established at Sóba, Wad el Sháib, el Kámíln and Abu ‘Ushera, all of which are within a hundred miles of Khartoum²; and there is still a large nomadic camel-owning tribe of Moghárba between Sóba Abu Delayk and the Butána which has been established there for many generations³. This tribe bears no resemblance in features or mode of life to the colonies formed of descendants of Moghrabí or Moghárba who entered the Sudan in the nineteenth century and subsequently. The latter⁴ are recognizable by their sallow-pinkish complexions and general resemblance to the Moorish tribesmen of the North African littoral as they are to be seen in the coast towns at present, whereas the nomad Moghárba of the Sudan are hardly to be distinguished from the average nomads and are, if anything, rather darker in complexion than they.

XV These Moghárba claim descent from, that is to say may have some vague connection with, a certain Ahmad Zarrük, a Sherífí of the Shádhalí sect in Tunis, and the nisba-writers bear out their contention⁵. The only detailed genealogical tree I have seen, that of

¹ See Pallme, pp. 207-212, and Werne, pp. 138-139, where good descriptions of them are given.

² He calls them (11, 207-211) “Arabes Maq’arbehs,” and it is significant that it apparently did not occur to him that the name was identical with that of the “Mohgrebins” whom he describes elsewhere as accompanying the army as irregulars. The Ė (gh) and the Š (k, or q) are so often confused together in the Sudan as to be almost interchangeable in proper names, but the same is not the case in Egypt. Hence the correct preservation of the Ė in “Mohgrebins” and its alteration to a Š in the case of the “Maq’arbehs” who had been some time in the Sudan.

³ A few of them are also settled in the Gezíra near Manákíl and elsewhere.

⁴ At el Fáshe in particular there is a large and recent mercantile community of Moghrabí commonly called “Fezzán.”

⁵ See, e.g., D i CLXXIV, CLXXV.
the "'omda" Fag el Nūr of the Desfāb section, traces his descent through a series of Sayyids, including Āhmad Zarrūk, to the Imám 'Ali in thirty-two generations, but there is no reason to assume that the tree is much better than a detailed fake.

The common tradition in the tribe is that they immigrated from the neighbourhood of Fes "some five hundred years ago," or "in the days of Sóba." They claim much of the rainland behind the village of Sóba to belong to them, and even allowing that it is with an eye to substantiating their claim to cultivation-rights that they desire to imply to a Government official that they are the earliest owners, and have been there since the days of the Christian kingdom of 'Aloa, there is nothing inherently improbable in their claim, and one has at least fairly good evidence, the biography of 'Abdulla Wad Ḥasóba el Moghrabi, that some of them have lived there since the sixteenth century. The darkness of their complexion proves a long sojourn in the south, and it is even possible that some of their ancestors were once the inhabitants of those hostels which Ibn Selim describes as inhabited by Muhammadians at Sóba towards the close of the tenth century a.d.

XVI The main sections of the nomad Moghārba are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Kibaydláb</th>
<th>Chiefly to the east of Khartoum</th>
<th>Kerádís</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Deraysáb</td>
<td></td>
<td>Sa'ábbáb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ḥasóbáb</td>
<td></td>
<td>Gidayáb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>'Akrábáb</td>
<td></td>
<td>Fasáláb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>'Awadulláb</td>
<td></td>
<td>Mıkaybaláb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>'Awláb</td>
<td></td>
<td>Feraḥáb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bayyáda</td>
<td>Between Abu Delayk and 'Alwán</td>
<td>Núrab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turábín</td>
<td></td>
<td>Irwayháb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ḥasabulláb</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Koḵálab</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The camel-brand of these Moghārba is the "timaysih," a horizontal line under the right eye. The word is the diminutive of "tim-sāh," a crocodile, and to the Moghārba the brand as described suggests a crocodile lying asleep on the river bank.

1 Curiously enough Fag el Nūr himself has the sallow complexion and strikingly Jewish cast of countenance of a modern Moor. This may well be due to careful preservation of the blood of the ruling family from all contamination with purely Sudanese admixture.

2 See D 3 36 and 141.

3 See p. 171.

4 i.e. descendants of the Wad Ḥasóba mentioned above.

5 Compare the cases of the Hawawfr (Chap. 8) and Kabābīsh who both include a section called "Tamāšīh" ("Crocodiles").
(c) THE ḤAMAR

XVII The *nisbas* say little of the Ḥamār, and that little is contradictory. One account\(^2\) says they are a branch of Beni Tamīm; another\(^3\) that they are a mixture of Beni Ommayya, Beni 'Abbās, 'Anag, Ashrāf and Fūr; two others\(^4\) say they belong to the Guhayna group.

The tradition among the Gharaysía section of the tribe is that they are Himyarites from el Yemen who migrated into the Sudan in the time of Ḥaggāg ibn Yūsef, *i.e.* in the second half of the seventh century. They crossed the Red Sea, it is said, and settled first round Tāka (*i.e.* Kassala): then they moved to the Blue Nile; and then, after awhile, to Dārfūr\(^5\), where they took up a more permanent abode.

The story of their sojourn round Tāka lends a certain support to the tradition—otherwise unsupported—of their connection with the Ḥamrān Arabs of that district\(^6\); and the coincidence between the name of their commonest camel-brand, the "*shabūl,*" and that of the Shabūl section of the Manāṣir—a tribe alleged by Sir C. Wilson to be related to the 'Abābd—the small piece of evidence in favour of their alleged movement from the direction of the Red Sea\(^7\).

XVIII Our knowledge of their history in Dārfūr remains practically a blank until the beginning of the last century when they attained to a considerable power under the leadership of a certain el Ḥāg Muna'am of the 'Asākira division\(^8\). It is not improbable that as a tribal entity they had hardly existed previously and that by origin they were simply a conglomeration of various Arabs who decided to colonize the almost well-less tracts where good crops can be grown, but where the chief water-supply is derived from melons and water stored in the hollow trunks of baobabs\(^9\) during the rainy season. It is variously alleged among the Ḥamār either that Mekkū, the son, or Ibrāhīm el Melīh, the great-grandson, of el Ḥāg Muna'am, was the first man to inaugurate the practice of hollowing the baobab and

---

1 Much of the information here presented is taken from Chap. xii of The Tribes of Northern and Central Kordofān. But details have also been added from a short account of the Ḥamār compiled from their oral traditions by 'Abd el Wahhāb Aḥmad 'Awadulla, headmaster of el Nahūd school in 1913.

2 See D i xxviii.

3 See D i cxlviii.

4 See BA lxxviii and ABC li. The Ḥamār are also found classed with the Bağkāra.

5 Dārfūr at that time comprised what is at present western Kordofān, *i.e.* the country west of el Nahūd. The Ḥamār were for long in the neighbourhood of Um Shanţa on both sides of the present boundary.

6 See BA lxxviii.

7 So, too, the Beni Faḍl, who are closely related to the Manāṣir, contain a section called Ḥadārma (*i.e.* Ḥadāreb).


9 The "*tubeldi*": *Adamonia digitata.*
using it as a reservoir, thus rendering habitable vast tracts hitherto useless\textsuperscript{1}. But as a matter of fact one occasionally finds (more often in the west than in the east) an old tree in which the opening is differently placed to that usually made by the Hamar, and the work is then attributed to the 'Anag. On this, if on no other ground, one would suppose that the use of the baobab for water-storage is fairly ancient, but died out, and was revived by the Hamar as the necessity arose for them to expand. As they progressed eastwards they certainly tapped virgin areas.

XIX As soon as the Hamar became at all powerful they parted into two main divisions, the 'Asákira (\textit{i.e.} "Soldiers") and the Dekákím, and very shortly afterwards—probably about the time of the Turkish conquest of Kordofán—the bulk of both moved eastwards as a result of quarrels with the other Arab tribes of eastern Darfur\textsuperscript{2} and the insufficiency of their own territories there.

Those of them who stayed behind round Um Shanca and the districts now known as Dam Gamad\textsuperscript{3} and Zernakh\textsuperscript{4}, etc., remained independent under Darfur\textsuperscript{5}.

The rest pushed eastwards, and the family of el Hág Muna'am settled more or less permanently round Farshāhā\textsuperscript{6}, and that of the Sheikh of the Dekákím in Shek el Dud\textsuperscript{7}, farther to the west. But their people remained nomad between el Ojaya and Fóga, and eastwards as far as Abu Haráz and Gebel Abu Sinūn, and in the rains sought more distant grazing grounds along the Wádi el Melik with the Kabáísh, Beni Gerár, Zayádá and Dár Hámíd, and even raided as far east as the Bayūda desert\textsuperscript{8}.

The result was that every year in the rains and winter the Hamar found themselves engaged in a series of petty inter-tribal raids and forays, which in their traditions are glorified under the name of wars\textsuperscript{9}.

\textsuperscript{1} For many generations there have been wells at Um Shanca, but the country east of it was a desert until about the middle of the nineteenth century when the baobabs were exploited. There were no wells at el Nahād until the Dervish epoch. The "tubeldi" in western Kordofán is usually called a "hunraia"—presumably because the bark has a pink-red sheen over it and the fibre has a dull brick colour. That the tribe especially concerned with these trees should be called "Hamar" (\textit{i.e.} "red") is probably no more than a coincidence.

\textsuperscript{2} Cp. Pallme, p. 142.

\textsuperscript{3} Meaning "blood has clotted." Fights for "tubeldis," etc., were very frequent there.

\textsuperscript{4} The name of a fly.

\textsuperscript{5} Cp. Cuny, p. 190.


\textsuperscript{7} Literally "Lion's Valley."

\textsuperscript{8} Cuny, p. 65.

\textsuperscript{9} In the "war" with Dar Hámíd, it is said, each side was accompanied by a poet who encouraged his own people by the recital of verses. The Hamar say maliciously that the men of Dar Hámíd were so utterly destroyed that their women went round in a band to neighbouring tribes offering themselves in marriage at a reduced dowry, and only so kept the tribe in existence.
The most serious and numerous of these were with the Kabábísh, with whom the Hamar were at perpetual feud.

The power of the Hamar increased so rapidly that by 1876 Ensor considered them "the richest of all the nomads in this part of Africa, far exceeding in number the nomad portion of the Kabbabbeesh, and almost equaling the whole of that tribe including the settlers on the banks of the Nile." They lost nearly all their wealth in the Dervish days, and at the reoccupation the Kabábísh looted from them much of what was left.

XX They are now almost entirely sedentary, but fairly rich in camels and sheep. They occupy large tracts of gum forest and cultivation north of el Odaya Abu Zabad and Abu Ḥaráz, and west of Abu Sinūn and Mazrūb.

None of the Hamar remain in Darfūr, if one except a small colony of Sahánín (Awlād Saḥnūn) who live with the Zagháwa in the north round Ḥashába and are said to be Hamar in origin.

The 'Asákira, the Dekákím, and the Gharaýsía, of whom the last-named split away from the Dekákím between 1873 and 1877, are now each under a separate názir.

XXI The subdivisions of the Hamar are as follows:

I. El 'Asákira

A. El Ghishímát

1. Awlād Gamī'ā
   (a) Awlād Ma'ayz
   (b) Shenábír
   (c) Ghara'ara
   (d) Marázík

2. Sidayrát
3. Awlād Ma'áli
4. " Ghási
5. " 'Ali

B. Beni Badr

1. Merámra
   (a) Miláha

2. Sá'adát
   (a) Awlād Ghanūm
   (b) Maḥalhil
   (c) Nás Zayd
   (d) " El Şūl
   (e) " Maţlūb

2 Ensor, p. 86. The "dhía" (blood-money) for murder at this period is said to have been 100 camels, of which the sheikh took thirty.
3 Plural of Marzūk, i.e. =Awlād Marzūk. The same name occurs among the subdivisions of the Maţass and of the Gawáma'a.
4 Dár Ḥámid in origin.
C. El Khamsât
   1. Mayámín
      (a) Awlád Şubûh
      (b) Budránía
   2. Menádír
   3. Gikhaysát¹
      (a) Um Haysin
      (b) Awlád Dhiáb
      (c) Abu Dán
      (d) Merahil
      (e) Nás Muamar
   4. Menána
   5. Khayraysát

D. El Tarádát
   1. Dáma’t
      (a) Subayhát
         (1) Nás Sóderi
      (b) Gelada
      (c) Tayáisa
         (1) Awlád ’Ali
         (2) Gawábra
         (3) Nowara
         (4) ’Abbásía
      (d) Fawáfi’il
      (e) Ghanaymía²
         (1) Nás Abu Gebel
         (2) ’Ali
         (3) Bellál
         (4) Gmû’a³
      (f) Noaykát
      (g) Awlád Khaḍra
      (h) ’Abádia
         (1) Nás Abu Guma’a
         (2) Gerayni

II. El Dekákím

A. Wáilía⁴
   1. Nás Házil
   2. ’El Hurr
   3. ’Abu Hamaydán
   4. Hamir
   5. Harûsh
   6. Ráha
   7. ’Abu ’Awín
   8. Abu Gemãnín

¹ Originally Shenábla ’Awámra. ² Originally Gaváma’a. ³ Cp. Gamû’a. ⁴ Said to be related to the Kawáhla, but not to be confused with the Wália (q.v. D 3, 2 note). There are also Beni Wálil west of Darfur (see Carbou, ii, 14).
THE GUHAYNA GROUP

III. 4. XXI.

B. Nās Abu Zayd
   1. Nās Sārī
      (a) Nās Gabri
      (b) Awlād Šubayḥ
   2. Nās 'Abd el Salām
   3. " Faragulla
   4. " Abu Tenu

C. El Sha'ibāt
D. Awlād Shadwān
E. " 'Amir
F. " Bur'ās
G. " Shāī'a
   1. Nās el Šod
   2. " Feraywa
   3. " Ribayḥ
   4. " Abu Na'amir
   5. " Musellam
   6. " Khala

H. El Gem'a'anía
J. El Gharaga

III. El Gharatsía

A. El Ḥadāhda
   1. Awlād Hammād
   2. Awlād Um Buṭnayn
   3. Dubūba
   4. Awlād Sherīf
   5. Awlād Nimr
   6. Berā'im

B. Awlād Shighān
   1. Nās Ismā'il
   2. Um Kisayba
   3. Nās Nuṣr
   4. " Abu Merākīh
   5. " Muhammād
   6. Ḥomrān

C. Awlād Guayd
   1. Nās Abu Ḥigaywa
   2. Nās Ţūrfa
   3. Awlād 'Ādi
   4. Habābīsh
   5. Nās Murmi
   6. Sa'adīa
   7. Awlād Gābir
   8. Nās Saḥārīf

D. El Şubāhha

1 These are not Hamar by race, though subject to them. They are said to be Korobāt (see Chap. 8).
CHAPTER 5

The Kawáhla Group

(a) THE KAWÁHlá

I The Kawáhla are invariably connected in tradition with Zubayr ibn el 'Awwám of the tribe of Ḳúsái, one of the first and most famous converts to Islam, slain at the “Battle of the Camel” in 656 A.D. ²

There is no doubt that the nucleus of the tribe entered the Sudan by way of the Red Sea, but the period of their immigration is not known. They are first mentioned by Ibn Baṭūta as inhabiting the country round Suákin in 1353 and speaking the Begá tongue³.

It is common for such of the Bisbát and 'Abábda as claim an Arab descent to speak of their tribes as descended from “Kahl,” and the Kawáhla reciprocate by including the Bisbát and 'Abábda, and sometimes even the Bení 'Ámir or Um 'Arʿar (“Amarar”), under the term Kawáhla⁴.

There can be little doubt that there is a strong Arab element common to all three tribes.

II At the present day the Kawáhla are widely distributed, but they fall into two main groups. The most important and united of these are the very rich nomad camel-owning tribe of Kordofán. Until the Dervish revolt these formed a branch of the Kabábís and had probably moved westwards and joined that tribe shortly before the Turkish conquest. It is improbable that they did so very much earlier, since, had they done so, they must in the course of years have become more firmly welded into the tribal whole, to the detriment of their own individuality, than was ever the case⁵.

¹ Sing. Káhli.
² The name Káhil was not uncommon in Arabia. Wüstenfeld mentions five persons of this name. From one of them, Káhil ibn Asad, were descended the Káhilá (q.v. Abu el Fida, pp. 196–7), but there is nothing further to connect these with the Kawáhla now in the Sudan. The word “Kahala” (کهلا) in the Sudan means “to clear out [a well],” i.e. to remove the muddy deposit at the bottom.
³ See p. 188.
⁴ Wilkinson (p. 386) and Tremaux (i, 169) respectively mention “Gowaléeh” and “Kawoali” among the chief divisions of the 'Abábda. In a list of these divisions by Mr Jennings Bramly I find the name as “Gawalia.”
⁵ The 'Atáwía section of Kabábis are said to be Kawáhla by origin, but probably joined the Kabábis long before the rest of the Kawáhla. In consequence they have become an integral part of the former tribe and did not leave it in the “Mahdia.”
III.  The other main group of Kawáhla, though more numerous, forms a much less coherent whole, and while certain sections of it lead a nomadic life south of Sennár and on the banks of the Atbara, the Dinder and the Rahad, many others have become entirely sedentary and have built villages on the White Nile, in the Gezira, and as far east as the Abyssinian border.

III. Travellers to the Sudan in the eighteenth and nineteenth centuries speak of the Kawáhla ("Cohala," "Kaouáhlehs," etc.) as one of the chief tribes east of the Blue Nile.

Burckhardt\(^2\) and Cailléaud both mention that about 1814–1819 they and the Shukría were at mortal feud with the Ga’álíns tribes to the east of Shendi and on the Atbara.

IV. The subdivisions of the Kordofán division are as follows:

A. Dár Hámid
   1. Hashuna
   2. Awlád Gerays
   3. " Shinaytír
   4. " Zayd

B. El Berákna

C. El Haláyifa
   1. Nás wad el Maţayriḳ
   2. Nás wad el Azrāḳ

D. El Bedáríń
   1. Awlád Rájál
   2. Awlád 'Arabī

E. El 'Abába
   1. Nás wad el Mísayk
   2. Nás Báb
   3. Um Rádi
   4. Nafar

F. Um 'Amár

G. Dár Bahrí
   1. Awlád el Sheikh
   2. Awlád el Dibayd

H. El Beţayráb
   1. Awlád Sulaymán
   2. Awlánd Ádam
   3. Kurun

J. El Gihaymáb\(^3\)

K. El Gharáya
   1. El 'Omarát
   2. Awlánd 'Terayf

L. El Nifaydíá
   1. El Utíáb
   2. El Mulkáb
   3. El Kuára

Of these several are not true Kawáhla. The rich 'Abába section are an offshoot of the tribe of that name from the eastern deserts; but

---

1. See, e.g., Bruce, iv, 416; Cailléaud, ii, 236; iii, 71, 108, etc.
3. A few nomad Gihaymáb also occur in Berber district.
it is noteworthy that they held the sheikhshe of the whole tribe for two generations.\(^1\)

The Dār Ḥāmīd, who hold the sheikhshe at the present day and are the wealthiest of all the sections, belong to the Guhayna group\(^2\) and joined the Kawāhla after the advent of the latter to Kordofān.

These western Kawāhla spend the dry season (December to June) in the Khayrān near Bāra, unless they have been able, by digging wells at Um Bādr, to defer their retirement south-east for another month or two. They graze their herds in the Khayrān and thereabouts free of payment, but as having no proprietary rights they are compelled to pay for the water they draw from the wells. Cultivation they have none.\(^3\) When the rains fall the whole tribe moves north-westwards to the neighbourhood of the Wāḍi el Melīk and remain there for so long as grass and water permit.\(^4\)

\(^{v}\) The eastern Kawāhla are not composed of sections whose parentage is entirely distinct from that of the western group.

They divide the whole tribe into thirteen sections each descended from a different son of Kāhil. However, the names of these thirteen sons vary to some extent\(^5\) and it is useless to attempt an accurate grouping of the subdivisions under their names. The best known of the subdivisions are the following:\(^6\):

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Berākna}. & \quad \text{Chiefly in Kordofān.} \\
\text{Kamālāb}. & \quad \\
\text{Kimaylāb}. & \quad \text{A small nomad group of these also lives in Berber district.} \\
\text{Marghūmāb}. & \quad \text{A section of these is with the Shukrīa near Abu Delayk.} \\
\text{Delaykāb}. & \quad \text{A few others are nomadic in Dāmer district (Berber).} \\
\text{Asāwīda}. & \quad \\
\text{Hasānīa}. & \quad \\
\text{Gimaylīa}. & \quad \\
\text{Ghazālāb}. & \quad \\
\text{‘Urwāb}. & \quad \text{On the White Nile, chiefly the west bank, south of the} \\
\text{Gāmū‘īa}. & \quad \\
\text{Sonayṭāb}. & \quad \\
\end{align*}
\]

\(^1\) Gādulla Balīlu in the Dervish days, and his son ’Abdullah after the reoccupation till 1910.

\(^2\) See above, p. 256.

\(^3\) The only Kawāhla cultivation west of the White Nile is that belonging to some sedentary ’Abābdā and others in the White Nile Province.

\(^4\) The colony of so-called Kawāhla in the Nūba mountains near Gebel Ǧēḏīr are escaped slaves or freedmen and not true Kawāhla at all.

\(^5\) See C 1 for the variations.

\(^6\) The brackets give some indication as to which sections are most closely connected with one another.

\(^7\) See D 2, xxvii and D 3, 74 ("Markūmāb").

\(^8\) See sub Baṭāḥīn.

\(^9\) Dealt with separately; see later.
VI Some separate account must be given of two of the above divisions, namely, the Aḥāmda and the Ḥasānīa.

1 See above. 2 Cp. the case of the 'Abābda.

3 The names Fūāída and Salāṭna occur, together with the Gerāba'a (for which cp. BA xcvii), as names of subtribes in the peninsula of Sinai: that of the first-named also among the semi-nomadic tribes of Arabs north of Aswān mentioned by Sir C. Wilson.

4 See D 3, 2 note.

5 Or "Nūrāb."

6 In the trees attached to C 1 will be found also the names of several smaller Kawāhla sections.
(b) THE AĦÂMDA

The AĦÂMDA sometimes appear in the nisbas of the GA'ĂLIĬN group, and figure there as closely akin to the GAWÂMA'A and the GIMÂ'A1. The KAWÂHLA nisba shows them as KAWÂHLA2. The BAKKÂRĀ include them in their genealogies but evidently regard them as an inferior folk: the HUMR FELAĪTA, for instance, speak of “Aħamda who was raped by a NŪBA” as ancestress of the AĦÂMDA.

The ḤAMMADA (RUFĀ'A) also claim the AĦÂMDA to be descended from the same ancestor as themselves. The most usually accepted oral tradition has it that Ḥammad, the ancestor of the AĦÂMDA, was a KĀHli and that for some reason he denied his tribe and was therefore nicknamed “el Nuaykir” (“the little apostate”).

The AĦÂMDA are a semi-nomadic tribe, some of whom are in the northern part of the Gezïrā, in the Blue or the White Nile Province, and to the east of the Blue Nile, while others are more sedentary and own a considerable tract of country to the west of the White Nile, south of Kosti3.

Many of the AĦÂMDA are settled permanently in villages throughout the year; but the majority of the easternmost group, during the rains, push some distance eastwards to cultivate in the ḳâddis between the river and the Buṭānā and to graze their flocks. As the supplies of water in the hafris and of grass diminish they retreat to the river. During this process quarrels usually arise between them and the ḤASÂNĪA and the BĀṬÂĪN. Having finally returned to the vicinity of the Blue Nile these AĦÂMDA similarly quarrel with the MESÂLLAMĪA MAĦASS and others on analogous grounds.

They are, wherever found, but more particularly in the east, a small decadent and dirty type of Arab, owning a fair number of cattle, many sheep and goats, and a few small herds of camels. Some of the AĦÂMDA who are now under the White Nile Province were, in pre-Mahdist days, farther west and north and formed a section of the KABĀĪFĪSH4, but the bond between the two tribes, which was never more than purely artificial and utilitarian, no longer exists, and except for a few individuals, there are no AĦÂMDA now in the steppes of northern Kordofān.

Others used to be mixed with the AWLĀD ḤAMAYD and other

1 See BA and A 11.
2 See C 1 (a) and (b).
3 In 1814 there were some “Ḥamda” in Shendi district whom Burckhardt (q.v. p. 345) understood to be “acknowledged as relations by the Arabs of the same name who inhabit the neighbourhood of Luxor and Karnak in Upper Egypt; Luxor has hence received the name of el Hamdye.”
4 Cp. note on p. 312.
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Bakkara between the White Nile and Gebel Dáir, but these have now for the most part settled in the “där” south of Kosti.

The following are some of the subdivisions of the Aḥámda:

- Zeraykáb
  - Subháb
  - Beráríg
  - Edayfáb
  - Ghadáyín
  - Kísaybáb
  - Ma’álá
  - Zúmámáb

In the Blue Nile Province, on either side of the river, but chiefly to the east.

- Zeraykáb
  - Mughámsa
  - Sháwaráb
  - Ṣahawát
  - Shakálíá
  - Dáwiáb
  - Wítaydáb
  - Gama’náb

On the Atbara. In Kaylí district, east and north-east of Khartoum.

(c) THE ḤASÁNÍA AND THE HUSAYNÁT

The Ḥasáníá, in spite of the enormous losses which they suffered in the Dervish days¹, are the largest of the tribes which were originally included in the term Kawáhla, but which are now independent of the parent stock.

They are divided into two main groups. The first is in the White Nile Province and is very numerous. Those on the west bank are mainly a cattle-owning people and do not extend far inland from the river: they have large herds and form a great part of the population between Ketayna and el Dueim².

Those on the east bank are semi-nomadic owners of camels, cattle and sheep, and cultivate an extensive riverain area. The following are some of their subdivisions:

1. 'Imayríá
2. Raḥmáb
3. Ghulámáb
4. Rafadáb
5. Kushkusháb
6. Magháwir
7. Nagágír
8. Gáwáwit
9. Gimaylíá
10. Kásíráb
11. Kíraymbáb
12. Rímayláb
13. Nákiáb
14. Ḥowaylíáb
15. GánuKA
16. Gódáb

The second group of Ḥasáníá, with herds of camels and sheep and some cattle, wanders farther afield, north, north-east, north-west and east of the junction of the Niles, to the Bayúda desert and Gebel Gilif and Gaḵdúl on the one hand, and to the Buṭána on the other. In Berber Province they include Karáfísh, Nagágír, Bilayláb, Ḥammadáb, Ḥámidáb, etc.

The Husayná are mostly on the White Nile and are divided into Bawázi and Shiṭáwfa. Both sections are semi-nomadic.

¹ See Slatin, Ch. xiii.
² See Petherick, Central Africa..., 11, 85.
CHAPTER 6

The Kenána and Deghaym

I KENÁNA. The Kenána of the Sudan claim to be an offshoot of the great Kenána tribe of Arabia, and there is no reason to doubt that there is good foundation for their claim. But whether their Arab ancestors ever formed a branch of the Kenána whom we have already met in Egypt, or whether they immigrated quite independently by way of the Red Sea, is not certain: the latter supposition is the more probable and more in accord with their own traditions.

In the Sudan at the present day they are for the most part Bak-kára, breeders of cattle and horses, and are divided by the river into two main divisions. One of these, the larger, owns cattle camels and sheep and lives south of Singa and Sennár, on either side of the Blue Nile, with the Rufá'a group. In the rains these move northwards, out of the fly infested area, to the Butána on the one side and the Sekádi Moya district on the other. They fall into the three groups of Serágía, Abu Ríhán and Koátíl.¹

The other division of Kenána grazes in Kordofán with cattle and sheep over parts of the same country as the far more numerous Hawázma. A branch of them have also found their way south into the Shilluk country and have settlements on the west bank of the Nile as far south as the tenth parallel of latitude.

The main sections of the Kenána are given as follows by their tribesmen in Kordofán.

A. Sowáráb
   2. Zoayda

B. Serágía
   1. Awlád Dáli². Chiefly in the Gezíra.
   2. Um Belál
   3. Awlád Roaya
   4. Zaydán
   5. Námíá
   6. Ḥayaylá

¹ A subsection of the Bishárín ('Aliáb) of the Eastern Desert is also called Koátíl (plural of Karúl). The Serágía clearly correspond to the Serágáb section of Kabábísh, who claim to be of Kenána descent.
² Some of these live near Tekáli; others with the rest of the Kenána in Kordofán. The former have with them a few of the Sowáráb section also.
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7. ABU RíHÁN
8. KÓÁTÍL
9. GILÁYRÁB
10. BAYLÁB

C. ÁSÁLÁ'A
   1. AWLÁD GUBÉRÁN
   2. ÙHZÍL
   3. SU'ÚDIÁ
   4. 'AMÁRÍÁ
   5. AWLÁD RISHAYD

D. DA'ÚDÍÁ
   1. MANÁSíR
     (a) NÁS ÙHMDÝK

E. FÁHRÍÁ
F. 'ALOWNÁ

II The tradition is that the ancestor of the KÉNÁNA³ was ABU RíHÁN of Mekka, descended from Hamza the youngest son of the Prophet's grandfather 'ABD EL MU'TTALIB. After his death one of his sons, MANÁSíR, quarrelled with the other sons as to the succession and left Mekka for Egypt with his younger brother 'ABDULLÁHI. Hence he was nicknamed "EL HÁRDÝÁ" (one who sulks and isolates himself). From Egypt MANÁSíR passed up the Nile to the Sudan. The GAMû'íÁ... and the MÁHÁSS of Dongola each provided him with a wife and he begot six sons, YÁSIN, 'ALI ABU EL FAHRA, ÙHMÁD ÁSÁLÁ'a, SOWÁR, IDRÍS SERÁG and 'ALVÁN. These were the forefathers of the sections of KÉNÁNA now in the Sudan, excepting the DA'ÚDÍÁ who are descended from 'ABDULLÁHI.

The SERÁGÁB section of the KABÁBÍSH are also said to be descended from IDRÍS SERÁG,...

The earliest KÉNÁNA arrivals in the Sudan are said to have settled finally at Gebel Kurun, south of Tekáli, and subsequently to have come into conflict with a party of KAWÁHÁLA settled in the neighbourhood, and to have driven them to the south. According to the KÉNÁNA "nisba" MANÁSíR lived sixteen generations ago and seventeen generations after 'ABD EL MU'TTALIB.

Thus it would seem that⁴ some KÉNÁNA emigrated from Arabia into Egypt about the beginning of the fourteenth century, and pushed their way up the river as far as Dongola, and there temporarily settled and intermarried, and later split into various sections, of whom a part went south with their kinsmen and a part eventually attached themselves to the KABÁBÍSH.

---

1 Burckhardt mentions ÁSÁLÁ'a ("Aszalé, الإصالة") in Wadáí and west of it (Nubia, pp. 479-480).
2 The same name occurs among the Kabábísh and the Bení Hélba.
3 The following quotation is from my Tribes..., p. 168.
4 Ibid., p. 186.
III Deghaym. The pedigree of the Deghaym is not given in the nisbas, and in fact I have only once seen them mentioned, viz. in D 2.

In 725 A.H. (1325 A.D.) Ibn Batūta crossed the desert between Kūs and 'Aidhāb in the company of a party of Deghaym, but he tells us nothing of them.

Immediately before the "Mahdia" the tribe was living on the White Nile, and in 1881 they joined the Mahdi together with the Kenāna, but they were all but exterminated at the battle of Abu Ṭlayh ("Abu Klea") in 1885, and they have never recovered.

1 See Burckhardt, Nubia, p. 533 (アブクル).
2 The famous "amir" 'Ali wad Ḥelu was one of their number. See Slatin, Ch. iv.
CHAPTER 7

The Rikábía

I The Rikábía are a distinctively Arab colony settled in Dongola, and having ramifications elsewhere in the Sudan, but they do not recognize the name Danágla as applicable to them and evince a somewhat exclusive pride in their nobility of descent. Their ancestor, they say, was a descendant of Husayn the son of 'Ali ibn Abu Ṭálib, the Sherif Ghulámulla ibn 'Aid, who settled in Dongola about the second half of the fourteenth century and conferred the benefits of his learning on the ignorant autochthonous population. He came to Dongola by way of the Red Sea from the Yemen.

II We have seen that the NūrÁb section of Kabábish express pretensions to be an offshoot of the Rikábía. There is also in Kordofán a large but scattered family known as the Dóálíb, i.e. descendants of Dólíb, with settlements at Gebel el Ḥaráza and, farther south, at Khursi and Bára. These also call themselves Rikábía. They formed a colony in northern Kordofán at the beginning of the eighteenth century and rapidly attained a very definite ascendancy over the northern hillmen. At the same time they took to wife the women of the “Nūba” and Shabárká and so helped to produce the mixed population of the present day.

Other so-called Rikábía and related tribesmen from Dongola had probably settled at el Ḥaráza, Abu Tubr, Um Durrag and farther south long before the main Dóálíb immigration, but they can hardly be distinguished from the Danágla immigrants whom we have already alluded to as continually trickling into Kordofán under the name of Bedayría, Gawábra, etc., and may be here ignored.

The Dóálíb in Kordofán were a very intelligent and capable family, and during the Turkish régime were given positions of trust.

---

1 See Introduction to Part IV, BA clxxix and D 5 (d).
2 There are some of them, too, incorporated in the Howáráb section of Kabábish (q.v.).
3 Q.v. on p. 243.
4 It is they of whom Cuny speaks (Voy. pp. 46, 50, 142, 143, 158) as “Berbers,” or “Dougalawí,” from Debba inhabiting the hills of northern Kordofán and speaking a “'roţána” of Dongoláwi corrupted by Zaghadáwa and Kungára. So, too, Browne, late in the eighteenth century, spoke of the people of el Ḥaráza as mostly “of a reddish hue” (see Browne, App. 6, p. 566).
5 See pp. 93 and 94 (with notes) of Tribes of Northern and Central Kordofán.
as tax-collectors and minor officials. The headman of the Dóálíb at
el Ḥaráza was also recognized as holding an overlordship over the
northern hills, and members of his family to the present day hold
analogous positions at el Ḥaráza and as far west as the Kága hills.

III Other Rikábía have wandered to other parts of the Sudan: the
people of Wad 'Ishayb, the 'Ishaybáb, on the Blue Nile near el
Kámlín, for instance, claim descent from a Rikábi feki who settled
there about the beginning of the seventeenth century⁴; but the main
body remains in Dongola, and, owing to its alleged nobility of descent
and the numerous holy men it has produced⁵, is regarded with con-
siderable respect. The mere Dongolawi who wishes to represent
himself as of good birth normally chooses to call himself a Rikábi.

⁴ A biography of 'Ali wad 'Ishayb is given in D 3 No. 60. There is a small
nomadic group of 'Ishaybáb in Dámer district (Berber Province), but they are
said to be a branch of the Um 'AR'AR.
⁵ See D 3 Tree No. 1 and references therein.
CHAPTER 8

The Hawâwîr, Gellâba Howâra, Wâhia and Korobât

I In an earlier chapter\(^1\) some account has already been given of the career of the HOWÁRA Berbers who settled in Upper Egypt and became arabicized. We saw how in Burckhardt's time they were in occupation of both sides of the Nile and were still rich and prosperous\(^2\). Until the time of Muhammed 'Ali they had been extremely powerful, owing chiefly to the excellence of their cavalry, and acknowledged no authority but that of their own chiefs. The family of the great chief Hamâm Abu Yusef had “assumed the whole Government of Upper Egypt, south of Siout, and the Mamelouks had been obliged to cede it to them by treaty.” Hamâm also extended his authority into northern Nūbia “which he several times visited as far as Mahass\(^3\).”

The rule of the HOWÁRA was, however, accused of being oppressive and extortionate\(^4\), especially towards the Copts, many of whom were used as slaves, and shortly before Muhammed 'Ali's accession the Mamlûks attacked Hamâm and succeeded in defeating and killing him. But they were unable to subdue the tribe as a whole, and it remained powerful until after the fall of the Mamlûks, when Ibrâhîm Pasha finally crushed it. He is said to have slain 2000 of the HOWÁRA.

II HAWÁWÎR. The HAWÁWÎR nomads of Dongola Province belong to this stock and preserve the tradition of their Berber ancestry. They are a large and fairly rich tribe of camel-owners and in the rainy season move to the west and north-west with the KABÁBISH. Their main divisions are as follows\(^5\):

\(^1\) Part II, Appendix to Chap. i.
\(^2\) Burckhardt, Nubia, App. III, pp. 531-533.
\(^3\) Ibid. p. 135. Cp. Hamilton, p. 257. For other details see MS. D 4 ix.
\(^4\) Denon, who accompanied Napoleon's expedition, on the contrary, heard of Hamâm as a champion of the oppressed and of his time as a sort of golden age for the Arabs of Upper Egypt. They spoke “du temps du cheikh prince Ammag, où on ne traitait pas d'impositions arbitraires, mais de ce qui pouvait être le plus utile à tous.” (Voyages..., i, 303.) MS. D 4 describes Hamâm as farming out Nūbia in very cynical fashion.

\(^5\) The camel brands of several of these divisions are well known, namely the Lām Alîf (a) of the Rûbûb and the ‘erîk (b) of the Abbásîb. But the most distinctive of all their brands is the Kûlîd mahgâın (c) which is used on the right side of the neck by most of the HAWAWIR.
III GELLÁBA HOWÁRA. The GELLÁBA HOWÁRA are more numerous in Dárfür than in Kordofán. In the latter province they have villages between el Obeid and Bára and in Um Ruába district. The people of these relate that their ancestors came from Upper Egypt, and claim relationship with the HAWÁWÍR. Their land they obtained from the Gawámá'a some eight to nine generations ago. In type they are very dark and degraded and entirely unlike the sallow HAWÁWÍR of the north, who hold them in contempt. Their divisions in Kordofán are:

Kawámna
Adawía
Dikayráb
Awlád Kaysán

From their title of “Gellába” it is likely that, as they state, they came originally as pedlars; and in Dárfür the majority of them are engaged in trade at the present day or live close to the capital, el Fásher. These latter, like their relatives in Kordofán, still remember their Upper-Egyptian origin. The chief branch of them is the WÁHÍA.

IV KORÓBÁT. The KORÓBÁT are generally reckoned so cognate to the GELLÁBA HOWÁRA as to be all but identical with them. They are confined to the western Sudan, Kordofán and Dárfür that is, and the greater part of them are settled in north-western Dárfür near the KIMR border.

Nachtigal tells us that they at one period inhabited Dár KIMR and were driven thence by the FÚR. Both he and Barth include them also among the “Arabs” of Wadáí, and the former states they claimed to be of Yemenite stock. At the present day those in Dárfür allege a descent from the Beni Sháyba of Arabia. Their subdivisions they give as:

Abú Um Bukr
Awlád el Fekí
  "  Abú Ámna
  "  Finéí
  "  Mástín

1 The word means “crocodiles.” Compare the tribal brand of the Moghárba on the Blue Nile, also of Berber extraction (p. 318).
2 Sah. und Sudan, III, 455, ap. Carbou, II, 94.
3 Ibid. III, 71.
4 III, 545.
Other Koróbát live at Sherkayla in eastern Kordofán, and it is said that to their number also belong the Subaḥa section of the Ḥamar round Um Bel in western Kordofán.

The people of Kága in northern Kordofán, too, state that there were settlements of Koróbát in their hills, together with the Birked, a century or so ago.
CHAPTER 9

The 'Abábda\(^1\) and Kerrárish

I. The 'Abábda are a tribe of Upper Egypt, but as they have several branches in the Sudan some brief account of them will be given.

As being neighbours of the Bishárín and having intermarried with them they have naturally come to be considered as of the same original stock, but the 'Abábda have very much more of the Arab in their composition than have any of the Bega races\(^2\) and in fact probably represent the Arabs who were settled in the Thebaid before the final Muhammadan conquest of the Sudan. The 'Abábda in Shendi district told Burckhardt that they and the 'Abábda of Egypt were all descended from "Selman, an Arab of the Beni Hilál.\(^3\)" There is no reason compelling one to deny their connection with that tribe; and they appear also to have intermarried, as one would expect, with the Awláb Kanz\(^4\).

Their northern limit is roughly the Kena-Kuşayr road, and the greater part of the tribe frequents the country east of Luxor Diráw and Aswán, and the northern Atbai\(^5\).

II. The 'Abábda are divided into three main groups, the 'Ashabáb, the Fukara and the Abūdiín or Shinátír\(^6\). The 'Ashabáb is the largest and most powerful of these divisions, and both it and the Abūdiín-Shinátír group are practically confined to Egypt\(^7\).

Of the Fukara the best-known branch is the Milaykár, many of whom are within the Sudan boundary, though there are others of them on the border and round Diráw\(^8\).

---

\(^1\) The word "'Abálda" is a plural formed from "'Abádi." "'Abádi" also means a Nestorian Christian (see el Mas'üdi, ed. Sprenger, pp. 247, 251).

\(^2\) Cp. Crowfoot, Some Lacunae..., p. 5. Such 'Abáda as speak To-Bedowī have learnt it from the Bishárín. Quatremère (II, 158) thought them probably "descendants of the ancient Bega."

\(^3\) Núbia, p. 345.

\(^4\) Burckhardt says (loc. cit. p. 145) of the Kenüz, i.e. the modern modified form of Awlād Kanz, "They frequently intermarry with the Arab Asábde." Belzoni (Narrative..., pp. 304-313) says the 'Abáda "never intermarry with any of their own people."

\(^5\) Ibid. pp. 148 et seq. and map, and A. E. Sudan, p. 93. Belzoni (1815) speaks of them (loc. cit.) as extending from near Suez to "the Bishariin, on the coast of the Red Sea, below the latitude of 23°."

\(^6\) Some call the Shinátír a section of the 'Abūdiín and others call the 'Abūdiín a section of the Shinátír. "Shinátír" in Himyaritic means earrings.

\(^7\) Burckhardt (p. 140) mentions individuals of the 'Ashabáb ("Ashabát") as having settled on the Nile in Núbia and intermarried with the inhabitants.

\(^8\) Diráw is the nominal headquarters of 'Ab el 'Azím Bey el Khalīfa, the best known of the 'Abálda sheikhs, but he frequently resides at Berber. Cp. Burckhardt, pp. 211 and 345.
It is this branch which from time immemorial has controlled the camel transport over the Buṭn el Ḥagar between Korosko and Abu Ḥammad and has thereby become wealthy. A few 'Ashabāb and 'Abūḏīn live with them.

There is also a considerable colony of 'Abābda, of a stock much mixed with the Ga'ālīn elements among which they live, based on el Ḥŏsh, a few miles west of Shendi. They number some 850 men and own perhaps 2000 camels and 33,000 sheep and goats.

Until the second decade of the nineteenth century other 'Abābda were settled at Dongola, "where they had acquired great wealth and influence": these latter were compelled by the immigrant Mamlūks to retire to Egypt.

THE KERRĀRĪSH

III Connected with the 'Abābda, though remotely, are the Kērrārīsh. A portion of these were until lately in Upper Egypt, but most of them graze their camels and flocks in the deserts west of Dongola and south of the latitude of Ḥalfa. Others have long been settled on the Nile, more particularly to the south of the Mahass country and on Arko Island.

"These Bedouin, a remote branch of the Ababde," says Burckhardt in 1813, "pasture their cattle on the uninhabited banks of the river, and on its islands, from Derr southwards, as far as Mahass and Dongola, where they are said to be more numerous than in Nubia. They are poor...but, notwithstanding their poverty, they refuse to give their daughters in marriage to the Nubians, and have thus preserved their race pure. The Kerrarish are, for the most part, in the service of the governors of Nubia, to whom they are attached as a corps of guards, and guides, and accompany them in their journeys through their dominions....They are a very honest and hospitable people."

Others, he adds, worked as guides to merchants or made a living by collecting senna and nitre from the deserts.

1 Ismā'īl Pasha had 700 of them as irregulars with him in the expedition of 1821. Cailliard (q.v. 11, p. 51) characterizes them as the worst soldiers in the army, accustomed rather to trade and guide than to fight.
2 They contain Ḥasānāb, Magādhīb, Sulaymānīa, Kanzāb, Ḥarfrāb, Bishārāb, Mūkābirāb, etc.
3 Ibid. p. 67.
4 There are also "Kērrārshā" near Tōr in the Sinai Peninsula, subdivided into Nasfrát and Awlād Tihi (see Na'ūm Bey, Hist. Sinai..., pp. 112-3).
5 Nubia, pp. 30, 31.
CHAPTER 10

The Ṛerriāt

I The Ṛerriāt form a small camel-owning tribe, the members of which at present rank as nomad Arabs and do not obviously differ in type from their western neighbours the Kabābīsh and Ḥawāwīr.

The name “Ṛerriāt” does not, however, appear in the nīshābs and the tribe seems to be really a heterogeneous collection of Arabs grafted upon some more ancient stock.

Their grazing-grounds have always been, and are still, west and north of Omdurman, to the east of the Wādī el Muḵaddam; but in practice they go farther westwards in the rainy season, and a few of them remain throughout the year as far inland as el Ṣāfīa. None of them are sedentary or own any land upon the river.

Their name suggests that the substratum upon which the tribal edifice was reared were dwellers round Gebel Ṛerri, the ancient seat of the ’Ābdullāb near the Shablīka cataract, and the common statement, which has also been volunteered to me by Ṛerriāt themselves, to the effect that they are ’Anāg by origin, bears out this view1. Their chief sections are the ’Adālīn, the Miḥaymidāb and the Ṣonayṭāb.

II They are wont to intermarry largely with the Ḥawāwīr, and it is noticeable that the most distinctive camel brand used by the latter is practically identical with that placed by the Ṛerriāt on their she-camels2.

1 At the same time such Ṛerriāt as I have questioned on the point deny any connection between the names “Ṛerri” and “Ṛerriāt.”
2 The Ḥawāwīr brand referred to is the ḥilād mahgān, viz. ʼill, on the right side of the neck (see MacMichael, Camel Brands..., p. 31). The Ṛerriāt place the ḥilād in the same place, but only on she-camels, in the form ʼillī’.

As I gave no account of the Ṛerriāt brands in the work referred to above, I may add them here.

1. The ’Adālīn. (a) On males: a short sōt above the thīfīnā, a shurābā, and a khurūs, all on the right. On the left a ḥuṣfa and a zāiayt (or zalāt). (b) On females: the ḥilād as described, a shurābā, and a sōt, all on the right.

2. The Miḥaymidāb. (a) On males: a khurūs on the left; and on the right a ḏūkka on the neck, a fērā’a (i.e. tip of ear cut off), and a dāmī’. All or any of these marks is used. (b) On females: the ḥilād as described: some add a dāmī’.

3. The Ṣonayṭāb. (a) On males: a dāmī’ and a sōt on the right. (b) On females: the ḥilād as described, and a fērā’a, a dāmī’ and a sōt, all on the right.

N.B. A khurūs is a horizontal cut half-way down the edge of the ear.
A zalāyt or zalāt, is a brand made round the back of the ear just above the base.

The meaning of the other technical terms used will be found in Camel Brands,...

The fact that the one brand which is common to the whole tribe, and which may therefore be called the tribal brand proper, is placed not on males but on females may well be a relic of a matrilinear period.
CHAPTER 11

The Southern Mahass

I The MAHASS proper, those living in the cataract country between Dongola and Ḫalfa, are, of course, not Arabs in any sense of the word. There are, however, a number of MAHASS settled farther south, particularly in Dongola, Berber, Khartoum and the Blue Nile Provinces, who have become so assimilated by intermarriage to the Arabs that they are as worthy of inclusion in any work dealing with the Arabs of the Sudan as are most of the other sedentary tribes.

The MAHASS proper are BARABRA, but BARABRA containing much more of the negro and less of the Arab element than is found, for instance, in the BARABRA north of Ḫalfa or in Dongola. As has been explained, the causes of their isolation are mainly geographical, but it would seem that some few Arabs must at some period have found their way into the country of the MAHASS and so given the latter some excuse for claiming descent from KURAYSH or the ANŠĀR.

II At some early date, perhaps about the time of the foundation of the FUNG kingdom, some of these MAHASS, with pretensions to a noble lineage and a certain amount of education, left their own country and established themselves as holy-men among the more ignorant medley of Arabs FUNG and NUBA in the south. Thus arose the MAHASS settlements on the lower reaches of the Blue Nile and round Khartoum, at 'Aylafūn, where the tomb of Idrīs wad Arbāb is still tended by his descendants, at Kutrāṅg, el Rekayba, el Kāmlīn, Kalkōl, Tūtī Island, el Ḫalfāya, etc.

1 Cp. Burckhardt, p. 58. He calls the Mahass "perfectly black; their lips are like those of the Negro, but not the nose or cheekbones."
2 P. 13.
3 Cp. Burckhardt, pp. 64 and 133. He speaks of "a branch of KURAYSH" as "possessing itself of Mahass."
4 They claimed to be Khazrag, i.e. among the number of the "Anšār" who settled in Upper Egypt (see ABC, IX, etc.).
5 Q.v. D 3, 141.
6 The last syllable of "Kutrāṅg" is said to be connected with "Anag." The old red-brick ruins here lie a mile or two north of the village, but are a mere shapeless mound.
7 The original Mahass settlement here is said to have been known as Ferānīb, but the name has now disappeared (see, however, D 2, viii). The true form of "Kāmlīn" is "Kamnīn" (see ABC, VI and D 3, 109). 'Aylafūn, Kutrāṅg and Kāmlīn ("Alfon, Cotram and Camín") are mentioned by Poncet in 1698 (p. 17).
8 The Mahass, it is said, were preceded here by the Zenafla.
9 See D 3, 154. Certain Mahass, too, have joined the Awlād 'Ukba section of Kabābīsh (see p. 313, and "ABC," v and viii).
THE SOUTHERN MAḤASS

To acquire rich lands on the river bank and intermarry with the numerous subtribes of GUHAYNA who pastured their flocks inland and cultivated a rain-crop in the wādis was an easy step. The same process has been seen at work in northern Kordofān, where immigrant DANÁGLA took advantage of the nomad Arabs’ ignorance of artificial irrigation and contempt for manual labour, except in so far as it might be done by their slaves, to acquire a hold over all the best basins of the Khayrān at the expense of the DĀR ḤĀMĪD. The MAḤASS in the same way forestalled the RUFĀ’A on the Blue Nile. In both cases the result has naturally been some degree of jealousy and dispute.

III Though these MAḤASS are essentially and always sedentary they divide themselves theoretically, and on the Arab model, into subtribes. Such are the following; all of whom are emigrants by origin, living south of Berber Province:

1. Ghardakāb (a) Muḥammadāb. On Tūtī Island, at ’Aylafūn, Be-
   (b) Barakāt shākīra East, Shigla and Elti.
2. Subāhāb (a) Dakhalāb. At H. el Nūba.
3. ’Onāb. At Beshākīra West, and on the site of Khartoum before
   that town was built by Khūrshid Pasha.
5. Khōgalāb. At el Kubba and on Tūtī Island.
7. Genna el Ḥāg. In the Gezira.
8. Awlād Fellāta. At Kutrāng.

APPENDIX ON CERTAIN BURIAL CUSTOMS ON THE BLUE NILE

Some of the burial customs in vogue on the lower Blue Nile may be of Nūbīan (BARABRA) origin and due to the settlements of Maḥass in those parts. They are not used by the Fung. Becket (Cairo Scient. Journ. No. 59, Aug. 1911) in an article on “Nubia and the Berberine” says that after the burial and the filling in of the grave there is feasting for seven days and that the feast is again repeated after forty days, “and this time all who come bring with them pebbles gathered from the desert around. Over these pebbles the Koran is read by the Sheikh of the village and each person then deposits those he brings on the grave, which is completely covered with them.” Pots of water are put near the heads of the graves and replenished by the relatives of the deceased, and near the pots are stuck palm branches.

On the Blue Nile, round el Kāmlīn, burmas of water are similarly

1 Idrīs wad Arbāb’s section.
2 I.e. descendants of Khōgali ’Abd el Raḥmān (D 3, No. 154).
3 A large sub-tribe.
placed by the graves and kept full for a few weeks after the burial. Afterwards they are apt to get forgotten. The natives gave two explanations of the custom: one, that it would be counted in God's sight to the merit of the deceased that the birds should quench their thirst at these burnas; the second, that the presence of the water would alleviate the oppressive heat of the tomb.

As regards the placing of pebbles on the grave, the custom maintains, but with important variations. Three instances may be quoted.

1. At H. Nūba. (Between el Kāmlīn and Khartoum. Population MAḤASS, GAʿALĪN, ʿĀĪDĀB, ḤūDŪR, KAWĀHĪ, RUFAʾA and SHABĀRĪKĀ.) The cemetery was on the same site as that of the ancient inhabitants. In every case the graves of the present generation were covered with small rounded yellow pebbles, excepting the graves of newly buried persons. Asked the reason of this exception the villagers stated that seven months must always elapse after the burial before the placing of the stones on the grave. If unavoidable circumstances prevented this being done on the exact day it could be done after nine months instead of after seven. If not done after nine months it was too late to do it at all. To do it, e.g. after eight months, would be useless and wrong. The stones must not be spread on the grave by men or boys or girls (virgins) but only by the married women of the village. Fekis took no part in the ceremony and there were no particular rites to be observed and no concomitant festivities. The custom was said to apply to all the villages in the neighbourhood.

2. At H. Kutrāng. (About five miles from H. Nūba on the opposite bank of the river. Population RUFAʾA. Village of MAḤASS a mile or so away.) Questioned as to the custom of sprinkling pebbles on their graves the people said these were placed on the grave at the expiration of either seven or nine months, neither more nor less. The ceremony was invariably performed by the old women only: at ʿAylafūn it was done by the men, but nowhere else that they knew of. It took place at sunrise. The nearest relative of the deceased would be expected to provide some grease (dīhn) for the old women and to kill a sheep as kerāma for them. The old women carried out the duty light-heartedly and laughingly, all working together. The same evening the sheep would be eaten and a fatḥa recited. The villagers would all contribute corn from their own stores for this feast. If a man were so poor that he could not afford to hold this feast he would not have the stones put on the grave.

3. At ʿAylafūn. (Between Khartoum and H. Nūba. Population MAḤASS claiming descent from the religious sheikh Idrīs Arbāb, q.v. in D 3.) The people here said their custom was to sprinkle pebbles on the graves after seven months, or failing that after nine, or failing that after eleven. If not done after eleven months it was too late. The ceremony was performed by the men and not by the women.
CHAPTER 12

The Ḥamrán

I The Ḥamrán Arabs are a very small community living near the Abyssinian border, but they have become well known on account of Sir Samuel Baker's description of them. He met these "mighty hunters with the sword" on the Setít River in 1861 and describes vividly their surpassing courage and dexterity in the chase of the elephant.

The earliest notice of them, however, is that of Bruce in the preceding century. He does not mention them by name but his description of them and the application to them of the name agágr, elephant-hunters, by which they are still known, establishes their identity. He speaks of them as having regular ("European") features and non-woolly hair and being "very swarthy." They were deadly foes of the Shangalla tribes.

Mansfield Parkyns calls them "a tribe of Bishāry origin, which still uses the Hadendāwy language, like its mother race. They may almost be considered a subtribe of Bisharin, for there is no separation between them."

Baker says: "The Hamran Arabs are distinguished from the other tribes by an extra length of hair, worn plaited down the centre and arranged in long curls." They carried round shields of rhinoceros hide.

II They were never a large tribe and their habitat has always been the banks of the Setít near its junction with the Atbara. At the present day they probably number only a few hundred souls: the majority were killed by the Dervishes. The survivors still bear the reputation of being as great Nimrods as their fathers were, and they boast themselves to be purer Arabs than any of the surrounding tribes.

III They claim to have immigrated from the Ḥegáz and to be of noble lineage. If the MS. "D 6" is to be trusted they are by origin an offshoot of the Ḥarb. "BA," though giving no details, simply classes them, with the Ḥamar, in the Guhayna group, and this latter, as there has been frequent cause to remark, is much mixed with that of the Ḥarb.

1 Vol. vi, Bk. viii, p. 228.
2 Baker, Nile Tributaries..., p. 174, also notes their swarthiness. Parkyns calls them "deep bronze."
3 Life in Abyssinia, ii, 404.
5 See para. lxxviii.
CHAPTER 13

(a) THE RASHÁIDA AND ZEBAYDÍÁ

I The Rasháída are recent immigrants from Arabia. A number of them crossed the Red Sea and took up their abode between Tokar and the Eritrean border in 1846, and of these some pushed farther west to graze on the Atbara in Berber Province. Until the Dervish revolt they were a comparatively wealthy people, but they were then plundered unmercifully, and the survivors fled for refuge to Massawa. After the reoccupation they returned to the Atbara and the Kaš, and they have since been joined by considerable numbers of other Rasháida from the Hegáz. Others are in Eritrea.

They are camel-owning nomads and perhaps number at present between 1000 and 2000 men in the Red Sea and Berber Provinces.1

The Zebaydía of the Eastern Sudan are also comparatively recent immigrants from Arabia, where their main habitat is round the small port of Rabigh, a nest of pirates between Yenbu and Jeddá. There their immediate neighbours to the north are the Guhayna.2

II It has already been mentioned in dealing with the Beni Ráshid and Ziūd Bağkára of Wadáí and Bornu that the former really include the latter and that one of their main divisions are the Zebáda, who claim a Himyaritic origin. The identity of the names “Beni Ráshid,” “Rowáshda” and “Rasháída” was also noticed; also the fact that the Zebaydía of Arabia are a section of the Harb, who have always been neighbours of the Guhayna and who accompanied the latter in large numbers to the Sudan and were equally concerned with them in forming the Bağkára congeries. It is clear therefore that the influx of Rasháída and Zebaydía to the Eastern Sudan is not confined to modern times but that it had its counterpart several centuries ago when the ancestors of the Beni Ráshid and Ziūd crossed over to Africa, and, instead of remaining in the east, pushed through Kordofán and Darfur and, leaving a certain number of their men among the other Bağkára in those provinces, settled in Bornu and Wadái.

The name of the Zebaydía is a very ancient one and is taken from Zebíd, a town in the Yemen. The town, in its turn, may derive its

1 The section in Berber is the Zenaymáth, subdivided into Duf 'Áid, Halámát, Duf Beraghith, Huaygáth, Kezáiza, 'Awázim and 'Araynáth. Those in the Red Sea Province are Bará'asa (subdivided into Duf 'Amri Shenánfr and Geládfén), and Baratlıkkh (subdivided into Manáfir, 'Ayamírat, etc.).
2 See too Burckhardt, Notes... II, 36 and 37.
name from the Gebadei, who are mentioned by Pliny as a tribe living on the west shore of the Red Sea in the first century A.D.\(^1\)

III The brand of the ZEBAVDIA camels is a curious one, namely placed upon the quarter. It is known both in the east and the west of the Sudan, and it is identical, but for the dot, with the brand still used by certain of the BENI ŞAKHR of Arabia\(^2\).

The camels of the ZEBAVDIA are also of a very distinctive type, easily recognizable. They are a small, thick-set, dark brown, tumbellied, short-legged, hardy type and considerably valued for transport work.

**(b) THE HAĐAREB AND ḤUDÜR**

IV HAĐAREB. The name of these people is variously spelt HAĐAREB, ḤADĀRBA, ḤADĀRMA, or with a d in place of the ḫ, and the *nisbas* unite in saying they came from the Haḍramaut in the early days of Islam and settled among and coalesced with the BEGA tribes on the Red Sea coast in the vicinity of Suákin\(^3\). Further particulars are scarce, and the above merely sums up what is known of their origin. The following is Burckhardt's account of them:\(^4\):

The inhabitants of Souakin, like those of all the harbours in the Red Sea, are a motley race; one principal class, however, is conspicuous; the forefathers of the chief families of the Arabs of Souakin were natives of Hadramout, and principally of the town of Shahher, the harbour of that country in the Indian ocean. They came hither according to some, about a century ago; others state that they arrived soon after the promulgation of Islam; it is from them that the collective population of the town has obtained the name of Hadherebe with foreigners; but the inhabitants themselves draw a strict line of distinction between the true Hadherebe, or descendants of the natives of Hadramout, and the other settlers, whom they term Souakiny.

In a note Burckhardt adds:

The people of Hadramout are famous for emigrating; large colonies of them are found in all the towns of the Yemen and Hedjaz. The greater part of the people of Djidda, and the lower class of the inhabitants of Mekka, are from the same country.

The government of Suákin, when Burckhardt visited it, was in the hands of the "Emir of the Hadherebe," who was chosen from among the five patrician ("Artayga") families of the tribe. He was nominally dependent on the Pasha of Jedda and had little or nothing to do with the affairs of the tribe, being chiefly concerned with col-

---

\(^1\) Pliny, Bk. vi, para. 33. Cp. Crowfoot, *Some Lacunae...*, p. 3. The root of the word is the same as that of *zibda*, the Arabic for butter.

\(^2\) See Burckhardt, *Notes...* i, 199 and Zwemer, p. 279.

\(^3\) See in particular A 2, xxxviii and D 6, i1.

\(^4\) *Nubia*, pp. 433-5 and 449.
lecting customs dues. The tribe was administered by its own sheikh and was on bad terms with all the Beqa tribes of the interior.

Earlier in the same work Burckhardt, speaking of Shendi, says:

The most substantial of all the traders who at present frequent the Shendy market are the people from Souakin, or as they are more commonly called in this part of Africa, the Hadarebe, or Hadhareme, that is, people of Hadremaut, in South Arabia, from whence they draw their origin.

He notes in addition that the caravans of the Ḥaḍārba also visited Sennár and el Obeid.

V The Ḥaḍārbe are great travellers, and more of them have wandered eastwards from Ḥaḍramaut to Java and India than westwards to Africa. Zwemer says:

Large colonies of Hadramis emigrated to the Dutch Archipelago more than a century ago; intermarriage between the Javanese and the Arabs is very common; and the Mohammedanism of the Dutch East Indies is entirely of the Hadramaut type.

VI Ḥudūr. The name Beni Ḥudūr appears in el Mas’ūdi as that of an ancient and powerful people of Arabia of unknown origin and locality, to whom, on account of their iniquity, God sent a prophet in the Days of Ignorance. Him they put to death, but the prophet Baruch then applied to Bokht Nāṣir (Nebuchadnezzar) who attacked them and destroyed them.

The term Ḥudūr, as used at present, expresses little more than Arab traders from outside the Sudan. At Nūba village (Blue Nile) there are some who are said to be Howāra by descent, and there are others at Elti and elsewhere on the Blue Nile. The town of Arbagi, before its destruction by the Shukrīa, is said to have been peopled by Ḥudūr, and its founder, Ḥegāzi ibn Maʿin, the ancestor of the Gelilāb of Wad Rāwa, is usually called a Ḥādari instead of being connected with the Guhayna group to which his descendants have attached themselves.

To the same group, therefore, may belong the Dāfiri, Dekināb, Fukadāb, Fārisāb, Gārāb, Keraingāb and such other small indeterminate communities in the same neighbourhood as are alleged by the Gelilāb to be descended from the same stock as themselves, namely from that of Ḥegāzi ibn Maʿin.

It seems more than probable, as their name suggests, that the real Ḥudūr are merely Ḥaḍārebe under a variant designation, though the term has come to be used colloquially in a wider and vaguer sense.

1 Nubia, p. 319.  
2 Arabia... p. 77.  
3 Ch. XLVII, Vol. III, pp. 394 ff.  
4 See B 1 and Tree. The Sheikh who gave me the MS. of B 1 said the Gelilāb belonged to the Dubānīa branch of Guhayna, a statement borne out neither by tradition nor his own pedigree.
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